- 1 SERGEANT: All rise.
- 2 Part 59 is now in session. The Honorable Juan
- 3 Merchan presiding.
- 4 THE CLERK: This is The People of the State of
- 5 New York against Donald J. Trump. Indictment 71543 of
- 6 2023.
- 7 Appearances starting with the People, please.
- 8 MR. STEINGLASS: For the People, ADAs Joshua
- 9 Steinglass, Matthew Coangelo, Susan Hoffinger, Becky
- 10 Mangold, Christopher Conroy and Katherine Ellis.
- 11 Good morning.
- 12 THE COURT: Good morning.
- MR. BLANCHE: Todd Blanche, and I am joined by
- 14 Emil Bove, Susan Necheles and Kendra Wharton on behalf of
- 15 President Trump to my left.
- Good morning.
- 17 THE COURT: Good morning.
- 18 Good morning, Mr. Trump.
- I believe that you were both presented with the
- 20 proposed verdict sheet and I see that, Mr. Steinglass, you
- initialed it on behalf of the People.
- Mr. Bove, you initialed it on behalf of the
- Defense. Is that right?
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.

1 T	THE COUR	T: Anything	that we	need t	o go	over
-----	----------	-------------	---------	--------	------	------

- before we bring at jury out?
- 3 MR. STEINGLASS: Very briefly.
- 4 As we were comparing a verdict sheet to the
- 5 charge, we noticed a minor omission.
- On Page 40, for the 34th Count to be consistent
- 7 with the other counts, we believe it should say after the
- 8 date, bearing check number 003006.
- 9 THE COURT: That was for the 34th Count, right?
- 10 MR. STEINGLASS: Yes, Judge.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 THE COURT: Anything else?
- 13 All right.
- 14 Let's get the jury, please.
- 15 SERGEANT: All rise.
- Jury entering.
- 17 (Whereupon, the jurors entered the courtroom
- and were properly seated.)
- 19 THE COURT: You may be seated.
- 20 THE CLERK: Do all parties stipulate that all
- jurors are present and properly seated?
- MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes.
- 24 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Good morning, Jurors.

1	Members of the Jury, I will now instruct you on
2	the law. I will first review the general principles of law
3	that apply to this case and all criminal cases.
4	You have heard me explain some of those
5	principles at the beginning of the trial. I am sure you
6	can appreciate the benefits of repeating those instructions
7	at this stage of the proceedings.
8	Next, I will define the crimes charged in this
9	case, explain the law that applies to those definitions and
10	spell out the elements of the charged crimes.
11	Finally, I will outline the process of jury
12	deliberations.
13	These instructions will take about an hour, and
14	you will not receive copies of them. You may however,
15	request that I read them back to you in whole or in part as
16	many times as you wish, and I will be happy to do so.
17	During these instructions, I will not summarize
18	the evidence. If it is necessary, I may refer to portions
19	of the evidence to explain the law that relates to it. My
20	reference to evidence, or my decision not to refer to
21	evidence, expresses no opinion about the truthfulness,
22	accuracy or importance of any particular evidence.
23	In fact, nothing I have said in the course of
24	this trial was meant to suggest that I have an opinion
25	about this case. If you have formed an impression that I

1	do have an opinion, you must put it out of your mind and
2	disregard it.
3	The level of my voice or intonation may vary
4	during these instructions. If I do that, it is done to
5	help you to understand. It is not done to communicate any
6	opinion about the law or the facts of the case or of
7	whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty.
8	It is not my responsibility to judge the evidence
9	here. It is yours. You are the judges of the facts, and
10	you are responsible for deciding whether the Defendant is
11	guilty or not guilty.
12	Remember, you have promised to be a fair juror.
13	A fair juror is a person who will simply keep their promise
14	to be fair and impartial and who will not permit the
15	verdict to be influenced by bias or prejudice in favor of
16	or against the person who appeared in this trial on account
17	of that person's race, color, national origin, ancestry,
18	gender, gender identity or expression, religion, religious
19	practice, age, disability or sexual orientation.
20	And further, a fair juror must be mindful of any
21	stereotypes, attitudes about people or about groups of
22	people that the juror may have and must not allow those
23	stereotypes or attitudes to affect their verdict.
24	As I have explained, we all develop and hold
25	unconscious views on many subjects. Some of those

1	unconscious views may come from stereotypes and attitudes
2	about people or groups of people that may impact on a
3	person's thinking and decision-making without that person
4	even knowing it.
5	As a juror, you are asked to make a very
6	important decision about another member of the community.
7	I know you would not want to make that decision
8	based on such stereotypes or attitudes, that is, on what we
9	call implicit biases and it would be wrong for you to do
10	so.
11	A fair juror must guard against the impact of
12	such stereotypes or attitudes. You can do this by asking
13	yourselves during your deliberations whether your views and
14	conclusions would be different if the Defendant, witnesses
15	or others that you have heard about or seen in court were
16	of a different race, color, national origin, ancestry,
17	gender, gender identity or expression, religious practice,
18	age or sexual orientation, or if they did not have a
19	disability.
20	If the answer is yes, then, in keeping with your
21	promise to be fair, reconsider your views and conclusions
22	along with the other jurors, and make sure your verdict is
23	based on evidence and not on stereotypes or attitudes.
24	Justice requires no less.
25	Jurors, you will recall that during jury

1	selection you agreed that you would set aside any personal
2	opinions or bias you might have in favor of or against the
3	Defendant, and that you would decide this case fairly on
4	the evidence and on the law.
5	Again, I direct you to decide this case on the
6	evidence and the law as it relates to the Defendant here on
7	trial.
8	You must set aside any personal opinions or bias
9	you might have in favor of or against the Defendant, and
10	you must not allow any such opinions to influence your
11	verdict.
12	Remember, also, in your deliberations, you may
13	not consider or speculate about matters relating to
14	sentence or punishment. If there is a verdict of guilty,
15	it will be my responsibility to impose an appropriate
16	sentence.
17	When you judge the facts, you are to consider
18	only the evidence.
19	The evidence in this case includes:
20	The testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits that
21	were received in evidence, and the stipulations agreed to
22	by the parties.
23	Remember, a stipulation is information the
24	parties have agreed to present to the jury as evidence,
25	without calling a witness to testify.

1	Testimony which was stricken from the record or
2	to which an objection was sustained must be disregarded by
3	you.
4	Exhibits that were received in evidence, are
5	available, upon your request, for your inspection and
6	consideration.
7	Exhibits that were just seen during the trial, or
8	marked for identification but not received in evidence, are
9	not evidence, and are thus not available for your
10	inspection and consideration.
11	Testimony based upon those exhibits that were not
12	received in evidence may be considered by you. It is just
13	the exhibit itself is not available for your inspection and
14	that may not be considered.
15	In evaluating the evidence, you may consider any
16	fact that is proven and any inference which may be drawn
17	from such fact.
18	To draw an inference means to infer, find,
19	conclude that a fact exists or does not exist based upon
20	proof of some other fact or facts.
21	So, for example, suppose you go to bed one night
22	and it is not raining, and when you wake up in the morning,
23	you look out your window. You do not see rain, but you see
24	that the street and sidewalk are wet, and that people are
25	wearing raincoats and carrying umbrellas. Under those

1	circumstances, it may be reasonable to infer, and that is
2	conclude, that it rained during the night.
3	In other words, the fact of it having rained
4	while you were asleep is an inference that might be drawn
5	from the proven facts of the presence of the water on the
6	street and sidewalk, and people in raincoats and carrying
7	umbrellas.
8	An inference must only by drawn from a proven
9	fact or facts, and then, only if the inference flows
10	naturally, reasonably and logically from the proven fact or
11	facts, not if it is speculative.
12	Therefore, in deciding whether to draw than
13	inference, you must look at and consider all the facts in
14	light of reason, common sense, and experience.
15	As you know, certain exhibits were admitted into
16	evidence with some portions blacked out or redacted. Those
17	redactions were made to remove personal identifying
18	information and to ensure that only relevant admissible
19	evidence was put before you.
20	You may not speculate as to what material was
21	redacted or why, and you may not draw any inference,
22	favorable or unfavorable against either party, from the
23	fact that certain material has been redacted.
24	You may recall that I instructed you several
25	times during the trial that certain exhibits were being

1	accepted into evidence for a limited purpose only, and that
2	you were not to consider that evidence for any other
3	purpose.
4	Under the law we refer to that as a limiting
5	instruction. I will now remind you of some of the limiting
6	instructions you were given during that trial.
7	You will recall that you heard testimony that
8	while David Pecker was an executive at AMI, AMI entered
9	into a Non-Prosecution Agreement with Federal prosecutors,
10	as well as the Conciliation Agreement with the Federal
11	Election Commission, the FEC. I remind you that evidence
12	was permitted to assist you, the jury, in assessing David
13	Pecker's credibility and to help provide context for some
14	of the surrounding events. You may consider that testimony
15	for those purposes only.
16	Neither the Non-Prosecution Agreement, nor the
17	Conciliation Agreement is evidence of the Defendant's
18	guilt, and you may not consider them in determining whether
19	the Defendant is guilty or not guilty of the charged
20	crimes.
21	You also heard testimony that the Federal
22	Election Commission conducted an investigation into the
23	payment to Stormy Daniels and of responses submitted by
24	Michael Cohen and his attorneys to the investigation. That
25	evidence was permitted to assist you, the jury, in

1	assessing Michael Cohen's credibility and to help provide
2	context for some of the surrounding events. You may
3	consider that evidence for those purposes only.
4	Likewise, you will recall that you heard
5	testimony that Michael Cohen pled guilty to violating the
6	Federal Election Campaign Act, otherwise known a FECA. I
7	remind you that evidence was permitted to assist you, the
8	jury, in assessing Mr. Cohen's credibility as a witness and
9	to help provide context for some of the events that
10	followed. You may consider that testimony for those
11	purposes, only.
12	Neither the fact of the FEC investigation, Mr.
13	Cohen and his attorney's responses or the fact that Mr.
14	Cohen pleaded guilty, constitutes evidence of the
15	Defendant's guilt and you may not consider them in
16	determining whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty
17	of the charged crimes.
18	You will recall that certain Wall Street Journal
19	news articles were accepted into evidence during the trial.
20	I remind you now that the articles were accepted and may be
21	considered by you for the limited purpose of demonstrating
22	that the articles were published on or about a certain date
23	and to provide context for the other evidence.
24	The exhibits may not be considered by you as
25	evidence that any of the assertions contained in the

1	articles is actually true.
2	There were other exhibits which contained hearsay
3	and were not accepted for the truth of the matter asserted
4	but for another purpose.
5	For example, there were several National Enquirer
6	headlines and an invoice from Investor Advisory Services
7	which is People's 161 in evidence. Those were accepted for
8	the limited purpose of demonstrating that the articles were
9	published and the document created.
10	There were also some text messages that were
11	accepted with a similar limitation.
12	For example, People's Exhibit 171-A with respect
13	to Gina Rodriguez's texts only and 257 with respect to
14	Chris Cuomo's texts only.
15	Those text messages were accepted for the limited
16	purpose of providing context for the responses by Dylan
17	Howard and Michael Cohen.
18	The exhibits which were accepted into evidence
19	with a limiting instruction are 152, 153-A, 153-B, 153-C,
20	161, 171—A, 180, 181 and 257.
21	If you have any additional questions or need
22	clarification as to which exhibits were accepted into
23	evidence with limitations, just send me a note with your
24	question and I will be happy to clarify.
25	We now turn to the fundamental principles of our

1	law that apply in all criminal trials: The presumption of
2	innocence, the burden of proof and the requirement of proof
3	beyond a reasonable doubt.
4	Throughout these proceedings, the Defendant is
5	presumed to be innocent. As a result, you must find the
6	Defendant not guilty, unless, on the evidence presented at
7	this trial, you conclude that the People have proven the
8	Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
9	In determining whether the People have satisfied
10	their burden of proving the Defendant's quilt beyond a
11	reasonable doubt, you may consider all of the evidence
12	presented, whether by the People or by the Defendant.
13	In doing so, however, remember that, even though
14	the Defendant introduced evidence, the burden of proof
15	remains on the People.
16	The fact that the Defendant did not testify is
17	not a factor from which any inference unfavorable to the
18	Defendant may be drawn.
19	The Defendant is not required to prove that he is
20	not guilty. In fact, the Defendant is not required to
21	prove or disprove anything. To the contrary, the People
22	have the burden of proving the Defendant guilty beyond a
23	reasonable doubt. That means, before you can find the
24	Defendant guilty of a crime, the People must prove beyond a
25	reasonable doubt every element of the crime including that

1	the Defendant is the person who committed that crime.
2	The burden of proof never shifts from the People
3	to the Defendant.
4	If the People failed to satisfy their burden of
5	proof, you must find the Defendant not guilty, and if the
6	People satisfy their burden of proof you must find the
7	Defendant guilty.
8	What does our law mean when it requires proof of
9	guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?
10	The law uses the term of proof beyond a
11	reasonable doubt to tell you how convincing the evidence of
12	guilt must be to permit a verdict of guilty.
13	The law recognizes that in dealing with human
14	affairs, there are very few things in this world that we
15	know with absolute certainty. Therefore, the law does not
16	require the People to prove a defendant guilty beyond all
17	possible doubt.
18	On the other hand, it is not sufficient to prove
19	that the Defendant is probably guilty. In a criminal case,
20	the proof of guilt must be stronger than that. It must be
21	beyond a reasonable doubt.
22	A reasonable doubt is an honest doubt of the
23	Defendant's guilt for which a reason exists based upon the
24	nature and the quality of the evidence. It is an actual
25	doubt, not an imaginary doubt. It is a doubt that a

1	reasonable person, acting in a matter of this importance
2	would be likely to entertain because of the evidence that
3	was presented or because of the lack of convincing
4	evidence.
5	Proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt is proof
6	that leaves you so firmly convinced of the Defendant's
7	quilt that you have no reasonable doubt of the existence of
8	any element of the crime or of the Defendant's identity as
9	the person who committed the crime.
10	In determining whether the People have proven the
11	Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, you should be
12	guided solely by a full and fair evaluation of the
13	evidence.
14	After carefully evaluating the evidence, each of
15	you must decide whether that evidence convinces you beyond
16	a reasonable doubt of the Defendant's guilt.
17	Whatever your verdict may be, it must not rest
18	upon baseless speculation. Nor may it be influenced in any
19	way by bias, prejudice, sympathy, or by a desire to bring
20	an end to your deliberations or to avoid an unpleasant
21	duty.
22	If you are not convinced beyond a reasonable
23	doubt that the Defendant is guilty of a charged crime, you
24	must find the Defendant not guilty of that crime, and if
25	you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the

1	Defendant is guilty of a charged crime, you must find the
2	Defendant guilty of that crime.
3	As judges of the facts, you alone determine the
4	truthfulness and accuracy of the testimony of each witness.
5	You must decide whether a witness has told the
6	truth and was accurate, or instead, testified falsely or
7	was mistaken.
8	You must also decide what importance to give to
9	the testimony you accept as truthful and accurate. It is
10	the quality of the testimony that is controlling, not the
11	number of witnesses who testified.
12	If you find that any witness has intentionally
13	testified falsely as to any material fact, you may
14	disregard that witness's entire testimony. Or, you may
15	disregard so much of it as you find was untruthful, and
16	accept so much of it as you find to have been truthful and
17	accurate.
18	There is no particular formula for evaluating the
19	truthfulness and accuracy of another person's statements or
20	testimony. You bring to this process all of your varied
21	experiences. In life, you frequently decide the
22	truthfulness and accuracy of statements made to you by
23	other people. The same factors used to make those
24	decisions, should be used in this case when evaluating
25	testimony.

1	Some of the factors that you may wish to consider
2	in evaluating testimony of a witness are as follows:
3	Did the witness have an opportunity to see or
4	hear the events about which he or she testified?
5	Did the witness have the ability to recall those
6	events accurately?
7	Was the testimony of the witness plausible and
8	likely to be true, or was it implausible and not likely to
9	be true?
10	Was the testimony of the witness consistent or
11	inconsistent with other testimony or evidence in the case?
12	Did the manner in which the witness testified
13	reflect upon the truthfulness of that witness's testimony?
14	To what extent, if any, did the witness's
15	background, training, education or experience affect the
16	believability of that witness's testimony.
17	Did the witness have a conscious bias, hostility
18	or some other attitude that affected the truthfulness of
19	the witness's testimony?
20	Did the witness show an, unconscious bias, that
21	is, a bias that the witness may have even unknowingly
22	acquired from stereotypes and attitudes about people or
23	groups of people, and if so, did that unconscious bias
24	impact that witness's ability to be truthful and accurate.
25	You may consider whether a witness had, or did

1	not have, a motive to lie.
2	If I witness had a motive to lie, you may
3	consider whether and to what extent, if any, that motive
4	affected the truthfulness of that witness's testimony.
5	If a witness did not have a motive to lie, you
6	may consider that as well in evaluating the witness's
7	truthfulness.
8	You may consider whether a witness hopes for or
9	expects to receive a benefit for testifying. If so, you
10	may consider whether and to what extent it affected the
11	truthfulness of the witness's testimony.
12	You may consider whether a witness has any
13	interest in the outcome of the case, or instead, whether
14	the witness has no such interest.
15	You are not required to reject the testimony of
16	an interested witness, or to accept the testimony of a
17	witness who has no interest in the outcome of the case.
18	You may, however, consider whether an interest in
19	the outcome, or the lack of such interest, affected the
20	truthfulness of the witness's testimony.
21	You may consider whether a witness has been
22	convicted of a crime or has engaged in criminal conduct,
23	and if so, whether and to what extent it affects your
24	evaluation of the truthfulness of that witness's testimony.
25	You are not required to reject the testimony of a

1	witness who has been convicted of a crime or who has
2	engaged in criminal conduct, or to accept the testimony of
3	a witness who has not.
4	You may, however, consider whether a witness's
5	criminal conviction or conduct has affected the
6	truthfulness of the witness's testimony.
7	You may consider whether a witness made
8	statements at this trial that are inconsistent with each
9	other.
10	You may also consider whether a witness made
11	previous statements that are inconsistent with his or her
12	testimony at trial.
13	You may consider whether a witness testified to a
14	fact here at trial that the witness omitted to state at a
15	prior time, when it would have been reasonable and logical
16	for the witness to have stated that fact. In determining
17	whether it would have been reasonable and logical for the
18	witness to have stated the omitted fact, you may consider
19	whether the witness's attention was called to the matter,
20	and whether the witness was specifically asked about it.
21	If a witness has made such inconsistent
22	statements or omissions, you may consider whether and to
23	what extent they affect the truthfulness or accuracy of
24	that witness's testimony here at this trial.
25	The contents of a prior inconsistent statement

1	are not proof of what happened. You may use evidence of a
2	prior inconsistent statement only to evaluate the
3	truthfulness or accuracy of the witness's testimony here at
4	trial.
5	You may consider whether a witness's testimony is
6	consistent with the testimony of other witnesses or with
7	other evidence in the case.
8	If there were inconsistencies by or among
9	witnesses, you may consider whether they were significant
10	inconsistencies related to important facts, or instead were
11	the kind of minor inconsistencies that one might expect
12	from multiple witnesses to the same event.
13	You have heard testimony about the prosecution
14	and defense counsel speaking to a witness about the case
15	before the witness testified at this trial.
16	The law permits the prosecution and defense
17	counsel to speak to a witness about the case before the
18	witness testifies, and the law permits the prosecutor and
19	defense counsel to review with the witness the questions
20	that will or may be asked at trial, including the questions
21	that may be asked on cross-examination.
22	You have also heard testimony that a witness read
23	or reviewed certain materials pertaining to this case
24	before the witness testified at trial. The law permits a
25	witness to do so.

1	Speaking to a witness about his or her testimony
2	and permitting the witness to review materials pertaining
3	to the case before the witness testifies is a normal part
4	of preparing for trial.
5	It is not improper as long as it is not suggested
6	that the witness depart from the truth.
7	The People have the burden of proving beyond a
8	reasonable doubt, not only that a charged crime was
9	committed, but that the Defendant is the person who
10	committed that crime.
11	Thus, even if you are convinced beyond a
12	reasonable doubt that a charged crime was committed by
13	someone, you cannot convict the Defendant of that crime
14	unless your also convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that
15	he is the person who committed that crime.
16	Under our law, Michael Cohen is an accomplice
17	because there is evidence that he participated in a crime
18	based upon conduct involved in the allegations here against
19	the Defendant.
20	Our law is especially concerned about the
21	testimony of an accomplice who implicates another in the
22	commission of a crime, particularly when the accomplice has
23	received, expects or hopes for a benefit in return for his
24	testimony.
25	Therefore, our law provides that a defendant may

1	not be convicted of any crime upon the testimony of an
2	accomplice, unless it is supported by corroborative
3	evidence tending to connect the Defendant with the
4	commission of that crime.
5	In other words, even if you find the testimony of
6	Michael Cohen to be believable, you may not convict the
7	Defendant solely upon that testimony unless you also find
8	that it was corroborated by other evidence tending to
9	connect the Defendant with the commission of the crime.
10	The corroborative evidence need not, by itself,
11	prove that a crime was committed or that the Defendant is
12	guilty. What the law requires is that there be evidence
13	that tends to connect the Defendant with the commission of
14	the crime charged in such a way as may reasonably satisfy
15	you that the accomplice is telling the truth about the
16	Defendant's participation in that crime.
17	In determining whether there is the necessary
18	corroboration, you may consider whether there is material,
19	believable evidence, apart from the testimony of Michael
20	Cohen, which itself tends to connect the Defendant to the
21	commission of the crime.
22	You may also consider whether there is material,
23	believable evidence, apart from the testimony of Michael
24	Cohen, which, while it does not itself tend to connect the
25	Defendant with the commission of the crime charged, it

1	nonetheless so harmonizes with the narrative of the
2	accomplice as to satisfy you that the accomplice is telling
3	the truth about the Defendant's participation in the crime
4	and thereby tends to connect the Defendant to the
5	commission of the crime.
6	I will now instruct you on the law applicable to
7	the charged offenses. That offense is falsifying business
8	records in the first degree, 34 counts.
9	Our law recognizes that two or more individuals
10	can act jointly to commit a crime, and that in certain
11	circumstances, each can be held criminally liable for the
12	acts of the others. In that situation, those persons can
13	be said to be, acting in concert with each other.
14	Our law defines the circumstance under which one
15	person may be criminally liable for the conduct of another
16	That definition is as follows:
17	When one person engages in conduct which
18	constitutes an offense, another is criminally liable for
19	such conduct when, acting with the state of mind required
20	for the commission of that offense, he or she solicits,
21	requests, commands, importunes, or intentionally aids such
22	person to engage in such conduct.
23	Under that definition, mere presence at the scene
24	of a crime, even with knowledge that the crime was taking
25	place, or mere association with a perpetrator of a crime,

1	does not by itself make a defendant criminally liable for
2	that crime.
3	In order for the Defendant to be held criminally
4	liable for the conduct of another which constitutes an
5	offense, you must find beyond a reasonable doubt:
6	First, that he solicited, requested, commanded
7	importuned, or intentionally aided that person to engage in
8	that conduct.
9	And second, that he did so with the state of mind
10	required for the commission of the offense.
11	If it is proven beyond a reasonable doubt that
12	the Defendant is criminally liable for the conduct of
13	another, the extent or degree of the defendant's
14	participation in the crime does to the matter.
15	A defendant proven beyond a reasonable doubt to
16	be criminally liable for the conduct of another in the
17	commission of crime is as guilty of the crime as if the
18	Defendant, personally, had committed every act constituting
19	that crime.
20	The People have the burden of proving beyond a
21	reasonable doubt that the Defendant acted in the state of
22	mind required for the commission of the crime, and either
23	personally, or by acting in concert with another person,
24	committed each of the remaining elements of the crime.
25	Your verdict on each count you consider, whether

1	guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous. In order to find
2	the Defendant guilty, however, you need not be unanimous on
3	whether the Defendant committed the crime personally, or by
4	acting in concert with another, or both.
5	The First Count is falsifying business records in
6	the first degree:
7	Under our law, a person is guilty of falsifying
8	business records in the first degree when, with intent to
9	defraud that includes an intent to commit another crime or
10	to aid or conceal the commission thereof, that person:
11	Makes or causes a false entry in the business
12	records of an enterprise.
13	The following terms used in that definition have
14	a special meaning under our law:
15	Enterprise means any entity of one or more
16	persons, corporate or otherwise, public or private, engaged
17	in business, commercial, professional, industrial, social,
18	political or governmental activity.
19	Business record means any writing or article,
20	including computer data or a computer program, kept or
21	maintained by an enterprise for the purpose of evidencing
22	or reflecting its condition or activity.
23	Intent means conscious objective or purpose.
24	Thus, a person acts with intent to defraud when
25	his or her conscious objective or purpose is to do so.

1	Intent does not require premeditation. In other
2	words, intent does not require advance planning. Nor is it
3	necessary that the intent be in a person's mind for any
4	particular period of time.
5	The intent can be formed, and need only exist, at
6	the very moment the person engages in prohibited conduct or
7	acts to cause the prohibited result, and not at any earlier
8	time.
9	The question naturally arises as to how to
10	determine whether a defendant had the intent required for
11	the commission of a crime.
12	To make that determination in this case, you must
13	decide if the required intent can be inferred beyond a
14	reasonable doubt from the proven facts.
15	In doing so, you may consider the person's
16	conduct and all of the circumstances surrounding that
17	conduct, including, but not limited to, to the following:
18	What, if anything, did the person do or say?
19	What result, if any, followed the person's
20	conduct?
21	And was that result the natural, necessary and
22	probable consequence of that conduct.
23	Therefore, in this case, from the facts you find
24	to have been proven, decide whether you can infer beyond a
25	reasonable doubt that the Defendant had the intent required

1	for the commission of this crime.
2	As I previously explained, a person acts with
3	intent to defraud when his or her conscious objective or
4	purpose is to do so.
5	In order to prove an intent to defraud, the
6	People need not prove that the Defendant acted with the
7	intent to defraud any particular person or entity. A
8	general intent to defraud any person or entity suffices.
9	Intent to defraud is also not constricted to an
10	intent to deprive another of property or money and can
11	extend beyond economic concerns.
12	For the crime of falsifying business records in
13	the first degree, the intent to defraud must include an
14	intent to commit another crime or to aid or conceal the
15	commission thereof.
16	Under our law, although the People must prove an
17	intent to commit another crime or to aid or conceal the
18	commission thereof, they need not prove that the other
19	crime was, in fact, committed, aided, or concealed.
20	The People allege that the other crime the
21	Defendant intended to commit, aid, or conceal is a
22	violation of New York Election Law Section 17-152.
23	Section 17-152 of the New York Election Law
24	provides that any two or more than persons who conspire to
25	promote or prevent the election of any person to a public

1	office by unlawful means and which conspiracy is acted upon
2	by one or more of the parties thereto, shall be guilty of
3	conspiracy to promote or prevent an election.
4	Under our law, a person is guilty of such a
5	conspiracy when, with intent that conduct be performed that
6	would promote or prevent the election of a person to public
7	office by unlawful means, he or she agrees with one or more
8	persons to engage in or cause the performance of such
9	conduct.
10	Knowledge of a conspiracy does not by itself make
11	the Defendant a co-conspirator. The Defendant must intend
12	that conduct be performed that would promote or prevent the
13	election of a person to public office by unlawful means.
14	Intent mean conscious objective or purpose.
15	Thus, a person acts with the intent that conduct
16	be performed that would promote or prevent the election of
17	a person to public office by unlawful means when his or her
18	conscious objective or purpose is that such conduct be
19	performed.
20	Evidence that Defendant was present when others
21	agreed to engage in the performance of a crime does not by
22	itself show that he personally agreed to engage in the
23	conspiracy.
24	(Whereupon, Principal Court Reporter, Susan
25	Pearce-Bates was relieved by Senior Court Reporter Lisa

1	Kramsky.)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	*****
2	(The following proceedings are continued from the
3	preceding page.)
4	THE COURT: (Continuing.)
5	Although you must conclude unanimously that the
6	Defendant conspired to promote or prevent the election of
7	any person to a public office by unlawful means, you need
8	not be unanimous as to what those unlawful means were.
9	In determining whether the Defendant conspired to
10	promote or prevent the election of any person to a public
11	office by unlawful means, you may consider the following:
12	One, violations of the Federal Election Campaign
13	Act, again, otherwise known as FECA;
14	Two, the falsification of other business records;
15	or, three, violation of tax laws.
16	The first of the People's theories of "unlawful
17	means," which 1 will now define for you is the Federal
18	Election Campaign Act.
19	Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, it is
20	unlawful for an individual to willfully make a contribution
21	to any candidate with respect to any election for Federal
22	office, including the office of President of the United
23	States, which exceeds a certain limit.
24	In 2015 and 2016, that limit was \$2,700.
25	It is also unlawful under the Federal Election

Lisa Kramsky,

1	Campaign Act for any corporation to willfully make a
2	contribution of any amount to a candidate or candidate's
3	campaign in connection with any Federal election, or for any
4	person to cause such a corporate contribution.
5	For purposes of these prohibitions, and expenditure
6	made in cooperation, consultation or concert with, or at the
7	request or suggestion of, a candidate or his agents shall be
8	considered to be a contribution to such candidate.
9	The terms "contribution" and "expenditure" include
10	anything of value, including any purchase, payment, loan, or
11	advance, made by any person for the purpose of influencing
12	any election to Federal office.
13	Under Federal law, a third party's payment of a
14	candidate's expenses is deemed to be a contribution to the
15	candidate, unless, the payment would have been made
16	irrespective of the candidacy.
17	If the payment would have been made even in the
18	absence of the candidacy, the payment should not be treated
19	as a contribution.
20	FECA's definitions of "contribution" and
21	"expenditure" do not include any cost incurred in covering
22	or carrying a news story, commentary, or editorial by a
23	magazine, periodical publication, or similar press entity so
24	long as such activity is a normal, legitimate press
25	function.

1	This is called the Press Exemption.
2	For example, the term "legitimate press function"
3	includes solicitation letters seeking new subscribers to a
4	publication.
5	The second of the People's theories of "unlawful
6	means," which I will define for you now is the falsification
7	of other business records.
8	Under New York Law, a person is guilty of
9	Falsifying Business Records in the Second Degree when with
10	intent to defraud, he or she makes or causes a false entries
11	in the business records of an enterprise.
12	I previously defined for you the terms enterprise,
13	business records and intent to defraud.
14	For purposes of determine whether Falsifying
15	Business Records in the Second Degree was an unlawful means
16	used by a conspiracy to promote or prevent an election here,
17	you may consider:
18	One, the bank records associated with Michael
19	Cohen's account formation paperwork for Resolution
20	Consultants — for Resolution Consultants LLC and Essential
21	Consultants LLC accounts;
22	Two, the bank records associated with Michael
23	Cohen's wire to Keith Davidson;
24	Three, the invoice from Investor Advisory Services,
25	Inc., to Resolution Consultants LLC;

1	And, four, the 1099-Miscellaneous forms that The
2	Trump Organization issued to Michael Cohen.
3	The People's third theory of "unlawful means,"
4	which I will define for you now, is a violation of Tax Laws.
5	Under New York State and New York City Law, it is
6	unlawful to knowingly supply or submit materially false or
7	fraudulent information in connection with any tax return.
8	Likewise, under Federal law, it is unlawful for a
9	person to willfully make any tax return, statement, or other
10	document that is fraudulent or false as to any material
11	matter, or that the person does not believe to be true or
12	correct as to every material matter.
13	Under these Federal, State and Local Laws, such
14	conduct is unlawful, even if it does not result in
15	underpayment of taxes.
16	In order for you to find the Defendant guilty of
17	the crime of Falsifying Business Records in the First
18	Degree, under count one of the indictment, the People are
19	required to prove, from all of the evidence in the case,
20	beyond a reasonable doubt, each of the following two
21	elements:
22	First, that on or about February 14th, 2017, in the
23	County of New York and elsewhere, the Defendant, personally,
24	or by acting in concert with another person or persons, made
25	or caused the false entry in the business records of an

1	enterprise, specifically, an invoice from Michael Cohen
2	dated February 14th, 2017, marked as a record of the Donald
3	J. Trump Revocable Trust, and kept and maintained by The
4	Trump Organization;
5	And, two, that the Defendant did so with intent to
6	defraud that included an intent to commit another crime or
7	to aid or conceal the commission thereof.
8	If you find the People have proven beyond a
9	reasonable doubt each of those two elements, you must find
10	the Defendant guilty of this crime.
11	If you find that the People have not proven beyond
12	a reasonable doubt either one or both of those elements, you
13	must find the Defendant not guilty of this crime.
14	You have now heard me define the law for count one.
15	There are 33 remaining counts in the indictment. Each for
16	Falsifying Business Records in the First Degree and each
17	occurring in New York County.
18	The only difference is that each count pertains to
19	a different business record and possibly a different date.
20	The underlying law applies in the same way to each
21	of the remaining counts so I will only repeat it in full one
22	more time before I read count 34.
23	Of course, you can ask me to repeat the law in its
24	entirety as many times as you wish and I will be happy to do
25	so.

1	The second count pertains to an entry in the Detail
2	General Ledger for the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust,
3	dated February 14th, 2017, bearing voucher number 842457,
4	and kept or maintained by The Trump Organization.
5	The third count pertains to an entry in the Detail
6	General Ledger for the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust,
7	dated February 14th, 2017, bearing voucher number 842460,
8	and kept or maintained by The Trump Organization.
9	The fourth count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
10	Revocable Trust account check and check stub dated
11	February 14, 2017, bearing check number 000138, and kept or
12	maintained by The Trump Organization.
13	The fifth count pertains to an invoice from Michael
14	Cohen dated March 16, 2017, marked as a record of the Donald
15	J. Trump Revocable Trust and kept or maintained by The Trump
16	Organization.
17	The sixth count pertains to an entry in the Detail
18	General Ledger for the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust,
19	dated March 17, 2017, bearing voucher number 846907, and
20	kept or maintained by The Trump Organization.
21	The seventh count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
22	Revocable Trust account check and check stub dated
23	March 17th, 2017, bearing check number 000147, and kept or
24	maintained by The Trump Organization.
25	The eighth count pertains to an invoice from

1	Michael Cohen, dated April 13th, 2017, marked as a record of
2	Donald J. Trump, and kept or maintained by The Trump
3	Organization.
4	The ninth count pertains to an entry in the Detail
5	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated June 19th, 2017,
6	bearing voucher number 858770, and kept or maintained by The
7	Trump Organization.
8	The tenth count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
9	account check and check stub dated June 19th, 2017, bearing
10	check number 002740, and kept or maintained by The Trump
11	Organization.
12	The eleventh count pertains to an invoice from
13	Michael Cohen dated May 22nd, 2017, marked as a record of
14	Donald J. Trump, and kept or maintained by The Trump
15	Organization.
16	The 12th count pertains to an entry in the Detail
17	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated May 22nd, 2017,
18	bearing voucher number 855331, and kept or maintained by The
19	Trump Organization.
20	The 13th count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
21	account check and check stub dated May 23rd, 2017, bearing
22	check number 002700, and kept or maintained by The Trump
23	Organization.
24	The 14th count pertains to an invoice from Michael
25	Cohen, dated June 16th, 2017, marked as a record of Donald

1	J. Trump, and kept or maintained by The Trump Organization.
2	The 15th count pertains to an entry in the Detail
3	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated June 19th, 2017,
4	bearing voucher number 858772, and kept or maintained by The
5	Trump Organization.
6	The 16th count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
7	account check and check stub dated June 19th, 2017, bearing
8	check number 002741, and kept or maintained by The Trump
9	Organization.
10	The 17th count pertains to an invoice from Michael
11	Cohen dated July 11th, 2017, marked as a record of Donald J.
12	Trump, and kept or maintained by The Trump Organization.
13	The 18th count pertains to an entry in the Detail
14	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated July 11, 2017,
15	bearing voucher number 861096, and kept or maintained by The
16	Trump Organization.
17	The 19th count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
18	account check and check stub dated July 11th, 2017, bearing
19	number check number 002781, and kept or maintained by The
20	Trump Organization.
21	The 20th count pertains to an invoice from Michael
22	Cohen dated August 1st, 2017, marked as a record of Donald
23	J. Trump, and kept or maintained by The Trump Organization.
24	The 21st count pertains to an entry in the Detail
25	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated August 1, 2017,

1	bearing voucher number 863641, and kept or maintained by The
2	Trump Organization.
3	The 22nd count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
4	account check and check stub dated August 1, 2017, bearing
5	check number 002821, and kept or maintained by The Trump
6	Organization.
7	The 23rd count pertains to an invoice from Michael
8	Cohen dated September 11th, 2017, marked as a record of
9	Donald J. Trump, and kept or maintained by The Trump
10	Organization.
11	The 24th count pertains to an entry in the Detail
12	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated September 11th,
13	2017, bearing voucher number 868174, and kept or maintained
14	by The Trump Organization.
15	The 25th count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
16	account check and check stub, dated September 12th, 2017,
17	bearing check number 002908, and kept or maintained by The
18	Trump Organization.
19	The 26th count pertains to an invoice from Michael
20	Cohen dated October 18th, 2017, marked as a record of Donald
21	J. Trump and kept or maintained by The Trump Organization.
22	The 27th count pertains to an entry in the Detail
23	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated October 18th,
24	2017, bearing voucher number 872654, and kept or maintained
25	by The Trump Organization.

1	The 28th count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
2	account check and check stub dated October 18th, 2017,
3	bearing check number 002944, and kept or maintained by The
4	Trump Organization.
5	The 29th count pertains to an invoice from Michael
6	Cohen dated November 20th, 2017, marked as a record of
7	Donald J. Trump, and kept or maintained by The Trump
8	Organization.
9	The 30th count pertains to an entry in the Detail
10	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated November 20, 2017,
11	bearing voucher number 876511, and kept or maintained by The
12	Trump Organization.
13	The 31st count pertains to a Donald J. Trump
14	account or check stub dated November 21st, 2017, bearing
15	check number 002980, and kept or maintained by The Trump
16	Organization.
17	The 32nd count pertains to an invoice from Michael
18	Cohen, dated December 1st, 2017, marked as a record of
19	Donald J. Trump, and kept or maintained by The Trump
20	Organization.
21	The 33rd count pertains to an entry in the Detail
22	General Ledger for Donald J. Trump, dated December 1st,
23	2017, bearing voucher number 877785, and kept or maintained
24	by The Trump Organization.
25	The 34th count is also Falsifying Business Records

1	in the First Degree, but as it pertains to a check and
2	check stub dated September 5th, 2017, bearing check number
3	003006.
4	I will now repeat for you the law pertaining to the
5	crime of Falsifying Business Records in the First Degree in
6	its entirety.
7	Under our law, a person is guilty of Falsifying
8	Business Records in the First Degree when, with intent to
9	defraud that includes an intent to commit another crime or
10	to aid or conceal the commission thereof, that person makes
11	or causes a false entry in the business records of an
12	enterprise.
13	The following terms used in that definition have a
14	special meaning:
15	"Enterprise." "Enterprise" means any entity of one
16	or more persons, corporate or otherwise, public or private,
17	engaged in business, commercial, professional, industrial,
18	social, political or governmental activity.
19	"Business record" means any writing or article,
20	including computer data or a computer program, kept or
21	maintained by an enterprise for the purpose of evidencing or
22	reflecting its condition or activity.
23	"Intent" means conscious objective or purpose.
24	Thus, a person acts with intent to defraud when his or her
25	conscious objective or purpose is to do so.

1	Intent does not require premeditation. In other
2	words, intent does not require advance planning. Nor is it
3	necessary that the intent be in a person's mind for any
4	particular period of time.
5	The intent can be formed, and need only exist, at
6	the very moment the person engages in prohibited conduct or
7	acts to cause the prohibited result, and not at any earlier
8	time.
9	The question naturally arises as to how to
10	determine whether a defendant had the intent required for
11	the commission of a crime.
12	To make that determination in this case, you must
13	decide whether if the required intent can be inferred beyond
14	a reasonable doubt from the proven facts.
15	In doing so, you may consider the person's conduct
16	and all of the circumstances surrounding that conduct,
17	including, but not limited to, the following:
18	What, if anything, did the person do or say; what
19	result, if any, followed the person's conduct; and was that
20	result the natural and necessary and probable consequence of
21	that conduct?
22	Therefore, in this case, from the facts you find to
23	have been proven, decide whether you can infer beyond a
24	reasonable doubt that the Defendant had the intent required
25	for the commission of this crime.

1	As I previously explained, a person acts with
2	intent to defraud when his or her conscious objective or
3	purpose is to do so.
4	In order to prove an intent to defraud, the People
5	need not prove that the Defendant acted with the intent to
6	defraud any particular person or entity.
7	A general intent to defraud any person or entity
8	suffices.
9	Intent to defraud is also not constricted to an
10	intent to deprive another of property or money and can
11	extend beyond economic concerns.
12	For the count of Falsifying Business Records in the
13	First Degree, the intent to defraud must include an intent
14	to commit another crime or to aid or conceal the commission
15	thereof.
16	Under our law, although the People must prove an
17	intent to commit another crime or to aid or conceal the
18	commission thereof, they need not prove that the other crime
19	was, in fact, committed, aided or concealed.
20	The People allege that the other crime that the
21	Defendant intended to commit, aid, or conceal is a violation
22	of New York Election Law Section 17-152.
23	Section 17-152 of the New York Election Law
24	provides that any two or more persons who conspire to
25	promote or prevent the election of any person to a public

Lisa Kramsky,

1	office by unlawful means, and which conspiracy is acted upon
2	by one or more of the parties thereto, shall be guilty of
3	conspiracy to promote or prevent an election.
4	Under our law, a person is guilty of such a
5	conspiracy when, with intent that conduct be performed that
6	would promote or prevent the election of a person to public
7	office by unlawful means, he or she agrees with one or more
8	persons to engage in or cause the performance of such
9	conduct.
10	Knowledge of a conspiracy does not by itself make
11	the Defendant a coconspirator.
12	The Defendant must intend that conduct be performed
13	that would promote or prevent the election of a person to
14	public office by unlawful means.
15	Intent means conscious objective or purpose.
16	Thus, a person acts with the intent that conduct be
17	performed that would promote or prevent the election of a
18	person to public office by unlawful means when his or her
19	conscious objective or purpose is that such conduct be
20	performed.
21	Evidence that the Defendant was present when others
22	agreed to engage in the performance of a crime does not by
23	itself show that he personally agreed to engage in a
24	conspiracy.
25	Although you must conclude unanimously that the

1	Defendant conspired to promote or prevent the election of
2	any person to a public office by unlawful means, you need
3	not be unanimous as to what those unlawful means were.
4	In determining whether the Defendant conspired to
5	promote or prevent the election of any person to a public
6	office by unlawful means, you may consider the following
7	unlawful means:
8	Violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act,
9	otherwise known as FECA; the falsification of other business
10	records; or violations of Tax Laws.
11	The first of the People's theories of "unlawful
12	means," which I will now define for you is the Federal
13	Election Campaign Act.
14	Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, it is
15	unlawful for an individual to willfully make a contribution
16	to any candidate with respect to any election for Federal
17	office, including the office of President of the United
18	States, which exceeds a certain limit.
19	In 2015 and 2016, that limit was \$2,700.
20	It is also unlawful under the Federal Election
21	Campaign Act for any corporation to willfully make a
22	contribution of any amount to a candidate or candidate's
23	campaign in connection with any Federal election, or for any
24	person to cause such a corporate contribution.
25	For purposes of these prohibitions, and expenditure

1	made in cooperation, consultation or concert with, or at the
2	request or suggestion of, a candidate or his agents, shall
3	be considered to be a contribution to such candidate.
4	The terms "contribution" and "expenditure" include
5	anything of value, including any purchase, payment, loan, or
6	advance made by any person for the purpose of influencing
7	any election for Federal office.
8	Under Federal Law, a third party's payment of a
9	candidate's expenses is deemed to be a contribution to the
10	candidate unless the payment would have been made
11	irrespective of the candidacy.
12	If the payment would have been made even in the
13	absence of the candidacy, the payment should not be treated
14	as a contribution.
15	FECA's definitions of "contribution" and
16	"expenditure" do not include any costs incurred in covering
17	or carrying a news story, commentary, or editorial by a
18	magazine, periodical publication, or similar press entity,
19	so long as such activity is a normal, legitimate press
20	function.
21	This is called the Press Exemption. For example,
22	the term "legitimate press function," includes solicitation
23	letters seeking new subscribers to a publication.
24	The People's second theory of "unlawful means,"
25	which I will define for you is the falsification of other

1	business records.
2	Under New York Law, a person is guilty of
3	Falsifying Business Records in the Second Degree when with
4	intent to the defraud, he or she makes or causes a false
5	entry in a business records of an enterprise.
6	I previously defined for you the terms enterprise,
7	business records and intent to defraud.
8	For purposes of determining whether Falsifying
9	Business Records in the Second Degree was an "unlawful
10	means," used by conspiracy to promote or prevent an
11	election, you may consider:
12	The bank records associated with Michael Cohen's
13	account formation paperwork for the Resolution Consultants
14	LLC and Essential Consultants LLC accounts;
15	The bank records associated with Michael Cohen's
16	wire to Keith Davidson;
17	The invoice from Investor Advisory Services, Inc.,
18	to Resolution Consultants;
19	And the 1099-Miscellaneous forms that The Trump
20	Organization issued to Michael Cohen.
21	The People's third theory of "unlawful means,"
22	which I will define for you, is a violation of Tax Laws.
23	Under New York State and New York City Law, it is
24	unlawful to knowingly supply or submit materially false or
25	fraudulent information in connection with any tax return.

Lisa Kramsky,

1	Likewise, under Federal Law, it is unlawful for a
2	person to willfully make any tax return, statement, or other
3	document that is fraudulent or false as to any material
4	matter, or that the person does not believe to be true and
5	correct as to every material matter.
6	Under these Federal, State and Local Laws, such
7	conduct is unlawful even if it does not result in
8	underpayment of taxes.
9	In order for you to find the Defendant guilty of
10	the crime of Falsifying Business Records in the First Degree
11	under count 34, the People are required to prove, from all
12	of the evidence in the case, beyond a reasonable doubt, each
13	of the following two elements:
14	First, that on or about December 5, 2017, in the
15	County of New York, and elsewhere, the Defendant,
16	personally, or by acting in concert with another person or
17	persons, made or caused a false entry in the business
18	records of an enterprise, specifically, a Donald J. Trump
19	account check and check stub dated December 5th, 2017,
20	bearing check number 003006, and kept or maintained by The
21	Trump Organization;
22	And that the Defendant did so with intent to
23	defraud that included an intent to commit another crime or
24	to aid or conceal the commission thereof.
25	If you find the People have proven beyond a

1	reasonable doubt both of those elements, you must find the
2	Defendant guilty of this crime.
3	If you find the People have not proven beyond a
4	reasonable doubt either one or both of these elements, you
5	must find the Defendant not guilty of this crime.
6	Let me now explain motive, and in particular, the
7	difference between motive and intent.
8	Intent means conscious objective or purpose. Thus,
9	a person commits a crime — a criminal act with intent, when
10	that person's conscious objective or purpose is to engage in
11	the act which the law forbids or to bring about an unlawful
12	result.
13	Motive, on the other hand, is the reason why a
14	person chooses to engage in criminal conduct.
15	If intent is an element of a charged crime, that
16	element must be proved by the People beyond a reasonable
17	doubt.
18	In this case, intent is, as I have explained, an
19	element of the crime of Falsifying Business Records in the
20	First Degree.
21	Motive, however, is not an element of the crimes
22	charged.
23	Therefore, the People are not required to prove a
24	motive for the commission of the charged crimes.
25	Nevertheless, evidence of a motive, or evidence of

1	the lack of a motive, may be considered by the jury.
2	For example, if you find from the evidence that the
3	Defendant had a motive to commit the crime charged, that is
4	a circumstance you may wish to consider as tending to
5	support a finding of guilt.
6	On the other hand, if the proof establishes that
7	the Defendant had no motive to commit the crime charged,
8	that is a circumstance you may wish to consider as tending
9	to establish that the Defendant is not guilty of a charged
10	crime.
11	Your verdict, on each count you consider, whether
12	guilty or not guilty, must be unanimous, that is, each and
13	every juror must agree to it.
14	To reach a unanimous verdict, you must deliberate
15	with the other jurors.
16	That means, you should discuss the evidence and
17	consult with each other, listen to each other, give each
18	other's views careful consideration, and reason together
19	when considering the evidence.
20	And when you deliberate, you should do so with a
21	view towards reaching an agreement, if that can be done
22	without surrendering individual judgment.
23	Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but
24	only after a fair and impartial consideration of the
25	evidence with the other jurors.

Lisa Kramsky,

1	You should not surrender an honest view of the
2	evidence simply because you want the trial to end, or
3	because you are out voted.
4	At the same time, you should not hesitate to
5	re-examine your views and change your mind, if you become
6	convinced that your position was not correct.
7	Some jurors took notes. Any notes taken are only
8	an aid to your memory and must not take precedence over your
9	independent recollection.
10	Those jurors who chose not to take notes must rely
11	on their own independent recollection and must not be
12	influenced by any notes that another juror may have taken.
13	Any notes you took are only for your own personal
14	use in refreshing your recollection.
15	A juror's notes are not a substitute for the
16	recorded transcript of the testimony or for any exhibit
17	received in evidence.
18	If there is a discrepancy between a juror's
19	recollection and his or her notes regarding the evidence,
20	you should ask to have the relevant testimony read back or
21	the exhibit produced in the jury room.
22	In addition, a juror's notes are not a substitute
23	for the detailed explanation I have given you of the
24	principles of law that govern this case.
25	If there is a discrepancy between a juror's

Lisa Kramsky,

1	recollection and his or her notes regarding those
2	principles, you should ask me to explain those principles
3	again, and I'll do so.
4	You may see any or all of the exhibits that were
5	received in evidence.
6	Simply write me a note telling me which exhibit or
7	exhibits you want to see.
8	You may also have the testimony of any witness read
9	back to you in whole or in part.
10	Again, if you want a read back, write me a note
11	telling me what testimony you wish to hear.
12	If you are interested in hearing only a portion of
13	a witness' testimony, please specify in your note which
14	witness and, with as much detail as possible, which part of
15	the testimony it is that you want to hear.
16	Of course, when testimony is read back, questions
17	to which an objection was sustained and material otherwise
18	struck from the record is not read back.
19	If you have a question on the law, write me a note
20	specifying what you want me to review with you.
21	Under our law, the first juror selected is known as
22	the foreperson.
23	During deliberations, the foreperson's opinion and
24	vote are not entitled to any more importance than that of
25	any other juror.

Lisa Kramsky,

1	What we ask the foreperson to do during
2	deliberations is we ask you to sign any written note that
3	the jury sends to the Court.
4	The foreperson does not have to write the note or
5	even agree with its contents.
6	The foreperson's signature indicates only that the
7	writing does, in fact, come from the jury.
8	The foreperson may also chair the jury's
9	discussions during deliberations.
10	When the jury has reached a verdict, guilty or not
11	guilty, the entire jury will be asked to come into court.
12	The foreperson will be asked whether the jury has
13	reached a verdict.
14	And if the foreperson says, yes, the foreperson
15	will then be asked what the verdict is for each of the
16	charged counts.
17	After that, the entire jury will be asked whether
18	that is their verdict and will answer yes or no.
19	Finally, upon the request of the party, each juror
20	will be asked individually, whether the announced verdict is
21	the verdict of that juror, and upon being asked, each juror
22	will answer yes or no.
23	I will give you a form known as a verdict sheet.
24	The verdict sheet lists each count submitted for your
25	consideration and the possible verdicts.

Lisa Kramsky,

1	Please use the form to record your verdict with an
2	"X" or a check mark in the appropriate place.
3	In addition to listing the counts, I have added
4	some additional language on the verdict sheet in order to
5	distinguish the counts.
6	You will notice that I have indicated whether a
7	count pertains to an invoice, a voucher or a check.
8	For the invoices, I have added the date and for the
9	vouchers and checks I have added the number.
10	The sole reason for doing this is to help you
11	distinguish between the various counts.
12	It is not a substitute for my full instructions on
13	the meaning and elements of each charge, and it should not
14	discourage you from asking me to define a crime again if a
15	question about it arises.
16	Finally, there are a few remaining rules which you
17	must observe during your deliberations.
18	First, while you are here in the courthouse,
19	deliberating on the case, you will be kept together in the
20	jury room.
21	You may not leave the jury room during
22	deliberations.
23	Lunch, of course, be provided.
24	If you have a cell phone or other electronic
25	device, please give it to a court officer or the sergeant to

Lisa Kramsky,

1	hold for you while you are engaged in deliberations.
2	You must deliberate about the case only when you
3	are all gathered together in the jury room.
4	You must not, for example, discuss the case as you
5	go to and from the courtroom.
6	It is important that each juror have the
7	opportunity to hear whatever another juror has to say about
8	the case, and that by law must only be done when you are all
9	gathered together in the jury room.
10	Thus, if for any reason, all 12 of you are not
11	gathered together in the jury room, please stop deliberating
12	until you are all present.
13	During your deliberations, you must discuss the
14	case only among yourselves; you must not discuss the case
15	with anyone else, including a court officer, and you must
16	not permit anyone other than a fellow juror to discuss the
17	case in your presence.
18	If you have a question or request, you must
19	communicate with me by writing a note, which you will give
20	to me — which you will give to a court officer to give to
21	me.
22	The law requires that you communicate with me in
23	writing, in part, to make sure that there are no
24	misunderstandings.
25	At this time, the plan is to work today until 4:30.

Lisa Kramsky,

1	We will figure out the other days going forward.
2	It is unlikely, however, that even if we do work
3	late that we would work beyond 6:00 on any night.
4	I should explain that, under our law, I am not
5	permitted to have a conversation about the facts of the
6	case, or a possible verdict, or the vote of the jury on any
7	count, with any one juror, or group of jurors, or even all
8	of the jurors.
9	Thus, in any note that you send to me, do not tell
10	me what the vote of the jury is on any count.
11	If a juror wants to speak to me during
12	deliberations, a meeting here in the courtroom with the
13	parties will be arranged.
14	No juror, however, can tell me what is being said
15	about the facts of the case, or a possible verdict, or what
16	the vote of any juror or the jury is on any count.
17	And, while I will, of course, listen to whatever a
18	juror has to say that does not involve those subjects, I may
19	not be able to respond to that juror if the response
20	involves instructions on the law.
21	I may be required to call into court the entire
22	jury and respond by speaking to the entire jury.
23	The reason for that is that our law wants to make
24	sure that each and every juror hears, at exactly the same
25	time, whatever I have to say about the law, and our law

Lisa Kramsky,

wants to make sure that the jury hears those ins	instructions
--	--------------

- 2 from me and not from another juror.
- 3 That concludes my instructions on the law.
- 4 Counsel, please approach, with the court reporter.
- 5 (At Side Bar.)
- 6 *****
- 7 THE COURT: Are there any objections or exceptions?
- 8 MR. STEINGLASS: Just one thing. Just one minor
- 9 thing.
- 10 THE COURT: Are there any exceptions to the charge?
- 11 MR. STEINGLASS: NO.
- MR. BOVE: No, Judge.
- 13 Other than to preserve the ones that we made, to
- 14 the extent that it differs from our requests and what we
- 15 raised in the charge conference.
- MR. STEINGLASS: One thing is that, Judge, I'm
- 17 sorry I didn't notice this earlier, that we asked that when
- 18 the foreperson signs the notes, can we make it clear that he
- 19 does that with his juror number and not his actual name?
- 20 THE COURT: I think I made clear that Juror Number
- 21 1 is the foreperson.
- MR. STEINGLASS: NO, I know.
- 23 THE COURT: You mean to sign it with his juror
- 24 number instead of his name?
- 25 MR. STEINGLASS: To sign it with his juror number,

Lisa Kramsky,

1 instead	of	his	name,	yes.
-----------	----	-----	-------	------

- 2 THE COURT: Of course.
- 3 MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. What do you want to do with
- 5 the alternates?
- 6 MR. BLANCHE: Dismiss them.
- 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
- 8 MR. BOVE: Dismiss them.
- 9 MR. STEINGLASS: If we're going to dismiss the
- 10 alternates, Judge, I would ask for you to consider
- 11 instructing them not to speak publicly about the case until
- the verdict has been rendered.
- I just think the danger of contamination is too
- 14 great.
- And, so, I would ask you to do that and also to
- 16 maybe to remind them, the alternates, that their names are
- 17 not publicly known, and that they should not disclose the
- 18 names if they know them of any of the sitting jurors.
- 19 THE COURT: I'll do that, but what I'm going to do
- for now, I'm going to keep the alternate jurors for now.
- I heard you that you asked for them to be excused,
- 22 but I going to go ahead and keep them for a little while to
- see what happens.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Okay.
- 25 THE COURT: So I'm going to ask the six alternate

Lisa Kramsky,

jurors to step aside, and I am going to excuse the 12 jurors

- 2 and I will give the instruction that you just raised.
- 3 MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you very much.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
- 5 (Side bar concluded.)
- 6 *****
- 7 THE COURT: One clarification.
- 8 I indicated that juror notes should be signed by
- 9 the foreperson. I meant for you to indicate Juror Number
- one, all right, and not your name, but just that it's coming
- 11 from the foreperson.
- Do not sign with your actual name, all right.
- 13 You are going to begin the only active part of your
- 14 jury service, you will begin the process of deliberations.
- 15 Again, you will be given some blank notes. If
- 16 there is anything that you need, if you have any questions,
- just let me know.
- 18 When you send me a note, please be sure to include
- 19 your name as the foreperson, also include the date and the
- 20 time. It's very important for us.
- 21 Actually, I have one more question. Please
- 22 approach.
- 23 (At Side Bar.)
- 24 *****
- 25 THE COURT: How do you want to the handle the

Lisa Kramsky,

1	
1	evidence?

- MS. HOFFINGER: We have a laptop prepared with the
- 3 Prosecution's exhibits as well as the Defense exhibits and
- 4 the two Court exhibits.
- 5 It doesn't have a password on it.
- 6 We can certainly show have one of our paralegals
- 7 show the jury how to access it.
- 8 But it doesn't have a password. It's very easy to
- 9 access.
- 10 MR. STEINGLASS: If you guys want to examine it
- 11 first.
- MR. BOVE: We trust that you put it together
- 13 accurately and completely.
- I think, though, that we would ask that somebody
- that's not a member of the Government show the jurors how to
- 16 use the laptop, assuming there's nothing complicated, no
- 17 fancy features.
- 18 MR. STEINGLASS: Who would you like?
- MR. BOVE: A member of the Court staff.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Oh, I see.
- MS. HOFFINGER: Otherwise —
- 22 THE COURT: I'm not able to involve them. It's not
- our evidence. It's not our laptop.
- 24 MS. HOFFINGER: What we could do, and it has been
- done before, if would you like, one member of the Defense

Lisa Kramsky,

1	t o a m	ann	atand	thoro	with	ono	mombor	οf	+ho	Prosecution
1	LEaiii	Can	Stallu	CIICI C '	WILLI	OTIC	IIIGIIIDGI	O_{T}	CIIC	PIOSECULIOII

- 2 team.
- 3 THE COURT: That's what I have done in the past.
- 4 MS. HOFFINGER: It's literally just opening the
- 5 laptop, it's a sub folder and each is designated.
- 6 THE COURT: All right.
- 7 We will do it that way then, okay.
- 8 MS. HOFFINGER: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 (Side bar concluded.)
- 10 *****
- 11 THE COURT: Jurors, I was just clarifying how we
- were going to handle the evidence.
- 13 And I was told that there is a laptop that contains
- 14 all of the evidence, that everything that was introduced
- into evidence during the course the trial is contained on
- 16 that laptop.
- 17 I need one or two volunteers to be shown how to
- 18 operate that laptop.
- 19 If you can just raise your hand.
- Okay, juror number four and juror number six.
- 21 All right. You will be shown in a minute how to
- 22 operate that.
- 23 I'm going to ask you to step out now to begin your
- deliberations.
- 25 In the meantime, the six alternates, if you could

Lisa Kramsky,

1	just step to the side and allow the other jurors to step
2	out.
3	Thank you.
4	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise.
5	(Jury exits to commence their deliberations at
6	11:30 a.m.)
7	*****
8	THE COURT: Please be seated.
9	(The six alternates remain in the courtroom at this
10	time.)
11	THE COURT: So, you have been with us for a long
12	time.
13	And you have been incredibly diligent and
14	incredibly hard working.
15	I always watch the jurors, and I watch to see
16	who is paying attention and who is not, and I can honestly
17	say that every one of you have been very engaged in this
18	case.
19	I noticed that alternate number three, I think you
20	went through several notebooks during the course of this
21	trial.
22	But we are not going to excuse you just yet.
23	We are not going to let you be done with your jury

Lisa Kramsky,

I'm going to ask you to please remain with us,

24

25

service.

1	because there might be a need for you at some point during
2	deliberations.
3	Even though you are not one of the 12 deliberating
4	jurors, though, all of the admonitions that I have given to
5	you at this point continue to apply to you, including that
6	you may not discuss this case among yourselves or with
7	anyone else, and that you continue to keep an open mind as
8	to the Defendant's guilt or innocence and that you do not
9	form or express an opinion as to the Defendant's guilt or
10	innocence.
11	We are also going to ask you to please hand in your
12	cell phones and any other electronic devices.
13	And we will try to figure out another way to keep
14	you guys entertained.
15	But, at this point, please follow the instructions
16	of the Sergeant, and the Sergeant will show you where you
17	will be sitting for the time being.
18	THE COURT OFFICER: All rise.
19	(Six alternate jurors exit the courtroom at this
20	time.)
21	******
22	THE COURT: Please be seated.
23	Just to clarify, juror number four and juror number
24	six indicated and volunteered to be shown how to work the
25	laptop.

1	Should we bring them out here or, yes, let's bring
2	juror number four and juror number six out to be shown how
3	to work the laptop.
4	And just to be clear, for the record, all of the
5	evidence is there and the jurors can have access to that
6	laptop, and all of the evidence and they can just look
7	through those files; right?
8	MS. HOFFINGER: Yes.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	(Pause in the proceedings.)
11	THE COURT: All right. We are bringing in juror
12	number four and juror number six.
13	THE SERGEANT: Do you want them seated, Judge?
14	THE COURT: No. They can come right over to the
15	table.
16	(Pause in the proceedings.)
17	THE COURT: So, while we wait for jurors number
18	four and number six, 1 just want to go on the record and $\overline{}$
19	oh, they are coming into the courtroom now.
20	They can just come in.
21	That's fine.
22	THE LIEUTENANT: Jurors entering.
23	(Two jurors enter the courtroom at this time.)
24	(Explanation of the laptop operation was done off
25	the record, in the presence of all counsel, at this time.)

Lisa Kramsky,

1	THE COURT: All right.
2	You can take it.
3	(Laptop handed to the juror.)
4	(Two jurors exit the courtroom at this time.)
5	THE COURT: I am just being extra cautious.
6	Mr. Blanche, I just wanted to make sure that you
7	consulted with your attorney — with your client and that he
8	has consented to the jury receiving the entire laptop with
9	all of the exhibits contained in that laptop?
10	MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And you also consulted with the
12	People and you are satisfied that the laptop is otherwise
13	clean of any other information other than everything else
14	that was introduced into evidence in this case.
15	MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.
16	I observed the laptop and the People represented
17	that there is nothing else on it; that appeared to be the
18	case.
19	We looked at three folders: Court exhibits,
20	Defense Exhibits and the People's exhibits, that were
21	included the list that we had previously reviewed and that
22	we provided. And we consent.
23	THE COURT: And let the record reflect that jurors
24	number four and number six did come into the courtroom, and
25	they were instructed on how to operate the laptop by, 1

Lisa Kramsky,

1	believe it was somebody from the DA's office, but,
2	Mr. Blanche, you were standing there while this was
3	happening; is that right?
4	MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor. Correct.
5	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to be in the
6	robing room for a little while, just in case we get a quick
7	note, and then I will probably just go upstairs.
8	I do direct all of you that you please be here; you
9	cannot leave the building.
10	And we need you all to be ready to get here quickly
11	if we receive a note.
12	MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you.
13	MR. BLANCHE: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	(Recess taken while the jury deliberates at
16	11:40 a.m.)
17	*****
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Lisa Kramsky,

25

1	(Whereupon, the case is in recess while the jury
2	deliberates.)
3	********
4	THE SERGEANT: Remain seated.
5	Come to order. Part 59 is in session.
6	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
7	We received a note.
8	MR. STEINGLASS: I'm sorry.
9	Did you ask a question?
10	THE COURT: We received a note.
11	Have you both received a copy of that note?
12	MR. BLANCHE: Yes, Judge.
13	MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: It's been marked as Court Exhibit
15	Number 4.
16	It was signed by the foreperson or marked by the
17	foreperson at 2:56.
18	I'll read it into the record.
19	The note contains four requests:
20	"One. We, the jury, request David Pecker's
21	testimony regarding phone conversation with Donald Trump
22	while Pecker was in the investor meeting.
23	"Two. David Pecker's testimony regarding the
24	decision not to finalize and fund the assignment of
25	McDougal's life rights.

"Three. Pecker's testimony regarding Trump Tower

2	meeting.
3	"And, four. Michael Cohen's testimony regarding
4	the Trump Tower meeting."
5	Did I read that correctly?
6	MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
7	MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: I'm told that we have two sets of
9	pagination for the transcript. One set is the set that we
10	received on a daily basis. The combined set is slightly
11	different in terms of pagination. I think we should all
12	work off the combined set.
13	I am told that the court reporter has a Page
14	Index that's about 700 pages. That can make it easier for
15	you to find it.
16	I will be in the robing room when you're ready.
1 🖪	

- 17 Let me know when you're ready for read-back.
- One more question. When we bring the jurors in,
- ordinarily, I like to sit the alternate jurors in the
- front row. Obviously, that's not possible here.
- 21 Is there any objection to having the alternate
- jurors sitting in the box with the 12 jurors, as they've
- been sitting all along?

1

- MR. STETNGLASS: No objection.
- MR. BLANCHE: We don't have an objection to that.

1	$_{ m THE}$	COURT:	Thank	you.

- 2 The court reporter will hand you her Index so you
- 3 could work off that.
- 4 (Whereupon, a recess is taken while the parties
- 5 look up the requested read-back.)
- 7 THE SERGEANT: Remain seated.
- 8 Come to order. Part 59 is back in session.
- 9 THE COURT: I know you've both been working on
- 10 the jury note and you're getting closer.
- But, we did just receive another note.
- 12 I believe you both received copies of that second
- 13 note.
- MR. STETNGLASS: Yes.
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: This is marked as Court Exhibit
- 17 Number 5. It has been marked by the jury foreperson. It
- was marked at 3:51.
- 19 It says: "We, the jury, request to re—hear the
- 20 judge's instructions."
- 21 In light of that note, my suggestion is that we
- 22 bring the jury out here, back into the courtroom; we tell
- 23 them that we're working on finding the read—back that they
- 24 requested; and 1 clarify when they say they want the
- 25 instructions, whether they want the entire instructions or

1	just	а	portion	of	the	instructions.
---	------	---	---------	----	-----	---------------

- 2 Is there any objection to that?
- 3 MR. STEINGLASS: No objection.
- 4 MR. BLANCHE: No objection.
- 5 THE COURT: For the record, did I read that
- 6 correctly?
- 7 Is that the same note that you have?
- 8 MR . BLANCHE: Yes .
- 9 MR. STEINGLASS: Yes, Judge.
- 10 THE COURT: There was no objection, for purposes
- 11 of what we're doing now, to having the six alternates sit
- in the box with the twelve jurors, as they've been doing
- all along.
- 14 If and when the time comes where there's a
- verdict, if we still have the alternates with us, 1 am
- going to have the alternates sit in the front row.
- 17 Anything else that we I did have a question
- 18 regarding the laptop.
- Does that laptop have Wi-Ei capabilities?
- 20 (People in the audience behind the People nod
- 21 yes.)
- 22 THE COURT: Is there?
- MS. HOFFINGER: Yes.
- 24 THE COURT: Is there in a way to disable that
- Wi—Fi capability?

1 MS. HOFEINGER:	Hopefully, v	we should	be able	to
------------------	--------------	-----------	---------	----

- 2 turn it off.
- 3 THE COURT: Tonight, after we excuse the jurors,
- 4 let's get our hands on the WiEi and turn it off.
- 5 I think they're gathering the jurors right now.
- 6 We can bring them in when they're ready.
- 7 How many pages are we looking at, total, as far
- 8 as that read—back?
- 9 MR. STEINGLASS: We're still going through.
- 10 It looks like maybe 30?
- MR. BLANCHE: That's about right.
- 12 THE COURT: Thirty pages.
- 13 Is it fair to say that's like a 30-minute
- read-back?
- 15 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
- 16 COURT OFFICER: All rise.
- 17 Jury entering.
- 18 (Whereupon, the jurors are present and properly
- 19 seated in the jury box at 3:59 PM, and the alternate
- jurors are seated in the jury box at 3:59 PM.)
- 21 THE CLERK: Do the parties stipulate that all
- jurors are present and properly seated?
- MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1 THE C	COURT:	Good	afternoon,	jurors.
---------	--------	------	------------	---------

- 2 Jurors, we received two notes from you.
- 3 1 would like to read them now into the record and
- just make sure that I understand.
- 5 The first note has been marked as Court Exhibit
- 6 Number 4. It was signed by the jury foreperson at 3:56,
- 7 and it requests the following:
- 8 "One. David Pecker's testimony regarding the
- 9 phone conversation with Donald Trump while Pecker was in
- 10 the investor meeting.
- "Two. Pecker's testimony regarding the decision
- 12 not to finalize and fund the assignment of McDougal's life
- 13 rights.
- 14 "Three. Pecker's testimony regarding Trump Tower
- meeting.
- 16 "Four. Michael Cohen's testimony regarding the
- 17 Trump Tower meeting."
- 18 Mr. Foreperson, did I read that correctly? Is
- 19 that what it says?
- JUROR #1: Yes, it is.
- 21 THE COURT: Then, a few minutes ago, we received
- 22 a second note from you. It's been marked as Court Exhibit
- Number 5, also marked by the jury foreperson, this time at
- 24 3:51.
- This says: "At this time we, the jury, request

1	to re-hear the judge's instructions."
2	Did I read that right?
3	JUROR #1: Yes.
4	THE COURT: In light of the second note we
5	received, we thought it was better to bring you out now.
6	We're still trying to find the testimony you
7	requested. We're close to finding it.
8	Once we find it, the read-back itself will take
9	at least a half hour.
10	Then, when we received the second note, the
11	question became: Do you want to re-hear the entire set of
12	instructions or particular portions of the instructions?
13	You don't have to answer me right now.
14	If you want, you can go back in the jury room,
15	you can clarify, and send me another note.
16	You also don't need to come back out here.
17	We can deal with it on our end and have you back
18	tomorrow morning.
19	Before 1 excuse you for the day, I'm going to
20	remind you of the rules that I've been reminding you about
21	for several weeks now, but the law requires 1 restate
22	those rules at this state of the proceedings with special
23	emphasis.
24	The reason for the emphasis is you're at a
25	crucial stage of the proceedings, in the midst of your

1	jury deliberations.
2	I ask you not to talk either among yourselves or
3	with anyone else about anything related to the case.
4	Do not at any time request, accept, agree to
5	accept, or discuss with any person the receipt or
6	acceptance of any payment or benefit in return for
7	supplying any information concerning the trial.
8	You must promptly report directly to me any
9	incident within your knowledge involving an attempt by any
10	person to improperly influence you or any member of the
11	jury.
12	Do not visit or view the locations where this
13	incident allegedly took place.
14	And do not use the internet, internet Maps,
15	Google Earth, or any other program or device to search for
16	and view any location discussed in the testimony.
17	Do not read view or listen to any accounts or
18	discussions of the case reported by newspapers,
19	television, radio, the internet, or any other news media.
20	Do not attempt to research any fact, issue or law
21	related to the case, whether by discussion with others, by
22	research in the library, or on the internet, or by any
23	other means or source.
24	I want to emphasize that in addition with not
25	talking face-to-face with anyone in the case, you must not

1	talk to anyone about the case by other any other means,
2	including text messages, chat rooms, blogs and social
3	websites.
4	You must not provide any information to the case
5	to anyone by any means whatsoever. That includes posting
6	information about the case or what you were doing on the
7	case on any device or internet sites, including blogs,
8	chat rooms, social websites, or any other means.
9	You also must not Google or search for any
10	information about the case or the law which applies to the
11	case or the people involved in the case, including the
12	defendant, the witnesses, the lawyers or myself.
13	These rules are designed to help guarantee a fair
14	trial.
15	And, our law, accordingly, does set forth serious
16	consequences if the rules are not followed.
17	I trust and understand that you understand and
18	appreciate the import of following these rules, and, in
19	accord with your oath, you will do so.
20	Addressing the six alternate jurors, even though
21	you are not deliberating with the other twelve jurors,
22	these admonitions continue to apply to you, as well.
23	Everything that I've said up to this point and everything
24	I just said now continues to apply for you.
25	We'll get started tomorrow at 9:30.

1	Ω		~~+	+ ~	+ha		70 O O M	a omotime a	~ 1 1	1 2
1	Office	you	get	LO	the	Jury	1 00111	sometimes	атт	\perp \angle

- of you are present you can continue your deliberations.
- 3 We'll be working out here. I promise you, we'll
- 4 be working on trying to find the read-back.
- When we're ready for you, we'll invite you back
- 6 out.
- 7 You can decide tomorrow morning if you would like
- 8 to work late, if necessary. We will not work beyond six
- 9 o'clock. It's entirely up to you.
- 10 You don't have to work late.
- Just let me know at some point tomorrow.
- 12 I believe that's it for now.
- See you tomorrow morning at 9:30.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 Good night.
- 16 (Whereupon, the jurors and the alternate jurors
- are excused for the day at 4:06 PM.)
- 18 THE COURT: Regarding the first note, I ask
- 19 Counsel to please not leave the courtroom until we've
- 20 identified and we've settled on what that read-back is,
- 21 we're all on the same page. Let me know.
- 22 And at that point, we can call it in.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Okay.
- MR. BLANCHE: Can we approach?
- THE COURT: Sure.

1	MR	BLANCHE:	Your	Honor.	as	we	work	through	the

- 2 transcripts, can we excuse our client for this process so
- 3 he can go back?
- We'll, obviously, waive his right to be present
- 5 for this part.
- 6 He's just sitting there, not doing anything.
- 7 MR. STEINGLASS: Hmm. Give me one second.
- 8 Thinking.
- 9 (Whereupon, Mr. Steinglass pauses to think.)
- 10 MR. STEINGLASS: We're not doing anything on the
- 11 record. We're just agreeing or not agreeing.
- The only problem is, if we don't agree and we
- have to get the judge involved.
- MR. BLANCHE: That's true.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Then he'll need to be here.
- MR. BLANCHE: I'm not saying can he leave the
- 17 courthouse.
- 18 I'm saying can he go back and not be inside this
- 19 room.
- THE COURT: You mean across the hall?
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes.
- THE COURT: You can do that on the record.
- 23 MS. HOFFINGER: As it turns out, the laptop does
- not have Wi-Fi capabilities, so we're good.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Is that on the record?

I THE COURT REPORTER: TES		OURT REPORTE	₹: Yes
---------------------------	--	--------------	--------

- THE COURT: We're good.
- 3 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were held
- 4 in open court:)
- 5 THE COURT: Mr. Blanche, what is your
- 6 application?
- 7 MR. BLANCHE: Just that President Trump could
- 8 leave and go back to the area that he's waiting while the
- 9 parties continue to go through the transcript and reach an
- 10 agreement on note number one.
- 11 THE COURT: When you say "go back", you mean
- 12 across the hall?
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: No objection; right?
- MR. STEINGLASS: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: That's fine.
- 17 As long as he's close by so in case there's an
- issue that needs to be resolved, he can come back quickly.
- 19 1 ask when you do come to an agreement as to what
- 20 the pages are, that you write them down and give them to
- 21 Laurie so she can write them down and start working on
- 22 them.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Will do.
- 24 THE COURT: Also, for the record, I'm informed
- 25 the laptop does not have Wi-Fi capability.

1	I'll be in the robing room.
2	Let me know when you're ready.
3	(Whereupon, the case is in recess while the
4	parties search for the requested read-back.)
5	(Whereupon, Mr. Trump is excused from the
6	courtroom.)
7	(Whereupon, the case is in recess.)
8	***********
9	(Whereupon, Counsel confer while the case is in
10	recess.)
11	***********
12	(Whereupon, Mr. Trump enters the courtroom and
13	takes his seat at the defense counsel table.)
14	(Whereupon, counsel confer.)
15	THE SERGEANT: Remain seated.
16	Come to order.
17	THE COURT: Where do we stand?
18	MR. STEINGLASS: I think we've made a lot of
19	progress.
20	1 think there's a couple of outstanding issues
21	that we may need to ask you to get involved about
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	Let's go over — let's start with the first
24	request.

MR. STEINGLASS: Okay.

- 1 THE COURT: David Pecker's testimony regarding
- 2 phone conversation with Donald Trump while David Pecker
- 3 was in the investor meeting.
- 4 MR. STEINGLASS: I think we've agreed on this
- 5 one, Judge.
- This would be Pages 1090, Line 15, through 1091,
- 7 Line 2.
- 8 Page —
- 9 (Whereupon, counsel confer.)
- 10 MR. STEINGLASS: You're right. Line 20. Line 20.
- 11 THE COURT: Page —
- MR. STEINGLASS:: Page 1090, Line 15, through
- 13 through 1091, Line 20.
- 14 Page 1111, Line 21, through Page 1114, Line 16.
- THE COURT: That's it for the first request?
- MR. STEINGLASS: NO.
- 17 There's one more.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay.
- 19 MR. STEINGLASS: Page 1367, Line 11, through Page
- 20 1370, Line 13.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Do you agree?
- MR. BLANCHE: Agree.
- THE COURT: Okay. So we're good on the first
- request.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.

- 1 THE COURT: Let's move on to the second one.
- 2 MR. STEINGLASS: I think we agree on that one,
- 3 too, Judge.
- 4 That's Page 1158, Line 19, to Page 1160, Line 16.
- 5 And Page 1463, Line 8, to 1464, Line 15.
- 6 MR. BLANCHE: Agreed.
- 7 THE COURT: Third request.
- 8 MR. STEINGLASS: This is the tough one.
- 9 THE COURT: Let's skip the third one for now, and
- 10 let's go to the fourth.
- 11 MR. STEINGLASS: Okay.
- 12 The fourth one is Page 3293, Line 23, to Page
- 13 3295, Line 1. And Page 3925, Line 9 through Line 16.
- MR. BLANCHE: Agreed.
- 15 THE COURT: So, the one that is in dispute is the
- third request.
- 17 MR. STEINGLASS: Right.
- 18 THE COURT: David Pecker's testimony regarding
- 19 the Trump Tower meeting.
- 20 MR. STEINGLASS: Right.
- 21 And there's about 10 or 12 different parts of the
- 22 transcript that are responsive, and I think we agree on
- 23 nine of 10 of them.
- I'll try to go in order here.
- This one is confusing, and there's a lot of notes

1	in	here.

- 2 So jump in, Mr. Blanche, if I'm not getting this
- 3 one.
- 4 The first one, we agree, starts on Page 1017,
- 5 Line 13.
- But, we disagree about where it ends.
- 7 The People believe it ends —
- 8 THE COURT REPORTER: Can you give the Judge a
- 9 copy?
- 10 THE COURT: Starts on Page 1017, Line 13.
- MR. STEINGLASS: We both agree about that.
- 12 THE COURT: You disagree?
- MR. STEINGLASS: We disagree about where it ends.
- 14 The Defense believes it ends on Page 1025, Line
- 4. And we believe it ends on Page 1026, Line 20.
- 16 (Whereupon, the Court is given the transcript.)
- 17 THE COURT: Are there any other disputed areas?
- 18 MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
- 19 Would you like me to go through the disputed
- areas or go in order?
- 21 THE COURT: Let's deal with this one first, the
- one that you just brought up.
- Tell me what the issue is there.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Is it okay if we Sit for this
- 25 conversation?

1	THE COURT: Of course.
2	MR. STEINGLASS: So, the issue is: This is a
3	question about what happened at the Trump Tower meeting,
4	David Pecker's testimony.
5	The disputed portion is when David Pecker relays
6	what happened to that — in that meeting to Dylan Howard,
7	which we believe is responsive to the request insofar as
8	he's communicating the substance of that meeting to
9	another person.
10	MR. BLANCHE: Um, your Honor, we disagree.
11	We think that if you start with Line 5, that
12	says: "Did you discuss this meeting with anyone
13	afterwards?", that's not in response to the question.
14	Just so your Honor is aware, both sides agree
15	that we would narrow the responsive portions of the
16	transcripts to the meeting itself and not what happened
17	afterwards; the execution of the meeting and what they did
18	because of the meeting.
19	So, the question begins: "Did you discuss this
20	meeting with anyone afterwards?"
21	We believe that gets into an area that is
22	indirectly responsive.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. STEINGLASS: Mr. Blanche is right.
25	We could agree that we've tried to draw a line

1	between the substance of the meeting and the execution of
2	the topics that were discussed at the meeting, which would
3	basically be all of Mr. Pecker's testimony.
4	But, I think we just disagree about whether this
5	particular part falls into which category.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	If you give me a minute, I'll read the disputed
8	sections.
9	MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you.
10	(Whereupon, a pause is taken in the proceedings
11	while the Court reads the transcript.)
12	THE COURT: I can see why Mr. Blanche has some
13	concerns about most of this.
14	But, there is one question and answer that I
15	think could be added. That is on Page 1026, Line 4:
16	"Question: What did you tell him?"
17	And this goes directly to referencing what took
18	place in the meeting.
19	Actually, it's beginning at Line 1:
20	"Did you tell him why you asked him to keep this
21	arrangement secret?"
22	"Answer: Yes, I did."
23	"Question: What did you tell him?"
24	"Answer: I told him that we were going to try to
25	help the campaign, and to do this I want to keep this as

- 1 quiet as possible."
- 2 MR. BLANCHE: Even on the page before, your
- 3 Honor, if you look at 1025, starting at Line 14, it's not
- 4 Mr. Pecker talking about the meeting. It's him giving
- 5 instruction to Mr. Howard, asking him to notify the West
- 6 Coast Bureau Chief.
- 7 So, it's execution, as opposed to just what
- 8 happened at the meeting.
- 9 THE COURT: Mr. Steinglass.
- 10 MR. STEINGLASS: Actually, I agree that that
- 11 paragraph it's a three-paragraph answer.
- 12 That middle paragraph seems to be beyond the
- 13 response. I just didn't think it was practical to cut out
- the middle paragraph in the middle of an answer.
- We could.
- 16 THE COURT: So, we're in agreement that that
- 17 paragraph can be cut out?
- 18 MR. STEINGLASS: Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: Line 14 to Line 21.
- 20 MR. STEINGLASS: Sure.
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes .
- THE COURT: We're not done.
- MR. BLANCHE: Understood.
- 24 THE COURT: So, we're in agreement on that
- paragraph.

- 1 I think that the question on Page 1026, beginning
- 2 with Line 1, is a little different. It's not just the
- 3 execution. I think it summarizes what the plan was. The
- 4 plan was to help the campaign.
- 5 So, I agree with you that the section ending at
- 6 1025, Line 4, which you're in agreement with, it can end
- 7 there.
- 8 But then, I think that we can jump ahead and pick
- 9 it back up on Page 1026, Lines 1 through 7.
- 10 MR. BLANCHE: Okay.
- 11 So 1026, Lines —
- 12 THE COURT: 1 through 7.
- So, the vast majority of what you're taking
- 14 exception to, I'm agreeing with you, except for those
- seven lines.
- 16 MR. BLANCHE: Understood.
- 17 That addresses our concern.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. Good.
- 19 What's the next one?
- MR. STEINGLASS: Okay.
- MR. STEINGLASS: The next one is Page 1029, Line
- 22 9 through 13.
- 23 Keep going?
- 24 THE COURT: Sure.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Page 1065, Line 16 through 24.

1	Dage	1200	Line	23	through	Dage	1305	Line	5
	rage	14JJ,	птис	40,	CIII Ougii	rage	T 303,	птис	J.

- I think that we get into our next dispute now.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay.
- 4 MR. STEINGLASS: Which is do you agree with
- 5 the parts I just said already, Mr. Blanche? Up until now,
- do you agree with the parts that I said so far?
- 7 MR . BLANCHE: Yes .
- 8 MR. STEINGLASS: Okay.
- 9 So, the one we disagree with is Page 1316, Lines
- 10 7, through 1319, Line 6.
- 11 This is the Defense believes that this is
- 12 responsive.
- We believe, in light of the subsequent colloquy
- 14 that extends for quite some time, that this is both -
- this issue is both confusing and difficult to correct.
- 16 If you remember, there was a colloquy, and it
- 17 resulted in Mr. Bove apologizing to the witness on
- 18 Page 1339, Line 19, through 1340, Line 11.
- But, really, I don't need to repeat anything in
- 20 the colloquy about our Bornholdt Objections to that.
- On the questioning and I think this is so
- 22 tangentially responsive to the question that it's better
- 23 to not delve back into this area.
- MR. BLANCHE: SO, your Honor, there are two
- 25 meetings that are in issue here that Mr. Pecker was

1	crossed about.
2	The first meeting in July of 2018 is what we
3	believe should be included, which is 1316, Line 7, through
4	1319, Line 6.
5	What happened thereafter — and there was some
6	objections, but the questions were allowed, and they were
7	answered by the witness.
8	Thereafter, there was another meeting discussed.
9	There was an objection, a sidebar as it relates to that
10	meeting; and it resulted in the next day Mr. Bove — I
11	wouldn't say "apologizing" — but cleaning it up, moving
12	on.
13	We agree with the People that that doesn't need
14	to be included. It was too confusing.
15	What we're offering is directly responsive, in
16	our view, which is questions about a different meeting
17	that were — that came into evidence but that were
18	responsive to the question.
19	That's the disagreement.
20	We don't want the colloquy or the long confusion,
21	either.
22	THE COURT: So, we're in agreement that that
23	second part should not come in.
24	MR. BLANCHE: Yes. Yes.

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR
Senior Court Reporter

THE COURT: Let's deal with the first part.

25

1	MR.	STEINGLASS:	I'm	not	sure	that	we	are	in

- 2 agreement about that.
- 3 What we're saying is none of it should come in,
- 4 and if the first part is going to come in, the second part
- 5 should come in because it contextualizes what happens in
- 6 the second part.
- 7 THE COURT: Where is the second part?
- 8 The first one is Page 1316, Line 7, through 1319,
- 9 Line 6.
- 10 What is the second part?
- 11 MR. STEINGLASS: The second part is the apology
- on 1339, Line 19, through 1340, Line 11.
- 13 But, I would in order to give context to that
- 14 apology, I would ask your Honor to review the colloquy.
- 15 Even if we don't decide this while we're sitting
- 16 here and need to think about it, I think the colloquy
- 17 explains exactly why we think this is misleading and
- 18 should not come in.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- 20 For the sake of time, I'll take this with me and
- 21 consider it.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you.
- THE COURT: What else?
- MR. STEINGLASS: Next. 1345, Lines 5 through 13.
- THE COURT: I'm sorry.

- 1 1345.
- 2 MR. STEINGLASS: 1345, Lines 5 through 13.
- 3 MR. BLANCHE: Hang on one second.
- 4 (Whereupon, Mr. Blanche and Mr. Steinglass
- 5 confer.)
- 6 MR. BLANCHE: We're ready.
- 7 My mistake.
- 8 MR. STEINGLASS: This is not disputed.
- 9 1345, Lines 5 through 13. 1346, Lines 12 through
- 10 16. And 1347, Lines 2 through 8.
- 11 THE COURT: Those last two are disputed?
- MR. BLANCHE: No. No dispute.
- MR. STEINGLASS: We then have 1357, Lines 7
- 14 through 16. 1359, Lines 7 through 15. 1432, Lines 5
- 15 through 21. 1473, Line 3 through 19. And 1482, Lines 1
- through 16.
- 17 Did 1 get all that right?
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes .
- 19 But, one more disputed.
- 20 MR. STEINGLASS: Right.
- 21 That's 1401; right?
- MR . BLANCHE: Right .
- 23 MR. STEINGLASS: There's one more dispute that we
- have, which is on Page 1401, Lines 10 through 12.
- 25 And we believe that this falls into the execution

1	category,	not	the	substance	of	the	meeting	category.
---	-----------	-----	-----	-----------	----	-----	---------	-----------

- 2 THE COURT: All right.
- 3 So, there's two disputed areas that remain.
- The first one is 1316, Line 7, through 1319,
- 5 Line 6.
- 6 And the People submitted that if that were to
- 7 come in, then the apology should also come in, which is
- 8 1339, Line 19, through 1340, Line 11.
- 9 Although, it's your position that neither one
- 10 should come in.
- 11 MR. STEINGLASS: Right.
- 12 THE COURT: And the second one that's in dispute
- is 1301, Line 10 through Line 12.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Exactly.
- MR. BLANCHE: Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: I'll take this with me to Chambers,
- and I'll let you guys know what 1 think.
- 18 What 1 would ask you, before you leave tonight,
- if you could please write this out neatly, give it to the
- court reporters so we're prepared to go at 9:30 tomorrow.
- MR. STEINGLASS: Will do.
- MR. BLANCHE: Not to belabor the one disagreement
- 23 point, we think that the apology goes to a different
- 24 meeting.
- 25 If the Court, ultimately, disagrees with what we

1	believe,	then we	would	agree	with	the	People	that	neither

- 2 should go in.
- 3 So, we don't want 1367 through 1396 and the
- 4 apology. We don't think the apology applies.
- 5 THE COURT: So, the third request dealt,
- 6 specifically, with the Trump Tower meeting. That's the one
- 7 meeting they're requesting information about.
- 8 MR. BLANCHE: Yes, Judge. That's right.
- 9 THE COURT: How many pages are we talking about,
- in total, roughly?
- 11 MR. STEINGLASS: If you give me one minute.
- 12 THE COURT: Sure.
- 13 (Whereupon, a pause is taken in the proceedings.)
- MR. STEINGLASS: There's a lot of little snippets
- 15 that are not very long.
- I would say it looks like somewhere in the
- 17 vicinity of 35 pages.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay.
- 19 Looking at the one on Page 1401 I didn't write
- down who wants it and who doesn't want it, so as we had
- 21 this discussion, I don't have any idea.
- 22 Reading Line 10: "And you did not consider Stormy
- 23 Daniels' story to be a part of any agreement that you had
- in August 2015; correct?"
- 25 "Answer: That's correct."

1	So, that question and that answer are
2	specifically referring to something that was not a part of
3	the Trump Tower meeting, so why would that be included?
4	MR. STEINGLASS: We don't think it should be.
5	MR. BLANCHE: Well, your Honor, the question asks
6	for any testimony referring — about the Trump Tower
7	meeting. So, that's testimony about the meeting, even if
8	it includes something that didn't happen at the meeting.
9	It's not execution.
10	THE COURT: A lot of things didn't happen at that
11	meeting. A lot of things. We can start looking through the
12	transcript and find all the things that didn't happen at
13	that meeting.
14	According to your logic, that would be responsive
15	to the question.
16	Go ahead.
17	MR. BLANCHE: No. I don't think so.
18	If there's a question about the meeting, about
19	what was said.
20	But, there's a question about what was not said
21	at the meeting as it relates to the evidence in the case.
22	That's still responsive to the question.
23	I understand what the Court is saying. That would
24	mean anything could come in.
25	But, that's not true.

1	The question is directly about what happened at
2	the August meeting, and then a question about what wasn't
3	said.
4	So, what was said, what wasn't said, we would
5	argue that's responsive.
6	THE COURT: Let me read the area before that and
7	the area after that, the surrounding testimony, and I'll
8	have a better sense of it.
9	MR. STEINGLASS: May 1 say one thing about that,
10	Judge?
11	THE COURT: Of course.
12	MR. STEINGLASS: This meeting happened in 2015.
13	There was no Stormy Daniels to discuss in 2015.
14	If we're discussing how the meeting was put into
15	effect with all the three subsequent individuals, none of
16	them were discussed by name at that meeting because they
17	didn't exist yet in terms of the catch—and—kill plan.
18	So, this is why we feel this is about execution,
19	subsequently, over the subsequent months and should fall
20	into the category of execution, rather than content at the
21	meeting.
22	THE COURT: What do you think about that?
23	MR. BLANCHE: I still think the same point
24	applies.
25	It's not — the question is about the evidence

1	that came in at this trial about the meeting. And that,
2	necessarily, in our view, should include something that
3	wasn't discussed at the meeting. That's right.
4	But, their question wasn't about the natural
5	consequence of the question about the meeting.
6	It was just: Tell us everything about the
7	meeting. So
8	THE COURT: I'll think about both of those.
9	MR. STEINGLASS: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: When you finish jotting down the
11	listing of the pages and you give it to Laurie, can you
12	please send Steve and I a copy?
13	MR. STEINGLASS: Sure.
14	MR. BLANCHE: Sure.
15	THE COURT: See you tomorrow morning at 9:30.
16	(Whereupon, the case is adjourned to May 30th,
17	2024 at 9:30 AM.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Laurie Eisenberg, CSR, RPR
Senior Court Reporter

25