chestmed.theclinics.com

Epidemic and Emerging Coronaviruses (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome)

David S. Hui, MD

KEYWORDS

- SARS-CoV MERS-CoV Respiratory tract infections Clinical features Pathogenesis
- Treatment

KEY POINTS

- Bats are the natural reservoirs of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-like coronaviruses (CoVs) and are likely the reservoir of Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoVs.
- The clinical features of SARS-CoV infection and MERS-CoV infection are similar but patients with MERS-CoV infection progress to respiratory failure much more rapidly than those with SARS.
- Although the estimated pandemic potential of MERS-CoV is lower than that of SARS-CoV, the case fatality rate of MERS is much higher and likely related to older age and presence of comorbid illness among the sporadic cases.
- Although dromedary camels have a high seroprevalence of MERS-CoV antibody and some camels
 have been found to have positive nasal swabs by reverse transcription (RT)-polymerase chain reaction (PCR), the transmission route and the possibility of other intermediary animal sources remain
 uncertain among many sporadic primary cases.
- The more feasible clinical trial options for MERS-CoV infection at present include monotherapy and combination therapy with lopinavir/ritonavir, interferon (IFN)-β1b, passive immunotherapy with convalescent plasma, or human monoclonal or polyclonal antibody.

INTRODUCTION

CoVs (order Nidovirales, family Coronaviridae, subfamily Coronavirinae) are a group of highly diverse, single-stranded, enveloped, positive-sense, RNA viruses that may cause respiratory, hepatic, gastrointestinal, and neurologic diseases of varying severity in a wide range of animal species, including humans. There are 4 genera of CoVs: $\alpha CoV, \, \beta CoV, \, \gamma CoV, \, and \, \delta CoV.^1$ Before the SARS epidemic, the main CoVs causing respiratory tract

infection in humans were human CoV-OC43 and human CoV-229E. A novel group, 2b β CoV, was discovered in March 2003 as the causative agent responsible for SARS-CoV infection. Both SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV are β CoV and belong to lineages B and C, respectively. In this article, the clinical features, laboratory aspects, pathogenesis, and potential treatment modalities of SARS-CoV infection and MERS-CoV infection are reviewed.

No conflict of interest declared.

Department of Medicine & Therapeutics, Stanley Ho Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Prince of Wales Hospital, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong, China *E-mail address:* dschui@cuhk.edu.hk

SEVERE ACUTE RESPIRATORY INFECTION—CORONAVIRUS INFECTION

SARS-CoV first emerged in November 2002 in the Guangdong province in the southern part of China before spreading to Canada, Singapore, and Vietnam by travelers through Hong Kong (HK) in February 2003 and March 2003.5,6 In November 2002, there was an unusual epidemic of atypical pneumonia in Foshan, Guangdong province, in China, with a high rate of nosocomial transmission to health care workers (HCWs).7,8 A retrospective analysis of 55 patients hospitalized with atypical pneumonia in Guangzhou between January 2003 and February 2003 revealed positive SARS-CoV in their nasopharyngeal aspirates whereas 48 (87%) patients had positive serology to SARS-CoV in their convalescent sera. Genetic analysis showed that the SARS-CoV isolates from Guangzhou shared the same origin with those in other countries, with a phylogenetic pathway that matched the spread of SARS-CoV to other parts of the world.9

The Origin of the Virus

In March 2003, a novel CoV was confirmed as the causative agent for SARS and thus was referred to as SARS-CoV. A retrospective serologic survey suggested that cross-species transmission of SARS-CoV or its variants from animal species to humans might have occurred frequently in the wet market where a high seroprevalence of 16.7% was detected among asymptomatic animal handlers. 10 It was initially thought that masked palm civets might have contributed to transmission of SARS-CoV to humans after detection of a close variant of SARS-CoV from palm civets in Dongmen market, Shenzhen, in 2003.11 During the small-scale SARS-CoV infection outbreaks in late 2003 and early 2004 in China, 3 of the 4 patients had direct or indirect contact with palm civets. 12,13 Viral genomic sequence analysis showed, however, that the SARS-CoV-like virus had not been present among masked civets in markets for long. CoVs highly similar to SARS-CoV were isolated in horseshoe bats in 2005. 14,15 These bat SARS-like CoVs shared 88% to 92% sequence homology with human or civet isolates and the data suggest that bats could be a natural reservoir of a close ancestor of SARS-CoV. 16

Pathogenesis

SARS-CoV infects humans through the respiratory tract, mainly via droplet transmission. Although human intestinal cells were proved susceptible to SARS-CoV replication, the role of the intestinal

tract as a portal of entry remains uncertain. ¹⁷ The surface envelope spike protein (S protein) of SARS-CoV plays an important role in establishing infection and determining the cell and tissue tropism. Entry of the virus requires receptor binding, followed by conformational change of the S protein and then cathepsin L-mediated proteolysis within the endosome. ¹⁸ The angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is the host receptor mediating the entry of SARS-CoV¹⁹ and is expressed on a wide variety of body tissues.

Several mechanisms of direct injury in infected lungs with SARS have been revealed. The ACE2 probably contributes to the diffuse alveolar damage (DAD). ACE2 is a negative regulator of the local renin-angiotensin system and data from animal study support that the DAD seen in SARS is mediated by S protein–ACE2-renin-angiotensin pathway. In addition, the SARS-CoV–encoded 3a and 7a proteins were shown a strong inducer of apoptosis in cell lines derived from different organs, including lungs, kidneys, and liver. 21,22

Activation of helper T (TH1) cell-mediated immunity and hyperinnate inflammatory response might be responsible for disease progression in SARS-CoV infection, 23,24 as shown by marked increases in the levels of the TH1 and inflammatory cytokines (IFN-γ, interleukin [IL]-1, IL-6, and IL-12) and marked increases in chemokines, such as T_H1 chemokine IFN-γ-inducible protein 10 (IP-10), neutrophil chemokine IL-8, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) in patients with SARS-CoV infection for more than 14 days after illness onset.²⁵ In mice infected with SARS-CoV, T cells played an important role in SARS-CoV clearance whereas a reduced T-cell response contributed to severe disease.²⁶ In another study of mice infected with SARS-CoV, robust virus replication accompanied by delayed type I IFN (IFN-I) signaling was observed orchestrating inflammatory responses and lung immunopathology with reduced survival.²⁷ Casecontrol studies have suggested that genetic variants of IL-12 receptor B1 predispose to infection,²⁸ whereas SARS-CoV Mannosebinding lectin deficiency is a susceptibility factor for acquisition of SARS-CoV infection.²⁹

Lung histopathology in patients with severe SARS-CoV infection include DAD, denudation of bronchial epithelia, loss of cilia, squamous metaplasia, and giant cell infiltrate, with a marked increase in macrophages in the alveoli and the interstitium. Hemophagocytosis, atrophy of the white pulp of the spleen, hyaline membranes, and secondary bacterial pneumonia were also noted. 4.5.23,30 Although DAD was the main pulmonary feature, 4.5.23 lesions in subpleural locations

resembling bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia were also seen.³¹

Epidemiology

A 64-year-old nephrologist who came from southern China to HK on February 21, 2003, was the index case causing subsequent outbreaks of SARS-CoV infection in HK, Singapore, and Toronto. 5,6,32,33 Sixteen hotel guests/visitors were infected by the physician while staying or visiting friends on the same floor of the Hotel M, where the physician had stayed. Through international air travel, these visitors spread the infection to 29 countries/regions, with a total of 8098 cases and a mortality rate of 774 (9.6%) by the end of the epidemic in July 2003. 34

SARS seems to have spread by close personto-person contact via droplet transmission or contact with fomite.35 The superspreading event was a hallmark of SARS-CoV infection, as reflected by the nosocomial outbreak at a major teaching hospital in HK where 138 subjects (many HCWs and previously healthy) were infected within 2 weeks after exposure to 1 patient (a visitor of Hotel M), who was hospitalized with communityacquired pneumonia to a general medical ward. 5,36 This superspreading event was likely caused by several factors including the use of a jet nebulizer for delivering bronchodilator to the index case, overcrowding, and poor ventilation in the hospital ward.^{5,36} In addition, the temporalspatial spread of SARS-CoV among inpatients in the index medical ward of the hospital in HK was consistent with airborne transmission.³⁷ Apart from respiratory secretions, SARS-CoV was detected in feces, urine, and tears of infected individuals.38

In addition, SARS-CoV might have spread by opportunistic airborne transmission in a major community outbreak involving more than 300 residents in a private residential complex, Amoy Gardens, in HK.39,40 Drying up of water inside the U-shaped bathroom floor drain and backflow of contaminated sewage (from a SARS patient with renal failure and diarrhea) related to negative pressure generated by the toilet exhaust fans might have created infectious aerosols that moved upward through the warm airshaft of the building. Based on analysis of the distribution of all confirmed cases, airborne spread was the most likely explanation in the Amoy Gardens outbreak and the SARS-CoV could have spread more than 200 m to nearby residential complexes. 41 Air samples obtained from a hospital room in Toronto occupied by a SARS patient and swab samples taken from frequently touched surfaces in rooms and in a nurses' station in Toronto were positive by PCR testing. 42 These data suggest the possibility of airborne transmission and stress the importance of taking appropriate respiratory protection apart from strict surface hygiene practices.

Clinical Features

The estimated mean incubation period of SARS was 4.6 days (95% CI, 3.8–5.8 days) whereas the mean time from symptom onset to hospitalization varied between 2 days and 8 days, decreasing over the course of the epidemic. The mean time from onset to death was 23.7 days (95% CI, 22.0–25.3 days). 43

The major clinical features of SARS-CoV infection on presentation include persistent fever, chills/rigor, myalgia, dry cough, headache, malaise and dyspnea. Sore throat, rhinorrhea, sputum production, nausea and vomiting, and dizziness were less common.^{5,6,32,33} Watery diarrhea became prominent in 40% to 70% of patients with SARS one week from illness onset during the clinical course of the illness.44,45 In 2 patients who presented with status epilepticus, SARS-CoV was detected in the cerebrospinal fluid and serum samples. 46,47 Elderly subjects might not develop fever but present with decrease in general condition, delirium, poor feeding, and fall/fracture.48 Teenagers tended to follow a clinical course similar to those of adults whereas young children (<12 years of age) often ran a benign clinical course. 49 There was no reported fatality in young children and teenage patients, 49 but SARS in pregnancy carried a significant risk of mortality.50 Asymptomatic infection seems uncommon because a metaanalysis has shown overall seroprevalence rates of 0.1% for the general population and 0.23% for HCWs, although the true incidence of asymptomatic infection remains unknown.51

There was a typical pattern for the clinical course of SARS^{5,24,52,53}: phase 1 (viral replication) was associated with increasing SARS-CoV load and characterized by fever, myalgia, and other systemic symptoms that generally improved after a few days; phase 2 (immunopathologic injury) was characterized by recurrence of fever, hypoxemia, and radiologic progression of pneumonia with falls in viral load whereas approximately 20% of patients progressed into acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), necessitating invasive mechanical ventilatory support.5,53 Because there was peaking of viral load on day 10 of illness followed by progressive decrease in rates of viral shedding from nasopharynx, stool, and urine from day 10 to day 21 after symptom onset, clinical worsening during phase 2 was likely the result

of immune-mediated lung injury due to an overexuberant host response.²⁴

Laboratory Features

Lymphopenia, disseminated intravascular coagulation, elevated lactate dehydrogenase, and creatinine kinase were common laboratory features of SARS.⁵ The CD4 and CD8 T-lymphocyte counts fell early in the course of SARS, whereas low counts of CD4 and CD8 at presentation were associated with adverse clinical outcome.⁵⁴

Radiologic Features

Although nonspecific, common radiographic features of SARS included the predominant involvement of lung periphery and the lower zone in addition to absence of cavitation, hilar lymphadenopathy, or pleural effusion.5,55 Radiographic progression from unilateral focal air-space opacity to either multifocal or bilateral involvement occurred during the second phase of the disease, followed by radiographic improvement with treatment. 5,55 In 1 case series, spontaneous pneumomediastinum occurred in 12% of patients whereas 20% of patients developed ARDS over a period of 3 weeks.²⁴ Common high-resolution CT features included ground-glass opacification, sometimes with consolidation, and interlobular septal and intralobular interstitial thickening, with predominantly a peripheral and lower lobe involvement. 5,55

Treatment

Ribavirin, a nucleoside analog, was widely used for treating SARS patients in 2003 but ribavirin alone had no significant in vitro activity against SARS-CoV,56 and it caused significant hemolysis in many patients.5,33 Lopinavir and ritonavir in combination is a boosted protease inhibitor regimen widely used for treatment HIV infection. In vitro activity against SARS-CoV was demonstrated for lopinavir and ribavirin at 4 µg/mL and 50 μg/mL, respectively, whereas inhibition of in vitro cytopathic effects was achieved down to a concentration of 1 µg/mL of lopinavir combined with 6.25 μg/mL of ribavirin.⁵⁷ A retrospective analysis showed that the addition of lopinavir (400 mg)/ritonavir (100 mg) as initial therapy was associated with lower overall death rate (2.3% vs 15.6%) and intubation rate (0% vs 11%) than a matched historical cohort who received ribavirin alone as the initial antiviral therapy.⁵⁸ The outcome of a subgroup who had received lopinavir/ritonavir as late rescue therapy after receiving pulsed methylprednisolone for worsening respiratory symptoms, however, was not better than the matched cohort.57,58

IFN-Is are produced early as part of the innate immune response to virus infections. There are in vitro and limited animal and observational data that IFN, in particular early use, has efficacy against SARS-CoV. 56,59,60 In experimentally infected cynomolgus macaques, prophylactic treatment with pegylated IFN-α significantly reduced viral replication and excretion, viral antigen (Ag) expression by type I pneumocytes, and lung damage versus untreated macagues, whereas postexposure treatment with pegylated IFN-α yielded intermediate results.⁶¹ Use of IFN-α1 plus systemic corticosteroids was associated with improved oxygen saturation, more rapid resolution of radiographic lung opacities, and lower levels of creatinine kinase than systemic corticosteroids alone in another study of SARS patients.62

During the second week of SARS illness, there was evidence of bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia radiologically⁵ and histopathologically in some cases³¹ whereas the progression of the pulmonary disease was mediated by the host inflammatory response.²⁴ Systemic corticosteroids in the form of pulsed methylprednisolone significantly reduced IL-8, MCP-1, and IP-10 concentrations from 5 to 8 days after treatment in 20 adult SARS patients in an uncontrolled study.²⁵ Induction of IP-10 is thought to be a critical event in the initiation of immune-mediated lung injury and lymphocyte apoptosis. 63 The use of rescue pulsed methylprednisolone during clinical progression was associated with favorable clinical improvement in some patients with resolution of fever and radiographic lung opacities within 2 weeks.^{5,64} A retrospective analysis showed, however, that theuse of pulsed methylprednisolone was associated with an increased risk of 30-day mortality (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 26.0; 95% CI, 4.4-154.8).65 In addition, disseminated fungal disease and avascular osteonecrosis occurred after prolonged systemic corticosteroids therapy. 66,67 A randomized placebo-controlled study showed that plasma SARS-CoV RNA concentrations in the second and third weeks of illness were higher in patients given initial hydrocortisone (n = 10) intravenously than those given normal saline as control (n = 7) during early clinical course of illness. Despite the small sample size, the data suggest that systemic corticosteroid given in the earlier phase might prolong viremia.⁶⁸

Convalescent plasma, donated by patients, including HCWs, who had recovered from SARS, contained high levels of neutralizing antibody and seemed clinically useful for treating other SARS patients. In a study comparing patients with SARS-CoV infection who did and did not receive convalescent plasma, 19 patients who received such therapy had better survival rate (100% vs

66.2%) and discharge rate (77.8% vs 23.0%) compared with 21 controls. ⁶⁹ Among 80 non-randomized patients with SARS who were given convalescent plasma at the Prince of Wales Hospital (Shatin, Hong Kong) the discharge rate at day 22 was 58.3% for patients (n = 48) treated within 14 days of illness onset versus 15.6% for those (n = 32) treated beyond 14 days. ⁷⁰ An exploratory post hoc meta-analysis of studies of SARS-CoV infection and severe influenza showed a significant reduction in the pooled odds of mortality after convalescent plasma versus placebo or no treatment (OR 0.25; 95% CI, 0.14–0.45). ⁷¹

Hospital Infection Control Aspects

A case-control study involving 124 medical wards in 26 hospitals in HK and Guangzhou has identified 6 independent factors of superspreading nosocomial outbreaks of SARS-CoV infection: performance of resuscitation, minimum distance between beds less than 1 m, staff working while experience symptoms, and SARS patients requiring oxygen therapy or noninvasive ventilation whereas availability of washing or changing facilities for staff was a protective factor (Box 1).⁷² A systematic review has shown that 4 aerosol-generating procedures would increase the risk of nosocomial SARS transmission to HCWs, including tracheal intubation, noninvasive

Box 1

Independent factors associated with increased risk of superspreading^a events of severe acute respiratory syndrome–coronavirus infection in the health care setting

Performance of resuscitation (OR 3.81; 95% CI, 1.04-13.87; P = .04)

Staff working while experiencing symptoms (OR 10.55; 95% CI, 2.28–48.87; P = .003)

SARS patients requiring oxygen therapy at least 6 L/min (OR 4.30; 95% CI, 1.00-18.43; P = .05)

SARS patients requiring noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (OR 11.82; 95% CI, 1.97–70.80; P = .007)

Minimum distance between beds <1 m (OR 6.94; 95% CI, 1.68-28.75; P = .008)

Washing or changing facilities for staff (OR 0.12; 95% CI, 0.02-0.97; P = .05)

^a A superspreading event was defined as 1 patient who could infect at least 3 others.

Data from Yu IT, Xie ZH, Tsoi KK, et al. Why did outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome occur in some hospital wards but not in others? Clin Infect Dis 2007;44:1017–25.

ventilation, tracheotomy, and manual ventilation before intubation (Box 2).⁷³ Thus it is important for HCWs to take airborne precaution before carrying out aerosol-generating procedures.

MIDDLE EAST RESPIRATORY SYNDROME-CORONAVIRUS INFECTION

MERS-CoV was first reported in September 2012 when a novel βCoV was isolated from a male patient who had died of severe pneumonia and multiorgan failure in Saudi Arabia in June 2012. MERS-CoV infection has spread to 27 countries since its discovery in 2012. Globally, from September 2012 to June 29, 2016, the World Health Organization has been informed of 1769 laboratory-confirmed cases of infection with MERS-CoV, with at least 630 deaths. The case definitions of suspected and confirmed cases of MERS-CoV infection are shown in Box 3.76

The Virus and Its Origin

Although the natural reservoir of MERS-CoV is still unclear, bats may be one possible reservoir for the virus. In a study screening fecal specimens of bats from Ghana and 4 European countries for β CoVs, viruses related to the novel human β CoV (EMC/2012, which was later renamed MERS-CoV) were detected in 46 (24.9%) of 185 *Nycteris* bats and 40 (14.7%) of 272 *Pipistrellus* bats.⁷⁷ Of 1100 bat samples tested in another study, 1 fragment of MERS-CoV was found in 1 *Taphozous* bat with close matching to a human isolate of MERS-CoV.⁷⁸ Their genetic relatedness indicates that MERS-CoV has originated from bats.

Dromedary camels are an important natural host for the maintenance and diversification of

3ox 2

Respiratory procedures reported to present an increased risk of transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome—coronavirus to health care workers (n; pooled OR [95% CI])

Tracheal intubation (n = 4 cohort; 6.6 [2.3, 18.9], and n = 4 case-control; 6.6 [4.1, 10.6])

Noninvasive ventilation (n = 2 cohort; 3.1 [1.4, 6.8]),

Tracheotomy (n = 1 case-control; 4.2 [1.5, 11.5])

Manual ventilation before intubation (n = 1 cohort; 2.8 [1.3, 6.4]).

Data from Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, et al. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. PLoS One 2012;7:e35797.

Box 3

World Health Organization case definitions of the Middle East respiratory syndrome

Confirmed case

A person with laboratory confirmation of MERS-CoV infection, irrespective of clinical signs and symptoms.

Probable case

 A febrile acute respiratory illness with clinical, radiologic, or histopathologic evidence of pulmonary parenchymal disease (eg, pneumonia or ARDS)

AND

Direct epidemiologic link² with a confirmed MERS-CoV case *AND*

Testing for MERS-CoV is unavailable, negative on a single inadequate specimen³ or inconclusive⁴

 A febrile acute respiratory illness with clinical, radiologic, or histopathologic evidence of pulmonary parenchymal disease (eg, pneumonia or ARDS)

The person resides or traveled in the Middle East or in countries where MERS-CoV is known to be circulating in dromedary camels or where human infections have recently occurred *AND*

Testing for MERS-CoV is inconclusive⁴

• An acute febrile respiratory illness of any severity

Direct epidemiologic link² with a confirmed MERS-CoV case AND

Testing for MERS-CoV is inconclusive⁴

Notes

1 A case may be laboratory confirmed by detection of viral nucleic acid or serology. The presence of viral nucleic acid can be confirmed by either a positive RT-PCR result on at least 2 specific genomic targets or a single positive target with sequencing of a second target. A case confirmed by serology requires demonstration of seroconversion in 2 samples ideally taken at least 14 days apart, by a screening (ELISA or immunofluorescence assay) and a neutralization assay.

The interim recommendations for laboratory testing for MERS-CoV should be consulted, however, for the most recent standard for laboratory confirmation (http://www.who.int/csr/disease/coronavirus_infections/en/).

- 2 A direct epidemiologic link with a confirmed MERS-CoV patient may include
 - Health care—associated exposure, including providing direct care for MERS-CoV patients, working
 with HCWs infected with MERS-CoV, visiting patients, or staying in the same close environment
 of individuals infected with MERS-CoV
 - Working together in close proximity or sharing the same classroom environment with individuals infected with MERS-CoV
 - Traveling together with individuals infected with MERS-CoV in any kind of conveyance
 - Living in the same household as individuals infected with MERS-CoV
 - The epidemiologic link may have occurred within a 14-day period before or after the onset of illness in the case under consideration
- 3 An inadequate specimen would include a nasopharyngeal swab without an accompanying lower respiratory specimen or a specimen that has had improper handling, is judged to be of poor quality by the testing laboratory, or was taken too late in the course of illness.
- 4 Inconclusive tests may include
 - A positive screening test on a single rRT-PCR target without further confirmation
 - Evidence of seroreactivity by a single convalescent serum sample ideally taken at least 14 days after exposure by a screening assay (ELISA or immunofluorescence assay) and a neutralization assay, in the absence of molecular confirmation from respiratory specimens.

From WHO. Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus case definition for reporting to WHO interim case definition 14 July 2015. Available at: http://www.who.int/csr/disease/coronavirus_infections/case_definition/en/. Accessed July 2, 2016.

MERS-CoV and seem to be the major source of zoonotic human infection. The virus has been isolated from dromedary camels in the Arabian Peninsula and across North Africa, East Africa, West Africa, and Central Africa but is not found in dromedary camels in Kazakhstan⁷⁹ or in Bactrian camels in Mongolia⁸⁰ or other countries. ^{81,82} Only a minority of reported MERS human cases, however, have reported direct camel exposure. ⁸³

Epidemiology

Although MERS-CoV was first described in September 2012, ⁷⁴ retrospective analysis of a cluster of hospital cases dated back to April 2012 in Jordan confirmed MERS-CoV by RT-PCR and serology as the etiology of the outbreak, which involved at least 10 HCWs. ⁸⁴ The epidemiology of MERS-CoV is characterized by sporadic zoonotic transmission events, sometimes followed by nosocomial outbreaks within health care settings due to failure in infection control and prevention measures. Saudi Arabia has the largest MERS-CoV caseload, followed by South Korea as the country with the highest caseload outside the Arabic Peninsula. ⁷⁵

The risk factors for primary MERS-CoV infection were addressed in a case-control study, consisting of 30 primary MERS-CoV cases reported from March 2014 to November 2014 in Saudi Arabia, with 2 to 4 controls matched by age, gender, and neighborhood for each case patient.85 Using multivariable analysis, the investigators demonstrated that direct dromedary exposure in the 2 weeks before illness onset was strongly associated with MERS-CoV illness (adjusted OR 7.45; 95% CI, 1.57–35.28), along with having diabetes mellitus (adjusted OR 6.99; 95% CI, 1.89-25.86) or heart disease (adjusted OR 6.87; 95% CI, 1.81-25.99) and current tobacco smoking (adjusted OR 6.84; 95% CI, 1.68-27.94).85 The risk for secondary transmission from patients to household contacts was estimated at approximately 4%.86 Risk factors for household transmission included sleeping in an index patient's room and touching respiratory secretions from an index patient whereas casual contact and simple proximity were not associated with transmission.87

In a cross-sectional serosurveillance study of 10,009 healthy individuals in Saudi Arabia, 0.15% had evidence of positive MERS-CoV serology, suggesting that the number of mild or asymptomatic infections far exceeds those that are recognized. Seropositivity was more common in men than in women, in central than in coastal provinces, and in camel shepherds (2.3%) and slaughterhouse workers (3.6%) than the general population.

Nosocomial transmission is a hallmark of MERS-CoV infection. Superspreading events of MERS-CoV infection have been reported in Jordan,84 Al Hasa,89 Jeddah,90 and Abu Dhabi,91 whereas the major outbreak in South Korea in 2015 is characterized by several superspreading events in the hospital settings. 92 Failure in infection control and prevention in health care facilities (HCFs) has resulted in large numbers of secondary cases of MERS-CoV infection involving HCWs, existing patients, and visitors in Saudi Arabia^{89,90} and several other countries over the past few years.84,91,92 Common predisposing include exposure to contaminated and overcrowded HCFs, poor compliance with appropriate personal protection equipment (PPE) when assessing patients with febrile respiratory illness, application of potentially aerosol-generating procedures (resuscitation, continuous positive airway pressure, and nebulized medications), and lack of proper isolation room facilities.^{84,89–93} The customs of patients seeking care at different HCFs (doctor shopping) and having friends and family members stay with patients as caregivers at already overcrowded HCFs are unique factors in South Korea.94

In contrast to SARS, approximately 75% of patients with MERS had at least 1 comorbid illness whereas fatal cases were more likely to have an underlying condition (86% among fatal cases vs 42% among recovered or asymptomatic cases; P<.001). Index/sporadic cases were older (median age 59 years vs 43 years; P<.001) and more likely to suffer from severe disease requiring hospitalization (94% vs 59%; P<.001) in comparison to the secondary cases. Cases specifically reported as "mild disease" or "asymptomatic" occurred only among secondary cases.89,90 Most (90%) index/ sporadic cases had severe disease whereas a higher proportion of patients with renal failure was noted among secondary cases in Saudi Arabia, due to the nosocomial outbreak involving the hemodialysis units in hospitals in Al Hasa.89 Good infection control measures in reported clusters involving HCS probably limited onward transmission to HCW and hospitalized patients.89

Clinical Features

The clinical presentation of MERS-CoV infection ranges from asymptomatic to very severe pneumonia with ARDS, septic shock, and multiorgan failure resulting in death. In contrast to SARS, the clinical course of MERS is more severe in immunocompromised patients and generally mild in individuals without comorbid illness. Few cases have been reported in children less than 5 years

of age. Typically, MERS-CoV infection begins with fever, cough, chills, sore throat, myalgia, and arthralgia, followed by dyspnea and rapid progression to pneumonia within the first week (in contrast to SARS), often requiring ventilatory and other organ support. 84,89,96,97 Most patients present with respiratory illness although immunocompromised patients may present with fever, chills, and diarrhea before developing pneumonia due to MERS-CoV. 98 At least one-third of patients also had gastrointestinal symptoms, such as vomiting and diarrhea. 84,89,96–99

Neurologic complications, such as intracerebral hemorrhage (due to thrombocytopenia, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and platelet dysfunction) and critical illness polyneuropathy, complicating a long ICU stay, have been reported. Pregnant women infected with MERS may develop severe disease and a fatal outcome, including stillbirth. Concomitant infections and low albumin were found predictors of severe infection, whereas age greater than or equal to 65 years was the only predictor of increased mortality. Sa

Based on data related to human-to-human transmission in several clusters, the incubation period has been estimated to be more than 5 days but could be as long as 2 weeks (median 5.2 days [95% CI, 1.9–14.7]). The median times from symptom onset of MERS to hospitalization, admission to an ICU, or death were 4.0 (range 0–16, n=62), 5.0 (1–15, n=35), and 11.5 days (4–298, n=40), respectively.

Laboratory Features

Similar to SARS and other severe viral illness, common laboratory findings of MERS include leukopenia, in particular lymphopenia. RNA in blood, urine, and stool but at much lower viral loads than in the respiratory tract. RNA in blood in upper respiratory tract specimens is generally lower than in the lower respiratory specimens.

Radiologic Features

Radiographic findings of MERS are consistent with viral pneumonitis and ARDS, with bilateral hilar infiltration, unilateral or bilateral patchy densities or infiltrates, segmented or lobar opacities, ground-glass opacities, and small pleural effusions in some cases. Lower lobes are affected more than upper lobes early in the course of illness with more rapid radiographic progression than SARS. The most common CT finding in hospitalized patients with MERS-CoV infection is that of bilateral predominantly subpleural and basilar

airspace changes, with more extensive groundglass opacities than consolidation. The subpleural and peribronchovascular predilection of the abnormalities is suggestive of an organizing pneumonia pattern. ¹⁰⁷

Pathogenesis

The dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4), also known as CD26, has been identified as the functional cellular receptor for MERS-CoV. 108,109 DPP4 homologues permitting MERS-CoV infection are present in a variety of cell lines. 110,111 Cell-based studies have revealed that MERS-CoV evades innate immune response and this may explain the large number of severe cases. 112–114 Widespread MERS-CoV Ag expression has been observed in type I and type II alveolar cells, ciliated bronchial epithelium, and unciliated cuboid cells of terminal bronchioles. Virus Ag was also found in endothelial cells of pulmonary vessels and rarely in alveolar macrophages 115

In contrast to a patient who survived nosocomial MERS-CoV infection in France, the index patient with a fatal outcome did not promote type I IFN, especially IFN- α , in response to MERS-CoV. Levels of both mediators IL-12 and IFN- γ that promote viral clearance were much lower in the fatal case than the patient who survived. Lower respiratory tract (LRT) excretion of MERS-CoV could be observed for more than 1 month. The most severely ill patient presented an expression of the virus in blood and urine, consistent with impairment of a type I IFN-mediated immunologic response in the index patient, but such response was developed by the patient who survived. 117

In a study of 37 adult patients infected with MERS-CoV in Saudi Arabia, viral loads were much higher in the LRT specimens than in the upper respiratory tract; 33% of all 108 serum samples tested yielded viral RNA whereas only 14.6% of stool and 2.4% of urine samples yielded viral RNA. All seroconversions occurred during the first 2 weeks after diagnosis, corresponding to the second and third weeks after symptom onset. All surviving patients, but only slightly more than half of all fatal cases, produced IgG and neutralizing antibodies. The levels of IgG and neutralizing antibodies were weakly and inversely correlated with LRT viral loads. Presence of antibodies did not lead to the elimination of virus from LRT. 106 In most patients in South Korea, robust antibody responses developed by the third week of illness whereas delayed antibody responses with the neutralization test were associated with more severe disease. 118 MERS-CoV antibodies, including neutralizing antibodies, were detectable in 6 (86%) of 7 persons

serologically positive or indeterminate for at least 34 months after the nosocomial outbreak in Jordan in 2012. 119

Autopsy of a 45 year-old man who died of MERS-CoV infection in Abu Dhabi in 2014 revealed DAD. Pneumocytes and epithelial syncytial cells were important targets of MERS-CoV Ag, because DPP4 receptors were found in scattered pneumocytes and syncytial cells but no evidence of extrapulmonary MERS-CoV Ags were detected, including the kidneys. 120 In a renal biopsy, which was performed 8 weeks after the onset of symptoms in a patient infected with MERS-CoV infection in South Korea, acute tubular necrosis was the main finding, whereas proteinaceous cast formation and acute tubule-interstitial nephritis were found. There were no electron dense deposits observed with electron microscopy. The investigators could not verify the virus itself by in situ hybridization and confocal microscopy (MERS-CoV costained with DPP4).121

Treatment

MERS-CoV causes cytokine and chemokine dysregulation by inducing significantly higher expression levels of cytokines (IL-12 and IFN-γ) and chemokines (IP-10/C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10), MCP-1/C-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CCL-2), major intrinsic protein (MIP)-1α/ CCL-3, RANTES/CCL-5, and IL-8) than SARS-CoV in human monocyte-derived phages. 122 The host innate IFN response is crucial for the control of viral replication after infection because the absence of IFN-α impairs the development of a robust antiviral adaptive TH1 immune response, mediated by IL-12 and IFN-γ that promote viral clearance and bring substantial arguments for the indication of early IFN- α treatment during MERS-CoV infection. 116

Many compounds have been found to have in vitro inhibitory activity against MERS-CoV infection. Notable are the type I IFNs, with IFN-β showing activity^{112,114,123,124} strongest inhibitory whereas IFN-α2b and ribavirin in combination was superior to individual components in reducing MERS-CoV viral load on Vero cells. 113 In rhesus macaques infected with MERS-CoV, combination therapy with high doses of IFN-α2b with ribavirin modestly reduced viral titers and measures of lung injury¹²⁵ but observational studies of clinical use have reported inconsistent findings. A small case series showed 100% mortality in 5 patients who received IFN-α2b plus ribavirin administered at a median of 19 days (range 10-20 days from admission). 126 A study of 32 patients compared outcomes in 13 patients treated with IFN-α2a (180 μg subcutaneously once weekly) combined with ribavirin (loading dose of 2 g orally followed by 600 mg orally every 12 h) versus 11 patients treated with IFN-β1a (44 μg subcutaneously 3 times weekly) combined with ribavirin and found high mortality in both groups and no significant difference between them, 85% versus 64% (P = .24). Although an earlier study of 20 patients treated with IFN-α2a combined with ribavirin found an improved survival rate at 14 days, these favorable findings were no longer significant by day 28 (70% mortality vs 83% in a comparator group of untreated patients with confirmed MERS-CoV infection; P = .54). The investigators attributed the lack of effectiveness to older age, presence of comorbid conditions, and delay in treatment initiation. 128 One case study described the use of lopinavir as part of triple-therapy regimen (in combination with IFN and ribavirin) in a MERS-CoV infected patient who subsequently died in Greece despite initial resolution of viraemia. 129

Nitazoxanide is another potent type I IFN inducer that has been used in humans for parasitic infections. It is a synthetic nitrothiazolyl-salicylamide derivative that exhibits broad-spectrum antiviral activities against both RNA and DNA viruses, including canine CoV, influenza viruses, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, HIV, rotavirus, norovirus, and flaviviruses. ¹³⁰ The combinational use of these IFN inducers (eg, nitazoxanide and chloroquine) and innate immunomodulators with effective antiviral agents may be synergistic and should be evaluated in animal models.

Systemic corticosteroids have been used empirically in some patients with MERS-CoV infection to dampen immunopathologic host responses, but no survival benefit has been reported. 126,131 A comprehensive review has shown that the use of systemic corticosteroids in patients with severe influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 infection was associated with increased risks of nosocomial pneumonia, higher 90-day mortality, and longer length of stay. 132 Systemic corticosteroids should be used cautiously because their use was associated with worsened outcomes in patients infected with SARS-CoV during the 2003 epidemic, 65 including fatal aspergillosis 66 and osteonecrosis. 67

The nucleosides/nucleotides are building blocks of viral nucleic acids. Drugs that target nucleosides/nucleotides and/or viral nucleic acids generally have broad-spectrum activities against a wide range of CoVs and other viruses. Mycophenolate mofetil is an antirejection drug that inhibits inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase and guanine monophosphate synthesis. The active compound, mycophenolic acid, exhibits antiviral activity in vitro against various viruses that include hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus,

and arboviruses. Mycophenolic acid was identified as a potential anti-MERS-CoV drug using high-throughput screening and exhibits potent anti-MERS-CoV activity in vitro. 133 In vitro activity, however, does not necessarily translate to in vivo effectiveness. A follow-up study by the same group of investigators has shown that MERS-CoV-infected common marmosets treated with mycophenolate mofetil had worsened outcome with more severe disease and higher viral loads in necropsied lung and extrapulmonary tissues compared with untreated animals. 134 Renal transplant recipients who were on maintenance mycophenolate mofetil therapy also developed severe or fatal MERS-CoV infection. 116,135 Thus, usual dosages of mycophenolate mofetil monotherapy are unlikely to be useful for either prophylaxis or treatment of CoV infections.

A systematic review and exploratory metaanalysis of patients with SARS-CoV and influenza virus treated with convalescent plasma showed a reduction in mortality⁷¹ and thus should be considered a potential treatment of MER-CoV infection. Convalescent plasma from patients who have fully recovered from MERS-CoV infection with welldefined levels of MERS-CoV neutralizing antibodies, however, is not readily available. There are limiting factors, such as the appropriate window period for plasma retrieval from suitable donors and timely administration to other ill patients with MERS-CoV infection, in addition to the concern that the treatment dose may result in undesired volume expansion in patients with ARDS who require fluid restriction.

Various monoclonal and polyclonal antibody preparations with neutralizing activity inhibiting MERS-CoV are now in preclinical models. 136-140 There are no published human data, however, to date on the use of these preparations or of convalescent plasma for treatment of patients with MERS-CoV infection.

Although there is some concern that challenge of marmosets with MERS-CoV may not consistently lead to severe disease in the marmoset model, 141 more data are needed from suitable animal studies and carefully conducted clinical and virologic studies of priority treatments, such as convalescent plasma and IFNs (ideally in randomized clinical trials if sufficient numbers of patients are available). At present, clinical management of patients with severe disease largely relies on meticulous ICU support and prevention of complications. 142 The more feasible clinical trial options at present include monotherapy or combination therapy with lopinavir/ritonavir, IFN-β1b, passive immunotherapy with convalescent plasma, and human monoclonal or polyclonal antibody. More clinical trial data are needed to assess the role of IFN and ribavirin in

Box 4

Treatment modalities for Middle East respiratory syndrome–coronavirus infection

- Treatment benefits likely to exceed risks
 Convalescent plasma, IFN, lopinavir, and monoclonal and polyclonal neutralizing antibody
- Treatment data inadequate for assessment Interferon and ribavirin combination, nitazoxanide, and chloroquine
- Risks likely to exceed benefits
 Systemic corticosteroids, ribavirin monotherapy, intravenous gammaglobulin,

and mycophenolic acid

Adapted from Treatment of MERS-CoV: information for clinicians. Clinical decision-making support for treatment of MERS-CoV patients. 2015. Version 3.0. Public Health England and ISARIC. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/459835/merscov_for_clinicians_sept2015. pdf. Accessed September 5, 2015.

combination, nitazoxanide, and chloroquine whereas systemic corticosteroids, ribavirin monotherapy, intravenous gammaglobulin, and mycophenolic acid would pose more harm than benefits (Box 4). 143

SUMMARY

Bats seem the common natural source of both SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. The clinical features are similar but MERS progresses to respiratory failure much more rapidly than SARS. Although the estimated pandemic potential of MERS-CoV is lower than that of SARS-CoV, 144 the case fatality rate of MERS is much higher and likely related to older age and comorbid illness of the sporadic cases. Lots of knowledge gaps remain since the first discovery of MERS-CoV in 2012.145 More studies are needed to understand the pathogenesis, viral kinetics, mode of disease transmission, and intermediary source of MERS-CoV to guide public health infection control measures and treatment. It is also important to watch for any emergence and mutation of other SARS-like clusters of circulating CoVs in the bat populations that may threaten human health.146

REFERENCES

 Zumla A, Chan JF, Azhar EI, et al. Coronaviruses drug discovery and therapeutic options. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2016;15(5):327–47.

- Drosten C, Gunther S, Preiser W, et al. Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med 2003;348: 1967–76.
- Ksiazek TG, Erdman D, Goldsmith CS, et al, SARS Working Group. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1953–66.
- Kuiken T, Fouchier RA, Schutten M, et al. Newly discovered coronavirus as the primary cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 2003;362: 263–70.
- Lee N, Hui DS, Wu A, et al. A major outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1986–94.
- Tsang KW, Ho PL, Ooi GC, et al. A cluster of cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1977–85.
- Zhao Z, Zhang F, Xu M, et al. Description and clinical treatment of an early outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Guangzhou, PR China. J Med Microbiol 2003;52:715–20.
- Xu RH, He JF, Evans MR, et al. Epidemiologic clues to SARS origin in China. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10: 1030–7.
- Zhong NS, Zheng BJ, Li YM, et al. Epidemiology and cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Guangdong, People's Republic of China, in Feb 2003. Lancet 2003;362:1353–8.
- Du L, Qiu JC, Wang M, et al. Analysis on the characteristics of blood serum Ab-IgG detective result of severe acute respiratory syndrome patients in Guangzhou, China. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi 2004;25:925–8.
- Guan Y, Zheng BJ, He YQ, et al. Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from animals in southern China. Science 2003;302:276–8.
- 12. Wang M, Yan M, Xu H, et al. SARS-CoV infection in a restaurant from palm civet. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:1860–5.
- 13. Song HD, Tu CC, Zhang GW, et al. Cross-host evolution of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus in palm civet and human. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:2430–5.
- Lau SK, Woo PC, Li KS, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-like virus in Chinese horseshoe bats. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005;102: 14040–5.
- Li W, Shi Z, Yu M, et al. Bats are natural reserviors of SARS-like coronaviruses. Science 2005;310:676–9.
- Shi Z, Hu Z. A review of studies on animal reservoirs of the SARS coronavirus. Virus Res 2008; 133:74–87.
- Chan PK, To KF, Lo AW, et al. Persistent infection of SARS coronavirus in colonic cells in vitro. J Med Virol 2004;74:1–7.

- Simmons G, Gosalia DN, Rennekamp AJ, et al. Inhibitors of cathepsin L prevent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2005;102:11876–81.
- Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. Nature 2003;426:450–4.
- Imai Y, Kuba K, Rao S, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 protects from severe acute lung failure. Nature 2005;436:112–6.
- Law PT, Wong CH, Au TC, et al. The 3a protein of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus induces apoptosis in Vero E6 cells. J Gen Virol 2005;86:1921–30.
- 22. Tan YJ, Fielding BC, Goh PY, et al. Over expression of 7a, a protein specifically encoded by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus, induces apoptosis via a caspase-dependent pathway. J Virol 2004;78:14043–7.
- 23. Nicholls JM, Poon LL, Lee KC, et al. Lung pathology of fatal severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 2003;361:1773–8.
- 24. Peiris JS, Chu CM, Cheng VC, et al, HKU/UCH SARS Study Group. Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. Lancet 2003;361:1767–72.
- Wong CK, Lam CWK, Wu AK, et al. Plasma inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in severe acute respiratory syndrome. Clin Exp Immunol 2004; 136:95–103.
- Zhao J, Zhao J, Perlman S. T cell responses are required for protection from clinical disease and for virus clearance in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-infected mice. J Virol 2010; 84(18):9318–25.
- Channappanavar R, Fehr AR, Vijay R, et al. Dysregulated type I interferon and inflammatory monocyte-macrophage responses cause lethal pneumonia in SARS-CoV-infected mice. Cell Host Microbe 2016;19(2):181–93.
- Tang F, Liu W, Zhang F, et al. IL-12 RB1 genetic variants contribute to human susceptibility to severe acute respiratory syndrome infection among Chinese. PLoS One 2008;3(5):e2183.
- Ip WK, Chan KH, Law HK, et al. Mannose-binding lectin in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. J Infect Dis 2005;191(10): 1697–704.
- Franks TJ, Chong PY, Chui P, et al. Lung pathology of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS): a study of 8 autopsy cases from Singapore. Hum Pathol 2003;34:743–8.
- Tse GM, To KF, Chan PK, et al. Pulmonary pathological features in coronavirus associated severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). J Clin Pathol 2004;57:260–5.

- Hsu LY, Lee CC, Green JA, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome in Singapore: clinical features of index patient and initial contacts. Emerg Infect Dis 2003;9:713–7.
- Booth CM, Matukas LM, Tomlinson GA, et al. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 144 patients with SARS in the greater Toronto area. JAMA 2003;289:2801–9.
- 34. WHO. Summary of probable SARS cases with onset of illness from 1 November to 31 July 2003. Available at: http://www.who.int/csr/sars/country/ table2004_04_21/en/. Accessed July 2, 2016.
- Peiris JS, Yuen KY, Osterhaus AD, et al. The severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med 2003; 349:2431–41.
- Wong RS, Hui DS. Index patient and SARS outbreak in Hong Kong. Emerg Infect Dis 2004; 10:339–41.
- Yu IT, Wong TW, Chiu YL, et al. Temporal-spatial analysis of Severe acute respiratory syndrome among hospital inpatients. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40:1237–43.
- Loon SC, Teoh SC, Oon LL, et al. The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus in tears. Br J Ophthalmol 2004;88:861–3.
- Yu IT, Li Y, Wong TW, et al. Evidence of airborne transmission of the severe acute respiratory syndrome virus. N Engl J Med 2004;350:1731–9.
- Chu CM, Cheng VC, Hung IF, et al. Viral load distribution in SARS outbreak. Emerg Infect Dis 2005; 11:1882–6.
- 41. Yu IT, Qiu H, Tse LA, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome beyond Amoy Gardens: completing the incomplete legacy. Clin Infect Dis 2014;58(5):683–6.
- 42. Booth TF, Kournikakis B, Bastien N, et al. Detection of airborne Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus and environmental contamination in SARS outbreak units. J Infect Dis 2005;191: 1472–7.
- 43. Leung GM, Hedley AJ, Ho LM, et al. The epidemiology of severe acute respiratory syndrome in the 2003 Hong Kong epidemic: an analysis of all 1755 patients. Ann Intern Med 2004;141:662–73.
- 44. Peiris JS, Lai ST, Poon LL, et al. Coronavirus as a possible cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 2003;361:1319–25.
- 45. Leung WK, To KF, Chan PK, et al. Enteric involvement of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus infection. Gastroenterol 2003;125: 1011–7.
- **46.** Hung EC, Chim SS, Chan PK, et al. Detection of SARS coronavirus RNA in the cerebrospinal fluid of a patient with severe acute respiratory syndrome. Clin Chem 2003;49:2108–9.
- 47. Lau KK, Yu WC, Chu CM, et al. Possible central nervous system infection by SARS coronavirus. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10:342–4.

- 48. Wong KC, Leung KS, Hui M. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in a geriatric patient with a hip fracture. A case report. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2003;85A:1339–42.
- 49. Hon KL, Leung CW, Cheng WT, et al. Clinical presentations and outcome of severe acute respiratory syndrome in children. Lancet 2003;561:1701–3.
- Wong SF, Chow KM, Leung TN, et al. Pregnancy and perinatal outcomes of women with severe acute respiratory syndrome. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004;191:292–7.
- Leung GM, Lim WW, Ho LM, et al. Seroprevalence of IgG antibodies to SARS-coronavirus in asymptomatic or subclinical population groups. Epidemiol Infect 2006:134:211–21.
- Hui DS, Wong KT, Antonio GE, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS): correlation of clinical outcome and radiological features. Radiology 2004;233:579–85.
- Hui DS, Wong PC, Wang C. Severe acute respiratory syndrome: clinical features and diagnosis. Respirol 2003;8:S20–4.
- Wong RS, Wu A, To KF, et al. Haematological manifestations in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome: retrospective analysis. Br Med J 2003; 326:1358–62.
- Wong KT, Antonio GE, Hui DS, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome: radiographic appearances and pattern of progression in 138 patients. Radiology 2003;228:401–6.
- Stroher U, DiCaro A, Li Y, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus is inhibited by interferon-α. J Infect Dis 2004;189:1164–7.
- Chu CM, Cheng VC, Hung IF, et al. Role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings. Thorax 2004;59: 252–6.
- Chan KS, Lai ST, Chu CM, et al. Treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome with lopinavir/ritonavir: a multicenter retrospective matched cohort study. Hong Kong Med J 2003;9:399–406.
- Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, et al. Treatment of SARS with human interferons. Lancet 2003; 362:293 4
- Hensley LE, Fritz LE, Jahrling PB, et al. Interferon-β
 1a and SARS coronavirus replication. Emerg Infect
 Dis 2004;10:317–9.
- Haagmans BL, Kuiken T, Martina BE, et al. Pegylated interferon-α protects type 1 pneumocytes against SARS coronavirus infection in macaques. Nat Med 2004;10:290–3.
- **62.** Loutfy MR, Blatt LM, Siminovitch KA, et al. Interferon Alfacon-1 plus corticosteroids in severe acute respiratory syndrome: a preliminary study. JAMA 2003;290:3222–8.
- 63. Jiang Y, Xu J, Zhou C, et al. Characterization of cytokine/chemokine profiles of severe acute

- respiratory syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005;171:850-7.
- 64. Sung JJ, Wu A, Joynt GM, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome: report of treatment and outcome after a major outbreak. Thorax 2004;59: 414–20.
- 65. Tsang OT, Chau TN, Choi KW, et al. Coronavirus-positive nasopharyngeal aspirate as predictor for severe acute respiratory syndrome mortality. Emerg Infect Dis 2003;9:1381–7.
- Wang H, Ding Y, Li X, et al. Fatal aspergillosis in a patient with SARS who was treated with corticosteroids. N Engl J Med 2003;349:507–8.
- 67. Griffith JF, Antonio GE, Kumta SM, et al. Osteonecrosis of hip and knee in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome treated with steroids. Radiology 2005;235:168–75.
- Lee N, Allen Chan KC, Hui DS, et al. Effects of early corticosteroid treatment on plasma SARSassociated Coronavirus RNA concentrations in adult patients. J Clin Virol 2004;31:304–9.
- 69. Soo Y, Cheng Y, Wong R, et al. Retrospective comparison of convalescent plasma with continuing high-dose methylprednisolone treatment in SARS patients. Clin Microbiol Infect 2004;10:676–8.
- Cheng Y, Wong R, Soo YO, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in SARS patients in Hong Kong. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2005;24:44–6.
- Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie JK, et al, Convalescent Plasma Study Group. The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral etiology: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. J Infect Dis 2015;211:80–90.
- 72. Yu IT, Xie ZH, Tsoi KK, et al. Why did outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome occur in some hospital wards but not in others? Clin Infect Dis 2007;44:1017–25.
- Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, et al. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. PLoS One 2012;7:e35797.
- 74. Zaki AM, van Boheemen S, Bestebroer TM, et al. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. N Engl J Med 2012; 367:1814–20.
- World Health Organization. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) Qatar.
 Disease Outbreak News 2016. Available at: http://www.who.int/csr/don/29-june-2016-mers-qatar/en/.
 Accessed July 2, 2016.
- 76. WHO. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus case definition for reporting to WHO interim case definition 14 July 2015. Available at: http://www.who.int/csr/disease/coronavirus_infections/case_definition/en/. Accessed July 2, 2016.

- Annan A, Baldwin HJ, Corman VM, et al. Human betacoronavirus 2c EMC/2012-related viruses in bats, Ghana and Europe. Emerg Infect Dis 2013; 19:456–9.
- Memish ZA, Mishra N, Olival KJ, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in bats, Saudi Arabia. Emerg Infect Dis 2013;19:1819–23.
- Miguel E, Perera RA, Baubekova A, et al. Absence of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in Camelids, Kazakhstan, 2015. Emerg Infect Dis 2016;22(3):555–7.
- Chan SM, Damdinjav B, Perera RA, et al. Absence of MERS-coronavirus in bactrian camels, southern Mongolia, November 2014. Emerg Infect Dis 2015;21(7):1269–71.
- 81. Hemida MG, Perera RA, Al Jassim RA, et al. Seroepidemiology of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) coronavirus in Saudi Arabia (1993) and Australia (2014) and characterisation of assay specificity. Euro Surveill 2014;19(23). pii: 20828.
- 82. Hemida MG, Elmoslemany A, Al-Hizab F, et al. Dromedary camels and the transmission of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Transbound Emerg Dis 2015. http://dx.doi. org/10.1111/tbed.12401.
- 83. Saad M, Omrani AS, Baig K, et al. Clinical aspects and outcomes of 70 patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: a single-center experience in Saudi Arabia. Int J Infect Dis 2014;29:301–6.
- 84. Hijawi B, Abdallat M, Sayaydeh A, et al. Novel coronavirus infections in Jordan, April 2012: epidemiological findings from a retrospective investigation. East Mediterr Health J 2013;19(Suppl 1):S12–8.
- 85. Alraddadi BM, Watson JT, Almarashi A, et al. Risk factors for primary Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus illness in humans, Saudi Arabia, 2014. Emerg Infect Dis 2016;22(1):49–55.
- Drosten C, Meyer B, Müller MA, et al. Transmission of MERS-coronavirus in household contacts. N Engl J Med 2014;371(9):828–35.
- 87. Arwady MA, Alraddadi B, Basler C, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus transmission in extended family, Saudi Arabia, 2014. Emerg Infect Dis 2016;22(8):1395–402.
- 88. Müller MA, Meyer B, Corman VM, et al. Presence of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus antibodies in Saudi Arabia: a nationwide, cross-sectional, serological study. Lancet Infect Dis 2015;15(5):559–64.
- Assiri A, McGeer A, Perl TM, et al. Hospital outbreak of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. N Engl J Med 2013;369:407–16.
- Oboho IK, Tomczyk SM, Al-Asmari AM, et al. 2014 MERS-CoV outbreak in Jeddah–a link to health care facilities. N Engl J Med 2015;372:846–54.

- Hunter JC, Nguyen D, Aden B, et al. Transmission of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infections in healthcare settings, Abu Dhabi. Emerg Infect Dis 2016;22:647–56.
- Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus outbreak in the Republic of Korea, 2015.
 Osong Public Health Res Perspect 2015;6(4): 269–78.
- 93. Oh MD, Choe PG, Oh HS, et al. Middle east respiratory sayndrome coronavirus superspreading event involving 81 persons, Korea 2015. J Korean Med Sci 2015;30(11):1701–5.
- 94. WHO. WHO recommends continuation of strong disease control measures to bring MERS-CoV outbreak in Republic of Korea to an end. News Release 13 June 2013. Available at: http://www.wpro.who.int/mediacentre/releases/2015/20150613/en/. Accessed June 14, 2015.
- Zumla A, Hui DS. Infection control and MERS-CoV in health-care workers. Lancet 2014;383(9932): 1869–71.
- 96. WHO MERS-CoV Research Group. State of knowledge and data gaps of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) in humans. PLoS Curr 2013;5. pii: ecurrents.outbreaks. 0bf719e352e7478f8ad85fa30127ddb8.
- 97. Assiri A, Al-Tawfiq JA, Al-Rabeeah AA, et al. Epidemiological, demographic, and clinical characteristics of 47 cases of middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus disease from Saudi Arabia: a descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13: 752–61.
- 98. Guery B, Poissy J, el Mansouf L, et al. Clinical features and viral diagnosis of two cases of infection with middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus: a report of nosocomial transmission. Lancet 2013; 381:2265–72.
- Memish ZA, Zumla AI, AI-Hakeem RF, et al. Family cluster of middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus infections. N Engl J Med 2013;368: 2487–94.
- Algahtani H, Subahi A, Shirah B. Neurological complications of middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus: a report of two cases and review of the literature. Case Rep Neurol Med 2016;2016: 3502683.
- 101. Assiri A, Abedi GR, Almasry M, et al. Middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection during pregnancy: a report of 5 cases from Saudi Arabia. Clin Infect Dis 2016;63(7):951–3.
- 102. Alserehi H, Wali G, Alshukairi A, et al. Impact of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus (MERS-CoV) on pregnancy and perinatal outcome. BMC Infect Dis 2016;16:105.
- 103. Malik A, El Masry KM, Ravi M, et al. Middle east respiratory syndrome- coronavirus during

- pregnancy, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, 2013. Emerg Infect Dis 2016;22(3):515–7.
- Payne DC, Iblan I, Alqasrawi S, et al. Stillbirth during infection with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Infect Dis 2014;209(12):1870–2.
- 105. Drosten C, Seilmaier M, Corman VM, et al. Clinical features and virological analysis of a case of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Lancet Infect Dis 2013:13:745–51.
- 106. Corman VM, Albarrak AM, Omrani AS, et al. Viral shedding and antibody response in 37 patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Clin Infect Dis 2016;62(4):477–83.
- 107. Ajlan AM, Ahyad RA, Jamjoom LG, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection: chest CT findings. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2014;203(4):782–7.
- 108. Raj VS, Mou H, Smits SL, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a functional receptor for the emerging human coronavirus-EMC. Nature 2013;495:251–4.
- Lu G, Hu Y, Wang Q, et al. Molecular basis of binding between novel human coronavirus MERS-CoV and its receptor CD26. Nature 2013;500:227–31.
- 110. Müller MA, Raj VS, Muth D, et al. Human coronavirus EMC does not require the SARS-coronavirus receptor and maintains broad replicative capability in mammalian cell lines. MBio 2012;3(6). pii: e00515–12.
- 111. Chan JF, Chan KH, Choi GK, et al. Differential cell line susceptibility to the emerging novel human betacoronavirus 2c EMC/2012: implications for disease pathogenesis and clinical manifestation. J Infect Dis 2013;207:1743–52.
- 112. de Wilde AH, Raj VS, Oudshoorn D, et al. MERScoronavirus replication induces severe in vitro cytopathology and is strongly inhibited by cyclosporin A or interferon-α treatment. J Gen Virol 2013;94: 1749–60.
- 113. Falzarano D, de Wit E, Martellaro C, et al. Inhibition of novel β coronavirus replication by a combination of interferon-α2b and ribavirin. Sci Rep 2013;3:1686.
- 114. Chan RW, Chan MC, Agnihothram S, et al. Tropism of and innate immune responses to the novel human betacoronavirus lineage C virus in human ex vivo respiratory organ cultures. J Virol 2013; 87:6604–14.
- 115. Hocke AC, Becher A, Knepper J, et al. Emerging human middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus causes widespread infection and alveolar damage in human lungs. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;188(7):882–6.
- 116. Faure E, Poissy J, Goffard A, et al. Distinct immune response in two MERS-CoV-infected patients: can we go from bench to bedside? PLoS One 2014; 9(2):e88716.
- 117. Poissy J, Goffard A, Parmentier-Decrucq E, et al. Kinetics and pattern of viral excretion in biological

- specimens of two MERS-CoV cases. J Clin Virol 2014;61(2):275-8.
- 118. Park WB, Perera RA, Choe PG, et al. Kinetics of serologic responses to MERS coronavirus infection in humans, South Korea. Emerg Infect Dis 2015; 21(12):2186–9.
- 119. Payne DC, Iblan I, Rha B, et al. Persistence of antibodies against middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Emerg Infect Dis 2016; 22(10):1824–6.
- 120. Ng DL, Al Hosani F, Keating MK, et al. Clinicopathologic, immunohistochemical, and ultrastructural findings of a fatal case of middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in the United Arab Emirates, April 2014. Am J Pathol 2016; 186(3):652–8.
- 121. Cha RH, Yang SH, Moon KC, et al. A case report of a Middle East respiratory syndrome survivor with kidney biopsy results. J Korean Med Sci 2016; 31(4):635–40.
- 122. Zhou J, Chu H, Li C, et al. Active replication of middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus and aberrant induction of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in human macrophages: implications for pathogenesis. J Infect Dis 2014;209(9): 1331–42.
- 123. Dyall J, Coleman CM, Hart BJ, et al. Repurposing of clinically developed drugs for treatment of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014;58(8): 4885–93.
- 124. De Wilde AH, Jochmans D, Posthuma CC, et al. Screening of an FDA-approved compound library identifies four small-molecule inhibitors of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication in cell culture. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014;58(8):4875–84.
- 125. Falzarano D, de Wit E, Rasmussen AL, et al. Treatment with interferon-α2b and ribavirin improves outcome in MERS-CoV-infected rhesus macaques. Nat Med 2013;19(10):1313–7.
- 126. Al-Tawfiq JA, Momattin H, Dib J, et al. Ribavirin and interferon therapy in patients infected with the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: an observational study. Int J Infect Dis 2014;20: 42–6.
- 127. Shalhoub S, Farahat F, Al-Jiffri A, et al. IFN-α2a or IFN-β1a in combination with ribavirin to treat Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus pneumonia: a retrospective study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2015;70(7):2129–32.
- 128. Omrani AS, Saad MM, Baig K, et al. Ribavirin and interferon alfa-2a for severe Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2014;14(11):1090–5.
- 129. Spanakis N, Tsiodras S, Haagmans BL, et al. Virological and serological analysis of a recent Middle

- East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection case on a triple combination antiviral regimen. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2014;44(6):528–32.
- Rossignol JF. Nitazoxanide: a first-in-class broadspectrum antiviral agent. Antiviral Res 2014;110: 94–103.
- 131. Arabi Y, Arifi AA, Balkhy HH, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. Ann Intern Med 2014;160(6):389–97.
- Hui DS, Lee N, Chan PK. Adjunctive therapies and immunomodulatory agents in the management of severe influenza. Antiviral Res 2013;98(3):410–6.
- 133. Chan JF, Chan KH, Kao RY, et al. Broad-spectrum antivirals for the emerging Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Infect 2013;67(6):606–16.
- 134. Chan JF, Yao Y, Yeung ML, et al. Treatment with lopinavir/ritonavir or interferon-β1b improves outcome of MERS-CoV infection in a nonhuman primate model of common marmoset. J Infect Dis 2015;212(12):1904–13.
- 135. AlGhamdi M, Mushtaq F, Awn N, et al. MERS CoV infection in two renal transplant recipients: case report. Am J Transplant 2015;15(4):1101–4.
- 136. Tang XC, Agnihothram SS, Jiao Y, et al. Identification of human neutralizing antibodies against MERS-CoV and their role in virus adaptive evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;111(19):E2018–26.
- 137. Johnson RF, Bagci U, Keith L, et al. 3B11-N, a monoclonal antibody against MERS-CoV, reduces lung pathology in rhesus monkeys following intratracheal inoculation of MERS-CoV Jordan-n3/ 2012. Virology 2016;490:49–58.
- 138. Corti D, Zhao J, Pedotti M, et al. Prophylactic and postexposure efficacy of a potent human monoclonal antibody against MERS coronavirus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112(33):10473–8.
- 139. Jiang L, Wang N, Zuo T, et al. Potent neutralization of MERS-CoV by human neutralizing monoclonal antibodies to the viral spike glycoprotein. Sci Transl Med 2014;6(234):234ra59.
- 140. Luke T, Wu H, Zhao J, et al. Human polyclonal immunoglobulin G from transchromosomic bovines inhibits MERS-CoV in vivo. Sci Transl Med 2016; 8(326):326ra21.
- 141. Johnson RF, Via LE, Kumar MR, et al. Intratracheal exposure of common marmosets to MERS-CoV Jordan-n3/2012 or MERS-CoV EMC/2012 isolates does not result in lethal disease. Virology 2015; 485:422–30.
- 142. WHO. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection is suspected. Interim guidance. 2015. Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/178529/1/WHO_MERS_Clinical_15.1_eng.pdf. Accessed July 3, 2015.

- 143. Version 3.0Treatment of MERS-CoV: information for clinicians. Clinical decision-making support for treatment of MERS-CoV patients. Public Health England and ISARIC; 2015. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/459835/merscov_for_clinicians_sept2015.pdf. Accessed September 5, 2015.
- 144. Breban R, Riou J, Fontanet A. Interhuman transmissibility of middle east respiratory syndrome
- coronavirus: estimation of pandemic risk. Lancet 2013;382:694–9.
- 145. Hui DS, Zumla A. Advancing priority research on the middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Infect Dis 2014;209:173–6.
- 146. Menachery VD, Yount BL Jr, Sims AC, et al. SARS-like WIV1-CoV poised for human emergence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2016;113(11): 3048–53.