## **Paper Summary**

The paper is demonstrates a comprehensive self-experiment setup, its merits and challenges in assessing triggers in patients suffering from irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). The findings from this paper can be generalised to develop systematic experiments for other such chronic disorders that may require qualitative assessment. Table 1 summarises the design choices for conducting this experiments and what the evidence from the results suggest about them.

Table 1 Tummy Trials Experiment design set up and comments on the design choice from the findings of this study.

	Design Choices and reasoning	Evidence from findings and results
Experimental Setup	Goal: Make design wizard somewhat	Any 'in the wild' studies are prone to failures and an
	manageable. Control the independent	iterative design is warranted.
	variables.	
	Supports the top seven Symptoms of IBS.	Some participants wanted to track an unlisted
		symptom, for eg. One of the participants used
		replaced abdominal pain with migraines.
	Four categories of food to be considered as	Some participants reported this helped in simplifying
	trigger. Used a dietician to help plan meals on	the task and help in their adherence while a few other
	control (when you don't intake the trigger)	complained of monotony in their diet which led them
	and consume (when you intake the trigger)	to be non-compliant in some cases.
	days.  Time of assessment - Breakfast	This was a socially non-interfering moal of the day
	Tille of assessment - breakfast	This was a socially non-interfering meal of the day hence, made a good option. Although a few
		participants wanted to test non-breakfast friendly
		food like beer/wine as triggers. Some other
		complained that they did not like their morning
		routine disturbed with breakfast trials.
	Randomly assign consume and control days	In some cases if the consume day is assigned
	over a span of 12 days with a constraint that	consecutively and the food item is indeed a trigger it
	both categories are balanced.	causes a lot of discomfort in the participant.
Execution and Data	TummyTrial app installed in participant's	Participants were happy with the reminders for
collection	phone and study is explained to the user.	logging data and liked the low-burden app prompts
	Attempt to improve user literacy in	over the more traditional food-journaling methods.
	conducting self-experiment.	Ranked 22% higher than the threshold in system
		usability survey(SUS).
Analysis	Used the timeline and trend plot to give a	No consistent consensus on what other visual
	more informed visual indicator and a	indicators would add value to the participant's
	sentence ("Based on the self-trial there is	interpretation.
	(strong/possible/weak/no) that your (symptom) gets	
	worse if you consume (trigger))") summarising their	
Procedure	result.	Como participante usoro not comfortable
Procedure	Screening: Recruitment of participants,	Some participants were not comfortable with the
	install app, IBS symptom severity score(SSS) Complete 12 days of experiment: Prorated	recording and transcribing of interview.
	compensation, IBS SSS, SUS etc.	
	Exit Interview	
	LVIC IIICEI AICAA	

Overall the experiment was successful with 12 out of the 15 participants complying to the protocol 100%. Some key takeaways for such analyses are:

- It is valuable to bring in the corresponding health-care provider in loop to make interpretation from self-experiment data more meaningful.
- Improve participant literacy about system to allow flexibility in reporting and measurement without deviating a lot from compliance.

## Things I liked about the paper

The paper highlights that in qualitative studies, error can arrive from misinterpretation of results and underlying statistics known as Type B error. Unlike sensor/data based engineering errors, such errors are more speculative in nature and are hard to identify.

## Areas that need improvement or clarification

In the procedure of the experiment the purpose of exit interview is not very well explained. Majority of the 'diagnosis' elements of the study are covered in the IBS scores, usability scores and questionnaire. The value in conducting an additional exit interview especially when some participants have expressed unwillingness to be recorded under interview-setting is not clear.