Practice Problems for Section 3

Higher-Order Fun

There Can Be Only One

Write functions fold map and fold filter that have the same signatures and behavior as List.map and List.filter correspondingly. Use List.foldr. Do not use pattern matching or any other list functions.

```
EXAMPLE: fold map (fn x => x + 1) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] = [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]
```

```
{\bf SIGNATURE:} val fold_filter = fn : ('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list
```

```
EXAMPLE: fold_filter (fn x => x mod 2 = 0) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] = [2, 4]
```

The Evil Twin

Write a function unfold that takes a state transition function and an initial state and produces a list. On each step the current state is fed into the state transition function, which evaluates either to NONE, indicating that the result should contain no more elements, or to SOME pair, where pair contains the next state and the next list element.

```
SIGNATURE: val unfold = fn : ('a \rightarrow ('a * 'b) option) \rightarrow 'a \rightarrow 'b list
```

```
EXAMPLE: unfold (fn x => if x > 3 then NONE else SOME (x + 1, x)) 0 = [0, 1, 2, 3]
```

A Novel Approach

Write a function factorial that takes an integer number n and evaluates to n!. Your function should be a composition of unfold and List.foldl. You should not use any other list functions, recursion or pattern matching.

BONUS QUESTION: Is this function as good as a simple tail-recursive factorial implementation?

```
{\bf SIGNATURE:} val factorial = fn : int -> int
```

EXAMPLE: factorial 4 = 24

Unforeseen Developments

Write a function unfold_map, that behaves exactly as List.map and fold_map, but that would be implemented in terms of unfold.

```
\mathbf{SIGNATURE:} val unfold_map = fn : ('a -> 'b) -> 'a list -> 'b list
```

```
EXAMPLE: unfold map (fn x => x + 1) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] = [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]
```

So Imperative (*)

Write a function do_until that takes three arguments, f, p and x, and keeps applying f to x until p x evaluates to true. Upon reaching that condition, f (f (f ... (f x) ...)) is returned.

```
SIGNATURE: val do_until = fn : ('a -> 'a) -> ('a -> bool) -> 'a -> 'a
```

EXAMPLE: do_until (fn x => x div 2) (fn x => x mod 2
$$<>$$
 0) 48 = 3

Yet Another Factorial

Write a function imp_factorial that has the same behavior as the factorial function described above, but is defined in terms of do_until.

NOTE: There is a deep relationship between these two versions of factorial function, with imp_factorial eliminating the building of an intermediate list.

```
SIGNATURE: val imp_factorial = fn : int -> int
EXAMPLE: imp_factorial 4 = 24
```

Fixed Point (*)

Write a function fixed_point that accepts some function f and an initial value x, and keeps applying f to x until an x is found such that f x = x. Note that the function must have the same domain and codomain, and that the values must be comparable for equality.

```
SIGNATURE: val fixed_point = fn : (''a -> ''a) -> ''a -> ''a

EXAMPLE: fixed_point (fn x => x div 2) 17 = 0
```

Newton's Method

Square root of a real number n is a fixed point of function $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x + \frac{n}{x})$. Unfortunately, for reasons rooted in the arcane art of numerical analysis, reals are not comparable for equality in Standard ML. Write a function my_sqrt that takes a real number and evaluates to an approximation of its square root. You will probably need to write a version of fixed_point that uses "difference in absolute value less than ϵ " as a test for equality. Use $\epsilon = 0.0001$. Use the number itself as an initial guess.

```
SIGNATURE: val my_sqrt = fn : real -> real
EXAMPLE: abs (my_sqrt 2.0 - Math.sqrt 2.0) < 0.01</pre>
```

Deeper Into The Woods

Let's reuse the binary tree data structure from practice problems for Section 2:

```
datatype 'a tree = leaf | node of { value : 'a, left : 'a tree, right : 'a tree }
```

Write functions tree_fold and tree_unfold that would serve as equivalents of fold and unfold on lists for this data structure.

HINT: This is a hard problem, but consider this: the initial value for fold corresponds to the base case of recursion on lists (i.e., matching []), while the function passed to the fold corresponds to the case when we match on ::. [] and :: correspond to leaf and node data constructors. Similar reasoning applies to unfold. You might also want to meditate over the signatures below if this does not provide sufficient insight.

```
val tree_fold = fn : ('a * 'b * 'a -> 'a) -> 'a
SIGNATURE:
-> 'b tree -> 'a
EXAMPLE:
                tree_fold (fn (1, v, r) => 1 ^ v ^ r) "!" (node {
value = "foo", left = node { value = "bar", left = leaf, right =
leaf }, right = node { value = "baz", left = leaf, right = leaf
}}) = "!bar!foo!baz!"
                    val tree_unfold = fn : ('a \rightarrow ('a * 'b * 'a))
SIGNATURE:
option) -> 'a -> 'b tree
                tree_unfold (fn x => if x = 0 then NONE else SOME
EXAMPLE:
(x - 1, x, x - 1)) 2 = node { value = 2, left = node { value =
1, left = leaf, right = leaf }, right = node { value = 1, left =
leaf, right = leaf }}
```

A Grand Challenge

Let's try to write a simple type inference algorithm for a very simple expression language. We won't deal with functions, variables or polymorphism.

The expressions will be represented by the following data type:

```
datatype expr = literal_bool | literal_int | binary_bool_op of expr * expr | binary_int_op or
```

The data constructors represent literal booleans, literal integers, binary operators on booleans, binary operators on integers, comparison operators and conditionals. Since we're only interested in types, and not in actually evaluating our expressions, we're omitting immaterial details, such as whether a literal boolean is "true" or "false", or whether an operator on integers is addition, subtraction or something else entirely.

The types will be represented by the following simple datatype:

```
datatype expr_type = type_bool | type_int
```

The typing rules for our expression language are simple:

- 1. Literal booleans are of type type_bool.
- 2. Literal integers have type type_int.
- 3. Boolean operators have type type_bool provided that both of their operands also have type type_bool.
- 4. Integer operators have type type_int provided that both operands also have type type_int.
- 5. Comparison operators have type type_bool provided that both operands have type type_int.
- 6. Conditionals have the same type as the first branch, provided that the second branch has the same type, and the condition has type type_bool.

Write a function <code>infer_type</code> that accepts an <code>expr</code> and evaluates to the type of the given expression. If the type cannot be determined according to the rules above, raise <code>TypeError</code> exception.

```
SIGNATURE: val infer_type = fn : expr -> expr_type
EXAMPLE: infer_type (conditional (literal_bool, literal_int, binary_int_op (literal_int, literal_int))) = type_int
```

Back To The Future! 2

A few of the practice problems from Sections 1 and 2 can be rewritten more elegantly using the material from Section 3. All problem statements, **SIGNATURES** and **EXAMPLES** remain the same. If there are any additional considerations, these will be mentioned below. Only some of the potentially eligible problems are included – naturally, you're welcome to rewrite the rest on your own, using similar approaches.

GCD - Final Redux

Write a function gcd_list following the specification from Section 1's **Greated Common Divisor** – **Continued** problem. Use folds. Use the following implementation of gcd as a helper function:

```
fun gcd (a : int, b : int) =
   if a = b
   then a
   else
       if a < b
       then gcd (a, b - a)
       else gcd (a - b, b)</pre>
```

Element Of A List – Final Redux

Write a function any_divisible_by following the specification from Section 1's **Element Of A List** problem. Use folds or other higher-order list functions. Use the following implementation of is_divisible_by as a helper function:

```
fun is_divisible_by (a : int, b : int) = a mod b = 0
```

Quirky Addition – Continued – Final Redux (*)

Write a function add_all_opt following the specification from Section 1's Quirky Addition – Continued problem. Use folds.

Flip Flop – Final Redux (*)

Write a function alternate following the specification from Section 1's Flip Flop problem. Use folds.

Minimum/Maximum - Final Redux (*)

Write a function min_max following the specification from Section 1's Minimum/Maximum problem. Use folds.

Lists And Tuples, Oh My! - Final Redux

Write a function unzip following the specification from Section 1's Lists And Tuples, Oh My! problem. Use folds.

NOTE: The type of your function is probably going to be more general that the one specified in the original problem. That's totally fine – awesome, actually!

Lists And Tuples, Oh My! - Continued (1) - Final Redux (*) (**)

Write a function zip following the specification from Section 1's Lists And Tuples, Oh My! – Continued (1) problem. Use unfold that you wrote in The Evil Twin problem.

NOTE: The type of your function is probably going to be more general that the one specified in the original problem. That's totally fine – awesome, actually!

BBCA - Final Redux (*)

Write a function repeats_list following the specification from Section 1's BananaBanana - Continued (Again) problem. Use folds.

NOTE: The type of your function is probably going to be more general that the one specified in the original problem. That's totally fine – awesome, actually!

38 Cons Cells - Final Redux

Write a function length_of_a_list following the specification from Section 2's 38 Cons Cells problem. Use folds.

Forest For The Trees - Final Redux

Write functions tree_height, sum_tree and gardener following specifications from Section 2's Forest For The Trees series of problems. Use tree_fold and/or tree_unfold.

- (*) Problems contributed by Charilaos Skiadas.
- (**) And yes, that's a stupid title for a problem. Charilaos had nothing to do with *that* part of it.