

Variable

- Evaluation $\mathcal{E}[[x]]\eta = \eta[x]$

- Well Typed Definition

(i) $\bar{p} \mid x_\tau$ is wt iff it is standard, and *either*

(a) λx_τ or *fix* x_τ is active in \bar{p} , or

(b) *let* x_σ is active in \bar{p} , and τ is a generic instance of σ .

- Proof

(i) x_τ . Then *either* λx_τ or *fix* x_τ is active in \bar{p} , and $\eta[x] : \tau$, so $\mathcal{E}[[x]]\eta : \tau$, or *let* x_σ is active in \bar{p} , and $\eta[x] : \sigma$; but then $\tau \leq \sigma$, so $\mathcal{E}[[x]]\eta = \eta[x] : \tau$, by Proposition 1.

Application

- Evaluation

$$\mathcal{E}[(e_1 e_2)]_\eta = v_1 \mathbf{E} F \rightarrow (v_2 \mathbf{E} W \rightarrow \text{wrong}, (v_1 \mid F)v_2),$$

wrong

where v_i is $\mathcal{E}[e_i]_\eta$ ($i = 1, 2$).

- Well Typed Definition

(ii) $\bar{p} \mid (\bar{e}_\rho \bar{e}'_\sigma)_\tau$ is wt iff $\bar{p} \mid \bar{e}$ and $\bar{p} \mid \bar{e}'$ are both wt, and $\rho = \sigma \rightarrow \tau$.

- Proof

(ii) $(\bar{e}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} \bar{e}_\sigma)_\tau$. Then $\bar{p} \mid \bar{e}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}$ is wt, so $\mathcal{E}[e]_\eta : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$, and similarly $\mathcal{E}[e']_\eta : \sigma$. Then from the semantic equation (remembering that wrong has no type) and by Proposition 2 we get $\mathcal{E}[d]_\eta : \tau$.

Conditional

- Evaluation $\mathcal{E}[\text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3]_\eta = v_1 \text{ E } B_0 \rightarrow (v_1 \mid B_0 \rightarrow v_2, v_3)$, wrong
where v_i is $\mathcal{E}[e_i]_\eta$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$)

- Well Typed Definition

(iii) $\bar{p} \mid (\text{if } \bar{e}_\rho \text{ then } \bar{e}'_\sigma \text{ else } \bar{e}''_\sigma)_{\tau'}$ is wt iff $\bar{p} \mid \bar{e}$, $\bar{p} \mid \bar{e}'$ and $\bar{p} \mid \bar{e}''$ are all wt, $\rho = \iota_0$, and $\sigma = \tau = \tau'$.

- Proof

(iii) $(\text{if } \bar{e}_{\iota_0} \text{ then } \bar{e}'_\sigma \text{ else } \bar{e}''_\sigma)$. Straightforward; the only extra detail needed here is that \perp_ν has every type.

Lambda Abstraction

$$\mathcal{E}[\lambda x \cdot e]\eta = (\lambda v \cdot \mathcal{E}[e]\eta\{v/x\}) \text{ in } V$$

(iv) $\bar{p} \mid (\lambda x_\rho \cdot \bar{e}_\sigma)_\tau$ is wt iff $\bar{p} \cdot \lambda x_\rho \mid \bar{e}$ is wt and $\tau = \rho \rightarrow \sigma$.

(iv) $(\lambda x_\rho \cdot \bar{e}_\sigma)_{\rho \rightarrow \sigma}$. Then $\bar{p} \cdot \lambda x_\rho \mid \bar{e}_\sigma$ is wt. Now we require $(\lambda v \cdot \mathcal{E}[e]\eta\{v/x\})$ in $V : \rho \rightarrow \sigma$. Denote this function by f in V . The inverse of Proposition 2 does not hold, that is, to show f in $V : \rho \rightarrow \sigma$ it is not sufficient (though it is necessary) that whenever $v : \rho, fv : \sigma$. What is required is that for every $\eta \rightarrow v \leq \rho \rightarrow \sigma, f$ in $V : \mu \rightarrow v$.

Suppose then that $\mu \rightarrow v \leq \rho \rightarrow \sigma$. Then there is a substitution S , involving only the type variables in ρ and σ , such that $\mu \rightarrow v = S(\rho \rightarrow \sigma)$. Then, since none of these type variables is generic in $\bar{p} \cdot \lambda x_\rho \mid \bar{e}_\sigma$, it follows that $S(\bar{p}) \cdot \lambda x_\mu \mid S(\bar{e})_v$ is wt by Proposition 4. Moreover η respects $S(\bar{p})$ (since by Proposition 1 whenever $\eta[x] : \sigma'$ and $\tau' \leq \sigma', \eta[x] : \tau'$) so for any $v : \mu$ we also have $\eta\{v/x\}$ respects $S(\bar{p}) \cdot \lambda x_\mu$.

It then follows by induction that $\mathcal{E}[e]\eta\{v/x\} : v$, so we have shown that $v : \mu$ implies $fv : v$, and this yields f in $V : \mu \rightarrow v$ as required.

Fix

$$\mathcal{E}[\text{fix } x \cdot e]_{\eta} = Y(\lambda v \cdot \mathcal{E}[e]_{\eta\{v/x\}})$$

(v) $\bar{p} \mid (\text{fix } x_{\rho} \cdot \bar{e}_{\sigma})_{\tau}$ is wt iff $\bar{p} \cdot \text{fix } x_{\rho} \mid \bar{e}$ is wt and $\rho = \sigma = \tau$.

(v) $(\text{fix } x_{\rho} \cdot e_{\rho})_{\rho}$. Then $\bar{p} \cdot \text{fix } x_{\rho} \mid \bar{e}_{\rho}$ is wt. Now we require that $v : \rho$, where

$$v = Y(\lambda v' \cdot \mathcal{E}[e]_{\eta\{v'/x\}}).$$

Now $v = \sqcup_i v_i$, where $v_0 = \perp_V$, $v_{i+1} = \mathcal{E}[e]_{\eta\{v_i/x\}}$, and by the directed completeness of types we only have to show $v_i : \rho$ for each i .

Clearly $v_0 : \rho$. Assume $v_i : \rho$. Since η respect \bar{p} , we have that $\eta\{v_i/x\}$ respects $\bar{p} \cdot \text{fix } x_{\rho}$, so by the main induction hypothesis $v_{i+1} : \rho$ also, and we are done.

Let $x=e$ in e'

$$\mathcal{E}[\textit{let } x = e_1 \textit{ in } e_2]\eta = v_1 \text{ E } W \rightarrow \text{wrong}, \mathcal{E}[e_2]\eta\{v_1/x\} \\ \text{where } v_1 = \mathcal{E}[e_1]\eta.$$

(vi) $\bar{p} \mid (\textit{let } x_\rho = \bar{e}_\rho \textit{ in } \bar{e}'_\sigma)_\tau$ is wt iff $\bar{p} \mid \bar{e}$ and $\bar{p} \cdot \textit{let } x_\rho \mid \bar{e}'$ are both wt, and $\sigma = \tau$.

(vi) $(\textit{let } x = \bar{e}_\rho \textit{ in } \bar{e}'_\sigma)_\sigma$. Then $\bar{p} \mid \bar{e}_\rho$ is wt, so we immediately have $v : \rho$, where $v = \mathcal{E}[e]\eta$. We require $\mathcal{E}[e']\eta\{v/x\} : \sigma$.

Now $\bar{p} \cdot \textit{let } x_\rho \mid \bar{e}'_\sigma$ is also wt, and because $v : \rho$ we have that $\eta\{v/x\}$ respects $\bar{p} \cdot \textit{let } x_\rho$; the rest follows by the induction hypothesis. ■