import pandas as pd import seaborn as sns import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import numpy as np import spacy import itertools from sklearn.model selection import train test split from sklearn.feature extraction.text import CountVectorizer, TfidfTransformer from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier from sklearn.metrics import confusion matrix, accuracy score, ConfusionMatrixDisplay, import tensorflow as tf from tensorflow import keras In [412... %load_ext watermark %watermark -v -n -m -p numpy, sklearn, spacy, pandas, seaborn, tensorflow The watermark extension is already loaded. To reload it, use: %reload ext watermark Python implementation: CPython Python version : 3.8.8 IPython version : 7.22. : 7.22.0 numpy : 1.23.5
sklearn : 1.2.0 spacy : 3.4.4 pandas : 1.4.3 seaborn : 0.12.2 tensorflow: 2.11.0 Compiler : MSC v.1916 64 bit (AMD64) : Windows : 10 Release Machine : AMD64 Processor : Intel64 Family 6 Model 140 Stepping 1, GenuineIntel CPU cores : 8 Architecture: 64bit **Brief Description** This is a binary classification project for NLP. We seek to build a model that predicts whether a given tweet is about real disasters or not. There are a total of 10,000 manually classified tweets of which 7,613 are present in the training dataset and the rest as testing. We will be using RNNs for the classification, along with a traditional KNN model to compare it against. test = pd.read csv(r'data\test.csv') train = pd.read_csv(r'data\train.csv') Data Definitions: id -> Unique Identifier text -> The text of the tweet location -> The location the tweet was sent from (can be NA) keywords -> Relevant keyword from the tweet, maybe blank target -> Whether tweet is about a disaster round(train.isna().sum()/train.shape[0], 3) 0.000 Out[313... id keyword 0.008 location 0.333 text 0.000 target 0.000 dtype: float64 We see a lot of blanks for the location, the keyword itself is already a part of the text so we should be fine with removing these columns In [314... # Look at a positive samples for a disaster train[train['target'] == 1]['text'].sample(5).values Out[314... array(['#news Britons rescued amid Himalaya floods http://t.co/kEPznhXHXd', 'Such beautiful architecture in #NYC I love those fire escape routes on the bui ldings. #newyork\x89Û_ https://t.co/fW1PtaElgV', 'Obama Declares Disaster for Typhoon-Devastated Saipan: Obama signs disaster de claration for Northern Marians a... http://t.co/Q3DtOq004c', 'Powerlines down over tram on GC Highway. Passengers have just been evacuated @ 9NewsBrisbane @9NewsGoldCoast http://t.co/KD3Qsakbi5', '#Civilian casualties in Afghanistan hit highest number since 2009 U.N. says vi a @WashingtonPost - http://t.co/xTF5DvgRvh'], dtype=object) We already see a lot of URLs present, this will have to cleaned off **Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)** # Create a dataframe to show class counts train counts = train['target'].value counts().reset index() plt.pie(train counts['target'].values, labels=['Non-Disaster', 'Disaster'], autopct='%1.1f%%', startangle=90) plt.title('Disaster Ratio') plt.show() Disaster Ratio Disaster 43.0% 57.0% Non-Disaster The classes look like they are balanced for the most part. We now look at the distribution of char counts. Twitter used to have a 140 character limit, which has been increase since (depending on when the data was collected) train['NumberOfCharacters'] = train['text'].apply(len) figs, axs = plt.subplots(ncols=2, figsize=(15,6)) g1 = sns.kdeplot(data=train, x='NumberOfCharacters', hue=train['target'].replace({0:'Non-Disaster', 1:'Disaster'}), ax=axs[0]) g2 = sns.boxplot(data=train, x=train['target'].replace({0:'Non-Disaster', 1:'Disaster'}), y='NumberOfCharacters', ax=axs[1]0.010 Disaster Non-Disaster 140 0.008 120 100 0.006 80 0.004 60 40 0.002 20 0.000 100 150 175 Disaster Non-Disaster NumberOfCharacters target The non disaster tweets in general can have lower character counts. We do see some stop words that need to be removed, which should be a part of our pre-processing step. Before we build our model, we need to do some additional data cleaning and text feature engineering. We'll use the SpaCy library for this. Model Lemmatize, clean and create Bag of Words Some stop words such as 'the', 'if' etc do not provide any classification value, these can be removed along with numbers, URLS and punctuation texts. #Load a medium trained model nlp = spacy.load("en core web md") def cleaner(note): """Remove stop words, punctuations, convert words into lemma """ doc = nlp(note)note = (" ".join([token.lemma_ for token in doc \ if not token.is_stop \ and not token.is punct \ and not token.like_num\ and not token.like_url\ and not token.like_email])) note = note.replace('@', '') note = note.lower() return note # Clean both the training and the testing dataset, should take about a min train['text'] = train['text'].astype(str).apply(cleaner) test['text'] = test['text'].astype(str).apply(cleaner) In [413... # Tokenize texts using keras's tokenizer tokenizer = keras.preprocessing.text.Tokenizer() tokenizer.fit_on_texts(train['text']) In [344... # Encode the text encoded train = tokenizer.texts to sequences(train['text']) padded train = keras.preprocessing.sequence.pad sequences(encoded train, maxlen=54, padding='post') encoded test = tokenizer.texts to sequences(test['text']) padded test = keras.preprocessing.sequence.pad sequences(encoded test, maxlen=54, padding='post') In [345.. X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(padded_train, train['target'], test size=0.25, random_state=42, stratify=train['target']) In [346... # Create a validation set X train, X valid, y train, y valid = train test split(X train, y train, test size=0.25, random state=42, stratify=y train) Fit a RNN Model In [414... gnn model = keras.models.Sequential([keras.layers.Embedding(input_dim=len(tokenizer.word_index)+1, output dim=54, input_length=X_train.shape[1]), keras.layers.Bidirectional(keras.layers.SimpleRNN(64)), keras.layers.Dense(64, activation='relu'), keras.layers.Dense(units=1, activation='sigmoid'),]) In [415... gnn model.summary() Model: "sequential 28" Layer (type) Output Shape Param # _____ embedding 9 (Embedding) (None, 54, 10) 149920 bidirectional_20 (Bidirecti (None, 128) 9600 onal) dense 42 (Dense) (None, 64) 8256 dense 43 (Dense) (None, 1)65 Total params: 167,841 Trainable params: 167,841 Non-trainable params: 0 In [416... gnn_model.compile(loss="binary_crossentropy", # Loss function optimizer="adam", # Gradient Descent metrics=["accuracy", "AUC"]) In [417... gnn model history = gnn model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=10, validation data = (X valid, y valid)) Epoch 1/10 833 - auc: 0.5864 - val loss: 0.6451 - val accuracy: 0.6071 - val auc: 0.6857 Epoch 2/10 655 - auc: 0.8093 - val_loss: 0.5610 - val_accuracy: 0.7283 - val_auc: 0.7877 624 - auc: 0.9138 - val_loss: 0.6111 - val_accuracy: 0.7346 - val_auc: 0.7814 Epoch 4/10 267 - auc: 0.9584 - val loss: 0.7771 - val accuracy: 0.7094 - val auc: 0.7141 Epoch 5/10530 - auc: 0.9756 - val_loss: 0.7215 - val_accuracy: 0.7353 - val_auc: 0.7817 Epoch 6/10 657 - auc: 0.9882 - val loss: 0.9142 - val accuracy: 0.7080 - val auc: 0.7615 Epoch 7/10 682 - auc: 0.9918 - val_loss: 0.9098 - val_accuracy: 0.7416 - val_auc: 0.7651 Epoch 8/10 715 - auc: 0.9940 - val loss: 0.9962 - val accuracy: 0.7367 - val auc: 0.7584 Epoch 9/10 ==] - 2s 15ms/step - loss: 0.0538 - accuracy: 0.9 813 - auc: 0.9977 - val loss: 1.1130 - val_accuracy: 0.7269 - val_auc: 0.7601 Epoch 10/10 853 - auc: 0.9981 - val loss: 1.2516 - val accuracy: 0.7080 - val auc: 0.7548 pd.DataFrame(gnn model history.history).plot(figsize=(8, 5)) plt.grid(True) $\#plt.gca().set\ ylim(0,\ 1)\ \#\ set\ the\ vertical\ range\ to\ [0-1]$ plt.xlabel('Epochs') plt.ylabel('Accuracy') plt.show() 1.2 1.0 0.8 0.4 055 accuracy 0.2 val_loss val_accuracy val auc Epochs gnn model.evaluate(X_test, y_test) 60/60 [====== - auc: 0.7633 Out[355... [1.0924943685531616, 0.7121848464012146, 0.7632977366447449] As our training score improves, our validation score remains constant and the loss overshoots. This is an indicator that our model is overfitting the training data. This is also evident by the low accuracy and AUC score for the testing set we created. LTSM model In [378... ltsm model = keras.models.Sequential([keras.layers.Embedding(len(tokenizer.word index)+1, 50, mask_zero=True), keras.layers.Bidirectional(tf.keras.layers.LSTM(64, return_se keras.layers.Bidirectional(tf.keras.layers.LSTM(32)), keras.layers.Dense(64, activation='sigmoid'), tf.keras.layers.Dropout(0.5), keras.layers.Dense(units=1, activation='sigmoid'),]) ltsm model.compile(loss="binary crossentropy", # Loss function optimizer=keras.optimizers.Adam(1e-2), # Gradient Descent metrics=["accuracy", "AUC"]) ltsm_model.summary() Model: "sequential 27" Layer (type) Output Shape Param # 749600 embedding_8 (Embedding) (None, None, 50) bidirectional_18 (Bidirecti (None, None, 128) 58880 bidirectional 19 (Bidirecti (None, 64) 41216 onal) dense 40 (Dense) (None, 64) 4160 dropout 5 (Dropout) (None, 64) dense 41 (Dense) (None, 1)65 ______ Total params: 853,921 Trainable params: 853,921 Non-trainable params: 0 ltsm_model_history = ltsm_model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=10, validation_data =(X_valid, y_valid)) Epoch 1/10 0.7195 - auc: 0.7555 - val loss: 0.4854 - val_accuracy: 0.7836 - val_auc: 0.8300 9096 - auc: 0.9523 - val_loss: 0.5560 - val_accuracy: 0.7591 - val_auc: 0.8076 Epoch 3/10 0.9580 - auc: 0.9855 - val_loss: 0.8470 - val_accuracy: 0.7444 - val_auc: 0.7888 Epoch 4/10 9752 - auc: 0.9937 - val_loss: 0.8791 - val_accuracy: 0.7108 - val_auc: 0.7930 Epoch 5/10 829 - auc: 0.9974 - val_loss: 1.0980 - val_accuracy: 0.7150 - val_auc: 0.7823 Epoch 6/10 806 - auc: 0.9981 - val loss: 1.1544 - val accuracy: 0.7262 - val auc: 0.7872 Epoch 7/10 834 - auc: 0.9992 - val_loss: 1.2276 - val_accuracy: 0.7311 - val_auc: 0.7866 869 - auc: 0.9995 - val_loss: 1.2706 - val_accuracy: 0.7318 - val_auc: 0.7809 Epoch 9/10 860 - auc: 0.9994 - val loss: 1.3072 - val accuracy: 0.7465 - val auc: 0.7840 Epoch 10/10 9883 - auc: 0.9997 - val loss: 1.4524 - val accuracy: 0.7192 - val auc: 0.7767 pd.DataFrame(ltsm_model_history.history).plot(figsize=(8, 5)) plt.grid(True) plt.xlabel('Epochs') plt.ylabel('Accuracy') plt.show() oss 1.4 accuracy auc 12 val loss val accuracy 1.0 val auc Accuracy 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 Epochs gnn_model.evaluate(X_test, y_test) =========] - 0s 4ms/step - loss: 1.0925 - accuracy: 0.7122 60/60 [====== - auc: 0.7633 Out[383... [1.0924943685531616, 0.7121848464012146, 0.7632977366447449] predictions = ltsm model.predict(X test) 60/60 [========] - 4s 14ms/step In [411... print(classification_report(y_test, pd.Series(predictions[:,0]).apply(lambda x: 1 if x precision recall f1-score support 0.79 0.73 0.76 1086 0.67 0.74 0.71 818 0.73 1904 accuracy 0.73 0.74 0.73 1904 macro avg weighted avg 0.74 0.73 0.74 1904 In [401... cf m = ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion_matrix(y_test, pd.Series(predictions[:,0]).apply(lambo labels=[0, 1]),display labels=['Non-Disaster', 'Disast cf m.plot() Out[401... <sklearn.metrics._plot.confusion_matrix.ConfusionMatrixDisplay at 0x177afe45e80> 700 Non-Disaster 789 297 600 Frue label 500 400 Disaster · 209 609 300 Non-Disaster Disaster Predicted label **Test Submission Predictions** In [402... main test prediction = ltsm model.predict(padded test) In [408... ltsm_predictions = test.copy() ltsm predictions['target'] = pd.Series(main test prediction[:,0]).apply(lambda x: 1 in In [410... ltsm_predictions[['id', 'target']].to_csv(r'predictions\unsupervised_predictions.csv' Submission Details unsupervised_predictions.csv Score: 0.73827 Complete · now UPLOADED FILES unsupervised_predictions.csv (25 KiB) ₹ The unsupervised test public score was 0.738 Fit a KNN model We will now predict the categories using a trained KNN model To generate our text document, we first get word counts and then calculate their tf-dif (term frequencyinverse document frequency) that will be passed onto our model. This is an important step because tf-dif helps capture relevant words that do not appear frequently throughout the document. # Bag contains a sparse matix of all document, word combinations as a 1-gram model (b. counter = CountVectorizer() bag = counter.fit transform(train['text'].values) # Apply tf-idf technique to weight down frequent words appearing in all docs tfidf vec = TfidfTransformer(use idf=True, norm='12', # Apply 12 normalization smooth idf=True) # Assigns zero weights to terms occuring tfidf_run = tfidf_vec.fit_transform(bag) knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n neighbors=5) knn.fit(X_train, y_train) ▼ KNeighborsClassifier KNeighborsClassifier() category predictions = knn.predict(X test) accuracy_score(y_test, category_predictions) Out[113... 0.7710084033613446 print(classification_report(y_test, category_predictions)) precision recall f1-score support 0 0.75 0.91 0.82 1086 0.83 0.59 0.69 818 accuracy 0.77 1904 0.79 0.75 0.75 1904 macro avg weighted avg 0.78 0.77 0.76 1904 cf m sup = ConfusionMatrixDisplay(confusion matrix(y test, category_predictions, labels=[0, 1]),display_labels=['Non-Disaster', 'D: cf m sup.plot() Out[116... <sklearn.metrics. plot.confusion matrix.ConfusionMatrixDisplay at 0x176a6993490> 900 800 985 101 Non-Disaster Frue label 600 500 400 Disaster 300 200 Non-Disaster Disaster Predicted label **KNN - Test Submission Predictions** test category predictions = knn.predict(tfidf test run.toarray()) test results supervised = test.copy().assign(target=test category predictions) test results supervised.sample(5) location id keyword text target 257 831 bioterror NaN fedex long transport bioterror germ wake anthr... 1 kid disappear Dust storm Atmospheric Aussie Th... 1317 4340 dust storm The Universe 1 water conservation urge North Thompson B.C. go... 1256 4130 drought NaN 1 10480 3159 wild fires NaN wild fire California drought state sad 1 crew respond small brush fire burn Tahoe Fores... 1694 0 5728 forest fire NaN test results supervised[['id', 'target']].to csv(r'predictions\supervised predictions Score: 0.75635 supervised_predictions.csv Complete · now UPLOADED FILES supervised_predictions.csv (25 KiB) The unsupervised test public score was 0.756 Result, Analysis and Conclusion Results: The LTSM model had a score of 0.73. The plot for training vs validation accuracy and loss plots suggest that the model was over fitting the training data. The model was modified by adding a Dropout layer, this helped the validation loss ever so slightly. The learning rate was modified from 0.0001 to 0.01, the accuracy remained unchanged after 10 epochs but the over fitting behaviour did not however improve. The bidirectional RNN model achieved a score of 0.71, suggesting that the LTSM model did improve on this. The RNN model did not do better than the KNN one. For future exploration we could segment the hastagged keyword differently than other keywords, this might give us additional data to work with. The number of characters could also be an additional feature. In terms of the issue with generalization, additional knowledge of the word vectorization portion of the code can be helpful. This would be a good project to come back to and reanalyze after learning about Transformers. References Competition https://www.coursera.org/learn/introduction-to-deep-learning-boulder/peer/HYQbb/week-4nlp-disaster-tweets-kaggle-mini-project Spacy https://spacy.io/usage/spacy-101 ML Book https://github.com/rasbt/machine-learning-book