

Econ 424/Amath 540

Hypothesis Testing in the CER Model

Eric Zivot

July 17, 2012

## Hypothesis Testing

1. Specify hypothesis to be tested

$H_0$  : null hypothesis versus.  $H_1$  : alternative hypothesis

2. Specify significance level of test

$$\text{level} = \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true})$$

3. Construct test statistic,  $T$ , from observed data

4. Use test statistic  $T$  to evaluate data evidence regarding  $H_0$

$|T|$  is big  $\Rightarrow$  evidence against  $H_0$

$|T|$  is small  $\Rightarrow$  evidence in favor of  $H_0$

Decide to reject  $H_0$  at specified significance level if value of  $T$  falls in the rejection region

$$T \in \text{rejection region} \Rightarrow \text{reject } H_0$$

Usually the rejection region of  $T$  is determined by a critical value,  $cv$ , such that

$$|T| > cv \Rightarrow \text{reject } H_0$$

$$|T| \leq cv \Rightarrow \text{do not reject } H_0$$

## Decision Making and Hypothesis Tests

Decision	Reality	
	$H_0$ is true	$H_0$ is false
Reject $H_0$	Type I error	No error
Do not reject $H_0$	No error	Type II error

### Significance Level of Test

$$\text{level} = \Pr(\text{Type I error})$$

$$\Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true})$$

Goal: Construct test to have a specified small significance level

$$\text{level} = 5\% \text{ or } \text{level} = 1\%$$

Power of Test

$$\begin{aligned} &1 - \Pr(\text{Type II error}) \\ &= \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is false}) \end{aligned}$$

Goal: Construct test to have high power

Problem: Impossible to simultaneously have level  $\approx 0$  and power  $\approx 1$ . As level  $\rightarrow 0$  power also  $\rightarrow 0$ .

## Hypothesis Testing in CER Model

$$r_{it} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{it} \quad t = 1, \dots, T; \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\epsilon_{it} \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma_i^2)$$

$$\text{cov}(\epsilon_{it}, \epsilon_{jt}) = \sigma_{ij}, \quad \text{cor}(\epsilon_{it}, \epsilon_{jt}) = \rho_{ij}$$

$$\text{cov}(\epsilon_{it}, \epsilon_{js}) = 0 \quad t \neq s, \text{ for all } i, j$$

- Test for specific value

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_i^0$$

$$H_0 : \sigma_i = \sigma_i^0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \sigma_i \neq \sigma_i^0$$

$$H_0 : \rho_{ij} = \rho_{ij}^0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \rho_{ij} \neq \rho_{ij}^0$$

- Test for sign

$$H_0 : \mu_i = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i > 0 \text{ or } \mu_i < 0$$

$$H_0 : \rho_{ij} = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \rho_{ij} > 0 \text{ or } \rho_{ij} < 0$$

- Test for normal distribution

$$H_0 : r_{it} \sim \text{iid } N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$$

$$H_1 : r_{it} \sim \text{not normal}$$

- Test for no autocorrelation

$$H_0 : \rho_j = \text{corr}(r_{it}, r_{i,t-j}) = 0, j > 1$$

$$H_1 : \rho_j = \text{corr}(r_{it}, r_{i,t-j}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j$$

- Test of constant parameters

$$H_0 : \mu_i, \sigma_i \text{ and } \rho_{ij} \text{ are constant over entire sample}$$

$$H_1 : \mu_i, \sigma_i \text{ or } \rho_{ij} \text{ changes in some sub-sample}$$



## Definition: Chi-square random variable and distribution

Let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_q$  be iid  $N(0, 1)$  random variables. Define

$$X = Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_q^2$$

Then

$$X \sim \chi^2(q)$$

$q = \text{degrees of freedom (d.f.)}$

Properties of  $\chi^2(q)$  distribution

$$X > 0$$

$$E[X] = q$$

$$\chi^2(q) \rightarrow \text{normal as } q \rightarrow \infty$$

## R functions

`rchisq()`: simulate data  
`dchisq()`: compute density  
`pchisq()`: compute CDF  
`qchisq()`: compute quantiles

**Definition: Student's t random variable and distribution with  $q$  degrees of freedom**

$$Z \sim N(0, 1), \quad X \sim \chi^2(q)$$

$Z$  and  $X$  are independent

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{X/q}} \sim t_q$$

$q$  = degrees of freedom (d.f.)

Properties of  $t_q$  distribution:

$$E[T] = 0$$

$$\text{skew}(T) = 0$$

$$\text{kurt}(T) = \frac{3q - 6}{q - 4}, \quad q > 4$$

$$T \rightarrow N(0, 1) \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty \quad (q \geq 60)$$

## R functions

`rt()`: simulate data

`dt()`: compute density

`pt()`: compute CDF

`qt()`: compute quantiles

## Test for Specific Coefficient Value

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_i^0$$

1. Test statistic

$$t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i^0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)}$$

Intuition:

- If  $t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0} \approx 0$  then  $\hat{\mu}_i \approx \mu_i^0$ , and  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  should not be rejected
- If  $|t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}| > 2$ , say, then  $\hat{\mu}_i$  is more than 2 values of  $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)$  away from  $\mu_i^0$ . This is very unlikely if  $\mu_i = \mu_i^0$ , so  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  should be rejected.

## Distribution of t-statistic under $H_0$

Under the assumptions of the CER model, and  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$

$$t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i^0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)} \sim t_{T-1}$$

where

$$\hat{\mu}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T r_{it}, \quad \widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (r_{it} - \hat{\mu}_i)^2}$$

$t_{T-1}$  = Student's t distribution with  
 $T - 1$  degrees of freedom (d.f.)

## Remarks:

- $t_{T-1}$  is bell-shaped and symmetric about zero (like normal) but with fatter tails than normal
- d.f. = sample size - number of estimated parameters. In CER model there is one estimated parameter,  $\mu_i$ , so  $df = T - 1$
- For  $T \geq 60$ ,  $t_{T-1} \simeq N(0, 1)$ . Therefore, for  $T \geq 60$

$$t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i^0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)} \simeq N(0, 1)$$

2. Set significance level and determine critical value

$$\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = 5\%$$

Test has two-sided alternative so critical value,  $cv_{.025}$ , is determined using

$$\Pr(|t_{T-1}| > cv_{.025}) = 0.05 \Rightarrow cv_{.025} = -q_{.025}^{t_{T-1}} = q_{.975}^{t_{T-1}}$$

where  $q_{.975}^{t_{T-1}} = 97.5\%$  quantile of Student-t distribution with  $T - 1$  degrees of freedom.

3. Decision rule:

$$\text{reject } H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0 \text{ in favor of } H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_i^0 \text{ if} \\ |t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}| > cv_{.975}$$



## Useful Rule of Thumb:

If  $T \geq 60$  then  $cv_{.975} \approx 2$  and the decision rule is

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  at 5% level if

$$|t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}| > 2$$

#### 4. P-Value of two-sided test

significance level at which test is just rejected

$$\begin{aligned} &= \Pr(|t_{T-1}| > t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}) \\ &= \Pr(t_{T-1} < -t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}) + \Pr(t_{T-1} > t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}) \\ &= 2 \cdot \Pr(t_{T-1} > |t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}|) \\ &= 2 \times (1 - \Pr(t_{T-1} \leq |t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}|)) \end{aligned}$$

Decision rule based on P-Value

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  at 5% level if  
P-Value < 5%

For  $T \geq 60$

$$\text{P-value} = 2 \times \Pr(z > |t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}|), \quad z \sim N(0, 1)$$

## Tests based on CLT

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  denote an estimator for  $\theta$ . In many cases the CLT justifies the asymptotic normal distribution

$$\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, \text{se}(\hat{\theta})^2)$$

Consider testing

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$$

Result: Under  $H_0$ ,

$$t_{\theta=\theta_0} = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{\widehat{\text{se}}(\hat{\theta})} \sim N(0, 1)$$

for large sample sizes.

**Example:** In the CER model, for large enough  $T$  the CLT gives

$$\hat{\sigma}_i \sim N(\sigma_i, SE(\hat{\sigma}_i)^2)$$
$$SE(\hat{\sigma}_i) = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sqrt{2T}}$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}_{ij} \sim N(\rho_{ij}, SE(\hat{\rho}_{ij})^2)$$
$$SE(\hat{\rho}_{ij}) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \rho_{ij}^2}}{\sqrt{T}}$$

## Rule-of-thumb Decision Rule

Let  $\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = 5\%$ . Then reject

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$$

at 5% level if

$$|t_{\theta=\theta_0}| = \left| \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta^0}{\widehat{\text{se}}(\hat{\theta})} \right| > 2$$

## Relationship Between Hypothesis Tests and Confidence Intervals

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_i^0$$
$$\text{level} = 5\%$$

$$cv_{.975} = q_{.975}^{t_{T-1}} \approx 2 \text{ for } T > 60$$

$$t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i^0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)}$$

$$\text{Reject at 5\% level if } |t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}| > 2$$

Approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\mu_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_i &= \pm 2 \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i) \\ &= [\hat{\mu}_i - 2 \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i), \hat{\mu}_i + 2 \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)] \end{aligned}$$

Decision: Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  at 5% level if  $\mu_i^0$  does not lie in 95% confidence interval.

## Test for Sign

$$H_0 : \mu_i = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i > 0$$

1. Test statistic

$$t_{\mu_i=0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)}$$

Intuition:

- If  $t_{\mu_i=\mu_i^0} \approx 0$  then  $\hat{\mu}_i \approx 0$ , and  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  should not be rejected
- If  $t_{\mu_i=\mu_i^0} \gg 0$ , then this is very unlikely if  $\mu_i = 0$ , so  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu_i > 0$  should be rejected.

2. Set significance level and determine critical value

$$\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = 5\%$$

One-sided critical value  $cv$  is determined using

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(t_{T-1} > cv_{.05}) &= 0.05 \\ \Rightarrow cv_{.05} &= q_{.95}^{t_{T-1}}\end{aligned}$$

where  $q_{.95}^{t_{T-1}} = 95\%$  quantile of Student-t distribution with  $T - 1$  degrees of freedom.

3. Decision rule:

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu_i > 0$  at 5% level if

$$t_{\mu_i=0} > q_{.95}^{t_{T-1}}$$



## Useful Rule of Thumb:

If  $T \geq 60$  then  $q_{.95}^{t_{T-1}} \approx q_{.95}^z = 1.645$  and the decision rule is

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu_i > 0$  at 5% level if  
 $t_{\mu_i=0} > 1.645$

### 4. P-Value of test

significance level at which test is just rejected

$$\begin{aligned} &= \Pr(t_{T-1} > t_{\mu_i=0}) \\ &= \Pr(Z > t_{\mu_i=0}) \text{ for } T \geq 60 \end{aligned}$$

## Test for Normal Distribution

$$H_0 : r_t \sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$H_1 : r_t \sim \text{not normal}$$

1. Test statistic (Jarque-Bera statistic)

$$JB = \frac{T}{6} \left( \widehat{\text{skew}}^2 + \frac{(\widehat{\text{kurt}} - 3)^2}{4} \right)$$

See R package tseries function `jarque.bera.test`

## Intuition

- If  $r_t \sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  then  $\widehat{\text{skew}}(r_t) \approx 0$  and  $\widehat{\text{kurt}}(r_t) \approx 3$  so that  $\text{JB} \approx 0$ .
- If  $r_t$  is not normally distributed then  $\widehat{\text{skew}}(r_t) \neq 0$  and/or  $\widehat{\text{kurt}}(r_t) \neq 3$  so that  $\text{JB} \gg 0$

## Distribution of JB under $H_0$

If  $H_0 : r_t \sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is true then

$$\text{JB} \sim \chi^2(2)$$

where  $\chi^2(2)$  denotes a chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom (d.f.).

2. Set significance level and determine critical value

$$\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = 5\%$$

Critical value  $cv$  is determined using

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\chi^2(2) > cv) &= 0.05 \\ \Rightarrow cv &= q_{.95}^{\chi^2(2)} \approx 6\end{aligned}$$

where  $q_{.95}^{\chi^2(2)} \approx 6 \approx 95\%$  quantile of chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom.

3. Decision rule:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Reject } H_0 : r_t &\sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ &\text{at 5\% level if } JB > 6\end{aligned}$$

#### 4. P-Value of test

significance level at which test is just rejected

$$= \Pr(\chi^2(2) > JB)$$

## Test for No Autocorrelation

Recall, the  $j^{th}$  lag autocorrelation for  $r_t$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_j &= \text{cor}(r_t, r_{t-j}) \\ &= \frac{\text{cov}(r_t, r_{t-j})}{\text{var}(r_t)}\end{aligned}$$

Hypotheses to be tested

$$H_0 : \rho_j = 0, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, q$$

$$H_1 : \rho_j \neq 0 \text{ for some } j$$

1. Estimate  $\rho_j$  using sample autocorrelation

$$\hat{\rho}_j = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=j+1}^T (r_t - \hat{\mu})(r_{t-j} - \hat{\mu})}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (r_t - \hat{\mu})^2}$$

Result: Under  $H_0 : \rho_j = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , if  $T$  is large then

$$\hat{\rho}_j \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{T}\right) \text{ for all } j \geq 1$$
$$\text{SE}(\hat{\rho}_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$$

2. Test Statistic

$$t_{\rho_j=0} = \frac{\hat{\rho}_j}{\text{SE}(\hat{\rho}_j)} = \sqrt{T} \hat{\rho}_j$$

and 95% confidence interval

$$\hat{\rho}_j \pm 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$$

3. Decision rule

Reject  $H_0 : \rho_j = 0$  at 5% level  
if  $|t_{\rho_j=0}| = \left| \sqrt{T} \hat{\rho}_j \right| > 2$



That is, reject if

$$\hat{\rho}_j > \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} \text{ or } \hat{\rho}_j < \frac{-2}{\sqrt{T}}$$

## Diagnostics for Constant Parameters

$H_0 : \mu_i$  is constant over time vs.  $H_1 : \mu_i$  changes over time

$H_0 : \sigma_i$  is constant over time vs.  $H_1 : \sigma_i$  changes over time

$H_0 : \rho_{ij}$  is constant over time vs.  $H_1 : \rho_{ij}$  changes over time

### Remarks

- Formal test statistics are available but require advanced statistics
  - See R package strucchange
- Informal graphical diagnostics: Rolling estimates of  $\mu_i$ ,  $\sigma_i$  and  $\rho_{ij}$

## Rolling Means

Idea: compute estimate of  $\mu_i$  over rolling windows of length  $n < T$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu}_{it}(n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} r_{it-j} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (r_{it} + r_{it-1} + \cdots + r_{it-n+1})\end{aligned}$$

R function (package zoo)

`rollapply`

If  $H_0 : \mu_i$  is constant is true, then  $\hat{\mu}_{it}(n)$  should stay fairly constant over different windows.

If  $H_0 : \mu_i$  is constant is false, then  $\hat{\mu}_{it}(n)$  should fluctuate across different windows

## Rolling Variances and Standard Deviations

Idea: Compute estimates of  $\sigma_i^2$  and  $\sigma_i$  over rolling windows of length  $n < T$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{it}^2(n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (r_{it-j} - \hat{\mu}_{it}(n))^2$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_{it}(n) = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_{it}^2(n)}$$

If  $H_0 : \sigma_i$  is constant is true, then  $\hat{\sigma}_{it}(n)$  should stay fairly constant over different windows.

If  $H_0 : \sigma_i$  is constant is false, then  $\hat{\sigma}_{it}(n)$  should fluctuate across different windows

## Rolling Covariances and Correlations

Idea: Compute estimates of  $\sigma_{jk}$  and  $\rho_{jk}$  over rolling windows of length  $n < T$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{jk,t}(n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (r_{jt-i} - \hat{\mu}_j(n))(r_{kt-i} - \hat{\mu}_k(n))$$
$$\hat{\rho}_{jk,t}(n) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{jk,t}(n)}{\hat{\sigma}_{jt}(n)\hat{\sigma}_{kt}(n)}$$

If  $H_0 : \rho_{jk}$  is constant is true, then  $\hat{\rho}_{jk,t}(n)$  should stay fairly constant over different windows.

If  $H_0 : \rho_{jk}$  is constant is false, then  $\hat{\rho}_{jk,t}(n)$  should fluctuate across different windows