

familyi=A., given=Esben, give-
ni=E. aut-
hor5hash=84625527e63b0164cab3878d0640f5cffamily=Su,
familyi=S., given=Maoxin, give-
ni=M.hash=2a6ac312d39360e799842e37d22e2adbfamily=Chu,
familyi=C., given=Minglong, give-
ni=M.hash=aca3533d4181413bc39dd1c420dc964efamily=Xue,
familyi=X., given=Yiguo, give-
ni=Y.hash=faf982f8b35f0b06b17bf322b2d00d4efamily=Ma,
familyi=M., given=Xiaoyin, give-
ni=X.hash=20196c59bfca8fe8a6fdbf7e403b6ad0family=Ju,
familyi=J., given=Zhanying, give-
ni=Z. aut-
hor5hash=588031e9d4516a5dfe4855a092435c47family=Xue,
familyi=X., given=Guoqiang, give-
ni=G.hash=c7b2bb54b5e6ecaac22872ce5fa3f783family=Li,
familyi=L., given=Hai, give-
ni=H.hash=6cd7dc29c610c52bbcd21706ef1b6fbafamily=He,
familyi=H., given=Yiming, give-
ni=Y.hash=215919c9cf16d630f825c35dcec46b9fffamily=Xue,
familyi=X., given=Junjie, give-
ni=J.hash=c0a95a41210a037f04e1db4223792879family=Wu,
familyi=W., given=Xin, give-
ni=X. aut-
hor1hash=9e1bea6eaf6ad887f9efa86272d00907family=Zhdanov,
familyi=Z., given=Michael S, give-
ni=M. S. aut-
hor7hash=2ba74e19a950c5a40b85cc136538f42bfamily=Zhou,
familyi=Z., given=Yang, give-
ni=Y.hash=b2ec6bd9fbaf3899a622020f241a5b6afamily=Jianwei,
familyi=J., given=Pan, give-
ni=P.hash=e88cc7e6782c611a89e1df5061388e47family=Hao,
familyi=H., given=Liu, give-
ni=L.hash=5ab2b6661f03e9207d6f2e6457b79cf5family=Zhongmei,
familyi=Z., given=Wang, give-
ni=W.hash=8b1a9c67536c53ab90fc7a91581e7ab2family=Jiang,
familyi=J., given=Zhou, give-
ni=Z.hash=c527fa3ea801ea14abcaa76a971bc574family=Haixin,
familyi=H., given=Luo, give-
ni=L.hash=184c551b25b8591ebd5a035a81ad3a7cfamily=Jiaxu,
familyi=J., given=Liu, giveni=L.

Bachelor Thesis

Finding an optimal regularization parameter for the inversion of transient electromagnetic data using the L-curve method

Peter Balogh

e12202337@student.tuwien.ac.at

Matr.Nr. 12202337

Date: February 6, 2025

Supervisor: Associate Prof. Dr.rer.nat. Adrian Flores-Orozco

Abstract

Abstract of the thesis

1 Introduction

2 Materials and Methods

Introduction including:

Objective: Create a model of the subsurface resistivity at Martenhofer Lacke using the transient electromagnetic method.

Hypothesis: An optimal lambda can be found for the TEM data gathered at Martenhofer Lacke by using the L-Curve method.

Research questions:

- How suitable is the transient electromagnetic method for the investigation of the resistivity of the subsurface at the Martenhofer Lacke?
- Which configuration of the TEM method is most suitable for the investigation of the Martenhofer Lacke?
- Is the L-curve method suitable for the determination of the optimal lambda for the TEM data?
- Which conditions are necessary for the L-curve method to be applicable to the TEM data?

2.1 State of the Art

2.1.1 Common resistivity values

Resistivity is a key parameter in geophysical investigations, as it provides valuable information about the Earth's subsurface properties. Many electrical and electromagnetic methods rely on constructing a model of the electrical resistivity distribution to characterize subsurface materials. By analyzing these resistivity values, it is possible to infer the composition of subsurface layers and detect geological structures such as faults, fractures, and aquifers.

Tab. 1: Common Resistivity Values of Subsurface Materials

Material	Resistivity (Ωm)
Saturated layers with high salinity	0.1 – 5
Saturated clays and silts	5 – 15
Saturated sediments	10 – 20
Unsaturated sediments	50 – 80
Saturated sand	40 – 150
Unsaturated sand	400 – 1500

?? presents commonly reported resistivity values for different subsurface materials. These values have been compiled from multiple studies conducted in diverse geological settings and using various geophysical methods []. While they provide a general reference, it is important to note that resistivity ranges can vary significantly due to factors such as moisture content, salinity, porosity, and mineral composition.

In the context of

??, the term “sediments” refers to unconsolidated deposits consisting of a mixture of sand, silt, and clay. The resistivity values presented in

?? for sediments are not general global values but are specific to the study region investigated by “Alluvial aquifer thickness and bedrock structure delineation by electromagnetic methods in the highlands of Bolivia” [8]. These values reflect the characteristics of sediments found in the Challapampa aquifer in Bolivia, where variations in grain size, moisture content, and mineral composition influence the resistivity measurements.

2.1.2 TEM method

2.1.3 Environmental applications of TEM

Even though the TEM method originates from the mining industry, it can be applied to various environmental applications. The exploration and characterisation of groundwater resources is one of the most common applications of the TEM method.

For this application “The application of the transient electromagnetic method in hydrogeophysical surveys” [4] used the TEM method for the exploration of buried valleys as potential aquifers in Denmark. The study introduced two new TEM systems based on the Geonics PROTEM, the High moment Transient Electromagnetic (HiTEM) system for a deeper investigation depth and the Pulled Array Transient Electromagnetic (PATEM) system for a higher lateral resolution. The HiTEM system uses a combination of a $30 \times 30m$ transmitter loop and a current of 75 A to achieve a depth of investigation (DOI) of up to 300 m. To mitigate distortion effects an offset receiver loop is used for the later times and a central receiver loop with a reduced current of 2.5 A for the early times. A Mutually Constrained Inversion (MCI) was used to invert the combination of the data gathered with the two different configurations. The PATEM system uses a $3 \times 5m$ transmitter loop on a wheeled frame with an offset receiver loop. This allows for continuous measurements along a profile and a DOI of 100 to 150 m. To achieve a high DOI and useful near surface information, the PATEM system allows for a transmitter configuration with either 2 turns with 16 A or 8 turns with 40 to 50 A. The study also touches on the problems resulting from coupling with man-made structures. The coupling effects can be divided into galvanic and capacitive. Galvanic coupling is caused by grounded conductor like a power line and causes the underestimation of the resistivity. Capacitive coupling is caused current being generated in a conductor and leaking into the ground through an insulation, which leads to an oscillating signal. For this reason the study recommends to keep a distance of about 150 m from underground cables or pipes when the earth has a resistivity of 40 to 80 Ωm . The study finds that a 1D inversion approach is suf-

ficient to detect the slope of a 2D buried valley when in a layer with a low resistivity. In a layer with a high resistivity only the overall structure of the valley can be derived.

Similar to the PATEM system “tTEM—A towed transient electromagnetic system for detailed 3D imaging of the top 70 m of the subsurface” [2] proposes a towed Transient Electromagnetic (tTEM) system for an efficient 3D mapping of the subsurface. The tTEM system utilizes a $2 \times 4m$ transmitter loop mounted on a non-conductive sled towed by an ATV, which enables a production rate of about $1km^2$. The receiver loop is towed at a 9 m offset to the transmitter. Like the PATEM system the tTEM system allows two different currents (2.8/30A) in order to gain a relatively high DOI of up to 70 m, while still allowing the investigation of shallow depths. This study also highlights the importance of the coupling effects with conductive objects and tested the system in an environment with a high resistivity of the subsurface ($>600 \Omega m$). Here the measured signal can be assumed as a coupling effect with the conductive objects on the ATV and a minimal distance of 3 m was determined to be necessary. As it is important to calibrate TEM systems, the tTEM system was validated at the Danish National TEM test site. Furthermore, the tTEM system was also validated against borehole data and the results showed a good agreement.

As a fast alternative to ground based TEM systems, “SkyTEM-A new high-resolution helicopter transient electromagnetic system” [13] introduced the SkyTEM system. The SkyTEM system uses a helicopter to carry a $12.5 \times 12.5m$ transmitter loop with 4 turns and a receiver loop ($0.5 \times 0.5m$) in a central configuration. Just like the PATEM and HiTEM systems, a low moment and a high moment configuration are used to achieve a high DOI while still resolving the near surface layers. In the low moment configuration the current of 35 A only flows through one turn and for the high moment 50 A are used with all 4 turns. The SkyTEM system was validated against a ground based TEM system with a transmitter loop size of $40 \times 40m$ showed a good agreement (below 5% deviation). This system able to cover a larger area than traditional ground based systems and was still

able to resolve underground structures, such as buried valleys.

To investigate the subsurface below continental bodies of water, “A flexible single loop setup for water-borne transient electromagnetic sounding applications” [1] proposes a flexibel single loop system which can be towed by a boat. By using a single loop which is kept afloat by several PVC pipes segments, which keep the loop in a circular shape, the system can easily be moved around the lake. Using pipe segments allows for different loop sizes and thus different investigation depths. The TEM-FAST 48 system by Applied Electromagnetic Research (AEMR) was used to investigate the subsurface of the Lake Langau in Austria. A current of 4 A and loops with radii between 6.2 m and 11.9 m were used, leading to an investigation depth between 6.2 m and 50 m, which was sufficient to detect sedimentary layers below the lake. For a single loop setup it is important to understand how long the turn-off time of the transmitter is, for a proper interpretation of early time data. When the transmitter is turned off, the current in the loop takes a certain amount of time to decay, which is called the turn-off ramp. This time was measured to be between 4.2 and $10.4 \mu s$ depending on loop size and resistivity of the subsurface. Using this information a formula was derived to find the minimum effective sounding depth:

$$h_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{t_{\text{eff}} \bar{\rho}} \quad (1)$$

where h_{eff} is the minimum effective sounding depth, t_{eff} is the minimum effective time and $\bar{\rho}$ is the average resistivity of the smooth subsurface model. The study also showcased two different approaches to find the DOI. The first approach uses different starting models for the inversion assuming that the DOI is reached when the data does not influence the inverted model anymore and will keep the values of the starting model. The second approach is based on:

$$\text{DOI} \approx 0.55 \left(\frac{M \times \bar{\rho}}{\eta} \right) \quad (2)$$

where M is the magnetic moment, $\bar{\rho}$ is the average resistivity of the smooth subsurface model and η is the noise level. Both methods agreed on

a DOI ranging between 20 and 50 m depending on the loop size.

As other water sources become less reliable in some regions in Bolivia “Delimiting a saline water zone in Quaternary fluvial-alluvial deposits using transient electromagnetic: a case study in Punata, Bolivia” [9] showed the potential of the TEM method for the exploration of groundwater in the Punata alluvial fan. This aquifer is an important water source, but it has zones with high salinity, which poses challenges in its use. This study used the ABEM WalkTEM system with a $50 \times 50m$ transmitter loop with a current of 18 A and two receiver loops ($0.5 \times 0.5m$ and $10 \times 10m$) in a central configuration. This way a DOI of up to 200 m was achieved in some regions of the alluvial fan, while in other regions the DOI was limited to 80 m. The study was able to detect zones with high salinity and the results were validated with borehole data.

A similar study was conducted by “Alluvial aquifer thickness and bedrock structure delineation by electromagnetic methods in the highlands of Bolivia” [8] in the Challapampa area, Bolivia. In this study the same WalkTEM system and loop sizes were used as in the study by Gonzales et al.[9] But the receiver loops were deployed in an offset configuration and for the $0.5 \times 0.5m$ loop only a current of 2 A was used, while the whole 18 A were used for the $10 \times 10m$ loop. With this configuration a DOI of up to 250 m was achieved and the influence of a hot spring was detected as a low resistivity zone (meaning higher salinity).

Another application of TEM is the detection of karstic features, like caves, faults, and fracture zones[1]. Traditionally a large transmitter loop size in the order of 100 m side length was used to achieve a high investigation depth. For this reason the TEM method was not suitable for the application in mountainous regions. However, a similar investigation depth can be achieved by using a smaller transmitter loop size and more turns. A multi-turn setup has the disadvantage of mutual inductance caused by the large number of turns, which can lead to underestimation of the resistivity of the subsurface.

“Multi-turn small-loop transient electromagnetic data processing using constraints from borehole and electrical resistivity tomography

data” [17] proposed a coincident configuration with a 2 m side length and 10 turns for the transmitter loop and 20 turns for the receiver loop. In order to mitigate the effects of the mutual inductance, borehole and electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) data were used to constrain the inversion. Because boreholes and ERT measurements are expensive and time-consuming, they are only used to correct to TEM soundings in order to account for shifted resistivity values. This method was used to detect a karst channel in Zhijin, China.

A more in depth study on how to deal with self and mutual inductance was conducted by “Response analysis and application of the TEM using small-fixed loops” [14]. Here a central loop configuration (receiver loop in the center of the transmitter loop) was compared with a multi-turn small fixed-loop configuration, where multiple different receiver positions are used, while keeping the transmitter loop fixed. For the fixed-loop set up a correction coefficient was introduced to account for off centre receiver positions. 5 model test were conducted to compare the two configurations and the results showed that after correction the fixed-loop configuration was more accurate in detecting the position of one or multiple anomalies.

2.1.4 Data Inversion

A typical geophysical problem can be defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{d} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}) \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{d} is the observed data, \mathcal{F} is the forward operator, and \mathbf{m} is the model. If the model is known, the forward operator can be used to calculate the expected data. But usually the model is unknown and the observed data is used to find the model, which is called an inverse problem. In practise the observed data is contaminated with noise, which introduces an error term into the equation and makes the inversion ill-posed and non-linear. An ill-posed problem means that small changes in the observed data can cause large differences in the model. As a result, noise introduces variability, making it impossible to find a unique solution[16].

Approaches to address this issue can be divided into deterministic and stochastic methods. The deterministic approach tries to find a sin-

gle solution, by iteratively updating the model parameters to minimize the difference between observed and modeled data. To prevent overfitting to noise, Tikhonov regularization is used, which adds a penalty term to the least squares problem.

$$\|\mathbf{W}_d(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{d})\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{W}_m(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_0)\|_2^2 \rightarrow \min \quad (4)$$

\mathbf{W}_d and \mathbf{W}_m are weighting matrices, \mathbf{m}_0 is the initial model, and λ is the regularization parameter. $\|\mathbf{W}_m(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_0)\|_2^2$ can be interpreted as the roughness of the model, which quantifies the complexity or variation of the model. $\|\mathbf{W}_d(\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{d})\|_2^2$ is the data misfit, which quantifies the difference between observed and modeled data and can include an error term. With the choice of λ the trade-off between data misfit and model complexity can be controlled. Building on this foundation several deterministic methods have been developed, such as Gauss-Newton inversion and Conjugate Gradient. A constraint inversion can be used to incorporate prior information about the model, which can be useful to prevent overfitting to noise. Stochastic methods, on the other hand, randomly search the solution space and provide a range of plausible models rather than a single deterministic solution. This is much more computationally expensive, but can be more robust against noise and can provide uncertainty estimates. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Bayesian inversion are examples of stochastic methods[1].

An implementation of the deterministic approach is the PyGIMLI library, introduced by “pyGIMLI: An open-source library for modelling and inversion in geophysics” [12], which uses Gauss-Newton inversion to iteratively update the model parameters. PyGIMLI is an open-source library written in Python and C++ and is designed for the inversion of geophysical data. It allows for the implementation of any given forward operator into the inversion algorithm, which makes it a versatile tool for geophysical modeling and inversion.

2.1.5 L-curve method

Solving inverse problems is a task not limited to geophysics, which makes inversion theory an important field of mathematics. There are sev-

eral methods to solve an inverse problem, but the most common approach is to use Tikhonov regularization[4]. But the choice of the regularization parameter λ is not trivial and can have a significant impact on the inversion result.

The L-curve method is a widely used method to determine the optimal λ for the solution of an inverse problem[10]. The L-curve is a graph of the residual norm against the solution norm. With an increasing λ , the residual norm is expected to increase and the solution norm is expected to decrease. This leads to a curve that resembles an L-shape. An optimal λ should minimize the residual norm while keeping the solution norm small. This leads to the “corner” of the L, which is also the point with the highest curvature.

“The use of the L-curve method in the inversion of diffusion battery data” [11] implements a method to find the point of maximum curvature and through this the optimal λ for the inversion of diffusion battery data. The method computes the χ^2 also called error weighted root-mean-square, and the roughness of the model for different λ values to obtain the L-curve. A cubic spline function is fitted to the data points, to make it possible to calculate the curvature for each data point:

$$\mathbf{C}(\lambda_i) = \frac{d^2 s / dx^2}{(1 + (ds/dx)^2)^{3/2}} \quad (5)$$

$$x = \log_{10} \chi^2(\lambda_i)$$

and find the point with the maximum curvature. The corresponding λ is then considered the optimal one.

There are several methods to automatically determine the optimal lambda as described in[1]. Lloyd et al. [11] proposed a method that computes the χ^2 also called error weighted root-mean-square, and the roughness of the model for different λ values to obtain the L-curve. In order to find the optimal λ a cubic spline function is fitted to the data points and used to find the maximum curvature of the L-curve.

Another approach is the iterative golden section search as proposed by Cultrera[3]. After providing an initial range for the optimal

lambda $[\lambda_1, \lambda_4]$, two more lambda values are calculated using the formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &= \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \\ \lambda_2 &= 10^{\frac{\log_{10} \lambda_4 + \varphi \cdot \log_{10} \lambda_1}{1 + \varphi}} \\ \lambda_3 &= 10^{\log_{10} \lambda_1 + (\log_{10} \lambda_4 - \log_{10} \lambda_2)}\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

For each λ the corresponding point on the L-curve is found and two curvatures are computed relying on three points each. The borders of the search interval are shifted towards the higher curvature and this process is repeated until the difference between the lambda-values of the interval are below a certain threshold. This method allows can find an optimal λ while minimizing the number of inversions necessary.

3 Results

4 Conclusion

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

All data and Python routines associated with this study are available open-source to facilitate full reproducibility of the results on github (<https://github.com/pb-tuwien/Geophysics.git>).