

# Functional Analysis– Spring 2024

Paul Carmody  
Assignment #4– April 4, 2024

p. 175 #2, 4, 5, 10.

**#2.** Show that if the orthogonal dimension of Hilbert Space  $H$  is finite, it equals the dimension of  $H$  regarded as a vector space; conversely, if the latter is finite, show that so is the former.

Assuming that the Hilbert Dimension of Hilbert space  $H$  is finite,  $\dim H = n$ . Let the orthonormal family  $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \in H$  for some set  $A$ . Further let there exists a countable subset of  $A'$  such  $\langle e_{\alpha_j}, e_{\alpha_k} \rangle = \delta_{jk}$  for all  $j, k \in [1..n]$  and that  $\text{span}\{e_{\alpha_k}\}$  is dense and equal to  $H$ . Further, if  $y \perp \text{span}\{e_{\alpha_k}\}$  then  $y = 0$ . Therefore, given any  $x \in H$ ,  $x = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_{\alpha_k} \rangle e_{\alpha_k}$ . We can see, then, that every  $x \in H$  is a linear combination of  $\{e_{\alpha_k}\}$ . Hence  $\{e_{\alpha_k}\}$  forms a basis. There must be  $n$  elements in  $A'$ , hence the vector space dimension is the same as the Hilbert space dimension.

Assuming that we have a finite dimensional vector space  $X$ . Then there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\} \in X$ . Define an Inner Product on  $X$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Clearly,  $\langle e_j, e_k \rangle = \delta_{jk}$ . Also, given any  $x \in X$  such that  $\langle x, e_k \rangle = 0$  for all  $k \in [1, n]$  we can see that  $x = 0$  as all  $e_k$  are linearly independent from each other. Hence,  $\text{span } e_k$  is dense in  $X$ .  $X$  must be a Hilbert space with dimension  $n$ .

**#4** Derive from (3) the following formula (which is often called the *Parseval relation*).

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_k \langle x, e_k \rangle \overline{\langle y, e_k \rangle}$$

Given an orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  on  $H$  we can define  $x \in H$  as

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k \\ \|x\|^2 &= |\langle x, x \rangle| \\ &= \left| \left\langle \sum_k \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k, \sum_j \langle x, e_j \rangle e_j \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \sum_k \sum_j |\langle \langle x, e_k \rangle e_k, \langle x, e_j \rangle e_j \rangle| \\ &= \sum_k \sum_j \left| \langle x, e_k \rangle \overline{\langle x, e_j \rangle} \langle e_k, e_j \rangle \right| \\ &= \sum_k \sum_j \left| \langle x, e_k \rangle \overline{\langle x, e_j \rangle} \delta_{jk} \right| \\ &= \sum_k \left| \langle x, e_k \rangle \overline{\langle x, e_j \rangle} \right| \end{aligned}$$

replacing the right  $x$  in the Inner Product with  $y$  and we get

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_k \langle x, e_k \rangle \overline{\langle y, e_k \rangle}$$

**#5** Show that an orthonormal family  $(e_\kappa), \kappa \in I$ , in a Hilbert Space  $H$  is total if and only if the relation in Prob. 4 holds for every  $x$  and  $y$  in  $H$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let an orthonormal family  $(e_\kappa), \kappa \in I$ , in a Hilbert Space  $H$  be total. Let  $x, y \in H$  we know that we can rep-

represent them as  $x = \sum_{\kappa} \langle x, e_{\kappa} \rangle e_{\kappa}$  and  $y = \sum_{\iota} \langle y, e_{\iota} \rangle e_{\iota}$  where  $\kappa, \iota \in I$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{\kappa} \langle x, e_{\kappa} \rangle e_{\kappa}, \sum_{\iota} \langle y, e_{\iota} \rangle e_{\iota} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\iota} \langle \langle x, e_{\kappa} \rangle e_{\kappa}, \langle y, e_{\iota} \rangle e_{\iota} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\iota} \langle x, e_{\kappa} \rangle \overline{\langle y, e_{\iota} \rangle} \langle e_{\kappa}, e_{\iota} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\iota} \langle x, e_{\kappa} \rangle \overline{\langle y, e_{\iota} \rangle} \delta_{\kappa\iota} \\ &= \sum_{\kappa} \langle x, e_{\kappa} \rangle \overline{\langle y, e_{\kappa} \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

$x, y$  are arbitrary therefore true for all elements of  $H$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assuming that this is true for all  $x, y \in H$  and we have an orthonormal set  $(e_{\kappa}) \in H$  where  $\kappa \in I$ . We can see that the same steps can be executed in reverse indicating that all  $x \in H$  can be represented as  $x = \sum_{\kappa} \langle x, e_{\kappa} \rangle e_{\kappa}$ .

This indicates that  $\text{span}\{e_{\kappa}\} = H$ . Let  $z \in H$  such that  $z \in (e_{\kappa})^{\perp}$  then  $\langle z, e_{\kappa} \rangle = 0$  for all  $\kappa \in I$ . We know that  $z = \sum_{\kappa} \langle z, e_{\kappa} \rangle e_{\kappa}$ . Therefore  $z = 0$  and  $(e_{\kappa})^{\perp} = \{0\}$ , hence,  $\text{span}\{e_{\kappa}\}$  must be dense.

**#10** Let  $M$  be a subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $v, w \in H$ . Suppose that  $\langle v, x \rangle = \langle w, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in M$  implies  $v = w$ . If this holds for all  $v, w \in H$  show that  $M$  is total in  $H$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{let } z &\in M^{\perp}, \langle z, x \rangle = 0, \forall x \in M \\ \langle v, x \rangle &= \langle w, x \rangle + \langle z, x \rangle \\ &= \langle w + z, x \rangle \\ v &= w + z \\ v - w &= z \end{aligned}$$

$v - w = 0$  thus  $z = 0$ . Since  $z$  was arbitrary  $M^{\perp} = \{0\}$  and therefore  $\text{span}\{M\}$  is dense in  $H$ .

p. 194 #2, 4, 5, 7.

#2 (**Space**  $\ell^2$ ) Show that every bounded linear functional  $f$  on  $\ell^2$  can be represented in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \overline{\zeta_j} \quad [z = (\zeta_j) \in \ell^2].$$

Given  $x = (\xi_n) \in \ell^2$ . Let  $f$  be a bounded linear functional. Then, by the Reisz Representation Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} f : \ell^2 &\rightarrow K & K &= \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \\ f(x) &= \langle x, z \rangle & \text{for some } z &= (\zeta_n) \in \ell^2 \\ &= \langle (\xi_n), (\zeta_m) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k \overline{\zeta_k} \end{aligned}$$

#4 Consider Prob. 3. If the mapping  $X \rightarrow X'$  given by  $z \mapsto f$  is surjective, show that  $X$  must be a Hilbert space.

Given  $z$  then  $f$  is a bounded linear functional on  $X$  with  $\|z\| = \|f\|$ .

$$z \mapsto f(x) = \langle x, z \rangle$$

#5 Show that the dual space of the real space  $\ell^2$  is  $\ell^2$ . (Use 3.8-1.)

Let  $f \in \ell^{2'}$ . Then there exists  $z = (\zeta_n) \in \ell^2$  such that  $f(x) = \langle x, z \rangle$  for all  $x \in \ell^2$ . Hence, for  $x = (\xi_n)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \langle x, z \rangle \\ &= \langle (\xi_n), (\zeta_m) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_k \zeta_k \\ &= \langle (\zeta_m), (\xi_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle z, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

#7 Show that the dual space  $H'$  of a Hilbert space  $H$  is a Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$  defined by

$$\langle f_x, f_v \rangle_1 = \overline{\langle z, v \rangle} = \langle v, z \rangle,$$

where  $f_z(x) = \langle x, z \rangle$ , etc.