Econ 424/Amath 540 Portfolio Risk Budgeting

Eric Zivot

August 9, 2012

Portfolio Risk Budgeting

Idea: Additively decompose a measure of portfolio risk into contributions from the individual assets in the portfolio.

- Show which assets are most responsible for portfolio risk
- Help make decisions about rebalancing the portfolio to alter the risk.

Example: 2 risky asset portfolio

$$R_p = x_1 R_1 + x_2 R_2$$

$$\sigma_p^2 = x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + x_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2 \sigma_{12}$$

$$\sigma_p = \left(x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + x_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2 \sigma_{12}\right)^{1/2}$$

Case 1: $\sigma_{12} = 0$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sigma_p^2 &=& x_1^2\sigma_1^2 + x_2^2\sigma_2^2 \\ x_1^2\sigma_1^2 &=& \text{portfolio variance contribution of asset A} \\ x_2^2\sigma_2^2 &=& \text{portfolio variance contribution of asset B} \\ \frac{x_1^2\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_p^2} &=& \text{percent variance contribution of asset A} \\ \frac{x_2^2\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_p^2} &=& \text{percent variance contribution of asset B} \end{array}$$

Note

$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + x_2^2 \sigma_2^2} \neq x_1 \sigma_1 + x_2 \sigma_2.$$

To get an additive decomposition we use

Notice that percent sd contributions are the same as percent variance contributions.

Case 2: $\sigma_{12} \neq 0$

$$\sigma_p^2 = x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + x_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2x_1 x_2 \sigma_{12}$$

$$= \left(x_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + x_1 x_2 \sigma_{12}\right) + \left(x_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + x_1 x_2 \sigma_{12}\right).$$

Here we can split the covariance contribution $2x_Ax_2\sigma_{12}$ to portfolio variance evenly between the two assets and define

$$x_1^2\sigma_1^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12} =$$
 variance contribution of asset A $x_2^2\sigma_2^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12} =$ variance contribution of asset B

We can also define an additive decomposition for σ_p

Euler's Theorem and Risk Decompositions

- When we used σ_p^2 or σ_p to measure portfolio risk, we were able to easily derive sensible risk decompositions.
- If we measure portfolio risk by value-at-risk or some other risk measure it is not so obvious how to define individual asset risk contributions.
- For portfolio risk measures that are homogenous functions of degree one in the portfolio weights, Euler's theorem provides a general method for additively decomposing risk into asset specific contributions.

Homogenous functions and Euler's theorem

First we define a homogenous function of degree one.

Definition 1 homogenous function of degree one

Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a continuous and differentiable function of the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n . f is homogeneous of degree one if for any constant c, $f(c \cdot x_1, \ldots, c \cdot x_n) = c \cdot f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

Note: In matrix notation we have $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = f(\mathbf{x})$ where

 $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$. Then f is homogeneous of degree one if $f(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = c \cdot f(\mathbf{x})$

Examples

Let
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot x_1, c \cdot x_2) = c \cdot x_1 + c \cdot x_2 = c \cdot (x_1 + x_2) = c \cdot f(x_1, x_2)$$

Let
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot x_1, c \cdot x_2) = c^2 x_1^2 + x_2^2 c^2 = c^2 (x_1^2 + y_2^2) \neq c \cdot f(x_1, x_2)$$

Let
$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$$
 Then

$$f(c \cdot x_1, c \cdot x_2) = \sqrt{c^2 x_1^2 + c^2 x_2^2} = c\sqrt{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} = c \cdot f(x_1, x_2)$$

Repeat examples using matrix notation

Define
$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)'$$
 and $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)'$.

Let
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = (c \cdot \mathbf{x})' \mathbf{1} = c \cdot (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{1}) = c \cdot f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{x})$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = (c \cdot \mathbf{x})'(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = c^2 \cdot \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x} \neq c \cdot f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let
$$f(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2} = f(\mathbf{x})$$
. Then

$$f(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = ((c \cdot \mathbf{x})'(c \cdot \mathbf{x}))^{1/2} = c \cdot (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2} = c \cdot f(\mathbf{x}).$$

Consider a portfolio of n assets $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$

$$\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_n)'$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$$

$$E[\mathbf{R}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}, \operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{R}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

Define

$$R_p = R_p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{R},$$

 $\mu_p = \mu_p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\mu}$
 $\sigma_p^2 = \sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{x}, \ \sigma_p = \sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{x})^{1/2}$

Result: Portfolio return $R_p(\mathbf{x})$, expected return $\mu_p(\mathbf{x})$ and standard deviation $\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$ are homogenous functions of degree one in the portfolio weight vector \mathbf{x} .

The key result is for volatility $\sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$:

$$\sigma_p(c \cdot \mathbf{x}) = ((c \cdot \mathbf{x})' \Sigma (c \cdot \mathbf{x}))^{1/2} = 2 \cdot (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$$

= $c \cdot \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$

Theorem 2 Euler's theorem

Let $f(x_1, ..., x_n) = f(\mathbf{x})$ be a continuous, differentiable and homogenous of degree one function of the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_n)'$. Then

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} + \dots + x_n \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n}$$
$$= \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}},$$

where

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Verifying Euler's theorem

The function $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 = f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{1}$ is homogenous of degree one, and

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

By Euler's theorem,

$$f(x) = x_1 \cdot 1 + x_2 \cdot 1 = x_1 + x_2$$

= $\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{1}$

The function $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{1/2} = f(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2}$ is homogenous of degree one, and

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2} 2x_1 = x_1 \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2},$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2} 2x_2 = x_2 \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2}.$$

By Euler's theorem

$$f(x) = x_1 \cdot x_1 \left(x_1^2 + x_1^2 \right)^{-1/2} + x_2 \cdot x_2 \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using matrix algebra we have

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{1/2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{-1/2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{-1/2} 2\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}'\mathbf{x})^{-1/2} \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

so by Euler's theorem

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}' (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x})^{-1/2} \cdot \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x})^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$$

Risk decomposition using Euler's theorem

Let $RM_p(\mathbf{x})$ denote a portfolio risk measure that is a homogenous function of degree one in the portfolio weight vector \mathbf{x} . For example,

$$\mathsf{RM}_p(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$$

Euler's theorem gives the additive risk decomposition

$$RM_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = x_{1} \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}} + x_{2} \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}} + \dots + x_{n} \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{n}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$= \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial RM_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

Here, $\frac{\partial RM_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i}$ are called marginal contributions to risk (MCRs):

$$\mathsf{MCR}_i^{RM} = \frac{\partial \mathsf{RM}_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} = \text{ marginal contribution to risk of asset i,}$$

The *contributions to risk* (CRs) are defined as the weighted marginal contributions:

$$\mathsf{CR}_i^{RM} = x_i \cdot \mathsf{MCR}_i^{RM} = \text{ contribution to risk of asset i,}$$

Then

$$\mathsf{RM}_p(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \cdot \mathsf{MCR}_1^{RM} + x_2 \cdot \mathsf{MCR}_2^{RM} + \dots + x_n \cdot \mathsf{MCR}_n^{RM}$$
$$= \mathsf{CR}_1^{RM} + \mathsf{CR}_2^{RM} + \dots + \mathsf{CR}_n^{RM}$$

If we divide the contributions to risk by $RM_p(\mathbf{x})$ we get the *percent contributions to risk* (PCRs)

$$1 = \frac{\mathsf{CR}_1^{RM}}{\mathsf{RM}_p(\mathbf{x})} + \dots + \frac{\mathsf{CR}_n^{RM}}{\mathsf{RM}_p(\mathbf{x})} = \mathsf{PCR}_1^{RM} + \dots + \mathsf{PCR}_n^{RM},$$

where

$$PCR_i^{RM} = \frac{CR_i^{RM}}{RM_p(\mathbf{x})} = \text{ percent contribution of asset i}$$

Risk Decomposition for Portfolio SD

$$\mathsf{RM}_p(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}$$

Because $\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$ is homogenous of degree 1 in \mathbf{x} , by Euler's theorem

$$\sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \frac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} + x_2 \frac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_2} + \dots + x_n \frac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} = \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{-1/2} 2\Sigma \mathbf{x}$$

$$= \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{(\mathbf{x}' \Sigma \mathbf{x})^{1/2}} = \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \text{ith row of } \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}$$

Remark: In R, the PerformanceAnalytics function StdDev() performs this decomposition

Example: 2 asset portfolio

$$\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x})^{1/2} = \left(x_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} + 2x_{1} x_{2} \sigma_{12}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{2}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2} \sigma_{12} \\ x_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1} \sigma_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(x_{1} \sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2} \sigma_{12}\right) / \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \left(x_{2} \sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1} \sigma_{12}\right) / \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{MCR}_{1}^{\sigma} &= \left(x_{1}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathsf{MCR}_{2}^{\sigma} &= \left(x_{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathsf{CR}_{1}^{\sigma} &= x_{1} \times \left(x_{1}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_{1}^{2}\sigma_{1}^{2} + x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathsf{CR}_{2}^{\sigma} &= x_{2} \times \left(x_{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{2}\sigma_{2}\right)/\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_{2}^{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} + x_{1}x_{2}\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$PCR_1^{\sigma} = CR_1^{\sigma}/\sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_1^2\sigma_1^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})$$

$$PCR_2^{\sigma} = CR_2^{\sigma}/\sigma_p(\mathbf{x}) = \left(x_2^2\sigma_2^2 + x_1x_2\sigma_{12}\right)/\sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})$$

Note: This is the decomposition we derived at the beginning of lecture.

How to Interpret and Use MCR^σ_i

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} \approx \frac{\Delta \sigma_{p}}{\Delta x_{i}} \\ \Rightarrow &\Delta \sigma_{p} \approx \mathsf{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{i} \end{aligned}$$

However, in a portfolio of n assets

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 1$$

so that increasing or decreasing x_i means that we have to decrease or increase our allocation to one or more other assets. Hence, the formula

$$\Delta \sigma_p pprox \mathsf{MCR}_i^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_i$$

ignores this re-allocation effect.

If the increase in allocation to asset i is offset by a decrease in allocation to asset j, then

$$\Delta x_i = -\Delta x_i$$

and the change in portfolio volatility is approximately

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta \sigma_{p} & \approx & \mathsf{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{i} + \mathsf{MCR}_{j}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{j} \\ & = & \mathsf{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{i} - \mathsf{MCR}_{j}^{\sigma} \cdot \Delta x_{i} \\ & = & \left(\mathsf{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} - \mathsf{MCR}_{j}^{\sigma} \right) \cdot \Delta x_{i} \end{array}$$

$\overline{\mu_1}$	μ_2	σ_1^2	σ_2^2	σ_1	σ_2	σ_{12}	ρ_{12}
0.175	0.055	0.067	0.013	0.258	0.115	-0.004875	-0.164

Table 1: Example data for two asset portfolio.

Consider two portfolios:

• equal weighted portfolio $x_1 = x_2 = 0.5$

• long-short portfolio $x_1 = 1.5$ and $x_2 = -0.5$.

	σ_i	x_i	MCR^σ_i	CR^σ_i	PCR^{σ}_i				
$\sigma_p = 0.1323$									
Asset 1	0.258	0.5	0.23310	0.11655	0.8807				
Asset 2	0.115	0.5	0.03158	0.01579	0.1193				
$\sigma_p = 0.4005$									
Asset 1	0.258	1.5	0.25540	0.38310	0.95663				
Asset 2	0.115	-0.5	-0.03474	0.01737	0.04337				

Table 2: Risk decomposition using portfolio standard deviation.

Interpretation: For equally weighted portfolio, increasing x_1 from 0.5 to 0.6 decreases x_2 from 0.5 to 0.4. Then

$$\Delta \sigma_p \approx (\mathsf{MCR}_1^{\sigma} - \mathsf{MCR}_2^{\sigma}) \cdot \Delta x_i$$

$$= (0.23310 - 0.03158)(0.1)$$

$$= 0.02015$$

For the long-short portfolio, increasing x_1 from 1.5 to 1.6 decreases x_2 from -0.5 to -0.6. Then

$$\Delta \sigma_p \approx (\mathsf{MCR}_1^{\sigma} - \mathsf{MCR}_2^{\sigma}) \cdot \Delta x_i$$

$$= [0.25540 - (-0.03474)] (0.1)$$

$$= 0.02901$$

Beta as a Measure of Asset Contribution to Portfolio Volatility

For a portfolio of n assets with return

$$R_p(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 R_1 + \dots + x_n R_n = \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{R}$$

we derived the portfolio volatility decomposition

$$\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) = x_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{1}} + x_{2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{2}} + \dots + x_{n} \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{n}} = \mathbf{x}' \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}, \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \text{ith row of } \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}$$

With a little bit of algebra we can derive an alternative expression for

$$\mathsf{MCR}_i^\sigma = \frac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} = \mathsf{ith} \; \mathsf{row} \; \mathsf{of} \; \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}$$

Definition: The beta of asset i with respect to the portfolio is defined as

$$\beta_i = \frac{cov(R_i, R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{var(R_p(\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{cov(R_i, R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{\sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})}$$

Result: β_i measures asset contribution to $\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \beta_{i} \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\mathsf{CR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= x_{i} \beta_{i} \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\mathsf{PCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= x_{i} \beta_{i}
\end{aligned}$$

Remarks

By construction, the beta of the portfolio is 1

$$\beta_p = \frac{cov(R_p(\mathbf{x}), R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{var(R_p(\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{var(R_p(\mathbf{x}))}{var(R_p(\mathbf{x}))} = 1$$

ullet When $eta_i=1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\mathsf{CR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= x_{i}\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\mathsf{PCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= x_{i}
\end{aligned}$$

ullet When $eta_i>1$

$$\mathsf{MCR}_i^\sigma > \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$$
 $\mathsf{CR}_i^\sigma > x_i \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$
 $\mathsf{PCR}_i^\sigma > x_i$

ullet When $eta_i < 1$

$$\mathsf{MCR}_i^\sigma < \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$$
 $\mathsf{CR}_i^\sigma < x_i \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$
 $\mathsf{PCR}_i^\sigma < x_i$

Derivation of Result:

Recall,

$$rac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = rac{\mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}$$

Now,

$$\Sigma \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^1 & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1n} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{1n} & \sigma_{n2} & \cdots & \sigma_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The first row of Σx is

$$x_1\sigma_1^2 + x_2\sigma_{12} + \dots + x_n\sigma_{1n}$$

Now consider

$$cov(R_1, R_p) = cov(R_1, x_1R_1 + \dots + x_nR_n)$$

$$= cov(R_1, x_1R_1) + \dots + cov(R_1, x_nR_n)$$

$$= x_1\sigma_1^1 + x_2\sigma_{12} + \dots + x_n\sigma_{1n}$$

Next, note that

$$\beta_1 = \frac{cov(R_1, R_p)}{\sigma_p^2} \Rightarrow cov(R_1, R_p) = \beta_1 \sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})$$

Hence, the first row of Σx is

$$x_1\sigma_1^2 + x_2\sigma_{12} + \dots + x_n\sigma_{1n} = \beta_1\sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})$$

and so

$$MCR_1^{\sigma} = \frac{\partial \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_1} = \text{first row of } \frac{\Sigma \mathbf{x}}{\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})}$$

$$= \frac{\beta_1 \sigma_p^2(\mathbf{x})}{\sigma_p(\mathbf{x})} = \beta_1 \sigma_p(\mathbf{x})$$

In a similar fashion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{MCR}_{i}^{\sigma} &= \frac{\partial \sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{i}} = \mathsf{first row of } \frac{\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{x}}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})} \\
&= \frac{\beta_{i}\sigma_{p}^{2}(\mathbf{x})}{\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})} = \beta_{i}\sigma_{p}(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}$$

Beta as a Measure of Portfolio Risk

Key points:

- Asset specific risk can be diversified away by forming portfolios. What remains is "portfolio risk".
- Riskiness of an asset should be judged in a portfolio context
- Beta measures the portfolio risk of an asset

Beta and Risk Return Tradeoff

$$R_p = \text{return on any portfolio}$$
 $R_i = \text{return on any asset } i$

$$\beta_{i,p} = \frac{\text{cov}(R_i, R_p)}{\text{var}(R_p)} = \frac{\sigma_{i,p}}{\sigma_p^2}$$

Conjecture: If $\beta_{i,p}$ is the appropriate measure of the risk of an asset, then the asset's expected return, μ_i , should depend on $\beta_{i,p}$. That is

$$E[R_i] = \mu_i = f(\beta_{i,p})$$

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) formalizes this conjecture.