

# How To Quotient A Cpo

Paul Blain Levy

July 28, 2006

An exposition of an old result, based on Achim Jung's notes.

## 1 Admissible Closure

In this section, let  $A$  be a cpo (poset with all directed joins) and let  $U \subseteq A$ . We write  $\leq$  for the order on  $A$ .

**Definition 1** We define  $\text{adm}_A(U)$  to be least subset of  $A$  that is admissible (closed under directed joins) and contains  $U$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1** Each  $x \in \text{adm}_A(U)$  is a least upper bound of  $\{y \in U \mid y \leq x\}$ , and this lub is preserved by every continuous function from  $\text{adm}_A(U)$ .  $\square$

*Proof* Let  $\text{adm}_A(U) \xrightarrow{h} B$  be a continuous function. For each  $x \in \text{adm}_A(U)$ , it is clear that  $h(x)$  is an upper bound for the set  $Q(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{h(y) \mid y \in U, y \leq x\}$ . We wish to show that it is a least upper bound. (Taking  $h$  to be the identity on  $\text{adm}_A(U)$  then gives that  $x$  is the lub of  $\{y \in U \mid y \leq x\}$ .)

The set  $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \text{adm}_A(U) \mid h(x) \text{ is a lub of } Q(x)\}$  contains  $U$ . To see that  $K$  is admissible, suppose that  $D$  is a directed subset of  $K$ . We wish to show that  $h(\bigsqcup_{x \in D} x) = \bigsqcup_{x \in D} h(x)$  is a lub of  $Q(\bigsqcup_{x \in D} x)$ . Suppose that  $n$  is an upper bound of  $Q(\bigsqcup_{x \in D} x)$ ; we wish to show that  $\bigsqcup_{x \in D} h(x) \leq n$ . So, for each  $x \in D$ , we need to show that  $h(x) \leq n$ , i.e. that  $n$  is an upper bound for  $Q(x)$ , which follows from  $Q(x) \subseteq Q(\bigsqcup_{x \in D} x)$ .  $\square$

## 2 Quotienting

In this section, let  $A$  be a cpo, and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a binary relation on  $A$ . We write  $\leq$  for the order on  $A$ . Define  $\theta(\mathcal{R})$  to be the full subcategory of the coslice category  $A/\mathbf{Cpo}$  consisting of those objects  $(B, A \xrightarrow{g} B)$  such that if  $x \mathcal{R} y$  then  $g(x) \leq_B g(y)$ . Our aim is to prove that  $\theta(\mathcal{R})$  has an initial object.

Let us say that a set  $P \subseteq A$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -compatible when

- $P$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -downclosed (i.e.  $x \mathcal{R} y$  and  $y \in P$  implies  $x \in P$ )

- $P$  is Scott-closed (admissible and  $\leq$ -downclosed).

The poset  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ -compatible sets (ordered by inclusion) is a complete lattice, with meets given by intersection. In particular, it has directed joins, which we write  $\bigsqcup^{\mathcal{L}}$ .

For  $x \in A$ , we define  $[x] \in \mathcal{L}$  to be the least  $\mathcal{R}$ -compatible subset that  $\ni x$ . Thus for  $x \in A$  and  $P \in \mathcal{L}$  we have

$$x \in P \Leftrightarrow [x] \subseteq P \quad (1)$$

We then define  $\mathcal{K}$  to be  $\text{adm}_{\mathcal{L}}(\{[x] \mid x \in A\})$ . We are going to show that  $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathcal{K}, A \xrightarrow{[-]} \mathcal{K})$  is an initial object of  $\theta(\mathcal{R})$ .

To show that  $X$  is an object of  $\theta(\mathcal{R})$ , we reason as follows.

- To show  $[-]$  is monotone, suppose  $x \leq y$ . Then  $y \in [y]$  gives  $x \in [y]$  (since  $[y]$  is  $\leq$ -downclosed) which gives  $[x] \subseteq [y]$  by (1).
- To show  $[-]$  is continuous, suppose that  $D \subseteq A$  is directed, and that  $P \in \mathcal{L}$  is an upper bound for  $\{[d] \mid d \in D\}$ . Then  $D \subseteq P$ , so  $\bigsqcup D \in P$  (since  $P$  is admissible), so  $[\bigsqcup D] \subseteq P$  by (1).
- Suppose  $x \mathcal{R} y$ . Then  $y \in [y]$  gives  $x \in [y]$  (since  $[y]$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -downclosed) which gives  $[x] \subseteq [y]$  by (1).

Now suppose that  $Y = (B, A \xrightarrow{g} B)$  is an arbitrary object of  $\theta(\mathcal{R})$ . We seek a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{h} Y$ . Prop. 1, together with (1), tells us that  $h$  must map each  $P \in \mathcal{K}$  to a lub of  $g''P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g(y) \mid y \in P\}$ , so  $h$  is unique. We need to show that  $g''P$  has a lub, for every  $P \in \mathcal{K}$ .

**Lemma 1**

1. Suppose  $P, Q \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $P \subseteq Q$ . If  $g''P$  has a lub  $m$ , and  $g''Q$  has a lub  $n$ , then  $m \leq_B n$ .
2. Suppose  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  is directed. If, for each  $P \in \mathcal{D}$ , the set  $g''P$  has a lub  $m_P$ , so that the set  $\{m_P \mid P \in \mathcal{D}\}$  is directed by part 1, then  $m = \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{D}} m_P$  is a lub for  $g''(\bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{L}} P)$ .
3. For each  $x \in A$ , the set  $g''[x]$  has lub  $g(x)$ .

□

*Proof*

1. Because  $g''P \subseteq g''Q$ .

2. The set  $\{x \in A \mid g(x) \leq_B m\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -compatible, and contains  $P$  for each  $P \in \mathcal{D}$ . Therefore it contains  $\bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{L}} P$ . So if  $x \in \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{L}} P$ , then  $g(x) \leq_B m$ . So  $m$  is an upper bound for  $g''(\bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{L}} P)$ .  
 Suppose  $n$  is an arbitrary upper bound for  $g''(\bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{L}} P)$ . For each  $p \in P$ , we have that  $n$  is an upper bound for  $g''P$ , so  $m_P \leq_B n$ . Therefore  $m \leq_B n$ . So  $m$  is a least upper bound for  $g''(\bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{L}} P)$ .
3. For each  $x \in A$ , the set  $\{y \in A \mid g(y) \leq_B g(x)\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -compatible and contains  $x$ , so by (1) it contains  $[x]$ . Hence  $g(x)$  is an upper bound of  $g''[x]$ . Clearly, any upper bound of  $g''[x]$  is  $\geq_B g(x)$ .

□

Lemma 1 tells us that the set  $\{P \in \mathcal{K} \mid g''P \text{ has a lub}\}$  is admissible and contains  $\{[x] \mid x \in A\}$ , hence it is the whole of  $\mathcal{K}$ , as we wanted. Lemma 1 also tells us that the function  $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{h} B$  that maps  $P \in \mathcal{K}$  to the lub of  $g''[x]$  is monotone and continuous, and satisfies  $h([x]) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ , as required.