







Back To Course Home

Grokking the System Design Interview

(/courses/grokking-the-system-design-interview)

13% completed



Search Course

(/courses/grokking-the-system-design-

interview/3jYKmrVAPGQ)

Designing Twitter Search (/courses/grokking-the-system-design-

interview/xV9mMjj74gE)

Designing a Web Crawler (/courses/grokking-the-systemdesigninterview/NE5LpPrWrKv)

Designing Facebook's

PACELC Theorem (New)

Let's learn about the PACELC theorem and its usage.

We'll cover the following



- Background
- Solution
- Examples

Background#

We cannot avoid partition in a distributed system; therefore, according to the CAP theorem, a distributed system should choose between consistency or availability. ACID (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability) databases chose consistency (refuse response if it cannot check with peers), while BASE (Basically Available, Soft-state, Eventually consistent) databases chose

availability (respond with local data without ensuring it is the latest with its peers). One place where the CAP theorem is silent is what happens when there is no network partition? What choices does a distributed system have when there is no partition?

Solution#

The PACELC theorem states that in a system that replicates data:

- if there is a partition ('P'), a distributed system can tradeoff between availability and consistency (i.e., 'A' and 'C');
- else ('E'), when the system is running normally in the absence of partitions, the system can tradeoff between latency ('L') and consistency ('C').

The first part of the theorem (**PAC**) is the same as the CAP theorem, and the **ELC** is the extension. The whole thesis is assuming we maintain high availability by replication. So, when there is a failure, CAP theorem prevails. But if not, we still have to consider the tradeoff between consistency and latency of a replicated system.

Examples#

- **Dynamo and Cassandra** are PA/EL systems: They choose availability over consistency when a partition occurs; otherwise, they choose lower latency.
- **BigTable and HBase** are PC/EC systems: They will always choose consistency, giving up availability and lower latency.
- MongoDB can be considered PA/EC (default configuration):
 MongoDB works in a primary/secondaries configuration. In
 the default configuration, all writes and reads are performed
 on the primary. As all replication is done asynchronously
 (from primary to secondaries), when there is a network
 partition in which primary is lost or becomes isolated on the
 minority side, there is a chance of losing data that is
 unreplicated to secondaries, hence there is a loss of
 consistency during partitions. Therefore it can be concluded
 that in the case of a network partition, MongoDB chooses
 availability, but otherwise guarantees consistency.

Alternately, when MongoDB is configured to write on majority replicas and read from the primary, it could be categorized as PC/EC.

←	Back
CAP ⁻	Theorem

Next \rightarrow

Consistent Hashing (New)

Mark as Completed

Report an Issue ? Ask a Question

(https://discuss.educative.io/tag/pacelc-theorem-new__glossary-ofsystem-design-basics__grokking-the-system-design-interview)