

SEARCH FOR $t\bar{t}Z' \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ PRODUCTION IN THE MULTILEPTON FINAL STATE IN
 pp COLLISIONS AT $\sqrt{s} = 13$ AND 13.6 TEV WITH THE ATLAS DETECTOR

By

Hieu Le

A DISSERTATION

Submitted to
Michigan State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of

Physics — Doctor of Philosophy
Computational Mathematics, Science and Engineering — Dual Major

2025

ABSTRACT

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

I dedicate this work to the Opossum and his noble pursuit of snacks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Una Salus Victis Nullam Sperare Salutem.

PREFACE

This is my preface. remarks remarks remarks

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS	x
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation	1
1.2 Analysis strategy	1
1.2.1 Profile likelihood fit	1
1.2.2 Analysis regions	1
Chapter 2. Theoretical Overview	2
2.1 The Standard Model	2
2.1.1 Elementary particles	2
2.1.2 Mathematical formalism	3
2.1.3 Beyond the Standard Model	4
2.2 Four-top quark production	4
2.3 Collider physics	5
Chapter 3. LHC & ATLAS Experiment	7
3.1 The Large Hadron Collider	7
3.1.1 Overview	7
3.1.2 Operations	7
3.1.3 Physics	8
3.2 The ATLAS detector	8
3.2.1 Inner detector	9
3.2.2 Calorimeter systems	9
3.2.3 Muon spectrometer	9
3.2.4 Forward detectors	9
3.2.5 Magnetic systems	9
3.2.6 Trigger & data acquisition	9
Chapter 4. Data & Simulated Samples	10
4.1 Data samples	10
4.2 Monte Carlo samples	10
4.2.1 Simulation	10
4.2.2 Signal samples	10
4.2.3 Background samples	10
Chapter 5. Particle Reconstruction & Identification	11
5.1 Object reconstruction	11

5.1.1	Vertex & track reconstruction	11
5.1.2	Jets	11
5.1.3	Electrons	11
5.1.4	Muons	11
5.1.5	Missing transverse momentum	11
5.1.6	Topological clustering	11
5.1.7	Pile-up & overlap removal	11
5.2	Particle identification	11
Chapter 6.	Event Selection	12
6.1	Object definition	12
6.2	Background estimation	12
6.2.1	Fake & non-prompt leptons	12
6.2.2	Irreducible background	12
6.3	Analysis regions	12
6.3.1	Control regions	12
6.3.2	Signal regions	12
6.3.3	Validation region	13
6.4	Signal extraction	13
Chapter 7.	Systematic Uncertainties	14
7.1	Experimental uncertainties	14
7.2	Modeling uncertainties	14
7.2.1	Signal modeling uncertainties	14
7.2.2	Background modeling uncertainties	14
Chapter 8.	Results	15
8.1	Likelihood fit	15
8.2	Limits	15
8.3	Interpretation	15
Chapter 9.	Summary	16
APPENDIX A.	Statistical analysis	20
A.1	Statistical inference	20
A.2	Hypothesis testing	20
A.3	χ^2 template fitting	20

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Motivation

1.2 Analysis strategy

1.2.1 Profile likelihood fit

1.2.2 Analysis regions

Chapter 2. Theoretical Overview

2.1 The Standard Model

- SM describes fundamental forces & elementary particles
- more descriptions (a bit of history + recent developments - higgs & neutrino masses) -
- limitations: gravity & general relativity, arbitrary free parameters

2.1.1 Elementary particles

- Bosons (Bose-Einstein statistics, integer spin) & fermions (Fermi-Dirac statistics, half-integer spin)
- Fermions - building blocks: quarks & leptons [protons/neutrons constituents?]
- Bosons - force carriers & interaction mediators (elementary bosons == gauge bosons
(chart of elementary particles here))

Fermions

- elementary particles
- half-integer spin

Quarks

- building blocks for hadrons & bosons
- up down — charm strange — bottom top [by order of discovery and mass]
- charge doublets: $+2/3$ and $-1/3$ charge
- color charge & color confinement in hadrons
- interacts with all 4 fundamental forces

Leptons

- electron — muon — tau + neutrino [by order of mass]
- charge -1, neutrinos charge neutral
- interacts with all forces except strong, neutrinos only weak and gravitational

Bosons

- force mediators
- integer spin

Scalar

- spin 0
- Higgs massive, charge neutral, provides rest mass for all elementary particles,

Vector

- spin 1
- W/Z (weak), photon (QED/electrodynamic), gluons (QCD/strong)
- photon/gluon massless, charge neutral, gluon carries color charge out of 8 combinations of quark colors (color octet)
- W/Z massive, charged/neutral

2.1.2 Mathematical formalism

- QFT: treats particles as excitations of corresponding quantum fields: fermion field ψ , electroweak boson fields $W_{1/2/3}$ & B , gluon field G_α , Higgs field ϕ
- Lagrangian: gauge QFT containing local gauge symmetries of $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ and global Poincar symmetry: translational symmetry, rotational symmetry & special relativity frame invariance

- Noether's theorem: local symmetries \rightarrow strong/weak/EM, Poincar \rightarrow momentum, angular momentum & energy conservation
- unexpanded Lagrangian with description of each part: kinetic terms, coupling terms, mass/Higgs terms)

Quantum chromodynamics

- strong interaction, $SU(3)_C$ gauge group under Yang-Mills theory
- C = color charge conservation
- QCD Lagrangian, expansion & brief explanation

Electroweak

- unified weak & electromagnetic interactions, $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge group
- L = left-handed chirality \rightarrow weak isospin (I) conservation
- Y = weak hyper charge conservation
- Q = charge conservation = $I_3 + 1/2Y$
- QED Lagrangian, expansion & brief explanation

Higgs

2.1.3 Beyond the Standard Model

2.2 Four-top quark production

- Top: heaviest particle, strong coupling to many BSM particles in BSM models.
- 4top: xsec relevant to and enhanced by many BSM models
- Predicted by SM and observed [observation paper]

- Predicted xsec and observed xsec
- (insert Feynman diagrams)
- Decay products & final state topologies

Top-philic vector resonance

- Top-philic boson: simplified model, couples strongly to top and weakly to others
- color singlet vector boson (Z')
- (Lagrangian here)
- two body decay Z' into $t\bar{t}$ with $m_{Z'}$ in TeV range \rightarrow top mass
- decay channels: $t\bar{t}Z'$ s & t channels, tWZ' , tjZ'
- (Feynman diagrams here)

Effective field theory

2.3 Collider physics

[pp collision, pdf, cross section, luminosity]

Luminosity

Proton-proton collisions

jets, parton shower, hadronization

Parton distribution function

Cross section

Chapter 3. LHC & ATLAS Experiment

3.1 The Large Hadron Collider

theoretical predictions are tested with experimental data obtained from particle accelerators
world's largest accelerator built by CERN situated on the border of Switzerland and France
has been operating since xxxx
lifetime divided into 3 runs, currently on Run 3 with planned upgrades on the horizon
responsible for a number of discoveries aka Higgs, etc.

3.1.1 Overview

[Basic info: location, size, main working mechanism, main detectors, main physics done]

- 27km circumference, reusing LEP tunnels 175m below ground level
- 7-13-13.6 TeV center of mass energies for pp collisions
- other than pp, also collides pPb, PbPb at 4 points with 4 main detectors: ATLAS, CMS (general purpose detectors), ALICE (heavy ion physics, ion collisions), LHCb (*b*-physics)

3.1.2 Operations

- focuses mainly on pp collisions for this thesis - beams split into bunches of 1.1×10^{11} protons with instantaneous luminosity of up to $2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$
 - beam energies ramp up in other accelerators before injection, full ramp up to 6.5 GeV about 20 minutes
- (insert full diagram of accelerator chain)

Linac 4: hydrogen atoms, accelerated up to 160 MeV

PSB: H atoms stripped of electrons before injection, accelerated to 2 GeV

PS: 26 GeV, SPS: 450 GeV

LHC: injection in opposite directions, 6.5 TeV per beam

Run 1: 2010-2012, Run 2: 2015-2018, Run 3: 2022-2025, HL-LHC: 2029-?

COM energies: 7 & 8 TeV, 13 TeV, 13.6 TeV, 13.6 & 14 TeV

inbetween periods: long shutdowns (LS1, LS2, LS3)

(add HL-LHC timeline graph)

3.1.3 Physics

top factory

Higgs studies (insert SM processes cross sections chart)

3.2 The ATLAS detector

[goals, coordinate system]

right-handed cylindrical system, z-axis follows beamline, azimuthal and polar (0 in the beam direction) angles measured with respect to beam axis.

pseudorapidity $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$, approaches $\pm \infty$ along and 0 orthogonal to the beamline

distance $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta\eta^2 + \Delta\phi^2}$

transverse momentum p_T component of momentum orthogonal to the beam axis

- 3.2.1 Inner detector
- 3.2.2 Calorimeter systems
- 3.2.3 Muon spectrometer
- 3.2.4 Forward detectors
- 3.2.5 Magnetic systems
- 3.2.6 Trigger & data acquisition

Chapter 4. Data & Simulated Samples

4.1 Data samples

[trigger selection] [other cuts made] [luminosity]

4.2 Monte Carlo samples

4.2.1 Simulation

[geant4, madgraph, pdf set, etc.]

4.2.2 Signal samples

4.2.3 Background samples

Chapter 5. Particle Reconstruction & Identification

5.1 Object reconstruction

5.1.1 Vertex & track reconstruction

5.1.2 Jets

5.1.3 Electrons

[isolation criteria along with muon]

5.1.4 Muons

5.1.5 Missing transverse momentum

5.1.6 Topological clustering

5.1.7 Pile-up & overlap removal

5.2 Particle identification

b-tagging

[details about optimization work & b-tagging calibration work]

Chapter 6. Event Selection

[event selection criteria]

6.1 Object definition

[lepton pt cut study here]

6.2 Background estimation

6.2.1 Fake & non-prompt leptons

6.2.2 Irreducible background

6.3 Analysis regions

6.3.1 Control regions

$t\bar{t}W$ CRs

6.3.2 Signal regions

[include blinding strategy]

6.3.3 Validation region

6.4 Signal extraction

SM MVA

BSM MVA

Chapter 7. Systematic Uncertainties

7.1 Experimental uncertainties

7.2 Modeling uncertainties

7.2.1 Signal modeling uncertainties

7.2.2 Background modeling uncertainties

Chapter 8. Results

8.1 Likelihood fit

8.2 Limits

8.3 Interpretation

Chapter 9. Summary

Bibliography

- [1] Michael Bender, Paul-Henri Heenen, and Paul-Gerhard Reinhard. “Self-consistent mean-field models for nuclear structure”. In: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 75 (1 Jan. 2003), pp. 121–180. DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.75.121. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.121>.
- [2] Michael Bender et al. “Future of nuclear fission theory”. In: *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics* 47.11 (Oct. 2020), p. 113002. DOI: 10.1088/1361-6471/abab4f. URL: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6471/abab4f>.
- [3] J. Dechargé and D. Gogny. “Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov calculations with the *D1* effective interaction on spherical nuclei”. In: *Phys. Rev. C* 21 (4 Apr. 1980), pp. 1568–1593. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.21.1568. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.21.1568>.
- [4] J. Dobaczewski, H. Flocard, and J. Treiner. “Hartree-Fock-Bogolyubov description of nuclei near the neutron-drip line”. In: *Nuclear Physics A* 422.1 (1984), pp. 103–139. ISSN: 0375-9474. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474\(84\)90433-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(84)90433-0). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0375947484904330>.

- [5] M. Kortelainen et al. “Nuclear energy density optimization: Shell structure”. In: *Phys. Rev. C* 89 (5 May 2014), p. 054314. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.89.054314. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.89.054314>.
- [6] Tomoya Naito et al. “Coulomb exchange functional with generalized gradient approximation for self-consistent Skyrme Hartree-Fock calculations”. In: *Phys. Rev. C* 99 (2 Feb. 2019), p. 024309. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.99.024309. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.024309>.
- [7] R. Navarro Perez et al. “Axially deformed solution of the Skyrme–Hartree–Fock–Bogolyubov equations using the transformed harmonic oscillator basis (III) hfbtho (v3.00): A new version of the program”. In: *Computer Physics Communications* 220 (2017), pp. 363–375. ISSN: 0010-4655. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2017.06.022>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465517302047>.
- [8] N Schunck and L M Robledo. “Microscopic theory of nuclear fission: a review”. In: *Reports on Progress in Physics* 79.11 (Oct. 2016), p. 116301. DOI: 10.1088/0034-4885/79/11/116301. URL: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/79/11/116301>.
- [9] J. C. Slater. “A Simplification of the Hartree-Fock Method”. In: *Phys. Rev.* 81 (3 Feb. 1951), pp. 385–390. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.81.385. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.81.385>.
- [10] M.V. Stoitsov et al. “Axially deformed solution of the Skyrme–Hartree–Fock–Bogolyubov equations using the transformed harmonic oscillator basis. The program HFBTHO (v1.66p)”. In: *Computer Physics Communications* 167.1 (2005), pp. 43–63. ISSN: 0010-4655. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2005.01.001>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465505000305>.

- [11] D. Vautherin and D. M. Brink. “Hartree-Fock Calculations with Skyrme’s Interaction. I. Spherical Nuclei”. In: *Phys. Rev. C* 5 (3 Mar. 1972), pp. 626–647. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevC.5.626. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.5.626>.

APPENDIX A. Statistical analysis

A.1 Statistical inference

A.2 Hypothesis testing

A.3 χ^2 template fitting