

1 THE CLERK: This is The People of the State of
2 New York against Donald J. Trump, SMZ 71911 of '24.
3 Appearances, starting with the People, please.
4 MR. STEINGLASS: For the People, ADA Joshua
5 Steinglass, Susan Hoffinger, Matthew Colangelo, Christopher
6 Conroy, Becky Mangold and Katherine Ellis.
7 Good morning, everyone.
8 THE COURT: Good morning.
9 MR. BLANCHE: Good morning, your Honor.
10 Todd Blanche on behalf of President Donald J.
11 Trump, who is seated to my left. I am joined at counsel's
12 table by Emil Bove, Susan Necheles and Stephen Weiss.
13 Good morning.
14 THE COURT: Good morning.
15 Good morning, Mr. Trump.
16 As you know, I asked the jury to come in a little
17 bit later today, at ten o'clock, so that we could have our
18 hearing on the Order to Show Cause, which is identified as
19 SMZ 71911 of '24.
20 I looked at the exhibits that were provided by
21 both the People and the Defense. The People provided four
22 exhibits, one for each one of those violations. The
23 Defense provided a number of exhibits, I believe it was
24 close to 500 pages in total, and also submitted a — so,
25 People, why don't we begin with you going through each of

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 the violations, and explain to me why you believe that it
2 constitutes a violation.

3 MR. CONROY: Sure, Judge.

4 Unless your Honor prefers it, I think that I will
5 not play each of the video clips or even read the direct
6 language. I will just talk about each of them, and I will
7 let you know which one I am speaking about as I am speaking
8 about it.

9 THE COURT: I agree you shouldn't play the video.
10 You can give me the exact language and why you think it is
11 a violation, you should do that.

12 MR. CONROY: Okay.

13 Judge, I just want to start by saying the
14 Defendant's complaining that this Order restricting his
15 extrajudicial statements in this case, was not intended to
16 allow everyone to attack him, but not to allow him to
17 respond, and that's on page one of his memo.
18 The Order was issued because of the Defendant's
19 persistent and escalating rhetoric aimed at participants in
20 this proceeding. And the Order was intended to prevent the
21 Defendant from attacking witnesses in this case and jurors
22 in the case and others.
23 He has already been found by the Court to have
24 violated the Order nine times, and he has done it again
25 here.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 With respect to the first violation, I am going
2 to talk about, this is, actually, the second violation on
3 the Order to Show Cause that we handed up. It's Exhibit F
4 in the April 25th Affirmation.
5 And I will just start by reading it. The
6 Defendant said the following:
7 But this Judge said that I can't get away from
8 the trial. You know, he is rushing the trial like crazy.
9 Nobody has ever seen a thing like this.
10 That jury was picked so fast, 95 percent
11 Democrats. The area is mostly all Democrat. You think of
12 it as a, just a purely Democrat area. It is a very unfair
13 situation, that I can tell you.
14 This was just hours before he had a hearing here
15 related to his previous violations.
16 He was on the media, and he used his platform
17 there to criticize the seated jury in this case. There is
18 no inference needed. It is not just any jurors, it is
19 these jurors, in this case, sitting right in this room in a
20 few minutes.
21 His contrived justification in his papers is
22 that, well, the media was saying some of what I am saying.
23 That's a little bit like his re-posting argument, except
24 weaker.
25 The Defendant saying anything amplifies it and

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 creates an air of menace that is substantially different
2 from a media report. By talking about the jury at all, he
3 places this process and this proceeding here in jeopardy.
4 That is what the Order forbids, and he did it
5 anyway.
6 I will move on to the second violation that we
7 are alleging, and it is actually the fourth in the Order to
8 Show Cause. It's Exhibit H in the Affirmation that we
9 filed on the 25th.
10 That statement was made on the morning of the
11 25th. So, on his way down here for the hearing at some
12 kind of a press event, I think at 49th and Fifth Avenues in
13 Manhattan. And the Defendant was out, and there were
14 reporters around who were asking him questions. I think
15 that was all part of the plan.
16 And one of the questions, and I will read now the
17 question, and then the defendant's statement.
18 The question was, what have you thought of David
19 Pecker's testimony so far? When was the last time you
20 spoke to him?
21 The defendant's answer was, he has been very
22 nice. I mean, he has been — David's been very nice, a
23 nice guy. This is a classic carrot stick.
24 First of all, there is no question that there was
25 a witness in the proceeding because the witness was going

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 to be testifying a hour or two after the Defendant made
2 that statement.
3 As I said the other day, the Defendant knows what
4 he is doing. He talks about the testifying witness, says
5 nice things, does it in front of the cameras.
6 To be clear, he was asked other questions about
7 this case and ignored them. So this was not just the
8 Defendant responding to everything the reporters were
9 asking him. He selectively responded to this question and
10 not others.
11 The one he answered was about the witness who was
12 testifying. It was deliberate, and it was calculating.
13 Pecker, be nice. Everyone is hearing this. I
14 have a platform, and I will talk about you, so be nice.
15 What the defense counsel described as innocuous
16 statements about Mr. Pecker on page five of their memo, is
17 anything but. They are deliberate shots across the bow to
18 everyone that may come to this courtroom to tell the truth
19 about the Defendant and what he did.
20 Moving on to the third statement that I will talk
21 about, which this time it is also the third statement in
22 the Order to Show Cause, and it's Exhibit G in the
23 Affirmation that I filed.
24 This is from an interview that the Defendant gave
25 to a Pennsylvania TV station on the morning of Tuesday,

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 April 23rd. It didn't actually air until that evening, and
2 the statement is as follows:

3 Well, Michael Cohen is a convicted liar, and he
4 has got no credibility whatsoever. He was a lawyer and you
5 rely on your lawyers. But Michael Cohen was a convicted
6 lawyer. He was a lawyer for many people, not just me, and
7 he got in trouble because of things outside of what he did
8 for me.

9 Largely, it was essentially all because of what
10 he did in terms of the campaign. I don't think there was
11 anything wrong with that, with the charges that they made,
12 but what he did is — is he did some pretty bad things, I
13 guess, with banking or whatever. If it was a personal
14 thing to him.

15 David Pecker, I don't know exactly what he's
16 going to be testifying against but — or about. He will be
17 testifying today.

18 Again, no question this is related to this
19 proceeding. The Defendant is talking about two witnesses,
20 one of whom was on the stand at the time in the middle of
21 testimony.

22 It's clearly willful, clearly knowing.

23 The Defendant thinks the rules should be
24 different for him. I talked a bit about the impact of
25 comments about a testifying witness, perhaps not on that

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 witness, but on other witnesses. It is an insidious thing.
2 I will talk a little bit more about Michael Cohen
3 in a moment.
4 Moving to the — and, again, in that statement he
5 is talking both about Michael Cohen and his credibility,
6 which is a theme here, and then about David Pecker, who is
7 the witness on the stand.
8 Finally, I will move on to the first statement in
9 the Order to Show Cause, which is Exhibit E from the April
10 25th Affirmation. That was made also on April 22nd,
11 earlier in the day than the statement I just talked about.
12 And I know I said this the other day, that this
13 statement was made right outside of these doors, the doors
14 of this courtroom in the little pen that's set up where he
15 speaks to the gathered media everyday.
16 He stood there for almost nine minutes and he
17 talked about a prospective witness that was going to be in
18 this courtroom at some date in the future, Michael Cohen.
19 What he said was, and it's two different
20 excerpts:
21 But, they called the payment to a lawyer a legal
22 expense in the books. They didn't call it construction.
23 They didn't say you are building a building. They called
24 it a payment to a lawyer because, as you know, Cohen is a
25 lawyer, represented a lot of people over the years.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 I am not the only one and wasn't very good in a
2 lot of ways in terms of his representation, but he
3 represented a lot of people.
4 But, he puts in an invoice, or whatever, a bill,
5 and they pay, and they call it a legal expense. I got
6 indicted for that.
7 Later the Defendant went on, quote, and when are
8 they going to look at all the lines that Cohen did in the
9 last trial?
10 He got caught lying in the last trial. He got
11 caught lying, pure lying. And when are they going to look
12 at that?
13 This and all of these are clearly related to this
14 proceeding. They are clearly willful. The Defendant is
15 talking about witnesses and the jury in this case, one
16 right here outside the door, all during the trial.
17 This is the most critical time, the time the
18 proceeding needs to be protected, where — particularly
19 where Defendant has demonstrated over time, and recently,
20 his willingness to say and do anything he can to try to
21 infect and disrupt this process. That's what the Defendant
22 has tried to do and continues to try to do.
23 We understand the Court's concern about Michael
24 Cohen, but in the statements at issue here, the Defendant
25 wasn't responding to anything in particular. He talks

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 about things Michael Cohen has said in the weeks and months
2 before defendant's statements at issue here.
3 There is mention of TikToks more recently, and
4 now, I will talk about the exhibits, the 50-plus exhibits,
5 500 pages of documents that were filed with Defense papers.
6 But, there is nothing to indicate that the
7 Defendant saw those statements when they were made. This
8 Order has only been in effect for four to five weeks, not
9 months.
10 Michael Cohen is not a political opponent.
11 Defendant's comments about Michael Cohen relate to issues
12 at the heart of this proceeding and Michael Cohen's
13 participation in the proceeding.
14 They don't relate to political policies or goals
15 of the Defendant. The Defendant is doing everything he can
16 to make this case and the proceeding about his politics.
17 It's not. It's about his criminal conduct.
18 His statements are corrosive to this proceeding
19 and to the fair administration of justice.
20 As your Honor knows, we just did this the other
21 day. What we have to prove here is the existence of a
22 lawful Order expressing an ongoing mandate, which I submit
23 is very clear here based on the Court's previous decision
24 and other litigation on this very issue.
25 We have to show a violation of that Order, and

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 that's what we have just gone through. And we have to show
2 that it is made with knowledge, and it is willful beyond a
3 reasonable doubt.

4 I would remind the Court that on Monday,
5 April 23rd, Mr. Blanche in court with the Defendant sitting
6 right next to him, said the following about the defendant's
7 knowledge of the Order, and this is from the transcript on
8 April 23rd, page 964, line 10 to 15:

9 There is, just to set the record very straight
10 and clear, President Trump does, in fact, know what the Gag
11 Order allows him to do and not allow him to do. And there
12 was absolutely no willful violation of the Gag Order in the
13 ten posts released by the campaign or what the People just
14 discussed.

15 THE COURT: What was the page number on that?

16 MR. CONROY: Sorry. That was Page 964,
17 April 23rd, lines 10 to 15.

18 THE COURT: Thank you.

19 MR. CONROY: Again, the existence of a lawful
20 Order, I don't think is at issue at all. There has been
21 plenty of litigation on that. The Court issued the
22 decision the other day.

23 Based on the comments themselves, the timing, and
24 even for the one comment, the location, I submit that we
25 have met our burden and established that the Defendant

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 willfully and knowingly violated the lawful Order of this
2 Court on each of the four occasions that I have just went
3 through.
4 We are asking the Court, again, to impose the
5 maximum \$1,000 fine for each of the four violations.
6 Because each of these statements was made before
7 the Court held the Defendant in contempt for violating this
8 Order nine previous times, and because we prefer to
9 minimize disruptions to this proceeding, we are not yet
10 seeking jail. But the Court's decision this past Tuesday
11 will inform the approach we take to any future violations.
12 We are happy to answer any questions.
13 Thank you.
14 THE COURT: None at this time. Thank you.
15 Mr. Blanche.
16 MR. BLANCHE: Thank you, your Honor.
17 So, part of my argument this morning does react
18 to the Court's Order on Tuesday, from April 30th. And
19 while we disagree with the Court's Order, to some context,
20 we are guided this morning in what I am going to say to the
21 Court by what the Court said to us on Tuesday.
22 First, the purpose of the Gag Order, your Honor,
23 is to restrict extrajudicial statements in Order to protect
24 the integrity of these proceedings by shielding those
25 fearful of reprisal by the Defendant so that they may take

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 part in these proceedings without concern.
2 And as I will get to in a few minutes, your
3 Honor, that concept alone is reason enough to deny the four
4 that we are here about this morning.
5 And I know that the Court has stated repeatedly
6 that the Gag Order, and your Honor's intention is not to
7 allow unfettered attacks on President Trump, recognizing he
8 is running for President. Doing a campaign every day, part
9 of the campaign takes place outside of this courtroom, part
10 of the campaign takes place in interviews, meetings with
11 potential voters, whether there is press present and on the
12 campaign trail, and your Honor said it again in the Order
13 on Tuesday.
14 And there is no dispute, I think, among anybody,
15 the Court, or the Government, that political attacks and
16 responses to political attacks does not violate the Gag
17 Order.
18 And I disagree with the People. I disagree that
19 the time that we start looking at what President Trump is
20 responding directly to is the day of the Gag Order.
21 Since President Trump announced his candidacy to
22 run for election this November in '22, almost two years
23 ago, there have been multiple and repeated attacks on him
24 from, among other people, Michael Cohen, and folks that
25 Michael Cohen has on his podcast regularly.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 Again, to talk — I will get more into this in a
2 minute from our exhibits, your Honor, to talk not only
3 about these proceedings, and not only about Mr. Cohen's
4 credibility, and not only attacking President Trump's
5 credibility, but also attacking him running for President,
6 the qualities he would bring as a leader of this nation and
7 reflecting on the presidency four years ago.

8 THE COURT: If I can make a suggestion, Mr.
9 Blanche.

10 My main concern is the conduct that took place
11 after the Gag Order was implemented.

12 I looked at the exhibits that you provided. If
13 you want to go through some of them, that's fine, but it's
14 500 pages.

15 MR. BLANCHE: I am not going through all of them,
16 Judge, of course not.

17 THE COURT: Just, as you know, it's not going to
18 weigh very heavily on my decision if you refer to exhibits
19 a year ago or two years ago before the Gag Order was in
20 place.

21 MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, it is not my intention
22 to do that. We will get to that in a minute.
23 In response to the Court's Order on Tuesday, and
24 in preparation for our hearing today, we are prepared to
25 pass up some additional exhibits. And the only exhibits I

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 am referring to today, I believe, are recent, recent
2 exhibits that bear on the four charged counts.
3 But, Judge, I — I do think it's appropriate when
4 the Court's considering whether there has been a willful
5 violation of the Gag Order beyond a reasonable doubt, to
6 keep in perspective beyond just the Gag Order, your Honor
7 did that in reaching the conclusions.
8 That's what I am talking about today. That's it.
9 THE COURT: I am telling you what I am going to
10 consider when I make my decision. You can do that.
11 MR. BLANCHE: Judge, last weekend — last
12 weekend, President Trump's rival, President Biden, said in
13 a public forum, he talked about this trial, and he talked
14 about a witness that's going to be in this trial.
15 He mocked President Trump.
16 He said, Donald has had a few tough days lately.
17 You might call it stormy weather.
18 Okay. President Trump can't respond to that in
19 the way that he would want to because of this Gag Order.
20 Stormy weather, as everyone —
21 THE COURT: Where—
22 MR. BLANCHE: It's one of our exhibits, your
23 Honor.
24 Stormy weather was an obvious reference to Stormy
25 Daniels.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 THE COURT: You are saying that he can't respond
2 to what President Biden said without saying Stormy, Stormy
3 Daniels?

4 MR. BLANCHE: That's exactly what I am saying.
5 He cannot respond without saying Stormy Daniels,
6 your Honor. He is not allowed to.

7 THE COURT: No, he is not allowed to refer to a
8 foreseeable witness in the case. He is certainly allowed
9 to respond to something said by President Biden. There is
10 nothing in the Gag Order that says he can't.

11 MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, I am not saying that he
12 is not allowed to respond to President Biden, but he is
13 limited in what he can say. President Biden is not
14 limited.

15 So, President Biden can make a reference to this
16 trial and to a witness in this trial. President Trump can
17 respond about certain parts of this trial and, your Honor,
18 that's just my opening point. That that happened this past
19 weekend is to give context to the four statements, which is
20 the reason why we are here today.

21 In addition to the exhibits that were attached on
22 Monday evening, your Honor, we are also going to hand up to
23 the Court and the Government shortly exhibits we will
24 offer, and they are Exhibit 61 through 126.
25 These exhibits are mostly tweets or re-tweets by

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 Michael Cohen recently, certain Mea Culpa Podcasts, recent
2 ones, and I don't believe every one since the Gag Order,
3 but recently, and then certain video transcripts from news
4 programs regarding Mr. Pecker's testimony, the jury
5 composition and Mr. Cohen himself.

6 And I am not going to go through all of them. I
7 am going to highlight a few of them, before I offer them
8 up, and I also — I have a thumb drive with all of them.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you.

11 (Whereupon, documents and a thumb drive were
12 handed to the Court and the People.)

13 THE COURT: Is there a reason why this wasn't
14 provided before when you submitted your answering papers?

15 MR. BLANCHE: Yes.

16 THE COURT: What's that?

17 MR. BLANCHE: The additional exhibits are in
18 response, as I mentioned a few minutes ago, to Your Honor's
19 Order on Tuesday, because your Honor made plain in the
20 Order recognizing that there would be opportunity, and it
21 may be necessary to allow — to remove certain people from
22 the Gag Order if they didn't need to be protected. That's
23 the reason that we are coming at it late, your Honor.
24 So I am going to go in a little bit reverse Order
25 and talk about Mr. Pecker, the alleged violation regarding

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 statements about Mr. Pecker.
2 So what President Trump said, David Pecker, I
3 don't know exactly what he is going to be testifying about,
4 but he will be testifying today.
5 So, that was a recording, as the Government just
6 said, on April 23rd, for a news show in Philadelphia. It
7 aired about six o'clock that evening.
8 That was in response to a question from the
9 interviewer saying, where is your concern level at this
10 point regarding David Pecker's testimony and Michael Cohen,
11 but focusing on David Pecker for now?
12 Where is your concern level?
13 President Trump sidestepped the question. He
14 gave a very factual, truthful answer. It wasn't a warning.
15 It wasn't a commentary on what Mr. Pecker had testified
16 about, and, certainly, it was not a willful violation.
17 I mean, he is — he is talking, as the Court
18 knows from the testimony, about a man that he has known for
19 decades. He is talking about a man who he was friends with
20 for decades.
21 There is no threat. There is no threat in what
22 President Trump said, and we cited ten. There are multiple
23 articles. We cited ten of them in our papers, news
24 articles that are going into excruciating details about Mr.
25 Pecker's testimony.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 So, when you have President Trump in response to
2 a question saying something completely neutral about the
3 witnesses, there is — that's not a willful violation of
4 the Gag Order, when the intent of the Gag Order is to make
5 sure that Mr. Pecker comes in here and testifies without
6 fear of what President Trump has said or will say.

7 THE COURT: It's not just about Mr. Pecker. It's
8 about what all the other witnesses who may come here see.
9 If the witnesses were to see Mr. Trump speaking about
10 Mr. Pecker, or even Mr. Cohen, it affects those witnesses
11 as well.

12 And that's why I understand your concern of Mr.
13 Cohen, I expressed concerns about Mr. Cohen and Ms. Daniels
14 in my decision. And those are valid. I get it.

15 But it's not just about those individuals.
16 It's about what everybody else, the jurors, and
17 all of the other witnesses see Mr. Trump saying or not
18 saying about other witnesses, and that's a concern.

19 That does go to the integrity of the proceedings.

20 MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, as applied to this case
21 and this trial and what's happening behind us, that's the
22 reasons why this Gag Order isn't fair.

23 THE COURT: When you say, behind us?

24 MR. BLANCHE: I am talking about the press and
25 the fact that there is 24/7 coverage of everything that's

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 happening in this courtroom and everything that the
2 witnesses are saying.
3 And, so, every time we whisper to our client,
4 it's live-streamed over all sorts of social media outlets,
5 every word the witnesses say, and so then you have
6 President Trump who is asked a question.
7 Everybody is talking about it. What do you think
8 of his testimony, and he doesn't say, I think he is a liar.
9 He better watch out.
10 He says something. He can't just say, no
11 comment, repeatedly when he is running for President, and I
12 appreciate the Court's concern, very much so.
13 And we are reacting to what your Honor wrote on
14 Tuesday, but it's also appropriate to turn to what's
15 happening with this trial.
16 THE COURT: What's happening in this trial is no
17 surprise to anyone. I mean, the former President of the
18 United States is on trial.
19 He is the leading candidate for the Republican
20 Party right now. It's not surprising that we have press
21 here. We have press in the overflow room. There are
22 people throughout New York that are interested.
23 Everybody that came into this knew this would
24 happen. We all suspected it. There are no surprises here.
25 So, I don't see how it would press on Mr. Trump

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 if ten outlets are talking about Mr. Pecker.
2 MR. BLANCHE: Judge, the reason for the Order —
3 the reason for the Order is to make sure that witnesses
4 that are going to come in here and testify, are going to do
5 so honestly and without fear of reprisal.
6 And my point in reflecting on the intense
7 scrutiny of every word said by every witness, is that
8 everybody can say whatever they want, except for President
9 Trump.
10 THE COURT: They are not — they are not
11 defendants in this case. They are not subject to the Gag
12 Order.
13 That's a very significant issue that you are
14 overlooking. I don't have authority over the press.
15 I don't have authority over most of the people
16 that are saying things.
17 I couldn't possibly extend a Gag Order to them.
18 I just don't have that authority. So, I don't see the
19 comparison.
20 I don't see the analogy between comparing your
21 client, who is the Defendant, and who is subject to the Gag
22 Order, with ten members of the press who wrote an article.
23 MR. BLANCHE: I am not asking your Honor for an
24 analogy. I am not comparing one to the other.
25 I am saying that when your Honor is considering

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 whether President Trump has willfully violated the Gag
2 Order beyond a reasonable doubt, it makes sense, the Court
3 has to look at what all the press and all the media and
4 what Mr. Pecker was reading about his statements.

5 And so, when you look at what President Trump
6 said about his statements, it does matter. It matters to
7 the willfulness and it matters to whether the reason for
8 the Gag Order is —

9 THE COURT: If I may, I would like to ask you a
10 question, and this has to do with what you are talking
11 about.

12 If we look at the first exhibit, I don't remember
13 the exhibit letter, so I will call it number one, when
14 those statements were made, it was your client who went
15 down to that open area and stood in front of the press and
16 started to speak.

17 It wasn't the press that went to him. He went to
18 the press. He didn't need to go in that direction. The
19 door that he uses is to the right.

20 So you are telling me that, you know, the
21 scrutiny is outrageous. Nobody forced your client to go
22 stand where he did that day.

23 MR. BLANCHE: Judge, I agree with that, but two
24 responses.

25 One, there is a much different reason with

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

2 And two, nobody is forcing him, but he is running
3 for President. He has to be able to speak.
4 So, your Honor is right. He can walk out there
5 and go right or he can walk out there and go left and make
6 a statement which he is entitled to do and has to do, your
7 Honor.

8 So we are not —

9 THE COURT: That's why he is being allowed to do
10 that.

11 That's why that entire area has been setup the
12 way that it is setup, to ensure that your client, as a
13 candidate for presidency, has the opportunity to speak
14 about absolutely anything he wants, including the District
15 Attorney of New York County. But there are just some
16 things he cannot talk about.

17 MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, I am going to talk
18 about Mr. Cohen in a minute.

19 I want to address, briefly, the second Pecker
20 statement, which is Exhibit H to the People's Affirmation.
21 And this statement is — President Trump is asked
22 the question, what have you thought of David Pecker's
23 testimony so far?

24 When was the last time you spoke to him?

25 President Trump said, he has been very nice. I

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 mean, he has been — David's been very nice, a nice guy.
2 And, again, he is responding to a question.
3 Mr. Pecker had been on the stand for two days at
4 this point. The Government says that that's threatening
5 and a warning to witnesses that they need to be nice.
6 That's just not true. He is just responding to a
7 question and saying he has been very nice.
8 Your Honor observed his testimony. There is no
9 animosity between the two of them. There were no
10 threatening or menacing statements.
11 Again, Mr. Pecker himself testified about their
12 friendship and the relationship, and he would call him
13 Donald and that he had a great relationship with President
14 Trump over the years.
15 And we, again, put multiple articles in front of
16 the Court, again, not to compare, or not to say that that
17 alone gives President Trump the right to say something, but
18 when the Court is considering whether the purpose of the
19 Gag Order was frustrated by, he has been very nice. I
20 mean, he has been — David's been very nice, it does
21 matter.
22 THE COURT: Just to save you time, I am not
23 terribly concerned with that one.
24 I think that there are situations where comments
25 like that could be of much greater concern.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 At this moment, based on what I saw and heard, I
2 am not terribly concerned.
3 MR. BLANCHE: I will stop with that. I will talk
4 about Mr. Cohen and the Defendant.
5 Judge, there are two statements the Government
6 read. One was outside of the courtroom and one in response
7 to an interview.
8 Again, the second one was on April 23rd. And,
9 Judge, Mr. Cohen, and I am going to spend a few minutes on
10 this because it's extremely important, in my view the most
11 significant one, has been inviting and almost daring
12 President Trump to respond to everything he has been
13 saying.
14 Again, personal attacks on his character, mocking
15 him for being on trial and also his candidacy for
16 Presidency of the United States.
17 So I just want to put a few up on the screen.
18 THE COURT: Very quickly, please. I want you to
19 make your argument.
20 MR. BLANCHE: Sure.
21 So, Exhibit 39, this goes to Mr. Cohen mocking
22 President Trump on X.
23 If we can go to page two and then six and seven,
24 just very quickly. We can — if we can blow it up a little
25 bit.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 For the record, it has a photo of President Trump
2 in an orange jumpsuit with a picture next to Nelson
3 Mandela, and it's just a long repost by Mr. Cohen
4 criticizing President Trump.
5 And then we can turn to page six and page seven.
6 And, again —
7 THE COURT: What was the date on that?
8 MR. BLANCHE: That date, your Honor, should be up
9 at the top.
10 April 8th of this year, your Honor.
11 And then pages six and seven, it's more of the
12 same. If we can focus on the date, please, at the top,
13 April 14th.
14 And, again, keep messing with me Donald, and I
15 won't send any money to your commissary, a comment by
16 Mr. Cohen. And then something obnoxious.
17 And then on page seven, at the top with the date
18 first, please.
19 And this is on April 16th, please don't forget
20 about the witnesses. We also matter. Reposting a photo of
21 President Trump.
22 Next, if we can turn to Exhibit 64, quickly,
23 please. And this one, Mr. Cohen — this one says, oh my,
24 shits in pants. Keep whining and crying and violating the
25 Gag Order, you petulant Defendant.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 This was sent after the Gag Order was put in
2 place.
3 Exhibit 67, please.
4 Again, from Mr. Cohen criticizing, again, your
5 attacks on me stink of desperation. We are all hoping that
6 you take the stand in your Defense, with a picture of
7 President Trump in this courtroom. And, again, that is on
8 April 22nd.
9 And then, finally, Exhibit 110.
10 Again, this is — this is before the Gag Order,
11 your Honor, April 4th. But, again, this is not necessarily
12 Mr. Cohen criticizing President Trump about this trial, but
13 about his business and his business ventures, your Honor.
14 So, again, there is a lot more. We picked four
15 out of a hat. They are all in kind and all similar.
16 So, the response — and now we are here again on
17 a violation of the Gag Order as it relates to Mr. Cohen,
18 these are responses to repeated and consistent attacks by
19 him against President Trump, his character, his viability
20 as a candidate. And, as you can see, your Honor, it's also
21 completely throughout the Mea Culpa Podcast and on TikTok.
22 There are repeated attacks on President Trump's
23 candidacy for President by Mr. Cohen.
24 And if we can go to page — Exhibit 63, excuse
25 me, and page two, this is — he is not just doing it to

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 attack.

2 I mean, there are repeated reports and, indeed,

3 they are true, that Mr. Cohen has been shopping television

4 shows based upon, not only what he did for President Trump,

5 but also what he has been doing here. And he is talking

6 about that and advertising what he is trying to do.

7 And then, again, there is multiple examples of

8 this in our exhibits.

9 If we can bring up page 37 — Exhibit 37, page

10 seven, this is an April 12th, political article quoting

11 Mr. Cohen, talking extensively about President Trump's

12 candidacy for President, criticizing him, as someone that

13 should not be voted for.

14 On the same article, next page, it says, Donald

15 does not know how to tell the truth. He lied so many

16 times. He can't keep track of the lies.

17 And then just, very briefly, your Honor, and I

18 hope the point has been made, but we —

19 THE COURT: You made your point. You made your

20 point.

21 MR. BLANCHE: Well, okay.

22 But, I mean, on top of everything that I just put

23 in, there is multiple examples of the Mea Culpa Podcast

24 that are over the top, about his character, about his

25 candidacy for President, including multiple interviews with

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 folks in this room, with reporters, who just blast
2 President Trump from what he did as President, and what he
3 would do if he is elected in November.
4 Multiple. I am not talking about one or two. I
5 am talking multiple, in excess of ten, way in excess of
6 ten.
7 Finally, as has been reported, because it's true,
8 Mr. Cohen has started going on TikTok nightly and literally
9 making money.
10 So, there is, apparently, a way you can make
11 money with people doing things, while they are watching you
12 on TikTok. He actively encourages folks to give him money.
13 You can see that he has made money.
14 His TikTok repeatedly criticizes President Trump.
15 He said, as recently as April 29th, mocking and
16 almost poking President Trump as it relates to the Gag
17 Order, I am not the Defendant in a criminal matter, and I
18 am not the subject of Judge Merchan's Gag Order. Donald
19 is, right.
20 And that is after criticizing him extensively.
21 This is not a man that needs protection from the
22 Gag Order. And when you look at what President Trump said
23 about Michael Cohen out here, and then in response to the
24 questions from the interviewer. He wasn't talking about
25 his testimony at this trial.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 He was talking about his quality as a lawyer. He
2 was talking about the fact that he was a convicted liar,
3 that he had been prosecuted for lying.
4 THE COURT: Can I ask you to address the comments
5 that were made about the jury?
6 MR. BLANCHE: Yes. That was my last.
7 So, the Government read the statement that — it
8 was a 15-second statement out of an interview that was over
9 21-minutes long.
10 And this was right in the middle of the interview
11 in response to a number of questions about — at first
12 about policy. And we can exclude the entire interview, but
13 the policy, first about the types of questions that
14 mattered to voters. But this trial matters to voters, so
15 there was a question about this trial.
16 As you know, your Honor is very well aware, we
17 very much believe that this is a political persecution and
18 this is a political trial. And part of that belief and
19 part of President Trump's belief is the location of this
20 trial.
21 The fact that he is being tried in a jurisdiction
22 that is over 90 or —
23 THE COURT: Did he violate the Gag Order?
24 That's all I want to know.
25 MR. BLANCHE: I am making an argument that he

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 didn't and laying the foundation.
2 THE COURT: So, I am not accepting your argument,
3 which is why I am asking.
4 MR. BLANCHE: Absolutely, positively not.
5 THE COURT: He spoke about the jury, right?
6 MR. BLANCHE: Pardon me.
7 THE COURT: And he said that the jury was
8 95 percent Democrats. And that the jury had been rushed
9 through. And the implication being that this is not a fair
10 jury.
11 That's the implication that was given to anybody
12 that heard that comment. This is not a fair jury.
13 MR. BLANCHE: The Gag Order specifically
14 references any prospective juror or any juror in this
15 criminal proceeding.
16 So, he wasn't referencing juror number four is a
17 Democrat or — which by the way, the press has noted and
18 the press has highlighted. And the press has put on the
19 screens who is democrat and who is not registered.
20 Again, he is talking about, again, in a passing
21 phrase, about the overall proceedings being unfair and
22 political. The jury —
23 THE COURT: Okay. It's ten after ten.
24 Is there anything you would like to say just to
25 wrap it up?

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 MR. BLANCHE: Judge, again, we moved to the jury,
2 and I am done with Mr. Cohen.
3 But Mr. Cohen, and the same things in our papers
4 with respect to Ms. Daniels, where, when you go back, the
5 Court goes back and looks at the purpose of the Gag Order,
6 as opposed to just blindly looking at the statements that
7 are made by President Trump, it's not violating.
8 I mean, the Constitutional limits that you put on
9 the Gag Order, that your Honor put on the Gag Order, are
10 for good reasons.
11 Because President Trump has to be able to talk
12 extensively and to respond to questions in a way that don't
13 violate the integrity of these proceedings.
14 And as we said today, Mr. Cohen should not be
15 part of this Gag Order. He does not need to be protected.
16 The same is true for Ms. Daniels. She is not
17 part of the four statements, but she is very similar,
18 although not as voluminous, comments about President Trump
19 personal, candidacy for Presidency and how he was president
20 last time.
21 They are not people that need to be protected,
22 and to the contrary, he has to be able to respond to those,
23 Judge.
24 THE COURT: I understand your argument.
25 Thank you.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 Is there anything else on this point from either
2 side?
3 MR. STEINGLASS: No.
4 THE COURT: Let's take five minutes before we
5 bring the jury in.
6 (Short recess is taken.)
7 THE COURT: I think we can get the witness back
8 on the stand, please.
9 COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
10 (Whereupon, the witness entered the
11 courtroom and was properly seated.)
12 THE COURT: Good morning, Sir.
13 Welcome back.
14 I remind you that you are still under oath.
15 K E I T H D A V I D S O N,
16 herein, called as a witness, being previously sworn, was
17 examined and testified further as follows:
18 COURT OFFICER: All rise. Jury entering.
19 (Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom
20 and was properly seated.)
21 THE COURT: Please be seated.
22 THE CLERK: All parties, all jurors are present
23 and properly seated.
24 MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
25 THE COURT: Good morning, jurors. Welcome back.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 Mr. Steinglass.

2 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. STEINGLASS:

4 Q Thank you.

5 Good morning, Mr. Davidson.

6 A Good morning.

7 Q Since you got off the stand on Tuesday afternoon, have
8 you and I discussed anything about the substance of your
9 testimony?

10 A No.

11 Q When we broke on Tuesday afternoon, you were talking
12 about some of the email exchanges back and forth between you
13 and Michael Cohen towards the end of October 2016. Do you
14 remember?

15 A Yes.

16 Q I am now showing you People's Exhibit 168. It's in
17 evidence and being displayed to everyone. I will just ask that
18 we blow it up, and I am going to ask you to focus on the
19 portion of the message that appears to be from Dylan Howard.

20 Do you recognize that?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And what is the date of that email?

23 A October 26, 2016.

24 Q And the time?

25 A 8:23 p.m.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 Q Can you please read us the body of the email?

2 A Michael Keith.

3 Next paragraph. Thank you for chatting with me
4 earlier.

5 Next paragraph. Confirming agreement on — and there
6 are three bullet points — executed agreement hand-signed by
7 Keith's client and returned via overnight for same day Fed Ex
8 to Michael.

9 Next bullet point. Change agreement to reflect the
10 correct LLC.

11 And the final bullet point is, transfer funds on
12 Thursday a.m. to be held in escrow until final — sorry, until
13 receipt of agreement.

14 Thank you both, Dylan.

15 Q And who is this email sent to by Dylan?

16 A It's sent to Michael Cohen and I.

17 Q What is your understanding of what this email was
18 about?

19 A This email followed a conversation, a conference call,
20 between Dylan and I with Michael Cohen. That conversation took
21 place because there was difficulty in communications with
22 Michael Cohen, and I — and I had lost trust in what he was
23 telling me.

24 And Dylan came in as the mediator and sort of mediated
25 that meeting, and he followed up with this email.

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 Q What was the reason for losing trust with Michael
2 Cohen?

3 A I believed he was not telling me the truth.

4 Q About what?

5 A Delays, the delays in the funding.

6 Q Okay. I now want to show you Exhibit 285 in evidence,
7 and I am going to blow it up, and ask you, is this another
8 email exchange between yourself and Michael Cohen?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And what is the subject line of the email?

11 A Wire on behalf of Essential Consultants LLC.

12 Q And what is the date of this email?

13 A October 27, 2016.

14 Q And does it appear as though, if you were going
15 chronologically, it would start at the bottom and go up?

16 A Yes.

17 Q What is the time of the first email?

18 A 6:47 am.

19 Q And is this, to be clear, is this document produced by
20 you and retrieved from your service in California?

21 A I don't know.

22 Q We can show you the Bates stamp and see if this helps
23 you to answer the question.

24 A Yes, it was produced by me pursuant to subpoena.

25 Q Okay. So, now I am just going to ask you, what does

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 the body of the first email in the chain from Michael Cohen to
2 you say?

3 A Keith.

4 Next paragraph. Kindly confirm that the wire received
5 today, October 27, 2016, shall be held in your attorney's trust
6 account until such time as directed for release by me in
7 writing.

8 Next paragraph. Additionally, please ensure that all
9 paperwork contains the correct name of Essential Consultants
10 LLC.

11 Next paragraph. I thank you in advance for your
12 assistance and look forward to hearing from you later.

13 Next paragraph. Yours, Michael Cohen. Managing
14 Member, Essential Consultants, LLC.

15 Q And did you respond?

16 A Yes.

17 Q At what time?

18 A 7:02 p.m.

19 Q And how did you respond?

20 A I confirmed that I will work in good faith, and that
21 no funds shall be disbursed, unless and until he personally
22 signs all necessary settlement paperwork, parentheses, the form
23 of which will match the prior agreement, end parentheses. The
24 settlement DOCs will name the correct corporation, parentheses,
25 Essential Consultants LLC, end parentheses. Plaintiff's

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 signature will be notarized and returned to you via Fed Ex.

2 Next paragraph. Only after you receive the Fed Ex, I

3 will disperse.

4 Next paragraph. Fair.

5 Q So let me just ask you a quick question here.

6 The draft of this agreement, I believe you testified

7 was exchanged between you and Michael Cohen back on

8 October 11th of 2016?

9 A The 10th or the 11th.

10 Q Okay. At that time, was the entity that Michael Cohen

11 was using to fund this deal called Resolution Consultants?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And at some point between then and the final

14 documents, did the entity name change?

15 A It did.

16 Q And what did it change to?

17 A Essential Consultants LLC.

18 (Whereupon, Principal Court Reporter, Susan

19 Pearce-Bates was relieved by Senior Court

20 Reporter, Lisa Kramsky.)

21

22

23

24

25

Susan Pearce-Bates, RPR, CCR, RSA

Principal Court Reporter

1 (The following proceedings are continued from the
2 following page.)
3 *****
4 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
5 BY MR. STEINGLASS:
6 Q I now want to pull back up Exhibit 176-A, which is in
7 evidence, and display Page 12, Lines 536 to 537.
8 Is this a part of that long series of texts between yourself
9 and Dylan Howard?
10 (Displayed.)
11 A Yes.
12 Q And are these two that we've blown up, what is the date
13 on these texts?
14 A October 27th, 2016.
15 Q What do the texts say?
16 A From Dylan Howard to me: "Money wired I am told."
17 Q Did you have an understanding as to who told Dylan
18 Howard that the funds had been wired?
19 A I — I assumed that it was Michael Cohen. He was the
20 only other one involved.
21 Q And what about your response?
22 A "Funds received."
23 Q And did you, in fact, receive these funds as a wire
24 from Essential Consultants on October 27th, 2016 into your
25 escrow account?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 A Yes.

2 Q Turning to Page 13. Just to continue this text
3 exchange.

4 What, if anything, did Mr. Howard say?

5 (Displayed.)

6 A "Unbelievable."

7 Q And you?

8 A "Was never really sure..."

9 Q And did Stormy Daniels have to re-sign the original
10 Settlement Agreement and the Side Letter Agreement now that the
11 name of the entity that was funding the deal had been changed
12 from Resolution Consultants to Essential Consultants?

13 A She did.

14 Q I'm now showing you what's in evidence as People's
15 Exhibit 276.

16 (Displayed.)

17 Q Do you recognize these documents?

18 A Yes.

19 Q What are they?

20 A This looks to be the cover page, Page 1, of the
21 confidential Settlement Agreement & Mutual Release Assignment of
22 copyright and Non-Disparagement Agreement between — relating to
23 Stormy Daniels.

24 Q And whom?

25 A Donald Trump.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q And were you involved in drafting these Agreements?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Did the Settlement Agreement, the ultimate Settlement
4 Agreement payment amount remain \$130,000 in this final version?

5 A It did.

6 MR. STEINGLASS: I want to display Page 3 and blow
7 up Paragraph 3.1D.

8 (Displayed.)

9 Q And I am just going to ask you to read that aloud and
10 then tell us what it means, please?

11 (Pause.)

12 A You said you wanted me to read it?

13 Q Yes, please.

14 A Aloud?

15 Q Please.

16 A Paragraph D: "PP shall not" — plaintiff shall not —
17 at any time from the date of this Agreement forward, comma,
18 directly or indirectly disclose or disseminate any of the
19 property or any confidential information, parenthesis,
20 (including confirmation of the fact that it exists or ever
21 existed and/or confirming any rumors as to any such existence),
22 end parenthesis, to any party, as more fully provided herein.

23 Q Does it say "to any third party?"

24 A "Any third party."

25 Q Okay. And what does that mean?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 A That's essentially part of the nondisclosure aspect of
2 the Agreement.

3 Q And I believe you told us yesterday, is "PP" the
4 pseudonym Peggy Peterson for Stormy Daniels?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay.

7 MR. STEINGLASS: I want to turn to Page 10 and
8 blow up Paragraph 5.1.2.

9 This is on Page 9 of the Settlement Agreement.
10 (Displayed.)

11 Q What — I'm not going to ask you to read this whole
12 thing out loud.

13 Can you just read it to yourself and let us know when you're
14 done reading it.

15 The question I'm going to ask you is what it means?

16 A I'm familiar with it. I authored this paragraph.

17 Q Okay. So what does it mean?

18 A It's the Liquidated Damages Provision.

19 Q And does the Liquidated Damages Provision continue onto
20 the next page?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. I am just going to quickly show you that last
23 page.

24 (Displayed.)

25 Q What was the — what were the liquidated damages in

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 this case?

2 A The stated liquidated damages in this contract was
3 \$1 million dollars.

4 Q And is that \$1 million dollars per breach?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Was it unusual to have liquidated damages that were so
7 much greater than the original payment under the NDA?

8 A I believe that this paragraph, the way that it was
9 drafted, was unenforceable; yes.

10 Q You believe it was unenforceable; why?

11 A I — because the Liquidated Damages Provision would
12 have no relation to the damages caused if there was a breach.
13 The \$1 million dollars — there needs to be a rational
14 relationship between the expected damages in the case of a
15 breach of contract, and the Liquidated Damages Provision are
16 included in contracts where the expected damages, were there to
17 be a breach, would be difficult to ascertain.
18 And they should be reasonably related to the underlying
19 amount of the contract.

20 And, here, a Liquidated Damages Provision of \$1 million
21 dollars, which was demanded by Michael Cohen, was so far in
22 excess of the — of the settlement amount of the contract that
23 it really served no purpose.

24 Q And I believe you answered this, but who requested such
25 large liquidated damages?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 A Michael Cohen.

2 Q Now, this paragraph that we have blown up refers to

3 "DD. "

4 Can you remind us what the "DD" stands for again?

5 A It's a pseudonym for the defendant.

6 Q And is that a party to this Agreement?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And who is the person who DD refers to?

9 A Donald Trump.

10 Q Okay.

11 MR. STEINGLASS: I now want to display Page 15.

12 (Displayed.)

13 Q And let me know if you need us to blow this up, but I

14 just want to ask you: Who is accepting service on behalf of DD

15 a/k/a David Dennison a/k/a Donald Trump.

16 A Essential Consultants, care of Michael Cohen, Esquire.

17 Q And turning to Page 17 of the exhibit. Is this the

18 first page of the finalized Side Letter Agreement?

19 (Displayed.)

20 A Yes.

21 Q And is the name of — the real name of David Dennison

22 written in handwriting?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Whose handwriting is that?

25 A My handwriting.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Okay.

2 MR. STEINGLASS: Can we just zoom in on that,
3 please.

4 (Displayed.)

5 Q And what was the date that the Side Letter was entered
6 into?

7 A I would have to look at the signature block.

8 Q Okay. What is the date that is written in the original
9 paragraph of the Side Letter written agreement?

10 A October 28th, 2016.

11 Q Okay. Turning to the next page of the exhibit. Page
12 18.

13 (Displayed.)

14 Q And blowing up the second full paragraph. Can you
15 please read that out loud?

16 A "It is further agreed, neither party shall keep a copy
17 of this document, and that only Keith M. Davidson, Esquire and
18 Michael D. Cohen, Esquire, counsel for the parties herein, shall
19 maintain possession of it or access to this Side Letter
20 Agreement.

21 And then in all caps: "FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT," comma, "THE
22 PARTIES HERETO AGREE AND CONFIRM THAT THIS SIDE LETTER AGREEMENT
23 IS DEEMED," QUOTE, "'ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY,'" END QUOTE.

24 Q And what did you understand that to mean?

25 A That this document was sensitive; that the parties —

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 that neither party could maintain a copy of this Side Letter
2 Agreement, and that only Michael Cohen and I could possess a
3 fully executed copy of it.

4 Q And to be clear, is this the document that decodes, I
5 guess, the Nondisclosure Agreement between Stormy Daniels and
6 Donald Trump?

7 A The Settlement Agreement and Nondisclosure Agreement,
8 yes, this is the Side Letter Agreement that decodes the
9 underlying Settlement Agreement.

10 Q And I should clarify. When I say "decode," I mean
11 provides the real names of the parties to the Settlement
12 Agreement?

13 A True.

14 Q Okay. Turning to the bottom — the next page. Oh, no,
15 just the bottom of this page. And I will just ask you, who —
16 if you signed the Agreement?

17 A I did sign the Agreement.

18 Q Silly question, but where?

19 A Above my name.

20 Q On the line above your name?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Who signed on behalf of Peggy Peterson a/k/a Stephanie
23 Gregory Clifford a/k/a Stormy Daniels?

24 A She signed that herself.

25 Q And what was the date that she signed it?

1 A October 28th, 2016.

2 Q What was the date that you signed it?

3 A October 31st, 2016.

4 Q And did — who signed on behalf of Essential
5 Consultants?

6 A Michael Cohen.

7 Q And what date did he sign?

8 A He wrote that he signed it on October 28th, 2016.

9 Q Did anyone ever — well, let me ask you this, did you
10 ever receive a version where there was a signature on the line
11 for David Dennison's signature?

12 A I did not.

13 Q Turning to page 19, which is the last page of the
14 exhibit.

15 What is this exhibit?

16 What is this?

17 (Displayed.)

18 A This is an Assignment & Transfer of Copyright. It's an
19 addendum to the Settlement Agreement.

20 Q And what does that mean?

21 A A — copyrights are details of an ownership of some
22 sort of intellectual property, and as a result of the underlying
23 contract, it called for a transfer of copyright in any materials
24 that Stephanie Clifford owned an interest in where she
25 transferred that right to the other party in this Agreement.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 MR. STEINGLASS: And if you could blow up the
2 bottom here.

3 (Displayed.)

4 Q Did Stormy Daniels sign the Agreement?

5 A She did.

6 Q Where?

7 A Above the line, her signature line, "PP."

8 Q And what name did she use to sign it?

9 A Stephanie Clifford.

10 Q Who signed the Agreement on behalf of David Dennison?

11 A Michael Cohen, Esquire.

12 Q And is that above the line — is that the line that we
13 have highlighted above the "DD"?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Now, did he also place the initials "EC" for Essential
16 Consultants at the bottom of the page over the line for "DD"?

17 A Yes.

18 Q All right.

19 So, Mr. Davidson, how much money did you personally make for
20 this deal?

21 A \$10,000.

22 Q Did there come a time when you disbursed the monies
23 that you received in a wire from Michael Cohen on October 27th?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And do you remember how much you disbursed to Stormy

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Daniels, approximately?

2 A (Pause.) I fear that that invades attorney-client
3 privilege.

4 I disbursed everything other than my attorney fees.

5 Q So let me ask you this, did you also, without invading
6 attorney-client privilege, did you also disburse some of the
7 money to Gina Rodriguez?

8 A I disbursed everything to — pursuant to my client's
9 directives, her net aspect of the settlement pursuant to her
10 directive.

11 Q Okay. So directing your attention to November of 2016.
12 Did there come a time when the Agreement between Karen McDougal
13 and AMI became public?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Do you remember how that happened?

16 A Yes.

17 Q How?

18 A Well, I — I should clarify.

19 Q Let me ask you that a different way. I don't want to
20 ask you to invade any privilege.

21 Was there an article that was published in a particular
22 publication?

23 A There was an article published in the Wall Street
24 Journal that initially publicized the underlying contract
25 between Karen McDougal and AMI.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Okay. I want to show you quickly People's 108 in
2 evidence.

3 (Displayed.)

4 Q It's in evidence so everybody can see it. Do you
5 recognize this?

6 A I do.

7 Q Is this the Wall Street Journal article that you
8 referenced that broke the story between — about the deal
9 between AMI and Karen McDougal?

10 A It is.

11 Q And what is the date of this article?

12 A November 4th, 2016.

13 Q After this article was published, or around the time
14 the article was published, did you speak with Michael Cohen
15 about it?

16 A I did.

17 Q Once or more than once?

18 A More than once.

19 Q What do you recall about those conversations?

20 A That he was very upset.

21 Q Can you elaborate?

22 A He was very upset that the article had been published.

23 He was very upset about the timing of the article.

24 He wanted to know who the source of the article was; why
25 someone would be the source of this type of an article.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 He was very upset about the timing.

2 He stated that his boss was very upset.

3 And he threatened to sue Karen McDougal.

4 Q And when Michael Cohen said that "his boss was very
5 upset," who did you understand that to mean?

6 A Donald Trump.

7 Q And when Michael Cohen complained about the timing of
8 the article, what did you understand that to mean?

9 A That it was in close proximity to the Election of 2016.

10 Q As you sit here, do you remember the date of Election
11 Day 2016?

12 A It may have been the 6th, I'm not sure.

13 Q Okay. What is your recollection about Karen McDougal's
14 whereabouts at the time that this article was published?
15 If you can answer that without violating privilege?

16 A Yeah, I don't know that I have — I don't know that I
17 have an answer to that question.

18 Q Okay.

19 A I don't recall.

20 Q So now I want to direct your attention back to People's
21 Exhibit 176A.

22 (Displayed.)

23 Q And this might refresh your recollection as to the date
24 of Election Night.

25 From the context of this — of the text exchange, can you

Lisa Kramsky,

Senior Court Reporter

1 tell, and keeping in mind that this is in London time, UTC time,
2 can you tell — does this refresh your recollection about when
3 Election Day was in 2016?

4 A November 9th.

5 Q I'm just reminding you about the UTC time.

6 So I think you said you weren't a hundred percent familiar
7 with the relationship between UTC Time and East Coast Time; is
8 that true?

9 A I don't have a particular knowledge of it.

10 Q Okay. And does, in UTC time, was that text sent at
11 approximately 3:00 in the morning?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. And the first text in this exchange is from you;
14 is that correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And what did you say?

17 A "What have we done?"

18 Q What did you mean by that, Mr. Davidson?

19 A This was — this is sort of gallows humor.

20 It was on Election Night as the results were coming in, and
21 there was sort of a surprise amongst the broadcasters and
22 others that Donald Trump was leading in the polls and that there
23 was a growing sense that folks were about ready to call the
24 election.

25 Q And you referred to it as "gallows humor."

2 What did you mean when you say: "What have we done?"

3 A I think that there was an understanding that this is a
4 text between Dylan Howard and I, and that there was an
5 understanding that our efforts may have in some way — I should
6 strike that.

7 That our activities may have in some way assisted the
8 presidential campaign of Donald Trump.

9 Q And how did Dylan Howard respond to your text?

10 A "Oh my God."

11 Q After the election — I should ask you the obvious
12 question: Who won the election?

13 A Donald Trump.

14 Q After the election, did you continue to speak with
15 Michael Cohen?

16 A I did.

17 Q Did — well, did there come any times during the month
18 or two that followed the election when the topic of the Stormy
19 Daniels deal came up?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Can you tell the jury a little bit about that?

22 A Ummm, after the election, Michael Cohen called me
23 fairly frequently.

24 And there was one particular day, it was on a weekend in
25 mid-December, and this is the period of time after the election,

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 but before Donald Trump had been sworn in as President, and it
2 was — I think it was on Saturday morning, and I was shopping
3 for the holiday and I got a call from a very despondent and
4 saddened Michael Cohen.

5 And I was at a department store — which is kind of a whole
6 another story because it was sort of strangely decorated — and
7 so the whole situation was very odd — that he was calling me
8 and I was in this strangely-decorated department store.

9 And it was a long phone call, and he had told me — he
10 was — he was depressed and despondent and he said that — he
11 used very colorful language about that stage of his life.

12 Q You are quoting so you can repeat the language.

13 A He said something to the effect of: "Jesus Christ.
14 Can you fucking believe I'm not going to Washington. After
15 everything I've done for that fucking guy. I can't believe I'm
16 not going to Washington. I've saved that guy's ass so many
17 times, you don't even know."

18 Q And did —

19 A And then —

20 Q I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt you.

21 A And then he made reference to, he said, you know, I
22 never even got paid — he said: "That fucking guy is not even
23 paying me the 130,000 back."

24 Q And what did you interpret that to mean, that last
25 part?

1 A That — that he was not getting repaid the 130,000.

2 Q And do you remember when that conversation took place?

3 A It was some time in mid-December.

4 Q I'm going to show you privately a document.

5 MR. STEINGLASS: And, obviously, show counsel and
6 the Court as well.

7 (Displayed only for the previously-described
8 parties.)

9 Q And I'm going to ask you if this is a receipt from the
10 store that you were shopping in from the time that you got the
11 call from Michael Cohen?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And does that refresh your recollection as to the day
14 you had this particular conversation with Michael Cohen?

15 A Yes.

16 MR. STEINGLASS: And we can take that down. Thank
17 you.

18 Q What was that day?

19 A December 9th.

20 Q And I'm almost afraid to ask this question, but how was
21 the store decorated?

22 A It was this store, I think, that has — I don't know if
23 it's even in still in business — but it was a store called —
24 well, it was a warehouse store, like a big box store, and it
25 had — it was decorated like Alice In Wonderland, and so you

Lisa Kramsky,

Senior Court Reporter

1 felt very small in it.

2 And there were these huge rabbits and a cat in the hat on
3 the ceiling and things like that.

4 It was just a very odd feeling.

5 Q Okay. So going back to the period of time between the
6 election and the inauguration, during that period did you
7 communicate with Michael Cohen on multiple occasions?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Did you use phone, texts, encrypted apps, or all of the
10 above?

11 A All of the above.

12 Q I am now showing you what's in evidence as People's
13 Exhibit 286.

14 MR. STEINGLASS: And it can be shown to everyone.

15 It's in evidence.

16 (Displayed.)

17 Q And I'm going to direct your attention, I guess, to
18 early 2018 now.

19 Do you recognize this document?

20 A I do.

21 Q And can you explain to the jury what it is, please?

22 A I had received — I had received a "comment call,"
23 which is when the press is about to publish an article.

24 And I had received a request for comment from the Wall
25 Street Journal in 2018 and shortly before this email and they

Lisa Kramsky,

Senior Court Reporter

1 wanted a comment on — on any interactions regarding Stormy
2 Daniels and Donald Trump.

3 Q And so, just to clarify, you received an inquiry from
4 the Wall Street Journal that led you to believe that they were
5 getting ready to publish an article about the contact between
6 Stormy Daniels and Donald Trump?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And this was how you responded?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And tell us how you responded, please. Just read it
11 for us.

12 A "Nothing about the present day regurgitation of these
13 rumors causes us to rethink our prior denial issued in 2011."

14 Q And did you write that to the Wall Street Journal
15 reporter?

16 A I did.

17 Q On what day?

18 A January 10th, 2018.

19 Q And what did you mean when you said "Our prior denial
20 issued in 2011"?

21 What was that a reference to?

22 A The Cease and Desist demand letter that was sent to
23 TheDirty.com in 2011.

24 Q And did you forward your response to the Wall Street
25 Journal reporter to anyone?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

2 Q And why did you do that?

3 A Because we had a mutuality of interest at this period
4 of time.

5 Q Can you explain that a little bit to the jury?

6 A Well, we had a fully executed Settlement Agreement and
7 Confidentiality Agreement, and now the underlying matter that
8 was the subject of that Settlement Agreement/Confidentiality
9 Agreement was about to be published, and I think I had a
12 And we had sort of — we were acting in unison to address
13 it.

14 Q Okay. So I want to now show you what has been
15 privately — what has been marked for identification as People's
16 Exhibit —

17 MR. STEINGLASS: Privately, please, thank you.

18 Q What has been marked for identification as People's
19 Exhibit 255.

20 (Displayed only to those previously-described.)

21 Q Do you recognize this exhibit?

22 A Yes.

23 Q What is it?

24 A I believe that these are — it's a database of
25 messaging between Michael Cohen and I.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q And are these instant messages back and forth between
2 yourself and Michael Cohen during this period in January and
3 perhaps in February of 2018?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Do you remember sending and receiving these texts?

6 A For the most part, yes.

7 Q And do they pertain to your conversations with Michael
8 Cohen at — at or around the time that the details of the Stormy
9 Daniels payoff became public?

10 A The Stormy Daniels settlement.

11 Q The Stormy Daniels settlement, yes?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And are they exact copies of your instant messages back
14 and forth between Michael Cohen, to the best of your
15 recollection?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And do the date and timestamps appear to be accurate,
18 to the best of your recollection?

19 A Yes.

20 MR. STEINGLASS: I offer them into evidence. Or I
21 offer this exhibit into evidence.

22 MR. BOVE: No objection.

23 THE COURT: Accepted into evidence.

24 (So marked in evidence.)

25 *****

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you.
2 And I am now displaying publicly People's
3 Exhibit 255, starting on Page 1.
4 And I am going to ask that we blow up — thank
5 you — lines 1 and 2.
6 Q And kind of the way we did with the Exhibit 176A, I'm
7 just going to ask you to read some of these text back and forth
8 and explain what they mean.
9 And give us the date when appropriate.
10 So starting with this top one. What is the date of these
11 blown-up texts?
12 A January 10th, 2018.
13 Q And who is that first one from and who is it to?
14 A From me to Michael Cohen.
15 Q And what is the body of the texts or instant message?
16 A You know, when I look at this — I think earlier I
17 testified that that email that I sent to the Wall Street
18 Journal, they called me for a comment.
19 Now that I read this, it makes me think that maybe they
20 called her for comment and I responded on her behalf, for
21 whatever that's worth.
22 Q Thank you for clarifying that.
23 A Thanks.
24 Q You can proceed, please.
25 A Okay. WSJ — the Wall Street Journal — called

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Stormy. She didn't answer.
2 They say they are running a story and have a deadline of
3 tonight for her comment — tonight for her to comment.
4 Q Thank you.
5 And how did Michael Cohen respond to that?
6 A Write a strong denial for her like you did before.
7 Q And what did you understand that to mean?
8 A Like, I understood that he wanted me to write a strong
9 denial comment for her.
10 Q A denial of what?
11 A Everything.
12 Q Including the sexual encounter with Donald Trump?
13 A Yes.
14 Q So without revealing privileged communications, did you
15 send a denial to Stormy Daniels for her to sign?
16 A I don't recall.
17 Q Okay. Take a look, please, at People's Exhibit 277 in
18 evidence.
19 (Displayed.)
20 Q Do you recognize this document?
21 A I do.
22 Q What is it?
23 A This is a statement from Stormy Daniels dated
24 January 10th, 2018.
25 Q And did you prepare this statement?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

2 Q Can you read it to us, please?

3 A "January 10th, 2018. To Whom it May Concern: I
4 recently became aware that certain news outlets are alleging
5 that I had a sexual and/or romantic affair with Donald Trump
6 many, many, many years ago.

7 I am stating with complete clarity that this is absolutely
8 false.

9 My involvement with Donald Trump was limited to a few public
10 appearances and nothing more.

11 When I met Donald Trump, he was gracious, professional and a
12 complete gentleman to me and everyone in my presence.

13 Rumors that I have received hush money from Donald Trump are
14 completely false.

15 If indeed I did have a relationship with Donald Trump, trust
16 me, you wouldn't be reading about it in the news, you would be
17 reading about it in my book.

18 But the fact of the matter is, these stories are not true.

19 Stormy Daniels.

20 Q How would you characterize, Mr. Davidson, the
21 truthfulness of this statement?

22 A Well, I think that this is a tactic that is oftentimes
23 used in the, oftentimes, the cat and mouse interactions between
24 publicists and attorneys and the press and that an extremely
25 strict, extremely strict reading of this denial would

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 technically be true.

2 Q Can you explain that? How is this technically true?

3 A Well, I think you have to go through it word by word,
4 and it would — if you did so, I think it would technically be
5 true with an extremely fine reading of it.

6 Q So let me direct you to two parts in particular.

7 The first two sentences, when it states that she — that
8 Ms. Daniels was contacted by certain news outlets alleging that
9 she had a sexual and/or romantic affair with Donald Trump, many,
10 many, many years ago and then it states that that's absolutely
11 false.

12 How is that technically correct?

13 A Well, I think you would have to hone in on the
14 definition of romantic, sexual and affair.

15 Q Okay. Can you explain that?

16 A Well, I don't think that anyone had ever alleged that
17 any interaction between she and Mr. Trump was romantic.

18 Q Okay. How about sexual?

19 A Well, that would be a sexual and/or romantic.

20 Q Okay. So let me just zoom out for a second and say,
21 did you understand this statement to be cleverly misleading?

22 A I don't understand the question.

23 Q Did you understand, at the time that you wrote this
24 letter, that Stormy Daniels had, in fact, had a sexual encounter
25 with Donald Trump at some point?

Lisa Kramsky,

Senior Court Reporter

2 Q Okay. So I want to direct your attention to the first
3 line of the second paragraph: "Rumors that I received hush
4 money from Donald Trump are completely false," okay.

5 A Yes.

6 Q How is that technically true?

7 A Well, it's really no different than our interaction a
8 few minutes ago where you called it a payoff.

9 Q Okay.

10 A It wasn't a payoff and it wasn't hush money. It was

12 Q Can you just explain that a little bit more of what
13 consideration is for, what you mean when you say a
14 consideration?

15 A Well, I think, in the simplest of examples, a
16 consideration is money or something of value that's exchanged in
17 a contract.

18 I will pay you \$5 if you mow my lawn. The \$5 will be a
19 consideration.

20 Q So do I understand you correctly to be saying that you
21 don't think the term "hush money" accurately describes the
22 exchange of money that — the money that was paid to Stormy
23 Daniels by Donald Trump?

24 A Can you please restate that.

25 Q Yeah. Do I understand you — withdrawn.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

2 that was paid to your client by Donald Trump?

3 A I would never use that word.

4 Q And what would be the word that you would use to
5 describe it?

6 A Consideration.

7 Q Okay.

8 I now want to ask you if you sent a copy of this document to
9 Michael Cohen?

10 A I did.

11 Q All right. I now want to move on and show you more of
12 what's in evidence as People's Exhibit 255.

13 (Displayed.)

14 Q Is that a series of text exchanges from January 2018
15 with Michael Cohen?

16 And particularly I want to direct your attention to Page 2,
17 Entry 19.

18 (Displayed.)

19 Q And ask you what is being said and by whom and when?

20 A This is January 17th, 2018, from Michael Cohen to me.

21 "I have her tentatively scheduled for Hannity tonight. Call me
22 after your trial."

23 Q Now, can you tell us what you understood that to mean,
24 please, Mr. Davidson?

25 A I understood this to mean that he had her tentatively,

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 "her," Stormy Daniels, tentatively scheduled to appear on the
2 television show Hannity that night.

3 Q Do you know for what purpose?

4 A No.

5 Q So you understood this to mean, to be saying — for
6 Michael Cohen to be is stating that he had scheduled your client
7 to appear on Hannity that night?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And did your client actually appear on Hannity that
10 night?

11 A No.

12 Q Have you previously examined this text exchange?

13 A I have previously seen it.

14 Q Are there a series of texts about whether — whether
15 or not she should appear and when on Hannity that followed this?

16 A I think. And preceded it.

17 But this was sort of in one of Michael Cohen's pants on fire
18 stages, where he was sort of frantically trying to address the
19 fact that Stormy's story had percolated into public consumption
20 and he — he was frantic.

21 I was in a trial and — which is fairly all consuming — and
22 it was just many, many, many phone calls and many, many text
23 messages with little regard for my schedule.

24 Q Did you have any understanding of how having your
25 client appear on Hannity would help Michael Cohen? Why he was

2 A A few minutes ago, we discussed the — Michael Cohen's
3 request for me to write a strong denial the way that I did way
4 back then.
5 And what he was referring to, I think, was the letter that I
6 sent to The Dirty in 2011.
7 But there was no denial in the — in the Cease and Desist
8 demand that I sent to The Dirty in 2011. And that as a fact was
9 just wrong.
10 And then I sent him the exhibit that we just looked at,
11 which was sort of that carefully worded denial.
12 And I believe that he thought that her — he, Michael Cohen,
13 thought that Stormy going on Hannity, which — would somehow
14 help him and his client.
15 I believe that I — I had an understanding that he believed
16 that she would further deny the interaction.
17 Q Okay. Moving on to another text that I want to bring
18 to your attention.
19 And this is the one beginning "Keith, the wise men," okay.
20 What was the date that this text was sent and who was it sent
21 from and who was it sent to?
22 A It was January 17th, 2018, from Michael Cohen to me.
23 Q And what does it say?
24 A "Keith, the wise men all believe the story is dying and
25 don't think it's smart for her to do any interviews." Period.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 "Let her do her thing, but no interviews at all with anyone."

2 Q And did you respond?

3 MR. STEINGLASS: I think we need to blow up one
4 more text here.

5 (Displayed.)

6 A "100 percent."

7 MR. STEINGLASS: Can we have them together,
8 please.

9 (Displayed.)

10 Q Okay. Mr. Davidson, what did you understand Michael
11 Cohen's text to mean when he said "The wise men all believe the
12 story is dying and she shouldn't do any interviews"?

13 A Again, that this was sort of in one of his pants on
14 fire stages and that he was running around planning things.
15 And then when he ran it up the flag pole or consulted
16 someone or some group, whoever "wise men" are, that they didn't
17 think that it was a good idea for her to actually appear on
18 Hannity.

19 Q And, to your knowledge, did she appear on Hannity?

20 A She never appeared on Hannity, to my knowledge.

21 Q Okay. And just turning your attention to the next
22 page, continuing this same exchange, how does — after you say
23 "100 percent," how does Michael Cohen respond?

24 A "Thanks, pal."

25 Q And does he send a follow-up text after that?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And what does he say?

3 A "Just no interviews or statements unless through you."

4 Q And what did you understand that to mean?

5 A That it was his request that I manage the

6 communications for her.

7 Q "Her" being Stormy Daniels?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay. And how did you respond?

10 A "Got it."

11 Q Showing you some more of this exchange on Page 7,

12 Lines 64 through 73.

13 And, again, I will just ask you to kind of walk us through

14 who sends which texts when and what they mean.

15 Starting —

16 MR. STEINGLASS: And maybe we can zoom in a little

17 bit.

18 (Displayed.)

19 Q Starting with, "And she's 64."

20 A So you would just like me to read it?

21 Q Well, I would like you to tell us the date, who is it

22 from, who is it to.

23 And then, yes, please read?

24 A Okay. January 24th, 2018, from me to Michael Cohen.

25 "Spoke to her." Meaning Stormy. "Everything is as we

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 discussed. She steadfastly refuses to speak about the past."

2 Q And what did Michael Cohen say?

3 A 1/25/2018: "We will see because it airs tonight."

4 Q And did he then send another text that same day?

5 A I think it might be the next day, the 26th.

6 Q Oh. It's a little small for me to read from here.

7 Thank you. The 26th. Yes, please?

8 A From Michael Cohen to me, "Why is she going on Kimmel
9 after the SOTU."

10 Q Do you have an understanding of what "S-O-T-U" meant?

11 A Yes.

12 Q What?

13 A State of the Union Address.

14 Q And does that particular text from Michael Cohen stand
15 out to you for any reason?

16 A No.

17 Q Okay. How did you respond, if at all?

18 A I responded very shortly thereafter, "IDK" — I don't
19 know — "I was pissed. She said this is her shot. I'm not
20 meeting with her this weekend to prep her."

21 "Prep her and" — I would like to start over.

22 Q Sure.

23 A "IDK." I don't know. "I was pissed. She said this is
24 her shot. I'm meeting with her this weekend to prep her and get
25 the statement."

Lisa Kramsky,

Senior Court Reporter

2 A He had asked — at this moment in time, I was in a very
3 difficult situation and I think Stormy was in a very difficult
4 situation.
5 She had that lengthy Settlement Agreement, which called for
6 penalties if she breached the non-disparagement, she would have
7 to give back any profits that she made.
8 She would have to return the consideration, the 130,000, and
9 she may have to pay, if it was enforceable, the liquidated
10 damage clause.
11 And so we have Cohen saying — and what I understood him
12 saying — let her do her thing, just don't talk about the past.
13 And Stormy saying, I, you know, this was her shot. She
14 her career.
15 So this was a conversation about Stormy Daniels going on the
16 Late Night Show with Jimmy Kimmel.
17 Q Okay. So continue onto the next page, Page 8, entries
18 74 to 75.
19 Again, I will just ask you to give us, the same way you have
20 been doing, the date, and who is texting who and what is being
21 said?
22 A This is a text from Michael to me on January 30th,
23 2018, "It is out."
24 MR. STEINGLASS: Can we just blow this up a
25

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 little bit more or zoom in a little bit more.

2 (Displayed.)

3 Q And what are these series of — you don't have to read
4 every single one of these, what are these texts about and did
5 you already tell us the date of these?

6 A January 30th.

7 Q So what are you texting about at this point?

8 A Apparently, there was a news article that had been
9 published. I was receiving hundreds of phone calls at my
10 office.

12 MR. STEINGLASS: Let's scroll down a little bit.

13 (Displayed.)

14 Q Do you see the texts that is — it looks like it's on
15 January 30th, 2018, at 5:49:59 p.m.?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And who is that from?

18 A From me to Michael Cohen.

19 Q And what is the body of the text?

20 A "She is stating that she authorized it and she released
21 it of her own volition."

22 Q And what did you understand that to mean or what did
23 you mean when you wrote that, I should say?

24 A That's the — Michael Cohen had requested yet another
25 statement from Stormy Daniels and that on the day of — that she

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 was appearing on Jimmy Kimmel — and he wanted to know whether
2 or not she was going to release it.

3 Q Okay. I want to show you Page 9, just to continue
4 this, of the same exhibit, lines 86 through 87.

5 And, again, I would just ask you to tell us who is texting
6 who when and what it means?

7 A This is January 30th, 2018, from me to Michael Cohen.
8 Quote: "She's good for now... but it's a shit load of work."

9 Q But what did you mean by that? What was a lot of
10 work?

11 A Just this case had begun to eat up a lot of time.

12 Q And how did Michael Cohen respond?

13 A "I'm sorry but thank you."

14 Q And so you just referenced another statement. Did you,
15 in fact, prepare another denial for her to sign immediately
16 prior to her appearance on Jimmy Kimmel?

17 A There was another statement that was prepared and
18 released.

19 Q Okay. Let me show you People's Exhibit 278. This is
20 in evidence.

21 MR. STEINGLASS: I will ask that we blow it up a
22 little bit.

23 Q And I will ask you, is this the statement of denial
24 from January 30th, 2018?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And did — withdrawn.

2 Who typed this document?

3 A I did.

4 Q And where were you when you did that?

5 A I was in the Marilyn Monroe Suite at the Roosevelt

6 Hotel in Hollywood, California.

7 Q And who else was present?

8 A There were make-up artists. To my recollection, there

9 were make-up artists and hair dressers.

10 There was the — Gina Rodriguez was there; her then

12 Stormy was there.

13 I was there.

14 I think that's it.

15 Q And where in relation did — withdrawn.

16 Did you say why you were in that room in the hotel? What

17 was happening?

18 Why was hair and make up there?

19 A The — the Roosevelt Hotel is a classic, old Hollywood

20 place on Hollywood Boulevard where they have the Hollywood Walk

21 of Fame and the stars.

22 And it's right next to where Kimmel films.

23 And the Jimmy Kimmel Show had put Stormy up at the Roosevelt

24 Hotel and specifically requested that suite.

25 And I think she was preparing to go on Kimmel that night.

Lisa Kramsky,

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Okay. Can you read for us the statement.

2 A "To Whom it May Concernz"

3 Next paragraph.

4 "Over the past few weeks, I have been asked countless times
5 to comment on reports of an alleged sexual relationship that I
6 had with Donald Trump many, many, many years ago."

7 Next paragraph.

8 "The fact of the matter is that each party to this alleged
9 affair denied its existence in 2006, 2011, 2016, 2017 and now
10 again in 2018."

11 "I am not denying this affair because I was paid 'hush
12 money' as has been reported in overseas owned tabloids. I am
13 denying this affair because it never happened." Period.

14 Next paragraph.

15 "I will have no further comment on this matter." Period.

16 "Please feel free to check me out on Instagram at
17 @TheStormyDaniels."

18 Excuse me, "@TheStormyDaniels."

19 Q Mr. Davidson, how would you characterize truthfulness
20 of this statement?

21 A I think it's technically true.

22 Q Can you explain that?

23 Can you explain how it's true that it says an alleged sexual
24 relationship, which basically denies them having a sexual
25 relationship; is that right?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 A Yes.

2 Q And how is that — how is that technically true?

3 A Because I don't think that anyone had ever alleged that
4 there was a relationship between Stormy Daniels and Donald
5 Trump.

6 I believe their relationship is an ongoing interaction.

7 Q And it also makes a reference — withdrawn.

8 It denies that Stormy Daniels was paid hush money.

9 If I asked you to explain that, would you give basically the
10 same answer that you gave in connection with the denial from
11 January 10th of 2018?

12 A I would.

13 Q Okay. I want to go back to your exchange with Michael
14 Cohen on People's Exhibit 255.

15 Directing your attention, in particular, to Page 9, starting
16 with Entries 88 to 97.

17 (Displayed.)

18 Q Starting with the top. Can you give us the time,
19 please, and the date.

20 A The date is — they are all January 31st, 2018. It
21 starts at 12:21 a.m., from Michael Cohen to me.

22 Q And is that 12:21 a.m. UTC minus 5?

23 A I don't know.

24 Q Does it say UTC minus 5?

25 A It does.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Okay. But you don't know what that means; is that
2 right?

3 A Well, I could figure it out, but.

4 Q Well, I don't want you to guess —

5 A All right.

6 Q — if you're not sure?

7 A I don't know.

8 Q Okay. So what did the — who is the first text from in
9 this particular exchange?

10 A "She just denied the letter." This is from Michael
11 Cohen to me.

12 (Whereupon, Senior Court Reporter Laurie Eisenberg
13 relieved Senior Court Reporter Lisa Kramsky as the official
14 court reporter.)

15 *****

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Okay.

2 And what did you understand it to mean?

3 A Well, I took it to mean that he was watching Stormy
4 Daniels on Kimmel, and that he was reporting to me what was
5 being broadcast.

6 Q So, who do you understand "she" to be in reference to?

7 A Stormy Daniels.

8 Q What is the next text in this chain, from whom to
9 whom?

10 A From Michael Cohen to me: "Claiming it's not her
11 signature."

12 Q Keep going, please.

13 A "You said she did it in front of you."

14 Q I should just ask, this series of texts that's up on
15 the screen, are these sent within fairly rapid succession, all
16 within a five-minute window?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And I believe you testified that you understood this
19 to be happening while Michael Cohen was watching Stormy Daniels
20 appearing on the Kimmel show; correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q So, what do you understand it to mean when Michael
23 Cohen said to you, "You said she did it in front of you"?

24 A Um, that he was repeating something I had previously
25 told him, which is that that most recent statement was signed

1 in front of me.

2 Q The one that was signed in the Roosevelt Hotel?

3 A That's right.

4 Q So, then did you respond to Michael Cohen?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And what did you say?

7 A "She did." Period. "Impossible. She posted it on her
8 own Twitter page."

9 Q How did Michael Cohen respond?

10 A "They showed her signature and she claimed it was not
11 hers on Kimmel."

12 Q Did you respond in any way?

13 A "WTF. "

14 Q I hate to ask, what does that mean?

15 A It's sort of an — it's a signal of exasperation of:
16 What the fuck.

17 I didn't watch Kimmel, so I was getting all this
18 information, but I wasn't watching the show.

19 Q Got it.

20 Scrolling down to Page 11, Lines 112 to 113.

21 I should ask before I blow this up, in the interim, is
22 there some back and forth about Stormy Daniels' appearance on
23 Kimmel?

24 A Yes.

25 Q So, now, going to Lines 112 and 113 on Page 11, I'm

1 going to ask you what time this text was sent and by whom?

2 A January 31, 2018, at 1:30 UTC, from Michael to me:

3 "Please tell Gina to ensure she responds the same as your
4 statement tomorrow when she does The View." That television
5 show. "This is not a comedy show!" Exclamation point.

6 Q What did you understand that to mean?

7 A That Michael was upset about what he understood was
8 Stormy denying that she had signed the statement.

9 Q Before we move on, I believe you testified this was
10 UTC.

11 But, does it actually say UTC minus five?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Shortly thereafter, did you respond to Michael Cohen?

14 A Yes.

15 Q What did you say?

16 A "Gina is ticked off at Stormy because Stormy made her
17 look like a liar. Gina says she is going to have a long talk
18 with Stormy on the plane to New York tomorrow. She assured me
19 that this will get handled."

20 Apparently, they were going to New York to film The View.

21 Q What were you saying to Michael Cohen here? What did
22 you mean?

23 A Well, again, like I mentioned earlier, we're trying to
24 thread a needle and hold off allegations of breach and all the
25 penalties that would come with that, where — where Cohen

1 would — would — you know, file an arbitration or sue Stormy,
2 and that would be a whole 'nother disaster. So, we're trying
3 to placate him while also trying to meet Stormy's desires.

4 Q And without getting into any privileged communications
5 between yourself and Stormy Daniels, did Michael Cohen threaten
6 a lawsuit against Stormy Daniels?

7 A Many times.

8 Q Can you tell us, basically, what he said about that?

9 A He would — I mean, he can be a very aggressive guy.
10 Aggressive in his pursuits to protect his client.
11 And he would, often times, you know, make legal threats,
12 say that he would bankrupt her and, um, "Rain legal hell down
13 upon her," um, and, "Don't fuck with us. You don't know who
14 you're fucking with," things like that.

15 Q And what did you — if you had an understanding, what
16 did you understand Michael Cohen to be attempting to get you to
17 do?

18 A I don't understand your question.

19 Q Okay.

20 Regarding Stormy Daniels' story, did you have an
21 understanding about what Michael Cohen wanted you to do
22 regarding Stormy Daniels and her story?

23 A Well, he wants to hear her deny her story to protect
24 his client.

25 Q That's what I was asking.

1 Okay.

2 Going back to this — excuse me one second.

3 Going back to People's 255, your text exchange with Michael

4 Cohen, and turning to Page 12, Lines 122 to 123. I'm sorry.

5 122 to 133.

6 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)

7 MR. STEINGLASS: I'll just ask you to blow it up a

8 little bit.

9 Q You don't have to read every single one of these, but

10 could you read them to yourself and tell us a little bit about

11 what's happening here?

12 A No.

13 Q You want me to keep going?

14 MR. STEINGLASS: Let's scroll down a little bit

15 more.

16 (Whereupon, the exhibit scrolls on the screens.)

17 Q Let me stop right there for a second and direct you to

18 the text that appears to be on February 13, 2018, at 10:30 PM,

19 UTC minus five time.

20 Okay?

21 A Okay.

22 Q It says Michael Cohen appeared to text you a

23 particular email address.

24 A Yes.

25 Q Whose email address does he text you?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 A Christopher Cuomo.

2 Q Who did you understand Christopher Cuomo to be?

3 A He's a news broadcaster, then with CNN.

4 Q Okay.

5 Scrolling down a little bit.

6 Did you see the text that's sent about 11:55 PM from

7 Michael Cohen?

8 A Yes. Yes.

9 Q What is he saying?

10 A The first one is: "Yes."

11 The second one is: "Can you send me the statement."

12 Q And did you respond to that within a little less than
13 an hour or so?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And what did you say?

16 A "I am sending you this draft because it is truthful.
17 What do you think? I represented Stephanie Clifford in the
18 Michael Cohen, slash, Stephanie Clifford transaction. I read
19 today that Michael Cohen reports that the source of the
20 \$130,000 paid to Ms. Clifford was from his own personal funds.
21 Period. That assertion is in complete harmony with what he
22 informed me of at the time of the transaction."

23 Q And did Michael Cohen respond?

24 A Yes.

25 Q What did he say?

1 A "Perfect. Send to Cuomo."

2 Q What's going on here, Mr. Davidson?

3 A Uh, I don't know. I think that he was under some fire,
4 um, and wanted validation or corroboration that the 130,000
5 was, in fact, paid from him and not paid from anyone else.

6 Q And did he ask you to write a statement to that effect
7 to send to Christopher Cuomo?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And did you share it with Michael Cohen before sending
10 it to Christopher Cuomo?

12 Q And did you, ultimately, send it to Christopher Cuomo?

13 A I did. I recall that I did. I believe I did.

14 Q How would you characterize the truthfulness of this
15 statement?

16 A I believe it's truthful.

17 Q Did you — you told us yesterday that you believe that
18 the ultimate source of the funds was Donald Trump; is that
19 correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q So, how do you reconcile those two — how do you
22 reconcile that with the statement?

23 A The going back and forth in the days before that this
24 Stormy Daniels/Michael Cohen deal was consummated in
25 October 2016; and if you recall, there was a period where he

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 said, Fuck it. I'll just do it myself." That's what I was
2 referring to in the statement.

3 Q So, the statement does not undermine your belief that
4 the ultimate source of the funds was Mike — was Donald Trump?

5 A At the time of the transaction.

6 Q Can you just clarify that for a second?

7 A At the time of the transaction, Michael Cohen told
8 me — as the statement said, at the time of the transaction,
9 Michael Cohen told me, "Fuck it. I'll just do it myself."

10 Q Okay. So — all right. I understand.

11 Thank you.

12 Um, my next question is, did you — directing your
13 attention, I guess, to early April of 2018, did you go on CNN
14 and say that Michael Cohen used his own funds to pay Stormy
15 Daniels?

16 A I believe so.

17 Q And why did you say that?

18 A Because I understood that he did.

19 Q Based on the same statement that he made at the time
20 of the transaction?

21 A And even later on, that December 9th conversation that
22 I had with him at the department store where he said that he
23 had not been reimbursed.

24 Q Okay.

25 MR. STEINGLASS: Um, one more moment, please.

1 (Whereupon, the People confer.)
2 Q Mr. Davidson, do you have any stake in the outcome of
3 this trial?
4 A No. Not at all.
5 MR. STEINGLASS: No further questions.
6 THE COURT: Thank you.
7 Let's go ahead and take our morning recess,
8 jurors.
9 Step out, and I'll see you in about ten minutes
10 COURT OFFICER: All rise.
11 (Whereupon, the jurors and the alternate jurors
12 are excused.)
13 (Whereupon, the witness is excused.)
14 (Whereupon, a recess is taken.)
15 *****
16 THE COURT: We can get the witness.
17 COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
18 (Whereupon, the witness, Keith Davidson, having
19 been previously duly sworn and/or affirmed, resumes the
20 witness stand and testifies as follows:)
21 COURT OFFICER: All rise.
22 Jury entering.
23 (Whereupon, the jurors and the alternate jurors
24 are present and properly seated.)
25 THE CLERK: Case on trial continued.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 All jurors are present and properly seated.
2 THE COURT: Counsel, will you stipulate that the
3 jurors are present.
4 MR. STEINGLASS: I'm sorry.
5 Yes, Judge.
6 THE COURT: Mr. Bove.
7 MR. BOVE: Thank you, Judge.
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 BY MR. BOVE:
10
11 Q Good morning, Mr. Davidson.
12 A Good morning.
13 Q Barely good morning.
14 My name is Emil Bove, and I represent President Trump,
15 who's here on my left.
16 A Nice to meet you.
17 Q It's nice to meet you, too.
18 You've never met President Trump; correct?
19 A Never.
20 Q And Tuesday was the first time that you've been in the
21 same room as him; right?
22 A That's true.
23 Q You've never spoken to President Trump?
24 A Never.
25 Q You have no first-hand knowledge of the business

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 records of The Trump Organization; right?

2 A Only those that I received.

3 Q Well, you received some records from Michael Cohen;
4 correct?

5 A Emails and such.

6 Q In fact, everything that you know about President
7 Trump came from either TV or Michael Cohen; right?

8 A No.

9 Q Much of what you testified to today with respect to
10 your beliefs about Mr. Trump came from Mr. Cohen; right?

11 A I have — I have had no personal interactions with
12 Donald Trump. And either it came from my clients, Michael
13 Cohen, and from some other source, but certainly not from him.

14 Q I would like to focus on 2016.

15 A All right.

16 Q In that period, you had a strong professional
17 relationship with Dylan Howard; right?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And a close personal relationship, also; right?
20 Friends?

21 A Fair.

22 Q I think you said you'd known him for over a decade;
23 right?

24 A Yes.

25 Q How did you guys meet?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 A I'm not sure.

2 Q Was it in connection with your work?

3 A I believe so.

4 Q And in 2016, you were talking to Mr. Howard just about
5 every day; right?

6 A I would think. Several times a week.

7 Q And on the professional side of things, Mr. Howard
8 helped you get business from AMI; right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You got a couple of matters from AMI; right?

11 A Limited, yes.

12 Q One was an employment claim by a reporter; right?

13 A I think so.

14 I seem to recall there was a California matter. They were
15 being sued, and I was being consulted on that.

16 Q And there was an entertainment deal with film rights
17 AMI decided not to move forward on?

18 A That's right.

19 Q Would it surprise you to learn that Mr. Howard
20 considered you to be a major source of information?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And that surprises you, notwithstanding the near-daily
23 contact in 2016?

24 A Correct.

25 Q And is part of the reason for your surprise is that

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 you're an attorney; right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And you're not suggesting that you were providing
4 client confidences to Dylan Howard; right?

5 A Not at all.

6 Q And then, one of the things you talked about on
7 Tuesday and today, I think, was your representation of Karen
8 McDougal; correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q If I understood it correctly, Ms. McDougal did not
11 want to publish an article about the claims that have been
12 discussed here; right?

13 A True.

14 Q And you testified in the Grand Jury in this case;
15 right?

16 A I did.

17 Q At the District Attorney's Office; correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q They were the ones asking the questions; right?

20 A Fair.

21 Q And that was in 2023?

22 A I — I can't recall.

23 Q It was within the last 18 months?

24 A Roughly.

25 Q In there, you testified that Miss McDougal never

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 really wanted to tell her story to the public; right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And I think you said on Tuesday that she had three
4 goals; right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q One goal was to rejuvenate her career; right?

7 A True.

8 Q And that was your understanding in 2016; correct?

9 A That's true.

10 Q And Ms. McDougal had had a real career up to that
11 point; is that right?

12 A She certainly had had a real career prior to, yes.

13 Q She had been on magazine covers; correct?

14 A More than that. Yeah. She had — she had a very
15 healthy career.

16 Q And that included not just on the Playboy part, but
17 also in the fitness industry; right?

18 A I believe so.

19 Q And so, by "rejuvenate", there was — at the time, in
20 2016, when you say "rejuvenate", there was ongoing value in her
21 image and likeness; right?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And I think you said that one of the other goals was
24 to make money; right?

25 A Yes.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q And I want to talk about this, more about this a
2 little later.
3 That wasn't just her goal, but it was also the goal of some
4 of the people around her?
5 A That's fair.
6 Q And the third goal was the one we were talking about,
7 to avoid having to tell her story; correct?
8 A True.
9 Q And Ms. McDougal has been clear about that publicly;
10 right?
11 A That's my understanding.
12 Q She said that on — in an interview with Anderson
13 Cooper?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And you have described the deal that she got with AMI
16 as her "dream deal"; right?
17 A I think she described it as her "dream deal".
18 Q And that's consistent with your interactions with her;
19 correct?
20 A Yes.
21 Q And you don't think — tell me if this is wrong.
22 You did not discuss the McDougal AMI deal with Michael Cohen
23 until after it was closed; right?
24 A Yes. That is true.
25 Q And I think — sorry to cut you off.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 I think you said on Tuesday that you reached out to him
2 afterwards as a professional courtesy?
3 A Yes.
4 Q But he wasn't involved in any negotiations; correct?
5 A Not that I'm aware of.
6 Q Let's focus on Cohen a little bit.
7 I think you said you encountered him for the first time in
8 2011?
9 A True.
10 Q And that was in connection with this kind of blog post
11 on The Dirty?
12 A True.
13 Q The Dirty was a web post at the time that focused on
14 gossip?
15 A Fair.
16 Q And there was a post with the general substance of the
17 same allegations that Stormy Daniels made subsequently, in
18 2016; right?
19 A Yes.
20 Q And at that time, 2011, Gina Rodriguez was Stormy
21 Daniels' manager?
22 A Yes.
23 Q She told you that she wanted to get the story taken
24 down?
25 A That's true.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q And you described Ms. Rodriguez as sort of a story
2 broker?

3 A That's part of what she does, yes.

4 Q And part of her business, I think you said, was in
5 monetizing stories?

6 A That's true.

7 Q Monetizing news?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And in 2011, you had already been working with
10 Ms. Rodriguez for a while; right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And, so, you served as a conduit to help get this
13 story down?

14 A I was asked. I was, in essence, retained to use my
15 best efforts to get that blog taken down, yes.

16 Q And you said, "in essence, retained".
17 You were, in fact, retained by Stormy Daniels in connection
18 with that engagement; right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And that engagement, the attorney-client engagement
21 was not committed to writing; was it?

22 A It was not.

23 Q And there's nothing wrong with that; is there?

24 A There is not.

25 Q That's an ethical practice, to have an attorney-client

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 relationship without an Engagement Letter; right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Now, focusing on Ms. Rodriguez for a minute.

4 Is it fair to say that you've had a reciprocal referral

5 relationship with Gina Rodriguez?

6 A I think that's fair.

7 Q She sends you some business?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Some clients?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And vice-versa; you send her some clients; right?

12 A I think less so, but perhaps.

13 Q Reciprocal, but a little bit one-sided?

14 A I don't know.

15 Q You're still fairly close with Ms. Rodriguez; right?

16 A Somewhat.

17 Q I mean, you actually tried to represent her in

18 connection with this investigation; right?

19 A Well, I didn't try to.

20 She was contacted by the District Attorney's Office. They

21 wanted her to come in and give a statement. And I returned the

22 call on her behalf as my client.

23 Q The reason I used the word "try" is that you were

24 instructed by the District Attorney's Office that they viewed

25 that as a conflict because of your status as a witness; right?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

2 Q You didn't necessarily agree, but that was the
3 instruction?

4 A I don't agree with the word "instruction".
5 That was their —

6 Q Position?

7 A — position. That was their position.

8 Q My point here was, the relationship with Rodriguez and
9 you was close enough in 2023 that she still looked at you as
10 someone that she still trusted to be her counsel?

11 A True.

12 Q And in 2011, the way that this worked, as I understand
13 it, is that you sent a Cease and Desist Letter to The Dirty?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And at some point around this time, the blog post got
16 picked up by the New York Daily News; correct?

17 A Correct.

18 Q The story started to get out there a little bit;
19 right?

20 A That's right. Yes. It was republished or repurposed by
21 other elements.

22 Q So, the news had been disseminated; right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And you also worked to have the Daily News take down
25 their internet article reflecting Ms. Daniels' allegations;

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 correct?

2 A I believe so.

3 Q And in connection with that effort, you working to get
4 the story from the Daily News down, you were also acting as
5 Ms. Daniels' attorney; right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Still no Retainer Agreement; right?

8 A Correct.

9 Q Did you ever have an Engagement Letter with
10 Ms. Daniels?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Was that in 2016?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Now let's focus a little bit on that 2016 engagement.
15 You had an Engagement Letter for providing legal services
16 to Ms. Daniels; right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And I think you described reaching a settlement;
19 correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And you've used during your testimony litigation terms
22 like "plaintiff" and "defendant" to describe the parties to
23 that Settlement Agreement; right?

24 A Yes.

25 Q So, from your perspective, it is true, is it not, that

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 you're providing Ms. Daniels legal services with regard to the
2 Agreement that led to the payment of \$130,000?

3 A Yes.

4 Q You talked a little bit today about the Side Letter to
5 the Agreement in 2016.

6 A Yes.

7 Q Remember that?

8 A (Nods).

9 Q I think the word "decoded" was used; right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q These acronyms, Peggy Peterson and David Dennison;
12 right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q That was something you had used in the past; right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q That was your idea; right?

17 A No. No. It's not my idea.

18 It's widely used with these types of agreements.

19 Q You talked a little bit, today, about a December 9th,
20 2016 conversation with Michael Cohen; right?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And I think you said he sounded disheartened; correct?

23 A I — yes.

24 Q And at other times, do you recall having described
25 that conversation in terms of you left the talk in concern for

1 his physical safety, his wellbeing, that's how bad he sounded?

2 A I thought he was gonna kill himself.

3 Q And the concern on that call was that he understood
4 he wasn't going to get a position in President Trump's
5 Administration; right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And he had at times, in conversation with you,
8 referenced potentially being President Trump's Chief of Staff;
9 right?

10 A Amongst others.

11 Q Amongst others. Right.

12 He also mentioned potentially being the Attorney General of
13 the United States; right?

14 A That's true.

15 Q In this call at the Wonderland Warehouse, he was
16 conveying to you that's not going to happen; and he was, very
17 very upset about it; correct?

18 A That's true.

19 Q Now, I would like to take a step back and talk about,
20 sort of, how you've interacted with law enforcement during the
21 course of this investigation.

22 Okay?

23 A Fair.

24 Q It started out in 2018, with some meetings with
25 Federal Prosecutors; right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Do you recall how many meetings?

3 A I do.

4 Q How many?

5 A Three.

6 Q And that was in 2018; right?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And you were asked during those meetings about your
9 interactions with Stormy Daniels; right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And with Karen McDougal; correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And in those meetings, the attorney-client privilege
14 did not come up as much; right?

15 A I don't — I don't know how to answer that question.

16 Q You've invoked the privilege in your testimony at this
17 trial several times; correct?

18 A A few times, yes.

19 Q And you've also invoked it with meetings with the
20 District Attorney that we'll talk about in a little bit; right?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And my question is, do you recall invoking the
23 privilege during those three meetings with the Federal
24 Prosecutors in 2018?

25 A Yes.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q And then, at some point, you started to meet with the
2 District Attorney's Office; right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And that was several years later, correct; time went
5 by?

6 A True.

7 Q Do you remember when the first meeting was?

8 A Not particularly.

9 Q Does 2021 sound about right?

10 A I don't recall.

12 remote, I think by Zoom?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And the main person asking the questions during that
15 meeting was Mark Pomerantz; do you recall that?

16 A I do.

17 Q Do you recall, at some point in the meeting,
18 Mr. Pomerantz said to you: "You've — You're obviously

20 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

21 THE COURT: Sustained.

22 MR. BOVE: May we approach?

23 THE COURT: Sure.

24 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held
25 at sidebarz)

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 THE COURT: Let me hear the objection.

2 MR. STEINGLASS: Well, since I don't know what
3 he's going to ask, it's a little hard for me to object.
4 But, I don't think he could possibly be getting
5 into what Mark Pomerantz said to him, especially because
6 it sounded like he was getting into Mark Pomerantz's
7 impression of something the witness was saying, which is
8 something — prosecutor's perceptions of witnesses'
9 perceptions — is something you precluded in other
10 contexts.

11 So, I didn't want the question to be asked on the
12 record.

13 THE COURT: What were you going to ask?

14 MR. BOVE: I was going to ask — I'm summarizing
15 whether he recalled Mr. Pomerantz saying he was choosing
16 his words carefully because there was extortion inferred.
17 The reason I'm asking that question is because of
18 the effect on the witness' state of mind in connection
19 with his subsequent interactions with the Government,
20 because the way that that meeting ended was he was
21 instructed to get an attorney.
22 There was then a conversation that I would
23 like to probe, where he — and I don't have to use
24 Mr. Pomerantz's name if that's offensive. I am happy to
25 take that out.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 But, lawyers — a member of the District
2 Attorney's Office said: We're not looking at him for
3 extortion.
4 THE COURT: We're not looking at who?
5 MR. BOVE: Mr. Davidson.
6 So, there's a benefit.
7 It's not, sort of, the automatic benefit that
8 attaches to the Grand Jury proceedings.
9 MR. STEINGLASS: So, let me see if I understand
10 this correctly.
11 What Mr. Bove is trying to elicit, one prosecutor
12 expressed a view that seemed to suggest that that
13 prosecutor believed that Mr. Davidson's conduct approached
14 extortion, but then a subsequent person said, We're not
15 looking at you for extortion, so the internal —
16 MR. BOVE: The same prosecutor.
17 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
18 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held
19 in open court:)
20 THE COURT: Objection sustained.
21 MR. BOVE: May I continue?
22 THE COURT: Yes.
23 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION
24 BY MR. BOVE:
25 Q You said something this morning along the lines of

1 Michael Cohen could be an "aggressive" guy; right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q I think that was your word, "aggressive"?

4 A That's my opinion now. I think that was my word.

5 Q And you can be aggressive, too; can't you?

6 A I don't know.

7 Q You don't know?

8 A I suppose.

9 Q What does the word "extortion" mean to you?

10 A Extortion is the — it's the obtaining of property by
11 threat of fear or force.

12 Q Compelling an action by force or coercion.

13 How's that?

14 A (Shrugs).

15 Q Is that accurate?

16 A Sure.

17 Q And that can be a State or Federal crime; right?

18 A True.

19 Q And as you sit here today, it's your belief, is it
20 not, that the statute of limitations on any extortion crimes
21 has run?

22 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

23 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

24 Please be more specific.

25 Q As you sit here today, is it your belief that any

1 exposure that you have to State or Federal extortion crimes is
2 excluded by the statute of limitations?

3 A I have no opinion.

4 Q You're an attorney, and you have not thought about
5 that prior to your testimony?

6 A I have not.

7 Q When you were negotiating on behalf of Ms. McDougal
8 and on behalf of Stormy Daniels, one of your concerns was on
9 staying on the right side of the line with respect to
10 extortion; correct?

11 A I suppose.

12 Q I mean, that's something any attorney would naturally
13 want to be doing in a negotiation like this; right?
14 You were making a demand.

15 A Well, I don't know what any attorney making a demand.
16 That's, I suppose, fair.

17 Q But, in your mind, you were concerned about avoiding
18 creating evidence of extortion; correct?

19 A Not particularly.

20 Q Well, one of the issues that you had to be sensitive
21 about was not to threaten that the payment needed to be made
22 prior to the election; correct?

23 A I don't recall that.

24 Q You were concerned about linking those two issues —
25 payment, election — were concerned in written communications;

1 right?

2 A That was not my concern.

3 Q Do you recall being asked about this issue in meetings
4 in 2023 by the District Attorney's Office?

5 A I do not.

6 Q Do you recall a meeting where you indicated that you
7 could not recall whether the thought of election as a deadline
8 for getting stuff done had come up?

9 A You're asking me —

10 Q Do you remember saying that?

11 A I remember saying I do not recall.

12 Q And it's your testimony that you — even today, you
13 don't remember whether or not you were concerned about linking
14 the election in these payments?

15 A Correct.

16 Q You said on Tuesday that in the timeframe 2015 to
17 2017, your focus was on, I think you said, media cases; right?

18 A Somewhat.

19 Q And you also testified that you agreed with
20 Mr. Steinglass, that those cases frequently involved
21 Non-Disclosure Agreements; do you remember that?

22 A Fair.

23 Q And in terms of media practices and the types of work
24 that you were doing in 2015 through 2017, there's nothing out
25 of the ordinary about a NDA agreement; correct?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

- 1 A That's fair.
- 2 Q They're used all the time; right?
- 3 A Fair.
- 4 Q In many different contexts; right?
- 5 A Agreed.
- 6 Q They are enforceable; right?
- 7 A True.
- 8 Q They're litigated sometimes; correct?
- 9 A Agreed.
- 10 Q They are upheld often; right?
- 11 A Agreed.
- 12 Q And so, there's nothing strange to you about the fact
- 13 that your practice involved NDA's in 2015 through 2017; right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q As far as you know, that's during the time of the
- 16 media lawsuits during that period?
- 17 A As far as I know.
- 18 Q In 2016, you were pretty well-versed in getting right
- 19 up to the line without committing extortion; right?
- 20 A I don't understand your question.
- 21 Q My question is, that you had had several
- 22 representations that involved situations where you were making
- 23 demands on third-parties on behalf of your clients; right?
- 24 A True.
- 25 Q And you were asking for money and other benefits in

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 those representations; correct?

2 A True.

3 Q And you knew you had to be careful so as to not
4 violate the law prohibiting extortion; right?

5 A True.

6 Q And in 2016, you had, in fact, familiarized yourself
7 with the law of extortion based on very specific experience;
8 right?

9 A No.

10 Q Isn't it a fact that in connection with events in
11 2012, you were investigated by State and Federal authorities
12 for committing extortion against Terry Bollea, Hulk Hogan?

13 A That's true.

14 Q And in connection with that investigation, did you or
15 did you not familiarize yourself with the extortion offenses
16 that were applicable in Florida and under Federal Law at the
17 time?

18 A That's fair.

19 Q And so, getting back to my question, by 2016, you had,
20 in fact, familiarized yourself with where that line was; right?

21 A I had familiarized myself with the law. I'm a lawyer.

22 Q And you did everything that you could to get as close
23 to that line as possible in these negotiations without crossing
24 it; right?

25 A I did everything I could to make sure that my

1 activities were lawful.

2 Q And that included not making overt threats connected
3 to the 2016 election; didn't it?

4 A Threats to who?

5 Q To Michael Cohen.

6 A No.

7 Q No?

8 You made no threats to Michael Cohen relating to the 2016
9 election; is that the answer that you give?

10 A I made no threats to anyone.

11 Q You never linked these negotiations to the 2016
12 election with anyone; is that your testimony?

13 A That's fair.

14 Q In 2010, you represented a woman named Dawn Holland;
15 right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And Dawn Holland leaked information about the
18 treatment of Lindsay Lohan at a rehab facility; correct?

19 A It was reported that that's what she did.

20 Q And you had connections as TMZ at the time; right?

21 A True.

22 Q You still do; right?

23 A No. Well, perhaps.

24 Q Perhaps.

25 You helped Ms. Holland get that information posted at TMZ;

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 right?

2 A No.

3 Q TMZ posted information relating to this incident with
4 Ms. Lohan, including aspects of her confidential patient file
5 from the facility; right?

6 A I don't know.

7 Q It's your testimony that you don't even know what I'm
8 talking about?

9 A No. I'm answering your question.

10 Q So, I just asked, did TMZ post information from
11 Ms. Lohan's confidential patient file?

12 A I don't know.

13 Q You helped Ms. Holland get paid in connection with
14 what I just described; didn't you?

15 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 A I helped Ms. Holland get paid?

18 Q Correct. That's the question.

19 A I don't recall.

20 Q You don't recall that TMZ paid Ms. Holland \$10,000
21 around this time?

22 A I don't recall.

23 Q She was your client; right?

24 A At some point, yes.

25 Q Is it your testimony that she was not your client in

1 connection with the incident I just described?

2 A No.

3 Q So, she was your client in connection with the
4 incident I just described; correct?

5 A Well, you're describing a few different incidents.
6 I don't know what you're recalling — what you're referring
7 to.

8 Q What I am referring to is the posting of information
9 regarding Ms. Lohan on TMZ, and that information related to her
10 treatment at a rehab facility.

11 A I don't recall that.

12 Q And you don't recall Ms. Holland getting paid \$10,000
13 by TMZ?

14 A I don't recall that.

15 Q Do you know who Tila Tequila is?

16 A I do.

17 Q And in 2010, you took steps to broker — steps to
18 broker a deal of a sex tape involving her; correct?

19 A I believe so.

20 Q And you were working on that — what should I call it
21 — "engagement" — with a man named Kevin Black?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And at the time, he was sort of known as a sex tape
24 broker; is that right?

25 A I think that's fair.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Is that how he was making his living in 2010?

2 A I don't know.

3 Q You just said it was "fair" to characterize him as
4 that, but you're not sure if that was how he was making his
5 living?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q Is there a distinction?

8 A Yes.

9 Q In connection with the Tila Tequila tape, you
10 represented the client; right?

11 A Client?

12 Q That's my question.

13 A I don't recall.

14 Q You don't recall representing Francis Hall?

15 A I do not.

16 Q Do you recall that Mr. Hall, who also goes by Francis
17 Thien, threatened Ms. Tequila that if she didn't pay him
18 \$75,000, the tape would be published?

19 A I don't recall that.

20 Q You don't recall at the time of that transaction, you
21 were on a 90-day Bar suspension?

22 A I don't recall that.

23 Q You know who Charlie Sheen is; right?

24 A I do.

25 Q And you've represented some clients who you helped get

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

2 A I've represented several clients who had claims
3 against Charlie Sheen.

4 Q And who you extracted sums of money from Charlie Sheen
5 on behalf of; correct?

6 A There was no extraction.

7 Q You took steps to cause Mr. Sheen to pay; correct?

8 A We asserted that there was tortious activity committed
9 and valid settlements that were executed.

10 Q And one of those settlements involved a client named
11 Karen Montgomery; right?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And she was your client in 2011; correct?

14 A I don't recall the year.

15 Q Do you recall that Mr. Black referred her to you?

16 A I do recall that.

17 Q And do you recall that you entered into an Engagement
18 Letter with her that required a 60 percent contingency fee?

19 A I don't recall that.

20 Q Do you recall that she had recently been under the
21 influence of methamphetamine at the time you got her to sign
22 the Engagement Letter?

23 A No, I don't recall that.

24 Q You don't recall she was barely completing sentences
25 when you got her to sign the letter?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

3 Q In connection with that representation, Charlie Sheen
4 paid \$2 million; right?

5 A I have no — I'm not gonna answer that question.

6 Q You're — \$2 million was paid to your client; correct?

7 A I don't recall.

8 Q Is it fair to say that your memory seems a little
9 fuzzy around some of these issues?

10 A I've had over 1500 clients in my career.

11 You're asking me about events that took place many, many
12 years ago.

13 Q A \$2 million payment is a typical payout for you on
14 one of these cases, so much so that you don't remember it; is
15 that your testimony?

16 A I don't remember a settlement from 13 years ago.

17 Q What about Capri Anderson? Do you remember her?

18 A I do remember her.

19 Q And do you remember extracting another settlement from
20 Mr. Sheen while representing her?

21 A Again, it was no extraction.

22 Q You got Mr. Sheen to pay; correct?

23 A Assuming arguendo that he did pay and there was a
24 Settlement Agreement, that settlement would be confidential,
25 and I would not discuss it here.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Look. We're both lawyers. I'm not here to play lawyer
2 games with you.
3 I'm just here to ask questions and get straight answers.
4 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.
5 THE COURT: Sustained.
6 Q I'm not asking you to assume anything.
7 I'm asking what you remember.
8 All right?
9 Can you answer?
10 A In answer to what?
11 Q I'm not asking you to assume anything.
12 I'm just asking for truthful answers.
13 Okay?
14 A You're getting truthful answers, sir.
15 Q So, when I ask a question, I'm not asking you to
16 assume arguendo; alright. I'm just asking for what you
17 remember.
18 A I'm not going to discuss confidential matters.
19 Q Well, that's a different answer; isn't it?
20 Now you're invoking the privilege.
21 A No, I'm not invoking the privilege.
22 And if you're not here to play legal games, then don't say
23 "extract".
24 Q Do you remember Mr. Sheen paying your client, Capri
25 Anderson?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 A I'm not going to discuss that.

2 MR. BOVE: Judge, I ask that the witness be

3 instructed to answer the question.

4 THE COURT: Please approach.

5 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held

6 at sidebarz)

7 THE COURT: So, this is a sensitive area here.

8 I think we need to establish, first, that he's

9 definitely not asserting any kind of privilege.

10 MR. BOVE: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Once he says he's not asserting

12 privilege, you can ask him the question again.

13 If he refuses to answer, you can ask me for help.

14 MR. BOVE: Yes.

15 Thank you.

16 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held

17 in open court:)

18 Q So, I want to focus on your representation of Capri

19 Anderson; okay?

20 A Fair.

21 Q My question is, was there a settlement between

22 Ms. Anderson and Mr. Sheen in connection with that

23 representation?

24 A I'm not going to answer that.

25 Q When you say "that", you're not invoking a privilege;

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 correct?

2 A I'm not going to answer that question based on
3 privilege and confidentiality.

4 Q And what privilege are you invoking?

5 A The attorney-client privilege.

6 Q So, what I'm asking for is details about
7 communications between you and your client and a third-party,
8 Mr. Sheen, and maybe his representatives, maybe not.
9 Do you understand my point?

10 A No.

11 Q Is it your position that those types of communications
12 are privileged in an adversarial negotiation context?

13 A Yes.

14 MR. BOVE: Your Honor, I ask that the witness be
15 instructed to answer the question.

16 THE COURT: You can ask him another question.

17 He's asserting privilege.

18 Q Let's focus on 2012.

19 Okay?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Do you recall that Gawker ran a clip from a purported
22 sex tape regarding Hulk Hogan that year?

23 A I do.

24 Q Do you recall that, prior to that post, still images
25 from one of the images appeared on The Dirty; right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q That same website that in 2011 had the post about
3 Ms. Daniels' allegations; correct?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you used your connections at The Dirty to help get
6 the Hulk Hogan stills posted; right?

7 A No.

8 Q You had nothing to do with that?

9 A Nothing to do with it.

10 Q And at some point in 2012, you reached out to Hulk
11 Hogan's representatives; right?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And you indicated to them that the Gawker post was a,
14 quote, shot across the bow; right?

15 A I don't recall that.

16 Q Do you recall that during the negotiations of
17 Mr. Hogan's representatives, you said, "I'm an expert at doing
18 this type of thing"?

19 A I don't recall that.

20 Q Do you recall discussing this issue, the conversations
21 that you had with Mr. Hogan's representatives, with Michael
22 Cohen?

23 A I do not.

24 MR. BOVE: Mr. Bernik, if we could bring up
25 People's Exhibit 255 in evidence and go to — this can be

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 for everybody.

2 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)

3 MR. BOVE: If you go to the page of Bates number
4 ending 214573.

5 If we could zoom in, please, on row 53 I think it
6 is.

7 Q Now, I think you said this morning these are messages
8 between you and Mr. Cohen; right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q So, does this refresh your recollection that in
11 January 2018, you were communicating with Mr. Hogan [sic] about
12 your prior dispute with Hulk Hogan and communication regarding
13 that?

14 A I was communicating with Michael Cohen about Hulk
15 Hogan.

16 Q Right.

17 You were communicating with Michael Cohen about Hulk Hogan?

18 A Yes.

19 Q So, this communication refreshes you?

20 A Yes.

21 MR. BOVE: We can take that down.

22 Q I want to go back to focusing on October 2012, and you
23 had reached out to Hulk Hogan's representatives regarding this
24 Gawker post and the sex tapes; right?

25 A Yes.

1 Q At that time, you were acting as an attorney; correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q You had clients; right?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Who possessed the tapes; right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And you said to Hulk Hogan's representatives, did you
8 not, "We got a lot of people, they get caught on tape. They're
9 not all celebrities. We have family men that are gay, and they
10 want to keep their gay identity undercover, so we approach
11 them, too."

12 Do you remember saying that?

13 A I do not.

14 Q Do you remember demanding a million dollars?

15 A I do not.

16 Q You made a monetary demand to Hulk Hogan's
17 representatives in order to not publish these tapes; correct?

18 A No.

19 Q Did you ask for money?

20 A There was a monetary demand made.

21 Q Was it for purchase, so that Hulk Hogan could purchase
22 the tapes, the right to the tapes?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Do you recall that the National Enquirer published
25 information relating to these tapes in 2015?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 A No.
2 (Whereupon, Senior Court Reporter Theresa
3 Magniccari relieves Senior Court Reporter Laurie
4 Eisenberg, and the transcript continues on the following
5 page.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR
Senior Court Reporter

1 (Whereupon, the proceedings are continued from
2 previous page:)

3 *****

4 MR. BOVE: This is just for parties and the
5 witness and the Court. If we can take a look at Defense
6 Exhibit F27. If we can go to Page 2, please.

7 (Displayed.)

8 CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. BOVE:

10 Q. Take a look at that and let me know when you are done.

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. Did you have a chance to take a look?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Does this refresh your recollection that the National
15 Enquirer ran stories about the tapes in the Summer of 2015?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Did they?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And do you recall that Dylan Howard had a byline on one
20 of those articles?

21 A. I do not.

22 Q. Can you take a look at Defense F27, please, and see if
23 that refreshes your recollection?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. So Dylan Howard did, in fact, have a byline on articles

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 relating to the Hulk Hogan tapes, right?

2 A. In this article, yes.

3 Q. And that's because you referred this information to
4 him, correct?

5 A. No, that's not.

6 Q. It is just happenstance?

7 A. I don't know.

8 Q. You don't know?

9 A. No, I don't know if it's happenstance.

10 Q. Did you or did you not provide information to Dylan
11 Howard so he could break the story about the content of one of
12 the tapes?

13 A. No, I did not.

14 Q. Did you cause information to be disclosed to Dylan
15 Howard so he could publish an article in the National Enquirer
16 about the content of one of these tapes?

17 A. I did not.

18 Q. And there was an FBI investigation relating to this,
19 correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. You practiced criminal law in the beginning of your
22 career?

23 A. True.

24 Q. State and Federal?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So you understand, do you not, that the FBI needs
2 enough information to actually predicate a criminal
3 investigation?

4 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 Q. The FBI ran a sting operation targeting your meetings
7 with Hulk Hogan's representatives, correct?

8 A. They had an investigation, yes.

9 Q. When I say "sting operation," you know what I mean,
10 right?

11 A. Not technically.

12 Q. They set up a meeting where someone was recording what
13 you were saying in connection with the negotiations?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. That was at a hotel, right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And the FBI was sitting a couple of doors down,
18 correct?

19 A. I don't know. They were in close proximity.

20 Q. They were in close proximity monitoring the situation,
21 right?

22 A. I presume so.

23 Q. You know that this was also investigated by local
24 authorities, correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And that there was a report issued relating to the two
2 investigations, and the local authorities?

3 A. There was a report issued.

4 Q. Do you recall that?

5 A. (No response.)

6 MR. BOVE: Mr. Bernik, this is for the Court and
7 the parties and the witness.

8 Q. If you can take a look at Defense F35, please.

9 I'm going to hand you a copy so you could flip through.
10 Have you had a chance to look at that?

11 A. It's 31 pages. I have looked at that.

12 Q. My question is: Does that refresh your recollection
13 that the Tampa Police Department issued a report relating to the
14 investigation that we have been talking about?

15 A. I didn't — yes.

16 Q. Do you recall that in that report the Tampa Police
17 Department indicated that the operation —

18 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

19 THE COURT: Sustained.

20 Q. You can put the document to the side.

21 Thank you.

22 The Tampa Police Department referenced concerns about
23 extortion in connection with this investigation, correct?

24 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

25 THE COURT: I will allow it.

1 You can answer it.

2 A. They conducted an investigation, yes.

3 Q. An investigation relating to extortion, right?

4 A. I believe so.

5 Q. And there were conclusions about concerns relating to

6 extortion; just yes or no?

7 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 Q. And you were not ultimately charged, right?

10 A. True.

11 Q. But that experience gave you familiarity with extortion

12 law, correct?

13 A. Perhaps. I — I don't know.

14 Q. Do you know who Gabriel Rueda is?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And he had a dispute with CBS and Manny Pacquiao?

17 A. "Dispute" is loosely used.

18 Q. He felt that he was owed a finder's fee relating to one

19 of Mr. Pacquiao's fights, right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. A big fight?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. With Floyd Mayweather?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. You tried to convince Mr. Rueda to settle that dispute,

1 right?

2 A. I offered him a settlement.

3 Q. He felt he was owed millions and millions and millions
4 of dollars, right?

5 A. A hundred million dollars.

6 Q. One hundred million dollars?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. You offered him \$50,000, correct?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You made a settlement offer to him, right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And it involved a sum of money that was much less than
13 100 million dollars?

14 A. It was far less than 100 million dollars.

15 Q. On June 25, 2015, you told Mr. Rueda he was dealing
16 with powerful people who did not care if Rueda got hurt, right?

17 A. I never ever said that. I did not say that.

18 Q. You also threatened he would not be able to find work
19 in California if he did not accept your settlement, correct?

20 A. That's false.

21 Q. Now, I want to get back to the clients that you talked
22 about in this case.

23 A. Fair.

24 Q. Let start with Ms. McDougal. I think you said that
25 your attorney-client relationship started in June 2016, right?

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 A. I believe so.

2 Q. By that time, there was someone else leaking
3 information publicly about this alleged relationship between Ms.
4 McDougal and President Trump, right?

5 A. I don't know about that, about leaking. Ms. McDougal
6 had a friend, and when your client was ascending in the polls,
7 one of Ms. McDougal's former friends attempted to publicize
8 Ms. McDougal's interactions with your client.

9 Q. That is Gary Stevens, right?

10 A. I don't know.

11 Q. Do you remember telling the District Attorney's office
12 that Ms. McDougal had a friend who was trying to publish a story
13 without her consent?

14 A. Telling whom?

15 Q. That there was a friend of Ms. McDougal's who was
16 trying to publish a story without her consent?

17 A. Who did I say that to?

18 Q. The District Attorney's office.

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. At the time, you said that you knew who you were
21 talking about, right?

22 A. I don't know if I ever heard that name Stevens.

23 Q. I think you testified on direct that your fee in
24 connection with the representation of Ms. McDougal is 45
25 percent; is that right?

1 A. I believe so.

2 Q. You testified on direct that Jay Grdina had introduced

3 you to Ms. McDougal; is that right?

4 A. No.

5 Q. You knew Ms. McDougal for a while, but Jay came to you

6 about this particular representation?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Jay Grdina had been a client of yours?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Jay has a brother, correct?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Named James?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. If I call him James, you know who I am talking about?

15 A. I do.

16 Q. So James was married to McDougal, correct?

17 A. That's my understanding.

18 Q. There was sort of a referral chain for how you became

19 involved in 2016?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And you mentioned somebody named John Crawford; do you

22 remember that?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. I think you said he provided security at a meeting or

25 two?

1 A. He was, I think, a retired police officer from Phoenix.

2 Q. He also owns some UPS stores in Phoenix?

3 A. True. That's my understanding, that he owned UPS
4 stores.

5 MR. BOVE: Mr. Bernik, can we please take a look
6 at People's 279 in evidence. This can be for everybody.
7 Can we zoom in on the email, please.

8 (Displayed.)

9 Q. So I think we looked at this on Tuesday. This is
10 you submitting a proposed Engagement Letter to Ms. McDougal,
11 right?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Do you see the BCC line?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. That JG, is that Jay Grdina?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Why did you include him on the BCC line?

18 A. I don't know.

19 Q. And this document was never executed, right?

20 A. I don't know if there was a Retainer Agreement that was
21 executed.

22 Q. Do you know if it's the one that is attached to the
23 email or a different letter?

24 A. I don't know.

25 Q. You said you got a subpoena at some point from the

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 District Attorney's office?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And did you search your files for the signed version of
4 this letter?

5 A. I believe so.

6 Q. And is it fair to say you did not find one?

7 A. I provided whatever was located.

8 Q. My point is: There was nothing wrong in terms of your
9 relationship with Ms. McDougal that it wasn't signed?

10 A. It was my recollection that I had a fully executed —

12 MR. BOVE: Mr. Bernik, can we take a look at

13 Paragraph 4.2 and the subparagraphs.

14 (Displayed.)

15 Q. I am focused on Paragraph 4.2, where it seems to
16 indicate that the fee contemplated in this letter was 35
17 percent.

18 Do you see that?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Do you recall a subsequent negotiation that increased
21 your fee to 45 percent?

22 A. I don't recall that conversation.

23 Q. And now the difference between these two numbers, 35
24 and 45 percent, on the \$150,000 payment, is 10 percent, about
25 15 grand, right?

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And some of the fee that you were paid was split,
3 correct?

4 A. No.

5 Q. So is it your testimony that Jay Grdina made nothing in
6 connection with the payment of the \$150,000?

7 A. He was compensated.

8 Q. Out of the legal fees, correct?

9 A. No.

10 Q. What about John Crawford?

11 A. He was compensated as well.

12 Q. He was compensated out of your fee? Yes or no?

13 A. No.

14 Q. You know — do you know that the California Ethnic
15 Rules prohibit non-attorneys from being paid out of legal fees,
16 right?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. You have been disciplined on that basis, correct?

19 A. No.

20 Q. So we'll go back to the first page of the email.

21 Can you see it?

22 That is dated June 15, 2016?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. This was sent right around the time that you went to
25 Phoenix to meet with Ms. McDougal, Mr. Grdina, and Mr. Crawford,

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Before the meeting, you had already talked to Dylan
4 Howard, right?

5 A. I don't recall.

6 MR. BOVE: Mr. Bernik, if we can take a look at
7 People's 176A.

8 I would like to go to the page with the text
9 messages on June 7, 2016.

10 Zoom in on the rows beginning 11-111.

11 (Displayed.)

12 Q. Have you had a chance to take a look?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. These are messages dated June 7, 2016, between you and
15 Dylan Howard?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. In these messages, you indicated, "I have a blockbuster
18 Trump story."

19 Do you see that on the top?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. You were reaching out to Dylan Howard to tell him about
22 Ms. McDougal's allegations, correct?

23 A. Not necessarily.

24 Q. You were reaching out to Mr. Howard to get him
25 interested in the potential story, right?

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

2 Q. And it would make — it would make you look better when
3 you went to Phoenix to be able to say, "I have already got the
4 National Enquirer interested in this," correct?

5 A. I don't know about that.

6 Q. It did not help you gain trust with the client to be
7 able to say that you had already generated interest in the
8 potential story; is that your testimony?

9 A. No, that's not my testimony.

10 Q. So it was helpful to you to be able to say to Karen
11 communicated with the National Enquirer, right?

12 A. Maybe, or maybe not. Perhaps.

13 Q. And this is one of the ways your relationship with
14 Dylan Howard benefited your law practice, right?

15 A. I don't see that the two are related.

16 Q. You testified on Tuesday about a meeting that you had
17 with Ms. McDougal in Los Angeles.

18 Do you remember that?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. I think you showed some text messages. We eventually
21 got to the point where you remembered that the meeting was on
22 June 20, 2016?

23 A. I believe so.

24 Q. Mr. Howard travelled to Los Angeles for the meeting,
25

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

1 right?
2 A. Yes.
3 Q. At the meeting was also Ms. McDougal?
4 A. Yes.
5 Q. Jay Grdina?
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. Mr. Crawford, correct?
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. And the purpose of that meeting was for Dylan Howard to
10 sort of vet Ms. McDougal's story, right?
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. You knew he was going to take the information and his
13 observations and report back to AMI's leadership, right?
14 A. To David Pecker.
15 Q. To Mr. Pecker, the top of the totem pole of AMI?
16 A. Yes.
17 Q. You were informed, were you not, the next day, AMI was
18 not interested?
19 A. Yes, I was informed at that time they were not
20 interested.
21 Q. I think we saw a text message where Mr. Howard wrote to
22 you that AMI was "immovable."
23 Do you remember that?
24 A. Vaguely.
25 Q. And the issue was, one of them, that AMI felt

1 McDougal had not provided enough corroborating information,
2 right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Meaning, evidence to back up her story, correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And that is why shortly thereafter you texted
7 Mr. Howard, you said, "Ms. McDougal found her Blackberry,"
8 right?

9 A. But he asked her to locate additional documents and —
10 so, yes.

11 Q. It was in response to that request you wrote to Mr.
12 Howard shortly after the meeting, "She found her Blackberry?"

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. There were efforts made to take information off that
15 Blackberry, right?

16 A. I don't know about that.

17 Q. The Blackberry ended up not moving the needle for AMI
18 in terms of corroboration, correct?

19 A. I don't know.

20 Q. There was no conversation that you were a party to
21 where AMI said, "Oh, great, now that we know the Blackberry
22 exists, let's move forward with the story," right?

23 A. I never had that conversation.

24 Q. In this same month, June 2016, things had broken down
25 enough that you sent a message to Mr. Howard indicating you were

1 drafting a Declination Letter.
2 Do you remember that?
3 A. I don't recall that.
4 MR. BOVE: If we can take a look at Government
5 Exhibit 176A, Page 3.
6 Zoom in on 1115 and 56.
7 (Displayed.)
8 THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay.
9 Q. Does this refresh your recollection that you told
10 Mr. Howard in June 2016 that you were drafting a Declination
11 Letter?
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. You were, correct?
14 A. I don't recall. I — I don't recall.
15 Q. At this point, Ms. McDougal started to negotiate with
16 other companies, right?
17 A. I don't know.
18 THE COURT: Is this a good time to stop?
19 MR. BOVE: Yes, Judge.
20 THE COURT: Jurors, we'll start back up at 2:15.
21 Do not discuss the case among yourselves or with
22 anyone else.
23 Please keep an open mind as to the defendant's
24 guilt or innocence.
25 Please do not form or express an opinion as to the

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

Proceedings

1940

2 Enjoy your lunch.
3 (Jury leaving courtroom.)
4 THE COURT: Please be seated.
5 Thank you, sir.
6 You may step down.
7 (Witness leaving courtroom.)
8 THE COURT: Mr. Bove, I am not rushing you at all.
9 I want to get a sense of how much more you have.
10 MR. BOVE: I will make some adjustments at the
11 lunch break. I expect it's under an hour.
12 THE COURT: Thank you.
13 Enjoy your lunch.
14 (Whereupon, the Court took a luncheon recess.)
15 *****
17 A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N .
18 THE COURT: Good afternoon.
19 Is there anything that we need to discuss before
20 we bring the witness out?
21 MS. NECHELES: There is one issue, if I could have
22 a few minutes to discuss.
23 I wanted to raise an issue about the Gag Order and
24 just some clarification before we start.
25 If I could hand up some articles here, and give a

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

1 copy.
2 Thank you.
3 Your Honor, I just handed up a stack of newspaper
4 articles by legal commentators and others.
5 People like, the first one is entitled "Trump's
6 New York Prosecution is a Bogus Case by Bogus Prosecutors."
7 And these articles are by a number of legal
8 commentators, including Jonathan Turley and Andrew
9 McCarthy.
10 These articles are all articles which President
11 Trump would like to post on his Truth, but they all discuss
12 this case. They discuss witnesses who have testified.
13 They discuss what the aspects of the case are.
14 They're very critical of this case. They're
15 important for his election campaign. They're talking about
16 the kinds of issues that he has been raising.
17 But he has some concerns because they do mention
18 witnesses. They mention the prosecutors in this case by
19 name.
20 We think they are perfectly fine, but we think
21 there is some ambiguity in the Gag Order.
22 So we're asking for your Honor to take a look at
23 them so that he knows he is not violating the Gag Order
24 when he posts this.
25 THE COURT: People?

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

1 MR. STEINGLASS: Judge, first of all, we've just
2 been handed this stack. If anything, we need to go through
3 them.
4 But it seems odd that they're asking the Court for
5 sort of an advanced ruling on these kinds of things.
6 I think the Gag Order is very specific.
7 So I guess my first request would be to have some
8 time to look at it.
9 We don't want to be in this position either. If
10 they're talking about witnesses in the case, people that
11 the defendant is not supposed to be talking about based
12 on the Gag Order — I understand and fully respect that
13 there is a political campaign going on. There is also a
14 criminal case going on in this courtroom. That's what the
15 Order is seeking to protect. I think we need to really be
16 careful with this situation.
17 THE COURT: I appreciate what you are bringing to
18 my attention, Ms. Necheles.
19 I'm not going to give advanced rulings. I'm not
20 going to be in a position of looking at posts and
21 determining in advance whether you should or should not
22 post these on Truth Social as part of your official
23 campaign website.
24 There is no ambiguity in the Order. The Appellate
25 Division has already weighed in on that.

2 At this point, this Court and the higher court
3 have found there is nothing wrong with the Gag Order.
4 I think the best advice you can give your client
5 is to do without, steer clear.

6 MS. NECHELES: Your Honor, I hear what you are
7 saying. I heard what the Appellate Court has ruled. I
8 think they're ruling in the abstract. I believe there is
9 ambiguity.

10 THE COURT: I am not going to argue with you.
11 Take it up to the Court of Appeals. I am not revisiting my
12 Order. I am not going to.

13 MS. NECHELES: What we're seeking a clarification
14 on, when the witnesses have testified, or when there is an
15 analysis of the case and it mentions witnesses, or it
16 mentions that the prosecutors made certain arguments in
17 this Court, or the prosecutors were appointed by a certain
18 Justice, these are people who are analyzing the case and
19 talking about it being a political hit. That is what
20 President Trump wants to be arguing at its core.
21 And I, frankly, don't know whether that violates
22 the Gag Order.

23 THE COURT: I am not going to give you an advanced
24 ruling on this.
25

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

1 Let's get the witness.
2 I think, when it doubt, steer clear. That's all I
3 can say.
4 (Witness entering courtroom.)
5 ***
6 THE COURT: Good afternoon sir.
7 Let's get the jury.
8 THE CLERK: Do all parties stipulate that all
9 jurors are present and properly seated?
10 MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
12 THE COURT: Good afternoon, jurors.
13 MR. BOVE: May I?
14 THE COURT: Yes.
15 CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION
16 BY MR. BOVE:
17 Q. Mr. Davidson, I would like to talk a little bit more
18 about the 2011 blog post.
19 You have testified a little bit about a woman named
20 Gina Rodriguez, right?
21 A. Yes.
22 Q. Who you said was Stormy Daniels' manager for a long
23 period of time, right?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Perhaps on and off at times?

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

- 1 A. I'm not sure.
- 2 Q. But in 2011, Gina Rodriguez was Stormy Daniels'
- 3 manager, correct?
- 4 A. That's my understanding.
- 5 Q. And you referenced at times that Gina Rodriguez had a
- 6 boyfriend that was involved in some of these things, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And his name was Anthony Kotsev?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Am I pronouncing that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Isn't it a fact that Mr. Kotsev actually wrote the blog
- 13 post that was put on The Dirty in 2011?
- 14 A. I don't know. He was an employee of The Dirty.
- 15 Q. You don't recall saying that to the Government?
- 16 A. I recall that.
- 17 Q. The Dirty was owned, in part, by James Grdina, right?
- 18 A. I don't think so.
- 19 Q. He worked there, correct?
- 20 A. James Grdina?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. And James Grdina is Karen McDougal's ex-husband, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. James Grdina and Jay Grdina are brothers, right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And Jay Grdina brokered your connection to Ms. McDougal
3 in that representation?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And is also connected to Gina Rodriguez, right?

6 A. Jay?

7 Q. Jay, right.

8 A. I don't think so.

9 Q. So it's your testimony that Jay Grdina has never worked
10 with Gina Rodriguez?

11 A. I don't know.

12 Q. So let's focus then on that 2011 representation of
13 Stormy Daniels.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. The reason that you were able to get that blog post
16 taken down is because Gina Rodriguez's boyfriend had written it,
17 correct?

18 A. I don't know who wrote it, but I don't think they were
19 dating at the time.

20 Q. It's your testimony that Gina Rodriguez did not — was
21 not involved with Anthony Kotsev in 2011?

22 A. I don't know if she was. I don't think she would have
23 needed me, she would have called her boyfriend.

24 Q. You used this network of connections to get the blog
25 post taken down, correct?

- 1 A. In addition to the Cease and Desist.
- 2 Q. You practiced law for a long time, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You won cases in court?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. This Cease and Desist Letter was not one of your
- 7 greatest litigation victories?
- 8 A. It was a form letter.
- 9 Q. It was a form letter created in that context because
- 10 you had connections to The Dirty?
- 11 A. I certainly followed the Cease and Desist up with a
- 12 phone call.
- 13 Q. Isn't it a fact that the reason that Ms. Daniels and
- 14 Ms. Rodriguez wanted that blog post taken down, they were trying
- 15 to negotiate a better deal with In Touch magazine?
- 16 A. That's a fact that I learned later, yes.
- 17 Q. They were using you in connection with them?
- 18 A. I don't understand your question. They were using my
- 19 efforts to create an exclusive opportunity with a different
- 20 publication.
- 21 Q. And that exclusive opportunity was more valuable to
- 22 Ms. Daniels, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. They were using you to make more money, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Now, you testified about some public statements that
2 Ms. Daniels made in January 2018, correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. At around this time, Larry Flynt offered to indemnify
5 Stormy Daniels in connection with any litigation arising out of
6 the Settlement Agreement, correct?

7 A. There were others. I was not involved. It's my
8 understanding there were other terms as well.

9 Q. But one of the terms, if there was going to be
10 litigation relating to the Settlement Agreement that you helped
11 to negotiate, he would indemnify her?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Indemnify means he would be paying her legal bills,
14 correct?

15 A. True.

16 Q. You testified this morning about some stress that you
17 perceived Ms. Daniels to be going through in January 2018
18 relating to this agreement, right?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. In fact, she had this opportunity to have her legal
21 fees paid if she wanted to fight the Agreement, right?

22 A. Yes. But you can — even if someone is going to pay
23 your legal bills, it's still an obstruction in your life. Yes.

24 Q. From your perspective, this Settlement Agreement was a
25 valid legal document, right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. You had negotiated it, right?

3 A. True.

4 Q. It had legal terms, right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And it was certainly consistent with your beliefs about

7 your ethnical obligations, right?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. You didn't do anything wrong in connection with that

10 agreement, right?

11 A. I don't believe so.

12 Q. I want to talk a little bit about your relationship

13 with Michael Cohen.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. You continued to work with him after the Stormy Daniels

16 settlement, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. On other matters?

19 A. Not with him. But I continued to certainly speak with

20 him, correspond with him. He was opposing counsel on another

21 matter.

22 Q. And he sent you some business, right?

23 A. He sent me work.

24 Q. He sent you a client?

25 A. He sent me a non-paying client.

1 Q. So it's not as if the experience with him was so
2 horrific that you ceased interacting with him, correct?

3 A. No, our relationship changed over time.

4 Q. And, in 2018, had you heard the name Summer Zervos?

5 A. I believe so.

6 Q. Did you discuss Summer Zervos with Mr. Cohen?

7 A. I can't recall.

8 Q. What about Justin Best?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. That's the client he was talking about, right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. So this is a situation where Mr. Cohen referred you a
13 client?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And you accepted that, right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Because you were continuing to work together, correct?

18 A. No.

19 Q. You were continuing to interact professionally, right?

20 A. That's fair.

21 Q. And you also had a client named Shera Bechard?

22 A. I had a client named Shera Bechard.

23 Q. She retained you in about November 2017, correct?

24 A. I'm not sure of the date.

25 Q. Late 2017, give or take?

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 A. I would have to look. That doesn't strike me as wrong.

2 Q. And she had made allegations about a sexual
3 relationship with a man named Elliott Broidy?

4 A. I think that's been publicly reported.

5 Q. Just so I understand the privilege analysis, if
6 something from your perspective — if something is publicly
7 reported, you are comfortable reporting privileged conversations
8 about it?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You are aware of the public reports about Ms. Bechard's
11 allegations?

12 A. I was aware of the public reporting of her allegations.

13 Q. She was your client?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Mr. Cohen represented Mr. Broidy?

16 A. Ultimately.

17 Q. There was a Settlement Agreement, correct?

18 A. True.

19 Q. This Settlement Agreement was legal work for you,
20 right?

21 A. I don't understand the question.

22 Q. You were practicing law when you negotiated the
23 Agreement?

24 A. True.

25 Q. So was Mr. Cohen, as far you could tell?

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

- 1 A. True.
- 2 Q. It resolved in a contract?
- 3 A. True.
- 4 Q. It probably had terms like the ones you negotiated on
- 5 behalf of Ms. Daniels, correct?
- 6 A. I would imagine.
- 7 Q. Maybe with one notable exception, that the dollar
- 8 figure was a lot higher right?
- 9 A. I don't recall.
- 10 Q. You don't recall that the settlement was for 1.6
- 11 million dollars to be paid in installments?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- 13 Q. As you sit here today, you don't recall events from
- 14 2018; is that your testimony?
- 15 A. I don't recall specific dollar amounts in a Settlement
- 16 Agreement from six some-odd years ago.
- 17 Q. Even after this settlement between Ms. Bechard and
- 18 Mr. Broidy, you continued to communicate with Mr. Cohen, right?
- 19 A. I believe so.
- 20 Q. Well, I mean, you know — so we looked at the text
- 21 messages, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You remember this morning, correct?
- 24 A. Yes, I remember this morning.
- 25 Q. The text messages from January 2018, right?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. They continued in February 2018, right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And March 2018, correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. In the context of those discussions, did you ever talk
7 to Mr. Cohen about Stormy Daniels having "settler's remorse?"

8 A. I may have.

9 Q. And do you recall in that conversation also using the
10 word "leverage?"

11 A. No.

12 Q. Is "leverage" a word that you used in conversations
13 with Mr. Cohen?

14 A. I may have.

15 Q. And you did, in fact, in March of 2018, correct?

16 A. Use the word "leverage?"

17 Q. In a conversation with Michael Cohen about Stormy
18 Daniels?

19 A. I don't know.

20 Q. During meetings with the District Attorney's office,
21 they asked you questions about whether Mr. Cohen had ever
22 recorded your conversations, correct?

23 A. I don't recall if it was — what agencies. It came up
24 at some point in an interview.

25 Q. And I think you said, did you not, "Yeah, I was under

2 A. Certainly, I recall a few conversations when I was
3 speaking with Mr. Cohen when his conversations were odd, and
4 that led me to believe that I was being recorded.

5 Q. I think you said the reason they seemed odd to you, he
6 was talking in a linear fashion?

7 A. Yes. Because, ordinarily, he was sort of all over the
8 place. In these particular calls, it was a very structured
9 conversation, which really wasn't his personality.

10 Q. Less pants on fire, more straightforward?

12 Q. Do you recall saying to Michael Cohen on March 7, 2018,
13 "Sometimes people get settler's remorse, you know, and other
14 times people think that, hey, I need to resolve this case
15 before a date certain because this is when I have the most?"

16 A. No.

17 MR. BOVE: If we can bring up for the parties, the
18 Court and the witness, Defense Exhibit F1S-AT.
19 There is also a set of headphones on the bench.
20 I think the way this works, there is a dial in the middle.
21 I'm told if you put the volume up above two,
22 there's a risk of catastrophic damage to your ears.
23 Thank you.

24 Q. So we're going to play Defense Exhibit F158A over the
25 headphones.

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

1 I'm going to ask you to listen to see if it refreshes
2 your recollection about whether you said what I just said.
3 A. Are you playing it?
4 Q. I think it might help, that's the receiver, whatever
5 you call this, it should come on.
6 Any luck?
7 A. If you could restart it.
8 (Whereupon, witness was given headphones to listen
9 to audio recording.)
10 MR. BOVE: Restart it, please.
11 (Whereupon, audio recording was played for the
12 witness and the Court only.)
13 THE WITNESS: Is this the beginning?
14 THE COURT: Play it one more time.
15 MR. BOVE: Start it from the beginning.
16 (Whereupon, the witness and the Court listened to
17 audio recording.)
18 MR. BOVE: Stop it.
19 Q. So, Mr. Davidson, it continues. We're going to go back
20 to it.
21 That was your voice?
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that you said to
24 Mr. Cohen, "Sometimes people get settler's remorse, you know,
25 and other times people think, hey, I need to resolve this case

1 before a date certain because this is when I have the most."

2 What you just listened to, does it refresh your

3 recollection that you said that?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. You were talking about Stormy Daniels here, correct?

6 A. Probably.

7 Q. Just yes or no; were you talking about Stormy Daniels

8 with Michael Cohen on March 7, 2018?

9 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 If you could answer yes or no.

12 A. It certainly appears to be, yes.

13 Q. And do you see the reference to "before a date

14 certain?"

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. That's something you said to Mr. Cohen in March 2018,

17 right?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And that is a comment that you were attributing in

20 substance to Ms. Daniels, correct?

21 A. Not necessarily.

22 Q. I am asking you: What was going on in your head at the

23 time?

24 A. What time?

25 Q. When you had said these things.

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 A. This is 2018; yes? I don't know what time you are
2 referring to.

3 Q. When you said what is written on the page, were you
4 referring in substance to something that Ms. Daniels had
5 conveyed to you?

6 A. I don't believe so.

7 Q. And when you use — do you see where it says
8 "hypothetically speaking?"

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. That was a code, right?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Because you were using the word "hypothetical," so you
13 could sit in a chair like this and say, "I'm not sure if I was
14 talking about Stormy Daniels?"

15 A. No.

16 Q. Do you recall saying during the same conversation with
17 Mr. Cohen, "Well, if things don't turn out the way that you
18 thought they were going to turn out, and now you're realizing
19 you have a lot more leverage, you tried to settle it twice?"

20 A. Can I see the transcript?

21 Q. Yes.

22 A. Thank you.

23 MR. BOVE: So we're going to bring up Defense
24 Exhibit F15-AT again.

25 (Displayed.)

1 Q. I want to do whatever I can to refresh your
2 recollection.

3 Is the transcript sufficient?

4 A. That's fine. Thanks.

5 Q. Does looking at the transcript refresh your
6 recollection that you had said that to Mr. Cohen in March of
7 2018?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you use the word "leverage," correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And that was Ms. Daniels' goal, was it not, to create
12 leverage over President Trump?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Do you recall saying during that same conversation,
15 "If someone like Larry Flynt or someone else comes in and says,
16 I will give you 1 million dollars, but first you got to get out
17 of the Agreement, hypothetically speaking;" do you recall saying
18 that to Mr. Cohen on March 7, 2018?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. That was a reference to Larry Flynt?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Who made the offer to Ms. Daniels, right?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that this entire
25 conversation is about Ms. Daniels?

1 A. Yes. But I think you are grossly mistaken about it.

2 Q. There is no question pending.

3 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.

4 I would ask that the witness be allowed to answer.

5 THE COURT: You can go ahead and answer the
6 question.

7 A. I think you are grossly mistaken about the date. This
8 is years after the settlement, and this is talking about a
9 potential breach, where Larry Flynt had offered to give
10 Ms. Daniels 1 million dollars plus indemnify her from any legal
11 costs because she wanted to get out of the Settlement Agreement
12 that she had entered into two years before.

13 Q. Which is shorthand for "settler's remorse," correct,
14 which was your phrase?

15 A. That's true.

16 Q. Now, at some point, Ms. Daniels got new counsel, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Michael Avenatti?

19 A. True.

20 Q. And Gina Rodriguez continued to work with Ms. Daniels
21 in that timeframe, right?

22 A. For a period.

23 Q. So for some period of time Ms. Daniels' legal
24 representation was Mr. Avenatti, assisted in that agent capacity
25 that we talked about by Gina Rodriguez?

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 A. For some period of time.

2 Q. And, certainly, that was the case in, let's say, the
3 second third of 2018?

4 A. I don't know. It's my recollection that Miss
5 Rodriguez's tenure did not last for very long once Mr. Avennati
6 came in.

7 Q. Do you recall saying to Michael Cohen, "Avennati has
8 really driven a wedge, a serious wedge between Stormy and Gina.
9 Avennati is leaning on Gina, saying, you know, basically, we
10 know we're lying. We know we're full of shit in the media. We
11 know that she was never threatened in Las Vegas. We know all
12 these things."

13 Do you recall saying that to Mr. Cohen?

14 A. That sounds like something I recall.

15 Q. Yes or no; do you recall saying that to Mr. Cohen?

16 A. I don't doubt I said that. I don't have a specific
17 recollection of that quote.

18 Q. That's fair.

19 MR. BOVE: We'll listen it. You can put the
20 headphones back on, please.

21 I am going to ask Mr. Bernik to play Defense F17C,
22 which corresponds to the transcript.

23 (Whereupon, the witness, using the headphones,
24 played the audio recording.)

25 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that you did say

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 those things to Michael Cohen on April 4th of 2018?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. That was your voice on Defense Exhibit F17C?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. In that same timeframe, April 2018, you did some public
6 interviews, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. I believe CNN?

9 A. I believe so.

10 Q. You talked with Michael Cohen about the questions and
11 answers during the interviews, right?

12 A. Can you rephrase your question, please?

13 Q. Did you speak to Michael Cohen about your CNN
14 interviews?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Do you recall saying this to Michael Cohen about one of
17 the interviews:

18 "The \$130,000, was there ever any indication that the
19 money was coming from —"

20 Answer is: "No."

21 "Was there ever any indication that Michael Cohen
22 needed authority from Donald Trump before he made that payment?"

23 And I said: "No, it was never discussed."

24 Do you recall saying that to Michael Cohen?

25 A. Vaguely.

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q. Go back to the headphones.

2 A. It's fine.

3 Q. I appreciate it.

4 MR. BOVE: Let me state it for the record. We're
5 now going to play Defense F17A, with the corresponding
6 transcript which is dash 18.

7 (Whereupon, audio recording was played for the
8 witness and Court only.)

9 A. I think the wrong transcript is up.

10 (Displayed.)

11 Q. Please stop that there.

12 Does that refresh your recollection that you said to
13 Mr. Cohen: "Was there ever any indication that Michael Cohen
14 needed authority from Donald Trump before he made that payment?
15 And I said, No, it was never discussed."

16 You said that, right?

17 A. That's true.

18 Q. You also in that conversation with Michael Cohen said,
19 "And why would an attorney have ever asked opposing counsel what
20 kind of conversations they had with their client."

21 You said that, right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you believed that, right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And the same way you invoked the privilege here,

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And Michael Cohen didn't breach any privilege in
4 talking to you about the Stormy Daniels negotiations, did he?

5 A. Not that I am aware of.

6 Q. And in that conversation later, did you or did you not
7 say: "Look, it's the truth, Michael, you know that, but I think
8 that you and I both want the truth out there?"

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. You said that, right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. In this timeframe, around the time of the CNN
13 interview, April 2018, do you recall speaking with Michael Cohen
14 about Gina Rodriguez and Mr. Kotsev?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Do you recall saying to Mr. Cohen, "Gina Rodriguez's
17 basically yelling and screaming and calling me a pussy."
18 Do you recall saying that to Mr. Cohen?

19 A. I don't.

20 MR. BOVE: So if we could bring up the transcript
21 on the screen, please, Defense Exhibit F17-ET, and play the
22 corresponding audio, Defense F17-E, please.

23 (Whereupon, the witness, using the headphones,
24
25

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

2 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that you said that
3 to Michael Cohen around the time of the CNN interview?

4 A. I don't know what date the CNN interview was, but I
5 said it on April 4th.

6 Q. Do you recall also saying to Mr. Cohen, "I wouldn't be
7 the least bit surprised if he comes out and says, you know what,
8 Stormy Daniels, she wanted this money more than you could ever
9 imagine. I remember hearing her on the phone saying: You
10 fucking Keith Davidson, you better settle this goddamn story
11 he loses this election, we all lose all fucking leverage. This
12 case is worth zero."

13 Do you recall saying that to Mr. Cohen?

14 A. I do.

15 Q. Do you remember at the beginning of my questions when I
16 asked about whether the election was used as a leverage point in
17 connection with the Stormy Daniels negotiations?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. I would like you to take a look at the Agreement that
20 you negotiated on behalf of Ms. Daniels. People's 26 in
21 evidence.

22 This could be for everyone.

23 From your perspective, this is a legal document?

24 A. Yes.

Theresa Magniccari
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q. The type of Settlement Agreement that you've negotiated
2 many times over the course of your career, correct?

3 A. That's fair.

4 Q. You've negotiated nondisclosure provisions?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And you did that here on behalf of Stormy Daniels as an
7 attorney, correct?

8 A. Yes. Yes.

9 Q. There isn't fake language designed to hide something,
10 right?

11 A. I don't know. It's a very long document. It's not
12 fake language.

13 Q. It has copyright provisions, right?

14 A. A Transfer of Copyright.

15 Q. I don't know what that means, honestly.

16 It's a serious legal part of this agreement, right?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. So if we can take a look at Bates ending 021.

19 This is the Copyright Transfer, right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. You have used similar documents like this before,
22 right?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. In the course of your practice on behalf of other
25 clients, right?

Theresa Magniccari

Senior Court Reporter

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. You did that here because you were trying to help

3 Ms. Daniels negotiate an Agreement, right?

4 A. This was the Agreement, yes.

5 Q. And these are valid terms from your perspective,

6 right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. As is the rest of the agreement?

9 A. I believe so.

10 MR. BOVE: If we could look at the Bates ending

11 020, please.

12 (Displayed.)

13 Q. This is the signature page, right?

14 A. Yes.

15 MR. BOVE: And can we zoom in on the signature,

16 please.

17 (Displayed.)

18 Q. And I think you said Mr. Cohen signed at the bottom,

19 right?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. There is an "Esquire" by his name?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. From your perspective, he signed this agreement as an

24 attorney, correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. There is a blank for David Dennison?
2 A. Yes.
3 Q. You don't know one way or the other what happened with
4 this agreement after you sent it to Michael Cohen?
5 A. I do not.
6 Q. It's unsigned here, correct?
7 A. True.
8 MR. BOVE: If we can take that down and look at
9 Bates 017.
10 (Displayed.)
11 Q. This is another signature page, correct?
12 A. What was the last page, Page 15?
13 Q. We'll back up one page, please.
14 A. It's just a continuation of the signature block.
15 Q. Look at 017 — 016. This is the end part of the main
16 agreement?
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. Now, go back to the signature page, 017.
19 It's blank here as well in the DD space, right?
20 A. Yes. Yes.
21 Q. That's the initials for David Dennison?
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. This is a practice that you used before, using initials
24 in the main body of the Agreement?
25 A. It's common.

1 Q. You even used it with Hulk Hogan, right?
2 A. I believe so.
3 O. This is unsigned, correct?
4 A. Yes.
5 Q. You don't know one way or the other whether it was
6 signed by DD?
7 A. By DD; yes.
8 MR. BOVE: I have nothing.
9 Any redirect?
10 MR. STEINGLASS: Can I have five minutes?
11 THE COURT: Are you asking for five minutes?
12 MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
13 THE COURT: All right, jurors, let's take five
14 minutes.
15 (Jury leaving court courtroom.)
16 THE COURT: You may be seated.
17 Thank you, sir.
18 You can step down.
19 (Witness leaving courtroom.)
20 ***
21 (Recess.)
22
23 (T. Magniccari relieved by
24

1 (Whereupon, a recess is taken.)
2 7k~k~k9c~k~k~k~k~k9c~k~k~k~k***~k~k~k***~k~k~k~k~k~k~k~k~k~k
3 COURT OFFICER: Come to Order. Part 59 is back in
4 session.
5 THE COURT: Mr. Steinglass, are you ready?
6 MR. STEINGLASS: Yes, Judge.
7 Thank you for the opportunity.
8 THE COURT: Let's get the witness, please.
9 COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
11 been previously duly sworn and/or affirmed, resumes the
12 witness stand.)
13 COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.
14 (Whereupon, the jurors and the alternate jurors
15 are present and properly seated.)
16 THE CLERK: Case on trial continues.
17 All parties are present.
18 Appearances remain the same.
19 Jury is present and properly seated.
20 THE COURT: Mr. Steinglass.
21 MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you.
22 Thank you for that, as well.
23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24 BY MR. STEINGLASS:
25 Q Hello, Mr. Davidson.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 A Hello.

2 Q On cross-examination, Mr. Bove asked you several
3 questions about a recorded conversation that you had with
4 Michael Cohen on April 4th of 2018.

5 Do you remember that?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And, at the time, as of April 4th of 2018, had Stormy
8 Daniels hired Michael Avenatti?

9 A I believe so.

10 Q And was Michael Avenatti suing you and Michael Cohen
11 at the time —

12 A Yes.

13 Q — that you had this conversation with Michael Cohen?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Would you like me to put or hand you copies of some of
16 the transcript segments that Mr. Bove showed you?

17 A Yes, please.

18 Q I'm going to hand you Defendant's F17-CT and
19 Defendant's F17-ET.

20 MS. HOFFINGER: AT.

21 MR. STEINGLASS: Dash ET.

22 (Whereupon, the documents are shown to the
23 defense and then shown to the witness.)

24 THE WITNESS: Thanks.

25 Q You have them?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay.

3 So, let's start with F17-CT.

4 Mr. Bove asked you if you said to Michael Cohen: "And now
5 that Avenatti has really driven a wedge, a serious wedge in
6 between Stormy and Gina, Avenatti is leaning on Gina and
7 saying, you know, basically we're lying, we know we're full of
8 shit in the media, we know that she was never threatened in Las
9 Vegas, we know all these things."

10 What did you mean when you said that?

11 A I meant that, uh, that Avenatti was trying to, uh,
12 persuade Gina's testimony.

13 Q So, were you in any way acknowledging that you were
14 lying?

15 A No.

16 Q Were you in any way acknowledging that Stormy Daniels
17 was never threatened in Las Vegas?

18 A No.

19 Q Is it your belief that — or is it your meaning that
20 when you said that, you were talking about the things that
21 Avenatti was going to allege or was alleging?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Similarly, Mr. Bove showed you, or read you, or played
24 for you, or all of the above, a portion of the same call and
25 gave you the transcript F17-ET.

1 Can you take a look at that one, please?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And he asked you if you said: "I wouldn't be the least
4 bit surprised if he comes out and says" — let me just stop
5 myself for one second to ask, who is "he" in that?

6 A Anthony Kotsev.

7 Q Okay.

8 "I wouldn't be surprised if he comes out and says: You know
9 what, Stormy Daniels, she wanted this money more than you could
10 ever imagine. I remember hearing her on the phone saying, you,
11 fucking Keith Davidson, you better settle this goddamn story
12 because if he loses this election, and he's going to lose, if
13 he loses this election, then we lose all fucking leverage and
14 this case is worth zero."

15 Mr. Bove asked you if you were discussing the Stormy
16 Daniels case when you said these things to Michael Cohen?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Are you at all saying that Stormy Daniels said those
19 things?

20 A It was my understanding at the time that — that this
21 was April 4th, and that Anthony Kotsev was about to give a
22 media interview, in sum and substance, in alignment with this
23 text.

24 Q Just to be very clear, you were not telling Michael
25 Cohen that Stormy Daniels was talking about the election and

1 how she's gonna lose her leverage after the election; it was
2 your understanding that those were things that Anthony Kotsev
3 was going to say?

4 A Correct.

5 Q You testified on direct examination that you had an
6 opportunity to review documents and exhibits that were
7 contained on a thumb drive.

8 Do you remember that?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Did you have a chance to listen to another recorded
11 conversation from October 16, 2017 between yourself and Michael
12 Cohen that has been marked for identification as People's
13 Exhibit 265?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Do you know who made that recording?

16 A Michael Cohen surreptitiously made the recording.

17 Q When you say "surreptitiously", did that mean you did
18 not know you were being recorded?

19 A I did not know I was being recorded.

20 Q Does that fairly and accurately depict a conversation
21 that you had with Michael Cohen on October 16, 2017?

22 A Yes.

23 MR. STEINGLASS: I offer it into evidence.

24 MR. BOVE: No objection.

25 THE COURT: Accepted into evidence.

1 (Whereupon, the exhibit is received in evidence.)
2 Q Similarly, did you also have the opportunity to review
3 what has been marked for identification as People's
4 Exhibit 267?
5 A Yes.
6 Q Is that a transcript of the recorded phone
7 conversation that we just admitted as People's Exhibit 265?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Have you compared the transcript to the recording
10 itself?
11 A I have.
12 Q Is it accurate?
13 A Yes.
14 MR. STEINGLASS: I offer that into evidence.
15 MR. BOVE: Can I have one moment to confer with
16 Mr. Steinglass?
17 THE COURT: Yes.
18 (Whereupon, Counsel confer.)
19 MR. BOVE: No objection.
20 Thank you, Judge.
21 THE COURT: People's 267 is accepted into
22 evidence.
23 (Whereupon, the exhibit is received in evidence.)
24 MR. STEINGLASS: What I would like to do, please,
25 is display People's 267, Pages 10 to 11, while I'm playing

1 a portion of 265, from 11 minutes and 13 seconds into the
2 call through 13 minutes and 33 seconds into the call.
3 And we can display this to everyone, please.
4 (Whereupon, the audio exhibit is played in open
5 court and the exhibit is shown on the screens.)
6 Q So, the portions of that tape that are attributed to
7 "Keith", is that your voice?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And the portions of the tape that are attributed to
10 "Michael", is that Michael Cohen's voice?
11 A Yes.
12 Q I want to blow up a particular paragraph here at the
13 top of Page 11.
14 Do you see the paragraph we're blowing up?
15 A Yes.
16 Q When Mr. Cohen said to you, "I can't even tell you how
17 many times he said to me," who did you understand "he" to be a
18 reference to?
19 A Mr. Trump.
20 Q And what did you understand, "I hate the fact that we
21 did it," attributed to Mr. Trump, to be a reference to?
22 A I attributed that to be a quote from Mr. Trump.
23 Q About what? "Did" what?
24 A The Stormy Daniels settlement.
25 MR. STEINGLASS: One minute, please.

1 (Whereupon, the People confer.)
2 MR. STEINGLASS: No further questions.
3 THE COURT: Thank you.
4 Mr. Bove, anything else?
5 MR. BOVE: Yes, please.
6 RECROSS EXAMINATION
7 BY MR . BOVE:
8 Q Mr. Davidson, you don't know the day of that
9 recording; do you?
10 A Not offhand.
11 Q And, so, when you're interpreting words of Michael
12 Cohen, without understanding the date, you don't know what
13 conversations had taken place by that time between you and
14 Mr. Cohen; do you?
15 A I don't understand your question.
16 Q You have no frame of reference for when that was said
17 in the context of all the other events that you testified about
18 today; right?
19 A No, I have a pretty good understanding of the context.
20 Q My question is not the date, sir.
21 You don't know when the conversation occurred, as you sit
22 here; do you?
23 A Not offhand.
24 Q And you were asked questions by Mr. Steinglass about
25 the recordings that you and I discussed; right?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 A Yes.

2 Q And those are recordings that had your voice; correct?

3 A True.

4 Q And Mr. Cohen's voice; correct?

5 A True.

6 Q And the transcripts were accurate, and you followed

7 along with those; right?

8 A Yes.

9 MR. BOVE: The defense offers F15-A and the

10 corresponding transcript, F17-A and the corresponding

11 transcript, F17-C and the corresponding transcript, F17-E

12 and the corresponding transcript.

13 THE COURT: Any objection?

14 MR. STEINGLASS: No objection.

15 THE COURT: Accepted into evidence.

16 (Whereupon, the aforementioned Defense Exhibits

17 are received in evidence.)

18 MR. BOVE: Mr. Bernick, let's start with F15-AT

19 on the screen. This is in evidence, so the jury can see

20 it.

21 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)

22 MR. BOVE: And you can play the corresponding

23 call, please.

24 (Whereupon, an audio exhibit plays in open

25 court.)

1 MR. BOVE: Now I'd like to publish to the jury
2 F17-CT, that's the transcript, and play the corresponding
3 audio, F17-C.
4 (Whereupon, the exhibit is shown on the screens
5 and the audio exhibit plays in open court.)
6 MR. BOVE: Now, if we could please publish
7 F17-AT.
8 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)
9 MR. BOVE: And please play the corresponding
10 audio.
11 (Whereupon, an audio exhibit is played in open
12 court.)
13 MR. BOVE: If we can, please, now publish the
14 last Defense Exhibit, F17-ET.
15 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)
16 MR. BOVE: Zoom in on the text, please, and then
17 play the corresponding audio.
18 (Whereupon, an audio exhibit is played.)
19 Q Am I understanding you correctly in saying that you
20 believe that statements that Stormy Daniels made to you about
21 using the election as leverage are subject to attorney-client
22 privilege?
23 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.
24 THE COURT: Sustained.
25 Q The agreement that you signed in connection with the

1 Stormy Daniels settlement used the initials DD; right?
2 A Yes.
3 Q That was in a decoded Side Letter, was in connection
4 with President Trump; correct?
5 A Yes.
6 Q A man you've never talked to; correct?
7 A Correct.
8 MR. STEINGLASS: Objection.
9 THE COURT: Overruled.
10 Q And a man you've never been in the same room with
11 before Tuesday; is that correct?
12 A Yes.
13 MR. BOVE: I have no further questions.
14 THE COURT: Anything else?
15 MR. STEINGLASS: No thank you, Judge.
16 THE COURT: You can step down.
17 (Whereupon, the witness is excused.)
18 THE COURT: People, call your next witness.
19 MR. CONROY: At this time, the People call Doug
20 Daus.
21 COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
22 D O U G L A S D A U S, having first been duly sworn and/or
23 affirmed by the court clerk, was examined and testified as
24 follows:
25 COURT OFFICER: State your full name, spelling

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 your last name.

2 THE WITNESS: Douglas Daus. D-A-U-S.

3 COURT OFFICER: Your county of residence?

4 THE WITNESS: Westchester County.

5 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

6 You may inquire.

7 MR. CONROY: Thank you, Judge.

8 DIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. CONROY:

10 Q Where do you work?

11 A I work for the New York County District Attorney's
12 Office.

13 Q How long have you worked in the District Attorney's
14 Office?

15 A It will be ten years in July.

16 Q Where do you work in the DA's Office?

17 A HTAU. High Technology Analysis Unit.

18 Q What does HTAU do?

19 A Process all types of digital evidence that comes
20 through our Lab to this Office. We take extractions from this
21 type of evidence and produce reports for them.

22 Q How long have you worked at HTAU?

23 A It will be ten years in July.

24 Q Can you tell us a little bit about your educational
25 background?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 A I have a degree in psychology.

2 I've been in this field a number of — 20 years. I have a
3 number of industry standard certifications, as well.

4 Q Can you tell us about some of your certifications?

5 A Certainly. One is a CCME, a Cellebrite Certified
6 Mobile Examiner. Another one would be a Certified Computer
7 Examiner.

8 Q Where did you receive that training?

9 A That training has been over the years that I've been
10 accompanied with this type of job. It comes from the company
11 itself, for Cellebrite. The other certification happens to be
12 an internal body of trainees and trainers.

13 Q Can you tell us about your experience in the field and
14 your work history?

15 A Certainly. So, before the ten years here, I worked two
16 years for Guidance Software. It was a company that created
17 EnCase. It's software that does forensics.

18 Two years prior to that, I was embedded in the military in
19 Iraq, doing the same type of work here.

20 Q When were you in Iraq?

21 A From April — end of April '09 to when we closed, in
22 November of 2011.

23 Q And what were you doing over there?

24 A Same type of work as here.

25 Q What's your current role in HTAU?

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 A I'm a Supervising Computer Forensic Analyst.

2 Q Can you just give a brief description of what computer
3 and phone forensics means?

4 A It means that when we get a device that is a computer
5 or phone, we then process that device. At that moment, we take
6 a digital copy of the entire evidence, preserve it, and then
7 work with that copy to perform an analysis of those devices.

8 Q Can you describe your day-to-day responsibilities as a
9 supervising analyst in HTAU?

10 A Besides the daily supervision of the Lab, keeping up
11 with technology, software, hardware. I am also an analyst, so
12 any type of cases that come my way, I may also perform the
13 extractions and produce reports for them.

14 Q What kinds of devices do you analyze on a day-to-day
15 basis?

16 A Cell phones, primarily. It could be computers, as
17 well, thumb drives, iCloud accounts. Actually, anything that
18 would contain digital media.

19 Q In the time you've been in HTAU, about how many
20 devices have you analyzed?

21 A Here, as of today, 3,392.

22 Q Approximately?

23 A Approximately.

24 Q How many of those are phones?

25 A Anywhere from 80 to 90 percent are phones.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q So, thousands of phones?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Does HTAU have a standard intake procedure for when
4 the Unit receives digital evidence?

5 A We do.

6 Q Can you describe that intake procedure that HTAU uses?

7 A So, once an intake email is set up from the attorneys
8 to our Department, typically, devices will come in either
9 pursuant to a Search Warrant or a Consent Form. Those devices
10 then come to our office. We will examine them, take pictures of
11 them, label them, keep records of those licenses, and then
12 produce Chain of Custody Forms, and then begin the extractions
13 and analysis.

14 Q Would it be routine to — for the person doing intake
15 to know what authority HTAU has to do the search of the device?

16 A We don't do any devices without such.

17 Q That's where you mentioned Search Warrant or Consent?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q Briefly, what is a Search Warrant?

20 A A Search Warrant is authority from a judge that signs,
21 that allows us to examine the devices obtained for our Search
22 Warrant.

23 Q What is Consent?

24 A Consent, just simply, the owner of the device consents
25 to the examination of the device.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Once the intake has been done, what happens to the
2 device?

3 A Once the intake is done, it is assigned an analyst. In
4 this case, it would have been me. We then look at the Search
5 Warrant or Consent Form, make sure all the numbers are correct,
6 and then proceed with the extraction of devices.

7 Q Would it be fair to say that the person who does the
8 intake is not always the person that does the analysis of a
9 particular device?

10 A Not always, that's correct.

11 Q Have you, yourself, extracted data from and performed
12 analysis on smartphones, including Apple iPhones?

13 A Yes, I have.

14 Q Can you tell us a little bit more about the extraction
15 process, specifically for a cell phone?

16 A Depending upon whether it's an Android or an Apple
17 iPhone, we then take it into a particular room. If we have a
18 PIN code to it, then we'll proceed with the extraction of the
19 device.

20 Q When you say, "If we have a PIN code," what do you
21 mean?

22 A In Consents, we are usually provided the PIN code.

23 Q You mean the password?

24 A That is correct.

25 Q What types of tools or programs do you use to extract

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 data from smartphones or cell phones?

2 A As mentioned before, Cellebrite is one of them.

3 Graykey is another one.

4 Q What do those tools do when they're extracting
5 information from the phone? What does it extract?

6 A It extracts the entire content of the device and puts
7 it in a format that's readable by the format itself.

8 Q When you say "content of the device", is that data?

9 A That is all the data on those devices.

10 Q How do you read that data once its been extracted?

11 A We use, again, the software called Cellebrite to then
12 take the contents of those devices and put it into a meaningful
13 format.

14 Q Once it's in that format, what kinds of things can you
15 look at from the extraction?

16 A Anything that you would normally see on the device,
17 your call history, your contacts, your text messages, videos,
18 pictures and such.

19 Q In that process, is any of the data on the phone
20 changed in any way?

21 A We're dealing with a live phone, so perhaps some
22 things in the background like software. But, for all intents
23 and purposes, things do not change.

24 Q Can — withdrawn.

25 What kinds of data, specifically, can be extracted from a

1 smartphone?
2 A Um, text messages, all types of software, call
3 history, log files, anything that is normally on a phone.
4 Q Can you get contact lists?
5 A You can.
6 Q Pictures?
7 A Pictures.
8 Q Calendar entries?
9 A Calendar entries.
10 Q Audio recordings?
11 A Audios.
12 Q Can you pull the phone number associated with the
13 smartphone?
14 A Absolutely.
15 Q The user name?
16 A The user name.
17 Q Can you get emails?
18 A You can.
19 Q And can you get downloaded apps?
20 A Yes, you may.
21 Q Can you also get something called metadata?
22 A You can.
23 Q Can you tell us a little about what metadata is?
24 A Simply, metadata is data about data.
25 To give an analogy, let's say you look at a book. Before

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 you open it up, you may see the title of it, maybe the author,
2 but you don't know who published it, who wrote it and when it
3 was done. You would simply open up the book cover, and that's
4 the information that would be considered metadata.

5 Q How is that metadata on the phone created?

6 A It's created by the year and/or the operating system
7 itself and applications.

8 Q And does that depend on what kind of metadata it is?

9 A It just depends on the artifact.

10 Q As part of your work in HTAU, were you assigned to an
11 investigation involving Donald Trump?

12 A I was.

13 Q Were you assigned to two devices to analyze as part of
14 that investigation?

15 A Yes, I was.

16 Q What kinds of devices?

17 A There are two iPhones. The first one is an iPhone 6s,
18 and the second one is an iPhone 7.

19 Q Did you understand the authority that HTAU had to be
20 in possession and look at those two phones?

21 A As mentioned before, it was a Consent.

22 Q And whose Consent, if you know?

23 A The Consent was Michael Cohen.

24 Q And do you know whose phones those were?

25 A They were Michael Cohen's.

1 Q Did one of the phones that you received have a gold

2 case?

3 A It did.

4 Q Was that the 6s?

5 A That was.

6 Q Did you assign an identifier to that phone to use

7 within HTAU?

8 A I did.

9 Q What was the identifier?

10 A CP-0001.

11 "CP" stands for cell phone.

12 Q Is that sort of a standard practice in a particular

13 case, you would name any phone CP-001 through any phones?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q Is that okay if I call that "CP1" going forward?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Do you recall the last four digits of the phone number

18 for that phone?

19 A 0114.

20 Q Did you note the serial number of that phone?

21 A I did.

22 I don't recall it.

23 Q Is there something that could refresh your

24 recollection?

25 A Notes would, yes.

1 MR. CONROY: Just for the parties and the Court
2 and the witness, could we display those notes?
3 THE COURT: Is there an identification of it?
4 Mark it for identification.
5 MR. CONROY: We could mark that as People's —
6 just one moment, Judge.
7 (Whereupon, the People confer.)
8 MR. CONROY: If we could mark that as People's
9 511-A.
10 THE COURT: Thank you.
11 (Whereupon, the exhibit is shown on the witness'
12 screen and on the parties' screens.)
13 Q Mr. Daus, take a look at that.
14 Does that refresh your memory what the serial number for
15 the phone is?
16 A Yes, it does.
17 Q Tell us what it was.
18 A Delta, November, Papa, Quebec, Charlie, Zero, Hotel,
19 One, Golf, Ralph, Yellow, and Three.
20 Q And, if I may, to put that into the regular alphabet,
21 is that DNPQCOH1GRY3?
22 A That is correct.
23 Q Did the other phone that you received have a black
24 case?
25 A It did.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Was that the iPhone 7?

2 A It was.

3 Q Was an identifier assigned to this phone?

4 A It was.

5 Q What was that?

6 A CP-0002.

7 Q Is that okay if I refer to that as "CP2" going
8 forward?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Do you remember the last four digits of the phone
11 number for that phone?

12 A 6866.

13 Q Again, do you remember the serial number for that
14 phone?

15 A I do not.

16 MR. CONROY: If we could pull up what I would deem
17 marked People's 15 — People's S11-B, just for the parties
18 and the witness.

19 (Whereupon, the exhibit is shown on the witness'
20 screen and on the parties' screens.)

21 Q Take a look at that, and tell us if it refreshes your
22 recollection.

23 A It does.

24 Q What was the serial number?

25 A F18T2PHHG7K.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Thank you.

2 MR. CONROY: You can take that down.

3 Q When you received the phones to forensically examine,
4 do you recall if CP1 and CP2 were powered on or powered off?

5 A They were off.

6 Q Did each of these two devices go through the intake
7 process, as you just described for us?

8 A Yes, they did.

9 Q Did you do the intake yourself?

10 A I did not.

11 Q Once you were assigned the phones, what did you do
12 with them?

13 A Once I received the phones, I kind of mentioned
14 before, I take them to what's called our F Room, our Faraday
15 Room. F-A-R-A-D-A-Y. It's a room that separates the room from
16 outside sources so there's no interference.
17 We'll then plug that into a device, hardware device, and
18 then proceed, after given the passcode, to extract the data
19 from the device.

20 Q Once you did all of that, did you end up with a full
21 forensic extraction on each of the data on CP1 and CP2?

22 A It was a full extraction.

23 Q We talked a little about this. In layman's terms,
24 could you explain for the members of the jury what "full
25 forensic extraction" means?

1 A It's just an extraction from the beginning of the
2 storage device to the end of it, meaning it captures all the
3 data.

4 MR. CONROY: If I could hand the witness a thumb
5 drive that contains People's Exhibits 246 to 266.
6 (Whereupon, the thumb drive is given to the
7 witness.)

8 Q Mr. Daus, before testifying here today, did you have a
9 chance to review each of these exhibits that are marked as
10 People's 246 to 266 on the thumb drive?

11 A I did.

12 Q Is that the same thumb drive that you reviewed?

13 A Yes, it is.

14 Q How do you know that?

15 A I dated it and initialed it after examining it.

16 Q Are all of the exhibits on the thumb drive, except for
17 People's 248, which we'll come back to, an exact copy of
18 portions of data forensically extracted from either CP1 or CP2?

19 A Yes, they are.

20 MR. CONROY: This thumb drive, for the record, I
21 will note, contains People's 255 and People's 266, which
22 are already in evidence.

23 One moment.

24 (Whereupon, the People confer.)

25 MR. CONROY: I'm sorry. It's 255 and 265, which

1 are both already in evidence.

2 Q Mr. Daus, when you reviewed those exhibits, did you
3 see redactions in many of the exhibits?

4 A Yes, I did.

5 Q Did you, as you were looking at the exhibit on the
6 thumb drive, look at both an unredacted and redacted copy of
7 each of the exhibits?

8 A Yes, I did.

9 Q Where there were redactions, were the exhibits, but
10 for the redactions, the same as the corresponding exhibit
11 without redactions?

12 A Yes, they were.

13 Q What kinds of information was redacted on the exhibits
14 that you were looking at with redactions?

15 A The parties' names.

16 Q Was it, primarily, personal identifying information,
17 names, email addresses, phone numbers, that kind of thing?

18 A Yes, it was.

19 Q Did you review each of these exhibits, except for
20 Exhibit 248, against the data that you forensically extracted
21 from either CP1 or CP2, depending which it came from, to make
22 sure that each of the exhibits contained exact copies of the
23 portions of data that you extracted from each of the phones?

24 A Yes, I did.

25 Q Other than People's 248, do People's Exhibits 246 to

1 266 contain exact copies of data extracted from CP1 or CP2?

2 A Yes, they do.

3 MR. CONROY: Judge, at this time I'd like to

4 offer as — into evidence People's 246, 247, 249 to 254,

5 256 to 264, and 266.

6 THE COURT: Any objections?

7 MR. BOVE: No, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Accepted into evidence.

9 (Whereupon, the aforementioned exhibits are

10 received in evidence.)

11 Q Now, I want to, first, talk about the group exhibits,

12 numbers 249 to 251, 255, which was already in evidence, 257 to

13 260, and 262.

14 Are each of those Cellebrite reports created from the

15 extraction that you did on CP1?

16 A Yes, they are.

17 Q And are they all text messages with Michael Cohen as

18 one of the parties? And with respect to each of the exhibits,

19 are different parties corresponding with Michael Cohen?

20 A Yes, they are.

21 Q I would like to pull up an example just to go through

22 what a report looks like.

23 MR. CONROY: You can pull this up for everybody.

24 You can pull up People's 259.

25 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 Q If you look at those, who are those texts between?

2 A The parties would be the owner of the phone, Michael
3 Cohen, and Hope Hicks.

4 Q And can you tell us the last four digits of Hope
5 Hicks' phone number?

6 A 0226.

7 Q Now, could you just tell us a little bit about each of
8 the columns that's blown up there?
9 First of all, is this format what you would see in one of
10 the Cellebrite Reports that you were talking about earlier?

11 A Yes, it is.

12 Q So, this was data extracted from the phone and then
13 put into a Cellebrite Report?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q Can you tell us about each of the columns, the header
16 columns on this exhibit?

17 A Certainly.

18 The first one is self-explanatory, the date. Your next
19 column is the time. Then the parties. The description is the
20 content of the message. And the source is just what particular
21 artifact it came from, being the native messaging app.

22 Q Okay.

23 That's sort of where the data lived on the phone?

24 A Yes.

25 Q When you say "description", that's the body of the

1 text?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q I want to talk a little bit about the time here.

4 In your work, have you become familiar with different time
5 zones and how timestamps work on different phones and other
6 devices?

7 A Yes, I have.

8 Q Are you familiar with UTC?

9 A Yes.

10 Q What is UTC?

11 A Universal Time Coordinated. Basically stands for the
12 old way of weighing time. GMT, Greenwich Mean Time.
13 We're on an Earth with 24 Time Zones, so one place starts
14 with zero. There are a few zones that go around. New York here,
15 we're either two different times, depending upon when it
16 changes twice a year. So, it would be UTC minus 4 or UTC minus
17 5.

18 Q Meaning, if the time up there says 7:09:12 P.M. UTC
19 minus 4, what Time Zone is that time in?

20 A So, it's already converted to Eastern Standard Time,
21 so it's 7:09 PM.

22 Q And you mentioned the time change either being UTC
23 minus 4 or UTC minus 5.

24 Does that relate to Daylight Saving Time?

25 A Yes, it does.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 MR. CONROY: If we could now pull up People's 263
2 in evidence.
3 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)
4 Q Is this a report of contacts from CPL?
5 A Yes, it is.
6 Q How many contacts were in — on CPL?
7 A 39,745.
8 Q Is that unusual?
9 A That is unusual.
10 Q In what way?
11 A I've not seen contacts — that many being on a phone.
12 Q What would be a more — what would be an average
13 number of contacts that you would see when you do this kind of
14 examination of a phone?
15 A Maybe hundreds.
16 Q Hundreds.
17 Maybe thousands?
18 A Thousands. A thousand, at the most.
19 MR. CONROY: Can we pull up a few examples of the
20 contacts from the phone?
21 First, could we pull up — this is three pages of
22 contacts that were on CPL for David Pecker.
23 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)
24 Q Is that what that is?
25 A Yes.

1 Q Could we next pull up — is this two pages of contacts
2 for Hope Hicks?

3 MR. CONROY: The next one.

4 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)

5 A Yes, it is.

6 Q Could we also look — is this the next 12 pages of
7 contacts for Allen Weisselberg?

8 A Yes, it is.

9 Q And, finally, could we pull up — is this three pages
10 of contacts for Donald Trump?

11 A Yes, it is.

12 Q Now, if you recall, was this all the pages of accounts
13 for Donald Trump?

14 A No.

15 Q Do you remember how many pages of contacts for Donald
16 Trump were on CP1?

17 A I think there were ten.

18 Q We're not gonna pull up additional pages, but did the
19 contacts on CP1 also include contacts for Dylan Howard?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Keith Davidson?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Keith Schiller?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Melania Trump?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Rhona Graff?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Jay Sekulow?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Larry Rosen?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Daniel Rotstein?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And Gary Farro?

11 A Yes.

12 MR. CONROY: Could we now quickly pull up what's

13 in evidence as People's 264?

14 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)

15 Q Is this the contacts from CP2?

16 A It is.

17 Q How many contacts were on this phone?

18 A 385.

19 Q Is that more what you're used to seeing?

20 A Yes.

21 MR. CONROY: If we could next pull up People's

22 256.

23 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)

24 Q Is this an example of emails that were pulled off of

25 CP1?

1 A They are.

2 Q I, again, just want to kind of walk through for the
3 jury the different columns that we see in — is it okay if I
4 call this a Cellebrite Report?

5 A Yes, you can.

6 Q Can you walk us through the headers of the columns and
7 explain a little bit about what the information is in each
8 column?

9 A Certainly.

10 There's the date, the time, which is also in — posted by
11 the date, the party, between the two parties sending and
12 receiving emails, the description, and the source, just saying
13 that it's from emails.

14 Q Who are the parties on this?

15 A From Patty to Michael Cohen.

16 Q What's Patty's email, the end of her email address?

17 A That's @Delaneycorporate.com.

18 Q Thanks.

19 MR. CONROY: If we could next pull up what's in
20 evidence as People's 253.

21 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screen.)

22 Q Taking a look at People's 253, is this a photograph
23 that you pulled up from CPL?

24 A It is.

25 Q Do you know who that is in that photograph?

1 A I do.

2 Q Who is that?

3 A That's Michael Cohen.

4 Q Have you ever met Michael Cohen?

5 A I haven't.

6 Q Sorry?

7 A I have not.

8 Sorry.

9 Q How do you know that that's him?

10 A I watch a lot of news.

11 Q And do you know — can you tell from looking at that,
12 where that photograph was taken?

13 A Looks like the White House.

14 MR. CONROY: If we could now pull up what's in
15 evidence as People's 252.

16 (Whereupon, an exhibit is shown on the screens.)

17 MR. CONROY: And if you could blow that up a
18 little bit.

19 Thank you.

20 Q Mr. Daus, what is this?

21 A This is, as mentioned before, the metadata about that
22 picture.

23 (Whereupon, Senior Court Reporter Lisa Kramsky
24 relieves Senior Court Reporter Laurie Eisenberg, and the
25 transcript continues on the following page.)

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR

Senior Court Reporter

1 (The following proceedings are continued from the
2 previous page.)

3 *****

4 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. CONROY:

6 Q And can you tell us, what does that metadata tell us
7 about that picture?

8 If you could sort of explain that as you walk through the
9 columns?

10 A All right. So you have modified time, created time,
11 access time.

12 They are all on February 8th of 2017 at approximately
13 5:39 p.m.

14 Q Okay. And what does each of those things mean?

15 A It just means that those are the dates, the times that
16 existed on that phone.

17 Q Okay. And does that mean that's when that photo was
18 created?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Okay.

21 MR. CONROY: If we could now pull up what's in
22 evidence as People's 254.

23 And if you could blow that up a little bit.

24 (Displayed.)

25 Q Is this a copy of a calendar invite?

1 A Yes, it is.

2 Q Again, a Cellebrite report?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q So this was extracted, this is part of your forensic
5 extraction of the phone?

6 A Yes, it is.

7 Q What — can you tell us again the date, and sort of
8 walk us through the columns?

9 A As mentioned before, so date, time, and description,
10 February 8th, 2017, at 4:30 p.m., of a calendar event.
11 The description is called "Meeting with POTUS."

12 Q And, again, up there, can you tell us about the time
13 zone, you see UTC minus five. Can you tell us what time zone
14 this is in?

15 A Eastern Standard Time. This is before the time changes
16 in March.

17 This is February, so that's why it's minus five.

18 MR. CONROY: Could we now look at People's 261 in
19 evidence.

20 (Displayed.)

21 Q What is this report?

22 A It's another report of a call log from the WhatsApp
23 application that existed on the device.

24 Q What is a call log?

25 A A call log is just a log that identifies when calls

1 were made.

2 Q And you said it was from an app called "WhatsApp?"

3 A That's correct.

4 Q What is WhatsApp?

5 A WhatsApp is an app, an encrypted app that users use to
6 communicate with each other.

7 Q And you said — what is an encrypted app?

8 A It just means that the conversation between you and the
9 next party is encrypted.

10 It can't be intercepted and/or vetted.

11 Q WhatsApp is an example of that type of an app?

12 A It's an example.

13 Q Did you find other encrypted apps on either CP1 and/or
14 CP2?

15 A I did.

16 Q Which ones did you find, if you remember?

17 A Telegram and Signal.

18 Q Now, if you recall, was People's 265, which is in
19 evidence and was already in evidence, an audio recording that
20 you extracted from CP2?

21 A Yes, it was.

22 MR. CONROY: Could we pull up what's in evidence as
23 People's 266.

24 And if you could blow that up a little bit.

25 (Displayed.)

1 Q What is People's 266?

2 A This is the information about the recording.

3 Q Is this the metadata for that recording?

4 A It is.

5 Q And what's the title of that recording?

6 A The title was labeled "Keith Davidson, October 16th,
7 2017."

8 Q And was that recording made, if you know, on
9 October 16th, 2017?

10 A It was.

11 Q And how do you know that?

12 A Based upon the application called "Voice Memos," how it
13 names the file itself and keeps track of the timestamp, that is
14 embedded within the file.

15 Q And do we see that same date in some of the other
16 columns?

17 A Yes, we do.

18 Q Including in the timestamp date column?

19 A That is correct.

20 Q And also the timestamp time column?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And, again, that's all metadata forensically extracted
23 from CP2?

24 A That is correct.

25 Q Now, can we look at People's 247.

1 (Displayed.)
2 *****
3 MR. CONROY: And if we could blow that up a little
4 bit.
5 (Displayed.)
6 Q Is this the metadata for this audio recording?
7 A It is.
8 Q And can you walk us through the columns here and walk
9 us through the title and what kind of file this is and the date
10 and time it's created?
11 A The title is entitled "New Recording 3," which
12 indicates that there are two previous recordings on the Voice
13 Memo app on the iPhone.
14 It's just an audio recording; it's on the app, which is the
15 audio recording software.
16 It gives the date and timestamp of September 6th, 2016 at
17 approximately 10:56:42 a.m. in the morning, Eastern Standard
18 Time, with a duration of two minutes and 51 seconds.
19 The Link is just the name of the file again.
20 And the end is just the source.
21 Every file has a source path where it exists on the device.
22 Q Now, People's 246 is an audio file. It's not a
23 recording that you were able to forensically extract from CP1;
24 right?
25 A That is correct.

1 Q Did you have a chance to listen to People's 246?

2 A I did.

3 MR. CONROY: And if we could, just for the parties
4 and the Judge, take a look at what's marked or what's in
5 evidence as People's 248.

6 (Displayed on the screen for the aforementioned
7 parties.)

8 *****

9 MR. CONROY: I'm sorry. What had been marked for
10 identification as People's 248.

11 Q Mr. Daus, do you recognize what that is?

12 A I do.

13 Q What is that?

14 A That's a transcript of that aforementioned audio.

15 Q Is it a transcript of approximately 46 seconds of the
16 audio file that is People's Exhibit 246?

17 A Yes, it is.

18 Q Is that recording longer than 46 seconds?

19 A It is.

20 Q So this is a transcript just of the last 46 seconds of
21 that recording?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Did you have an opportunity to compare that transcript
24 to the last 46 seconds of that recording as you were listening
25 to it?

1 A I have.

2 Q Is the transcript a fair and accurate rendering of the
3 words that you hear, what you listened to, the last 46 seconds
4 in People's 246?

5 A To the best of my ability.

6 MR. CONROY: At this point I would offer People's
7 248 into evidence.

8 THE COURT: Any objections?

9 MR. BOVE: No, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Accepted into evidence.

11 (So marked in evidence.)

12 *****

13 MR. CONROY: If we could now click People's 248 or,
14 actually, can you pull that down for a minute.
15 And if we could play People's 246 and then stop it
16 when we get to 46 seconds from the end, and then I will ask
17 to put the transcript up on the screens.

18 (Audio playing.)

19 MR. CONROY: Can we pull up the transcript.

20 (Displayed.)

21 MR. CONROY: And if we can play the last
22 46 seconds.

23 (Audio playing.)

24 Q And just so the record is clear, the metadata that we
25 just looked at, that was People's 247, is the date and time that

1 that recording was created; is that right?

2 A It is.

3 MR. CONROY: One moment.

4 (Pause.)

5 MR. CONROY: Thank you, Judge.

6 Nothing further.

7 THE COURT: Any cross?

8 MR. BOVE: Yes, Judge, thank you.

9 May I inquire?

10 THE COURT: Yes.

11 MR. BOVE: Thank you, Judge.

12 *****

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. BOVE:

15 Q Is it Mr. Daus?

16 Am I saying that right?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q My name is Emil Bove. I represent President Trump.

19 It sounded like, to me, like you started your career in
20 forensics in Iraq?

21 A I didn't start it in Iraq, but before that actually.

22 Q You did some work over there. And I think you said

23 that that work is similar to what you are doing for the District
24 Attorney's office?

25 A That's correct.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q But there are some differences; right?

2 A Yeah.

3 Q And, to me, one of the differences breaks down to the
4 difference between Intel collection and the presentation of
5 evidence in criminal cases; correct?

6 A Sure.

7 Q Do you know what I mean by "Intel collection?"

8 A A collection of things that are important for national
9 security.

10 Q Right.

11 And in Iraq you were doing that, essentially, on a
12 battlefield, more or less; right?

13 A In a lab, yes.

14 Q And it was important to get information to parties who
15 could use it as quickly as possible; right?

16 A Absolutely.

17 Q That is, in that setting, in the Intel setting, that's
18 probably the top priority?

19 A I would say.

20 Q And it's not necessarily a top priority in connection
21 with a criminal investigation; right?

22 A I do the same thing on every case.

23 Q Well, the integrity of the data matters more in a
24 criminal case than on a battlefield; doesn't it?

25 A I would say so, sure.

1 Q Because different rights are at stake?

2 A Certainly.

3 Q And so, things that you might be able to do more
4 quickly outside the United States requires more caution in the
5 United States; right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And that's the distinction that I'm trying to touch on.
8 There are some procedures that you follow here in the US
9 that are just different than what you were doing outside the
10 US?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And you work in a Department now that — how do you say
13 the acronym, HTAU?

14 A HTAU.

15 Q Which is basically a multimillion dollar cyber lab;
16 right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Funded in part by the District Attorney's Office and
19 some others?

20 A That is correct.

21 Q And it sounded to me like you are basically, when you
22 are doing these phone reviews, you are operating in a secure
23 environment?

24 A Yes, sir.

25 Q I have seen pictures. It looks like a vault; right?

1 A Yes, sir.

2 Q There are some parts of this that are a SCIF?

3 A Sure. There are no signals coming out, so it would be

4 a SCIF.

5 Q And I'm guessing no windows and it has heavy doors;

6 right?

7 A Yes, that's correct.

8 Q And that is to prevent the devices that you are

9 working on from being manipulated while you are working on it;

10 correct?

11 A Yes. That's absolutely correct.

12 Q And the Director of HTAU is Steve Moran; right?

13 A He is.

14 Q And I think I've seen him say publicly that there is a

15 real concern that investigative targets can manipulate data and

16 their devices remotely?

17 A Yes.

18 MR. CONROY: Objection.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 You can answer.

21 A Yes.

22 Q You agree with Mr. Moran; right?

23 A I do.

24 Q And so, here in New York, one of the top priorities for

25 the analysis and the forensics that you are conducting is

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 maintaining the integrity of the data?

2 A Absolutely.

3 Q And it's important to maintain that integrity, because
4 it ultimately goes to the reliability and the evidence that you
5 present in a criminal trial setting like this; right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q That goes to how much weight the jury should put on
8 it?

9 A That's correct.

10 Q Evidence that has been subject to a risk of
11 manipulation is less reliable; isn't it?

12 A Certainly.

13 Q That's a basic principle of the forensic certifications
14 that you described; right?

15 A That is correct.

16 Q And so, in your field, there are processes and
17 procedures that are designed to maintain the integrity of the
18 data?

19 A Yes, there are.

20 Q So let's talk a little bit more about the different
21 steps in the process.

22 Let's start with device collection, which I think is the
23 first step; right?

24 A That is correct.

25 Q It sometimes is called the "acquisition phase?"

1 A That's correct.

2 Q Meaning, how does law enforcement get the device that
3 you ultimately search; right?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q And that could happen in a lot of ways; right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Law enforcement can collect a device at a crime scene;
8 right?

9 A That's correct.

10 Q Execute a search warrant, seize a device, and bring it
11 to you; right?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Issue a subpoena and collect a device and bring it to
14 you; right?

15 A That's correct.

16 Q Or in this case, a device was delivered to you with an
17 authorization from Michael Cohen; right?

18 That's how it was acquired, the two devices were acquired?

19 A Yes, it was.

20 Q And if you got to pick from the kinds of just pure
21 forensics, the best case scenario would be that once acquired,
22 the device goes immediately in the vault; right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q It is immediately secured, to be kept away from radio
25 waves; right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And that — I don't want to sound crazy, but that's

3 radio waves that we are talking about, the internet we're

4 talking about, phone signals; right?

5 A Phone signals, yeah.

6 Q So if you got to pick the top priority, it would be

7 let's get the phone or phones in the vault; right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q That can't always happen; right?

10 A It can't.

11 Q It can be your priority, but there are other people

12 involved in the investigation; right?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q They have other priorities; correct?

15 A That is absolutely correct.

16 Q And so sometimes there is a lag time?

17 A There is.

18 Q Some delays in getting the device in the vault?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Some delays in getting the device protected from

21 manipulation?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q There are interim measures in your field for sort of

24 protecting the device while it's in that transit process;

25 right?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 A That's correct.

2 Q I think you mentioned — did you mention a Faraday

3 room?

4 A Faraday room, yes.

5 Q But there's also a Faraday bag?

6 A There is.

7 Q So sometimes you can get the device, throw it in the

8 bag, and it's protected from the radio signals; right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You can do it immediately?

11 A You can do it immediately.

12 Q And that is the soundest way to do a device

13 acquisition; correct?

14 A The soundest way.

15 Q One of the considerations here in the acquisition phase

16 is chain of custody; right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And you know what that means?

19 A Yep.

20 Q Chain of custody is the process by which who handles

21 the device is tracked; right?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Who acquired it; right?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Who brought it —

1 A Who brought it.
2 Q — back to your office; right?
3 A That is correct.
4 Q Who participated in the intake process; right?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And now, there are two sides of that. Somebody brings
7 the device and somebody accepts it, right, and so those are
8 links in the chain?
9 A Links in the chain.
10 Q The more secure the chain of custody, the more reliable
11 the evidence; right?
12 A One could ascertain.
13 Q But you believe that? I mean, you have been practicing
14 this for a long time; right?
15 A Certainly.
16 Q And you believe that to be true; don't you?
17 A Certainly.
18 Q That is correct?
19 A That is correct.
20 Q And a break in the chain of custody, it presents a
21 risk; right?
22 A It could be.
23 Q It could be a big risk, it could be a little one,
24 but it creates a risk; and I'm not trying to quantify it right
25 now?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 A That's correct.

2 Q But that's a basic principle of forensic analysis;
3 correct?

4 A A basic principle.

5 Q If there was a gap in — of who was handling the
6 evidence at a certain time, that's an open question; right?

7 A Yes, it is.

8 Q Once the device is acquired and we get it into the
9 vault, we get it into that room, the next stage is to actually
10 look — extract the data; right?

11 A Yes, it is.

12 Q And there are a couple of ways — well, there are a few
13 ways to do that; right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q One is manual extraction?

16 A Uh-huh.

17 Q Which is not really an extraction at all, it's just
18 looking at what's on the phone physically; right?

19 A Yes, that's correct.

20 Q Basically, taking screen shots of what you can see in
21 the phone as, like, I would look at my own phone as I was using
22 it; right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And there is equipment for that that can help you take
25 better pictures, but you really are, ultimately, just taking

1 pictures of what's on the screen?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And this is, would you say, the least sophisticated way
4 to acquire data from an electronic device?

5 A The less sophisticated.

6 Q And so you can't access metadata in a meaningful way;
7 correct?

8 A No, you can't.

9 Q And you can't recover items deleted by the user; right?

10 A You cannot.

11 Q You can't look at the coding of specific files, like an
12 image that you see on a phone; right?

13 A No.

14 Q So there are other ways to do this; correct?

15 A There are.

16 Q And another one is a logical extraction?

17 A Uh-huh.

18 Q And so for a logical extraction, you are pulling the
19 data off of the device, sort of in the same format that it looks
20 — the same way Windows looks, right, a folder, a hierarchical
21 formation; right?

22 A Files, yes.

23 Q What was that?

24 A Files.

25 Q I didn't hear you.

1 A Files. Files. Folders.

2 Q Right. And this is, again, similar — if you are
3 looking at a logical extraction, it's similar to the way that
4 you might look at the way you operate your PC from a Windows
5 environment?

6 A The same.

7 Q And so in that way, say you connect the phone to a
8 piece of equipment in your lab; right?

9 A That's correct.

10 Q And you pull the data off the phone; correct?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And it brings the data on in this file folder
13 structure?

14 A It does.

15 Q And is one of the drawbacks to this logical extraction
16 that it doesn't look at the unallocated space; right?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q The unallocated space is where — I'm going to use
19 layman's terms and you will fix it up for me — it's where the
20 deleted stuff goes to reside?

21 A An artifact, yes.

22 Q And an artifact is a piece of data of one of these
23 items that you are examining; right?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And when you do a logical extraction, one of the

1 drawbacks is you can't tell what the user deleted or has not
2 deleted; isn't that right?

3 A Correct.

4 Q Yes. It just pulls out the information that was
5 present at the time that it was acquired?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q And there is a better way?

8 A Yes, a better way.

9 Q A physical acquisition?

10 A Yes, a physical acquisition in some ways is more of an
11 older approach for Apple iPhones.

12 It's a full file system.

13 Q And in a full file system, you are basically pulling a
14 forensic image of the whole memory chip?

15 A Yeah, the whole memory chip; that's correct.

16 Q And you — and it's a process that you connect the
17 phone to a piece of equipment that you have and it pulls the
18 data out, and there is a giant — depending on how much metadata
19 is on the phone, a giant file; right?

20 A That's correct.

21 Q And when it gets onto that equipment, it's ones and
22 zeroes; right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And so you need something to parse the data?

25 A You do.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Q Parsing the data means converting ones and zeroes into
2 the types of things that you and I are used to looking at;
3 right?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And so pictures, right — we looked at that picture of
6 Michael Cohen at the podium; right?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q That came onto your machine as ones and zeroes and then
9 you had some equipment that converted it so that you could see
10 what the picture looked like?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Same thing with the phone logs; right?

13 A It's in the format on the phone, yes.

14 Q It's pulled out as ones and zeroes parsed on your
15 machine, and ultimately generates these reports that we are
16 looking at in evidence; right?

17 A It does.

18 Q When you are working with these — just to back up for
19 one minute, so we just talked about three methods: Manual,
20 logical, physical.

21 You did all of those on both of these phones; right?

22 A I did a full file system on the phone, that's it.

23 Q You also — someone from your staff did a manual
24 review; correct?

25 A When I turned — when I got the device, I simply

1 plugged it into the device, pulled the extraction and then put
2 it away into evidence.

3 Q So there were other people from HTAU who worked on the
4 phone and did searches?

5 A There is. They are part of the investigative team, but
6 not at HTAU.

7 Q So it — and I am just trying to understand
8 process-wise.

9 Is it possible that someone outside of HTAU did manual
10 searches on these device?

11 A Whoever had the phone before, some other agency, if
12 that's what you are asking.

13 Q Well, the FBI searched both of these phones before you
14 did; right?

15 A I heard that they did.

16 Q You know that they did; correct?

17 A I heard that they did, yes.

18 Q And we are going to talk about that.

19 But what I want to focus on right now is just what happened
20 when the phones were acquired at the District Attorney's office;
21 okay.

22 A (Nods head.)

23 Q Let's talk a little bit about the file, the BIN file,
24 the image that's pulled off.

25 A It's called the zip file.

1 Q The zip file, that's pulled off of the extraction.
2 When a file is that big and it's pulled off in that way,
3 there is some risk that the data can degrade; is that correct?
4 A So once the data is pulled off, it's then hashed or
5 checks are done, which means that entire file gets a very unique
6 number that says this is the hash that contains all these
7 contents. If anything was affected, that hash file would
8 change.
9 Q Thank you. That's right where I was going.
10 So the hash value associated with the particular giant zip
11 file is like a digital fingerprint; right?
12 A It's a digital fingerprint.
13 Q Maybe even more detailed, like DNA for us; right?
14 A Sure.
15 Q If you trust the hash system you are using, if one of
16 those files with ones or zeroes changes, it's a different hash
17 value; correct?
18 A That's correct.
19 Q And this is a way to check on an ongoing basis the
20 integrity of the data that you are working with; right?
21 A Absolutely.
22 Q And it's a way to ensure that over points in time
23 during the acquisition and review process that the data itself
24 has not been manipulated; right?
25 A Each time you look at it, it hashes or verifies it each

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 time you look at it.

2 Q That is an automatic process; right?

3 A It's automatic.

4 Q Just the checkmark, yes, the hashes match; correct?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q And that's because these hashes, if you are really
7 being secure about it, they are very, very long sets of numbers
8 and letters; right?

9 A Yes, they are.

10 Q You don't want to spend a lot of time checking those
11 numbers and letters one by one; right?

12 A You do not.

13 Q The computer does it for you. But it's as if, say,
14 fingerprints that match. If the hash is the same, these are the
15 same two types of — this is the same file; right?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And so you testified today about some of the artifacts
18 that you extracted from two phones that were acquired from
19 Michael Cohen; right?

20 A Yes, I did.

21 Q And these artifacts are segments of a much broader set
22 of data that was pulled off of each phone; correct?

23 A They are just segments.

24 Q That's because you are able to, once the data is
25 parsed, you can use the program to select what the pieces of it

1 are that you want to highlight; right?

2 A You can.

3 Q So we have certain excerpts, but not the whole phone;
4 correct?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q You pulled certain excerpts that you were instructed to
7 pull by the Prosecutors; right?

8 A Of course.

9 Q And you don't know, do you, why they picked certain
10 things and not others?

11 A I'm not part of the investigative team.

12 Q And you are not a part of the investigative team, and
13 there are also situations where the evidence raises questions,
14 but they are not your questions to answer; right?

15 A That's correct.

16 Q And so, just as an example, we played — I'm sorry, not
17 "we," — the Government played a recording from September 6th of
18 2016, that was the metadata associated with that file; right?
19 Do you remember that?

20 A Yes, it was.

21 Q And there was a transcript associated with that, and we
22 put that up on the screen and as we listened. The audio cuts
23 off; right?

24 A Towards the end, yeah.

25 Q And you don't have firsthand knowledge of why it cuts

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 off; right?

2 A I don't. I mean, I heard a phone call was coming in,
3 that's all I know.

4 Q So somebody gave you some instructions —

5 A That's correct.

6 Q — about why the phone was cut off; right?

7 A Yes.

8 Q But, as a result, you know that that wasn't the whole
9 conversation; correct?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q And you don't know what was going on before or after;
12 right?

13 A I do not.

14 Q And you were instructed, were you not, to check the
15 phone itself, the physical device, to see if there was incoming
16 or outgoing calls on it around the time of that recording?

17 A Look at — I wasn't instructed, per se, to look at the
18 calls coming in at that time.

19 Q You — at one point you prepared a report, did you not,
20 of all incoming and outgoing calls on CPL, the device from which
21 that recording was extracted?

22 A Yes, those reports were pulled after.

23 Q And you found a call around the time of the recording
24 on the physical device; correct?

25 A I wasn't specifically asked to look at that.

1 Q But, you do know that the recording cuts off. And you
2 don't know what happened after that; right?

3 A Just that it cut off.

4 Q And you don't know what was going on before that?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q I want to now get back to the actual acquisition
7 process for these two devices.

8 These came to HTAU on January 23rd of 2023; right?

9 A They did come to our Office, yes.

10 Q And I'm being a little imprecise. There are two
11 iPhones; right?

12 A That's right.

13 Q If I say CP1 and CP2, you will know what I mean?

14 A I will.

15 Q And at the time these phones came to HTAU, is it your
16 testimony that you were not provided with the copies that the
17 FBI had seized?

18 A Yeah, I did not receive those.

19 Q You did not have access to the data that the FBI seized
20 from these devices in 2018; did you?

21 A I did not.

22 Q And you did not ask for that data; did you?

23 A I did not.

24 Q And you didn't ask any questions about what the FBI had
25 done to the devices in 2018; correct?

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 A That is correct.

2 Q And there — this acquisition process, there are so
3 many different ways to do it, some of the acquisition processes
4 are more invasive than others; right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Well —

7 A Manually looking at a device is invasive.

8 Q And so, just to clarify, when I was using the term
9 "manual" earlier, I mean, taking some pictures on the top of the
10 phone?

11 (Indicating.)

12 A That's correct.

13 Q There are also really invasive methods, other types of
14 methods; right?

15 A Right.

16 Q Rip the phone apart; right?

17 A For Androids, not for Apple iPhones.

18 Q And that's a specific situation where you really can't
19 do a second search; right?

20 A That's correct.

21 Q And in addition to there being acquisition processes
22 that are particularly invasive, there is room for user error in
23 the acquisition process; right?

24 A Sure.

25 Q And so, if somebody makes a mistake at one point in the

1 acquisition, that can affect the data; correct?

2 A It could.

3 Q And that is why, for example, you are in these Faraday
4 rooms; right?

5 A Uh-huh. That's correct.

6 Q That's why you received a lot of training; right?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And there is at least, at least a risk that a prior
9 acquisition and extraction could impact the data that you looked
10 at in 2023; isn't there?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And you didn't talk to the FBI about the methods they
13 used; did you?

14 A I did not.

15 Q And as far as you know, nobody at this table did?

16 A As far as I know.

17 Q It's an open question?

18 A That it is.

19 THE COURT: Is this a good time?

20 MR. BOVE: Yes, Judge.

21 THE COURT: All right. Jurors, we are going to
22 stop here. Call it a day.

23 Before you leave, and before I give you the
24 required admonitions, I did want you to know that it was
25 brought to my attention that one of your fellow jurors has

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 an important appointment tomorrow in the later afternoon so
2 we will have to break a little bit early tomorrow, about a
3 quarter to four.
4 I just want to let you know now so you can plan
5 ahead.
6 Jurors, I ask you to, please, not talk amongst
7 yourselves or with anyone else about anything related to the
8 case.
9 Please continue to keep an open mind.
10 Do not form or express an opinion about the
11 defendant's guilt or innocence until all of the evidence is
12 in and I have given you my final instructions on the law and
13 you begin your deliberations.
14 Do not request, accept, agree to accept or discuss
15 with any person the receipt or acceptance of any payment or
16 benefit in return for supplying any information concerning
17 this trial.
18 Report directly to me any incident within your
19 knowledge involving an attempt by any person to improperly
20 influence you or any member of the jury.
21 Do not visit or view any of the locations discussed
22 in the testimony.
23 And do not use any program or electronic device
24 to search for and view any location discussed in the
25 testimony.

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 Do not read, view or listen to any accounts or
2 discussions of the case. That includes the reading or the
3 listening to the reading of any transcripts of the trial or
4 the reading of any posts on any court site.
5 Please do not communicate with anyone about the
6 case by any means, including by telephone, text messages,
7 email, or the internet.
8 Again, do not attempt to research any fact, issue
9 or law related to the case.
10 And, please, do not Google or otherwise search for
11 any information about the case or the law which applies to
12 the case, or the people involved in the case.
13 Thank you.
14 Have a good night.
15 And I will see you tomorrow.
16 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise.
17 (Jury exits.)
18 *****
19 THE COURT: You may be seated.
20 You may step down.
21 (Witness excused.)
22 *****
23 THE COURT: So as you just heard, one of the jurors
24 has to leave a little bit early tomorrow.
25 So we will break between a quarter to 4 and

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter

1 4 o'clock.
2 Whoever is at the podium, let me know if you are at
3 a natural breaking point.
4 Is there anything else that we need to go over?
5 MR. STEINGLASS: NO . Thank you .
6 THE COURT: Defense?
7 MR. BOVE: NO.
8 THE COURT: Thank you.
9 Have a good night.
10 (Matter adjourned to Friday, May 3rd, 2024 at 9:30
11 a.m.)
12 *****

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2
21
22
23
24
25

O

Lisa Kramsky,
Senior Court Reporter