

# Real Analysis 1 (MTH5110) HWs

## CONTENTS

1. HW1	1
2. HW2	3
3. HW3	4
4. HW4	6
5. HW5	7

## 1. HW1

I. This problem reviews continuity for functions on the real line.

We say a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *continuous* at a point  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - a| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$ .

(a) Show that  $f(x) = x^2$  is continuous at  $x = 2$ .

(b) Suppose that  $f$  is continuous at  $a$  and  $f(a) \neq 0$ . Show that  $f$  is nonzero in some open interval containing  $a$ .

II. This problem reviews derivatives.

(a) Let  $f(x) = x^n$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Using the definition of the derivative, and the binomial theorem, show that  $f^{(n-1)}$ .

(b) Is the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & x \geq 0, \\ -x^2, & x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

differentiable at  $x = 0$ ?

III. This problem reviews sup and inf.

For any subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we say that  $M$  is an *upper bound* for  $A$  if  $x \leq M$  for all  $x \in A$ . If a set  $A$  has a finite upper bound, we say it is *bounded above*. It is a theorem about the set  $\mathbb{R}$  that *for any set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above, there exists a least (smallest) upper bound for  $A$* . This least upper bound is called the *supremum* of  $A$ , and denoted  $\sup A$ . By definition, the number  $\sup A$  has two properties:

(i)  $x \leq \sup A$  for all  $x \in A$  (i.e.  $\sup A$  is an upper bound for  $M$ ).

(ii) for any  $M$  that is an upper bound for  $A$ , we have  $\sup A \leq M$ .

For sets that are not bounded above, we say  $\sup A = +\infty$ . We often write things like

$$\sup_{x \in A} f(x),$$

to denote the supremum of the set  $\{f(x) : x \in A\}$ , where  $f$  is some function.

Similarly, any set that is bounded below has a *greatest lower bound* called the *infimum*, denoted  $\inf A$ . It satisfies the same properties as  $\sup A$  with the inequalities reversed.

(a) Find  $\sup A$  and  $\inf A$  for  $A = (1, 2]$ ,  $A = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$ , and  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

(b) Find  $\sup_{x \in (0,1)} (1 + x^2)^{-1}$ .

- (c) Assume that  $\sup A < \infty$ , and show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $x > \sup A - \varepsilon$ .
- (d) For any two functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and any set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , show that  $\sup_{x \in A} (f(x) + g(x)) \leq \sup_{x \in A} f(x) + \sup_{x \in A} g(x)$ .

IV. Section 1.1, Exercises 5, 6, 13.

*Exercise 1.1.5.* Let  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  be real numbers. Verify the identity

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right),$$

and conclude the *Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*  
and conclude the *Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right| \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Then use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove the *triangle inequality*

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left( \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

*Exercise 1.1.6.* Show that  $(\mathbf{R}^n, d_{l^2})$  in Example 1.1.6 is indeed a metric space. (Hint: use Exercise 1.1.5.)

**Example 1.1.6** (Euclidean spaces). Let  $n \geq 1$  be a natural number, and let  $\mathbf{R}^n$  be the space of  $n$ -tuples of real numbers:

$$\mathbf{R}^n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

We define the *Euclidean metric* (also called the  $l^2$  metric)  $d_{l^2} : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{l^2}((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) &:= \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_i)^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Exercise 1.1.13.* Prove Proposition 1.1.19.

**Proposition 1.1.19** (Convergence in the discrete metric). *Let  $X$  be any set, and let  $d_{\text{disc}}$  be the discrete metric on  $X$ . Let  $(x^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of points in  $X$ , and let  $x$  be a point in  $X$ . Then  $(x^{(n)})_{n=m}^{\infty}$  converges to  $x$  with respect to the discrete metric  $d_{\text{disc}}$  if and only if there exists an  $N \geq m$  such that  $x^{(n)} = x$  for all  $n \geq N$ .*

V. For this problem only, you do not need to give proofs. Just write the answers.

For each set, identify the boundary, interior, and closure of  $A$ , and say whether  $A$  is open, closed, both, or neither. We are working in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the standard distance. Unless otherwise noted, the ambient space is  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- (a)  $A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 < 1\}$
- (b)  $A = \{(1/n, 2/n) : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  (Note:  $(1/n, 2/n)$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , not an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ .)
- (c)  $A = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 > 0, d(x, 0) \leq 1\}$ , in the relative topology with respect to  $Y = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 > 0\}$

VI. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space.

- (a) For a given point  $x_0 \in X$ , show the singleton set  $\{x_0\}$  is closed.
- (b) Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $r > 0$ . Show that the ball

$$B(x_0, r) = \{x \in X : d(x, x_0) < r\}$$

is open.

## 2. HW2

I. Consider a sequence  $x_n$  of real numbers. The *limit inferior* and *limit superior* of  $x_n$  are defined by

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf_{k \geq n} x_k \right), \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{k \geq n} x_k \right).$$

(a) Show that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sup_{n \geq 0} \left( \inf_{k \geq n} x_k \right)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \inf_{n \geq 0} \left( \sup_{k \geq n} x_k \right).$$

- (b) Show that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  are well-defined for any sequence  $x_n$ . (Unlike  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ .) We allow values of  $\infty$  or  $-\infty$ .
  - (c) Let  $x_n$  be a bounded sequence, and let  $L$  be the set of limit points of  $x_n$ , i.e. the set of all limits of subsequences of  $x_n$ . Show  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \inf L$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sup L$ .
  - (d) Let  $x_n$  be a bounded sequence. Conclude using (c) that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ , with equality if and only if  $x_n$  is convergent.
- II. Prove that for any (possibly uncountable) collection  $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  of closed sets, the intersection  $F = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha$  is closed, in two ways:

- (a) Using the fact that any union of open sets is open, and DeMorgan's Laws from set theory, which state

$$X \setminus \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha \right) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (X \setminus E_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad X \setminus \left( \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha \right) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (X \setminus E_\alpha),$$

for any collection of sets  $(E_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ .

- (b) More directly, using the fact that a set  $G$  is closed if and only if for any convergent sequence  $(x_n)$  with all  $x_n \in G$ , the limit  $x$  is also in  $G$ .

- III. (a) Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in a metric space  $X$ . Show that if a subsequence  $(x_{n_j})$  of  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$ , then the entire sequence also converges to  $x$ .

- (b) Show that the metric space

$$C^1((-1, 1)) = \{f : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is differentiable and } f' \text{ is continuous in } (-1, 1)\}$$

with the metric

$$d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in (-1, 1)} |f(x) - g(x)|,$$

is not complete. (Hint: similar to the proof that the rational numbers are not complete, find a sequence in  $C^1((-1, 1))$  that converges in the  $d$  metric to a function that is not in  $C^1((-1, 1))$ , and show that this sequence is Cauchy.)

- IV. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of the metric space  $X$ . Which one of the following is true?

Prove your conclusion:

$$(A \cup B)^\circ = A^\circ \cup B^\circ, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(A \cup B)^\circ \subset A^\circ \cup B^\circ, \quad \text{"=" fails for some } A \text{ and } B, \tag{2.2}$$

$$(A \cup B)^\circ \supset A^\circ \cup B^\circ, \quad \text{"=" fails for some } A \text{ and } B. \tag{2.3}$$

- V. Let  $C^0([a, b])$  be the space of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$ , with the metric  $d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)|$ .

Show that the map  $I : C^0([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $I(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$  is a continuous mapping from  $C^0([a, b])$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- VI. Prove Proposition 2.3.2 in the text, in two different ways:

- (a) As a consequence of Theorem 2.3.1 in the text.  
 (b) Directly, using the sequential definition of compactness.

**Proposition 2.3.2** (Maximum principle). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space, and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then  $f$  is bounded. Furthermore,  $f$  attains its maximum at some point  $x_{\max} \in X$ , and also attains its minimum at some point  $x_{\min} \in X$ .*

- VII. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = +\infty.$$

Prove that  $f$  attains its minimum.

Recall that by definition, the limit in (??) means that Given  $A > 0$ , there is  $R > 0$  such that

$$f(x) > A \quad \text{for all } x \notin B_R$$

in other words,  $f(x) > A$  whenever  $|x| \geq R$ . Here,  $|x| = d_2(x, 0)$  and  $d_2$  is the standard Euclidean distance defined in Example 1.4.

### 3. HW3

- I. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $a \in \Omega^\circ$ . If  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at  $a$ ,  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $a$  and  $g(a) = 0$ , show that  $fg$  is differentiable at  $a$ . (Note that  $fg$  is the function whose value at  $x \in \Omega$  is  $f(x)g(x)$ .)
- III. Find the total derivatives (i.e. derivative matrices) of the following functions at the given points:

$$(a) f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 x_3^2 \\ \sin(x_1)e^{x_2} \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ at } (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 0, 1).$$

$$(b) f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^2 \\ e^x \end{pmatrix} \text{ at } x = 3.$$

$$(c) f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1^2 + 2x_2x_4 + \sin(x_3x_4) \text{ at } (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (1, 1, 0, 1).$$

#### IV. Section 6.2, problem 2.

*Exercise 6.2.2.* Prove Lemma 6.2.4. (Hint: prove by contradiction. If  $L_1 \neq L_2$ , then there exists a vector  $v$  such that  $L_1v \neq L_2v$ ; this vector must be non-zero (why?). Now apply the definition of derivative, and try to specialize to the case where  $x = x_0 + tv$  for some scalar  $t$ , to obtain a contradiction.)

**Lemma 6.2.4** (Uniqueness of derivatives). *Let  $E$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a function,  $x_0 \in E$  be an interior point of  $E$ , and let  $L_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $L_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be linear transformations. Suppose that  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  with derivative  $L_1$ , and also differentiable at  $x_0$  with derivative  $L_2$ . Then  $L_1 = L_2$ .*

#### V. Section 6.3, problem 3 and problem 4.

*Exercise 6.3.3.* Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by  $f(x, y) := \frac{x^3}{x^2+y^2}$  when  $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ , and  $f(0, 0) := 0$ . Show that  $f$  is not differentiable at  $(0, 0)$ , despite being differentiable in every direction  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$  at  $(0, 0)$ . Explain why this does not contradict Theorem 6.3.8.

*Exercise 6.3.4.* Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a differentiable function such that  $f'(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that  $f$  is constant. (Hint: you may use the mean-value theorem or fundamental theorem of calculus for one-dimensional functions, but bear in mind that there is no direct analogue of these theorems for several-variable functions. I would not advise proceeding via first principles.) For a tougher challenge, replace the domain  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by an open connected subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- VI. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f(tx) = t^\alpha f(x)$  for  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $t > 0$ , we say that  $f$  is homogeneous of order  $\alpha$ . Show that  $f$  is homogeneous of order  $\alpha$  iff  $x \cdot \nabla f(x) = \alpha f(x)$ , that is

$$x^1 \partial_1 f(x) + \cdots + x^m \partial_m f(x) = \alpha f(x).$$

This equation is classically written as

$$x^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^1} + \cdots + x^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^m} = \alpha f(x).$$

*Hint:* As in the development of the theory in the text, a basic idea to study multi-variable functions is to convert them into single-variable functions by restricting the variable  $x$  in a fixed direction. For example, for this problem you may consider the function  $\varphi(t) = f(tx)$ .

VII. (a) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a  $C^1$ -map,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \geq |x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (3.1) \quad \text{e1}$$

then for  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\det f'(a) \neq 0$ .

(b) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be differentiable, and assume  $f(0, 0) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$ , and

$$Df(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $g(x, y) = \langle xy^2, y + 2, 2x - 3y \rangle$ . Find  $D(g \circ f)(0, 0)$ .

VIII. Let  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined on some open subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , and assume the partial derivatives  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$  are bounded in  $E$ . Prove that  $f$  is continuous in  $E$ .

*Hint:* Proceed as in the proof of Theorem 6.3.8 (continuity of partial derivatives implies  $f$  is differentiable) which we discussed in class.

IX. Let  $F(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} x + y \\ x^2 y \\ z + 2x \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) At what points  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  does  $F$  have a local inverse, i.e. a function  $F^{-1}$  defined on an open set  $V$  containing  $F(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ , such that  $F(F^{-1}(x, y, z)) = (x, y, z)$  for all  $(x, y, z) \in V$ ?

(b) What is  $D(F^{-1})(2, 1, 3)$ ? (Hint:  $F(1, 1, 1) = (2, 1, 3)$ .)

X. When does the equation  $x_1^2 + 2x_2^3 x_3 - x_4 + \ln(1 + x_4^2) = 1$  define a function  $x_4 = g(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  implicitly? Find  $\nabla g(1, 0, -1)$ .

## 4. HW4

### I. Section 7.2, problem 2.

*Exercise 7.2.2.* Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $B$  be a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^m$ . Note that the Cartesian product  $\{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$  is then a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+m}$ . Show that  $m_{n+m}^*(A \times B) \leq m_n^*(A)m_m^*(B)$ . (It is in fact true that  $m_{n+m}^*(A \times B) = m_n^*(A)m_m^*(B)$ , but this is substantially harder to prove).

In Exercises 7.2.3-7.2.5, we assume that  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is a Euclidean space, and we have a notion of measurable set in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (which may or may not coincide with the notion of Lebesgue measurable set) and a notion of measure (which may or may not co-incide with Lebesgue measure) which obeys axioms (i)-(xiii).

### II. Section 7.4, problems 1, 4 (only parts (e) and (f)).

*Exercise 7.4.1.* If  $A$  is an open interval in  $\mathbf{R}$ , show that  $m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap (0, \infty)) + m^*(A \setminus (0, \infty))$ .

*Exercise 7.4.4.* Prove Lemma 7.4.4. (Hints: for (c), first prove that

(e) *Every open box, and every closed box, is measurable.*

(f) *Any set  $E$  of outer measure zero (i.e.,  $m^*(E) = 0$ ) is measurable.*

III. Let  $C$  be a parameterized curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In other words,  $C$  is the image of a function  $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that, if  $\phi$  is continuously differentiable on  $[a, b]$ , then  $C$  has outer measure 0.

*Hint:* partition  $[a, b]$  into  $N$  equal subintervals, and use the Mean Value Inequality to show that the image of each subinterval is bounded in terms of  $N$ , i.e. fits inside an open rectangle of side length that can be explicitly bounded in terms of  $N$ . Add up the total 2-dimensional volume of the covering obtained in this way, and show that it can be made arbitrarily small by taking  $N$  large.

*Warning:* If  $\phi$  is only continuous, then the result fails. One can construct a continuous  $\phi$  such that

$$\phi([a, b]) = [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$

V. Suppose  $A_i \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \cdots \supset A_n \supset A_{n+1} \supset \cdots$ .

(a) If  $m(A_1) < \infty$ , show that

$$m\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_n).$$

(b) Show by example that if  $m(A_1) = \infty$ , the above conclusion may be wrong.

VI. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be measurable,  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function. If  $f^2$  is measurable, and the set

$$A = \{x \in \Omega \mid f(x) > 0\}$$

is also measurable. Show that  $f$  is measurable.

## 5. HW5

I. Section 7.4, problem 10.

*Exercise 7.4.10.* Let  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ . Show that if  $B$  is Lebesgue measurable with measure zero, then  $A$  is also Lebesgue measurable with measure zero.

II. Section 7.5, problem 5.

*Exercise 7.5.5.* Let  $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be Lebesgue measurable, and let  $g : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a function which agrees with  $f$  outside of a set of measure zero, thus there exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  of measure zero such that  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus A$ . Show that  $g$  is also Lebesgue measurable. (Hint: use Exercise 7.4.10.)

III. Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be measurable,  $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k$  with  $\Omega_k \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\Omega_k \subset \Omega_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_k} f.$$

*Remark.* If  $f$  is simple, then the result is precisely Lemma 4.27

IV. Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, n]} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n e^{-2x} dx = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-x} dx$ .

V. If  $f \in L(\Omega)$ , then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r} f = 0.$$

*Note.* Recall that  $B_r$  is the  $r$ -ball at the origin. If  $\Omega$  is bounded then eventually  $\Omega \setminus B_r = \emptyset$  (in this case the integral is regarded to be zero) but our  $\Omega$  may be unbounded.

V\*. If  $f \in L(\Omega)$ , show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} km(\{f \geq k\}) = 0.$$

VI. Find an example of a sequence  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  so that strict inequality holds in Fatou's Lemma, i.e.

$$\int_{[0,1]} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} f_n.$$

(Hint: try a sequence where  $\int_{[0,1]} f_n$  is the same positive value for every  $n$ , but  $\liminf f_n \equiv 0$ .)

VII. (a) Let  $f \geq 0$  be integrable on  $[a, b]$ . Prove that the function

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$$

is continuous on  $[a, b]$ . (Hint: for fixed  $x$ , use the Dominated Convergence Theorem to show that  $F(x + 1/n) - F(x) \rightarrow 0$  and  $F(x - 1/n) - F(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then use this to prove continuity of  $F$  at  $x$ .)

(b) Assume  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ , and let  $F$  be defined as in (a). Show that  $F$  is differentiable almost everywhere, and the equality  $F'(x) = f(x)$  is true almost everywhere.

(The same is true for any (Lebesgue) integrable function  $f$ , but this is harder to prove.)

VIII. Find an example of a uniformly bounded sequence of functions  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  so that each  $f_n$  is Riemann integrable, but  $f_n$  converges pointwise to a function that is not Riemann integrable.

(We know this problem can't occur with the Lebesgue integral, because a pointwise limit of measurable functions is measurable.)

IX. Suppose  $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is decreasing and continuous,  $m(E) = m(B_R)$ , where  $E$  is a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the  $R$ -ball at the origin. Show that

$$\int_E \rho(|x|) dx \leq \int_{B_R} \rho(|x|) dx.$$