

---

Math XXXX – Independent Study: Manifolds– Summer 2025  
w/Professor Berchenko-Kogan

Paul Carmody

*An Introduction to Lie Algebras*– August, 2025

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

**Definition 1.0.1** (Lie Bracket). We define the Lie Bracket,  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  as a bilinear operation

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \rightarrow L$$

with the following properties

$$[x, x] = 0 \tag{L1}$$

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0 \tag{L2}$$

### 1.1 Exercises

1.1 (Pg 2.)

(a) Show that  $[v, 0] = 0 = [0, v]$  for all  $v \in L$ .

$$[v, v] = 0$$

$$[v, v] - [v, 0] = 0 - [v, 0]$$

$$[v - v, v - 0] = [0, v]$$

$$[0, v] = [v, 0]$$

but  $[0, v] = -[v, 0]$  for all  $v$  therefore  $[0, v] = 0$ .

(b) Suppose that  $x, y \in L$  satisfy  $[x, y] \neq 0$ . Show that  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly independent on  $F$ .

Want to show that  $ax + by = 0$  implies that  $a, b = 0$ .

$$\text{Let } ax + by = 0$$

$$by = -ax \implies y = cx, \text{ for some } c$$

$$[x, y] = [x, cx] = c[x, x] = 0$$

but  $[x, y] \neq 0$  therefore  $c = 0$  and  $x, y$  are linearly independent.

1.2 (Pg 2.) Convince yourself that  $\wedge$  is bilinear. Then check that the Jacobi Identity holds. *Hint:* if  $x \cdot y$  denotes the dot product of  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \cdot z)y - (x \cdot y)z, \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

*wedge is bilinear.*

Given  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  we have

$$x \wedge y = (x_2y_3 - x_3y_2, x_3y_1 - x_1y_3, x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)$$

$$(x + (0, b, 0)) \wedge y = ((x_2 + b)y_3 - (x_3 + 0)y_2, (x_3 + 0)y_1 - (x_1 + 0)y_3, (x_1 + 0)y_2 - (x_2 + b)y_1)$$

$$= (x_2y_3 - x_3y_2, x_3y_1 - x_1y_3, x_1y_2 - x_2y_1) + (by_3, 0, -by_1)$$

$$= x \wedge y + (0, b, 0) \wedge y$$

Therefore additive on the left for the middle coordinate. Each argument is independent of coordinate so is true for  $(a, 0, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, c)$  and can be easily seen when used on the write (e.g.,  $x \wedge (y + (0, b, 0))$ ).

### The Jacobi Identity

Want to show

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) + y \wedge (z \wedge x) + z \wedge (y \wedge x) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

from the hint

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \cdot z)y - (x \cdot y)z$$

and from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge (y \wedge z) + y \wedge (z \wedge x) + z \wedge (y \wedge x) &= (x \cdot z)y - (x \cdot y)z \\ &\quad + (y \cdot x)z - (y \cdot z)x \\ &\quad + (z \cdot y)x - (z \cdot x)y \\ &= ((x \cdot z) - (z \cdot x))y \\ &\quad + (-(x \cdot y) + (y \cdot x))z \\ &\quad + (-(y \cdot z) + (z \cdot y))x \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- 1.3 (Pg 2.) Suppose that  $V$  is a finite-dimensional vector space over  $F$ . Write  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  for the set of all linear maps from  $V$  to  $V$ . This is again a vector space over  $F$ , and it becomes a Lie algebra, known as the *general linear algebra*, if we define the Lie bracket  $[-, -]$  by

$$[x, y] := x \circ y - y \circ x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}(V),$$

where  $\circ$  denotes the composition of maps. Check that the Jacobi Identity holds.

Given  $R, S, T \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  there exists matrix  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(F)$  where  $n = \dim V$  and  $Rx = Ax$ ,  $Sx = Bx$ ,  $Tx = Cx$ ,  $\forall x \in V$ . Further remember that  $R \circ S = AB$  (similar for the other two transformations) for all  $x \in v$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [R, [S, T]] + [S, [T, R]] + [T, [R, S]] &= (R \circ (S \circ T - T \circ S) - (S \circ T - T \circ S) \circ R) \\ &\quad + (S \circ (T \circ R - R \circ T) - (T \circ R - R \circ T) \circ S) \\ &\quad + (T \circ (R \circ S - S \circ R) - (R \circ S - S \circ R) \circ T) \\ &= (A(BC - CB) - (BC - CB)A) \\ &\quad + (B(CA - AC) - (CA - AC)B) \\ &\quad + (C(AB - BC) - (AB - BC)C) \end{aligned}$$

by rearranging the terms we can see that they all cancel out. Most notably this is done *without commuting*. It is important to remember that, in general,  $R \circ S \neq S \circ R$ .

- 1.4 Let  $b(n, F)$  be the upper triangular matrices in  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$ . (A matrix  $x$  is said to be upper triangular if  $x_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i > j$ .) This is a Lie algebra with the same Lie bracket as  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$ .

Similarly, let  $n(n, F)$  be the strictly upper triangular matrices in  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$ . (A matrix  $x$  is said to be strictly upper triangular if  $x_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \geq j$ .) Again this is a Lie algebra with the same Lie bracket as  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$ .

Verify these assertions.

Let  $b(n, F) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, F) \mid A = [x_{ij}], i > j \rightarrow x_{ij} = 0\}$ . Define

$$[x, y] := x \circ y - y \circ x, \forall x, y \in b(n, F),$$

The only question that needs to be answered is ... Given  $S, T \in (n, F)$  is  $S \circ T \in b(n, F)$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(F)$  and  $T(x) = Ax, S(x) = Bx, \forall x \in F$ . Then  $(T \circ S)(x) = ABx$ . Is  $AB \in b(n, F)$ .

Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $B = [b_{ij}]$

$$AB = \left[ x_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj} \right]$$

If  $i > j$  then  $x_{ij}$

- 1.5 (Pg 4) Find  $Z(L)$  when  $L = \mathfrak{sl}(2, F)$ . You should find the answer depends on the characteristic of  $F$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, F)$  be the subspace of  $GL(n, F)$  consisting of all matrices whose trace is zero, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, F) = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(F) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = 0 \right\}$ . This is known as *Special Linear Algebra* on square matrices.

**When is  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = 0$  for all  $a_{ii} \in F$ ? OR  $a_{11} + a_{22} = 0$ ?**

Notice, for example, that on the discrete field  $F = \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}5$ ,  $2 + 3 = 0$ . Thus, when  $L = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}p)$  where  $p$  is prime,  $Z(L)$  will have elements where  $a_{11} + a_{22} = p$ .

- 1.6 (Pg 5.) Show that if  $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  is a homomorphism, then the kernel of  $\varphi$ ,  $\ker \varphi$ , is an ideal of  $L_1$ , and the image of  $\varphi$ ,  $\text{im } \varphi$ , is a Lie subalgebra of  $L_2$ .

**Show that the kernel is an ideal.** Let  $h, k \in \ker \varphi$  such that  $h \neq k$ . Then  $\varphi(k) = \varphi(h) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a - b) &= \varphi(a) - \varphi(b) = 0 \\ \therefore a - b &\in \ker \varphi \end{aligned}$$

which makes it a group under addition. Now we need to show that it is closed under multiplication, that is,  $ra \in \ker \varphi$  for all  $r \in L$ . Let  $r \in L$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(ra) &= \varphi(r)\varphi(a) = 0 \\ \therefore ra &\in \ker \varphi \end{aligned}$$

**Show that the image is a subalgebra.** We need to show three things:

**Closed under addition (group condition).**

Let  $u, v \in \text{im } \varphi$  then there exists  $x, y \in L_1$  such that  $\varphi(x) = u, \varphi(y) = v$ .

Then  $\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) = u + v \in \text{im } \varphi$ .

Therefore closed under addition.

**closed under scalar multiplication (ring condition).**

Let  $r, a \in \text{im } \varphi$ . Then there exists  $x, y \in L_1$  such that  $\varphi(x) = r, \varphi(y) = a$ .

Then  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = ra \in \text{im } \varphi$

Therefore closed under scalar multiplication.

**closed under Lie bracket (subalgebra condition).**

Let  $u, v \in \text{im } \varphi$  then there exists  $x, y \in L_1$  such that  $\varphi(x) = u, \varphi(y) = v$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi([x+y, x+y]) &= \varphi([x, x] + [x, y] + [y, x] + [y, y]) \\
 &= \varphi([x, y] + [y, x]) \\
 &= \varphi([x, y]) + \varphi([y, x]) \\
 \varphi([x, y]) &= -\varphi([y, x]) \\
 [\varphi(x+y), \varphi(x+y)] &= [\varphi(x) + \varphi(y), \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)] \\
 &= [u+v, u+v] \\
 &= [u, u] + [u, v] + [v, u] + [v, v] \\
 &= [u, v] + [v, u] \\
 [u, v] &= -[v, u]
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore closed under Lie Bracket.

1.7 (Pg 6.) Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra. Show that the Lie bracket is associative, this is  $[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z]$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ , if and only if for all  $a, b \in L$  the commutator  $[a, b]$  lies in  $Z(L)$ .

1.8 (Pg 6) Let  $D$  and  $E$  be derivations on algebra  $A$ .

(i) Show that  $[D, E] = D \circ E - E \circ D$  is also a derivation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D \circ E)(ab) &= D(aE(b) - E(a)b) \\
 &= D(aE(b)) - D(E(a)b) \\
 &= aD(E(b)) - D(a)E(b) - E(a)D(b) + D(E(a))b \\
 &= aD(E(b)) + D(E(a))b - D(a)E(b) - E(a)D(b)
 \end{aligned}$$

We can switch  $D$  and  $E$  to compute  $E \circ D$

$$(E \circ D)(ab) = aE(D(b)) + E(D(a))b - E(a)D(b) - D(a)E(b)$$

taking the difference

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D \circ E)(ab) - (E \circ D)(ab) &= aD(E(b)) + D(E(a))b - D(a)E(b) - E(a)D(b) \\
 &\quad - (aE(D(b)) + E(D(a))b - E(a)D(b) - D(a)E(b))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [D, E](ab) &= a[D, E](b) - [D, E](a)b \\
 &= a(D \circ E)(b) - ((D \circ E)(a))b - (a(E \circ D)(b) - (E \circ D)(a)b) \\
 [D, E](ab) &= (D \circ E)(ab) - (E \circ D)(ab) \\
 &= D(E(ab)) - E(D(ab)) \\
 &= D(aE(b) - E(a)b) - E(aD(b) - D(a)b) \\
 &= D(aE(b)) - D(E(a)b) - E(aD(b)) + E(D(a)b) \\
 &= aD(E(b)) - E(b)D(a) \\
 &\quad - E(a)D(b) + D(E(a))b \\
 &\quad - aE(D(b)) + E(a)D(b) \\
 &\quad + D(a)E(b) - E(D(a))b \\
 &= a(D(E(b)) - E(D(b)) - (E(b))D(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Show that  $D \circ E$  need not be a derivation. (see example).

1.9 (Pg 7.) Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be Lie algebras. Show that  $L_1$  is isomorphic to  $L_2$  if and only if there is a basis  $B_1$  of  $L_1$  and a basis  $B_2$  of  $L_2$  such that the structure constants of  $L_1$  with respect to  $B_1$  are equal to the structure constants of  $L_2$  with respect to  $B_2$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assuming that  $L_1 \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} L_2$ . Define  $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  to be that isomorphism. Let  $B_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be the basis vectors for  $L_1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f([x_i, x_j]) &= f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k x_k\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k f(x_k) \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

since  $f$  is isomorphic, it is also injective and surjective. Thus, each  $f(x_k)$  is unique. Further, given any  $i, j \in [1, \dots, n]$  we know that  $x_i, x_j$  are linearly independent. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Ax_i + Bx_j \implies A = B = 0 \text{ and} \\ f(0) &= 0 = f(Ax_i + Bx_j) = Af(x_i) + Bf(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

therefore,  $f(x_i), f(x_j)$  are linearly independent and thus, form a basis. From (1.6) we see that it has the same Structure Constants.

1.10 (Pg 7.) Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra with basis  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . What condition does the Jacobi identity impose on the structure constants  $a_{ij}^k$ ?

We have three brackets for the Jacobi Identity that start with

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, x_j] &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k x_k \\ [x_e, x_f] &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k x_k \\ [x_b, x_c] &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{bc}^k x_k \\ [x_i, [x_e, x_f]] &= \left[ x_i, \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k x_k \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k [x_i, x_k] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ik}^l x_l \end{aligned}$$

Since, the  $x_i$  are linearly independent we can examine each element  $l$  independently that is

$$[x_i, [x_e, x_f]]_l = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k a_{ik}^l x_l$$

cycling through the other terms of the Jacobi identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} [x_e, [x_f, x_i]]_l &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{fi}^k a_{ek}^l x_l \\ [x_f, [x_i, x_e]]_l &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ei}^k a_{fk}^l x_l \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobi Identity means that the sum of the coefficients of these terms must be zero that is

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k a_{ik}^l + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{fi}^k a_{ek}^l g + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ei}^k a_{fk}^l$$

1.11 (Pg 8.) Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be two abelian Lie algebras. Show that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are abelian then since  $[x, y] = -[y, x]$  then  $[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in L_1$  or  $L_2$ . Consequently, these are vector spaces that are isomorphic to each other and, hence, have the same dimension.

1.12 Find the structure constants of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, F)$  with respect to the basis given by the matrices

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lie Bracket for  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, F)$  is  $[X, Y] = XY - YX$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [e, f] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= h \\ [f, h] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2f \\ [h, e] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -2e \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ii}^k &= 0, \forall k = 1, 2, 3 \\ [e, f] &= a_{12}^1 e + a_{12}^2 f + a_{12}^3 h = h \rightarrow a_{12}^3 = 1 \\ [f, h] &= a_{23}^1 e + a_{23}^2 f + a_{23}^3 h = 2f \rightarrow a_{23}^2 = 2 \\ [h, e] &= a_{31}^1 e + a_{31}^2 f + a_{31}^3 h = -2e \rightarrow a_{31}^1 = -2 \end{aligned}$$

all else are zero.

1.13 Prove  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  has no non-trivial ideals.

1.14 Let  $L$  be the 3-dimensional *complex* Lie algebra with basis  $(x, y, z)$  and Lie bracket defined by

$$[x, y] = z, [y, z] = x, [z, x] = y$$

(Here  $L$  is the “complexification” of the 3-dimensional real Lie algebra  $\mathbb{R}_\wedge^3$ .)

- (i) Show that  $L$  is isomorphic to the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{C})$  consistent for all  $3 \times 3$  antisymmetric matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $U = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{C}) : A \text{ is an anti-symmetric matrix}\}$ . Thus for any  $A \in U$  there exists  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ -b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which have three linearly independent elements

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ z &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Verify

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= xy - yx \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [y, z] &= yz - zy \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
[z, x] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= y
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Find an explicit isomorphism  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} L$ .

1.15 Let  $S$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in a field  $F$ . Define

$$\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F) = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, F) : x^t S = -Sx\}.$$

(i) Show that  $\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$ .

Additive Group

Let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$ , then

$$(x + y)^t S = x^t S + y^t S = -Sx - Sy = -S(x + y)$$

Multiplicative property.

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$  then  $x^t S = -Sx$  and  $rx^t S = -Sxr$  for all  $r \in F$

Lie Bracket

Let  $x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y] &= xy - yx \\
[x, y]^t S &= (xy - yx)^t S \\
&= (xy)^t S - (yx)^t S \\
&= y^t x^t S - x^t y^t S \\
&= -y^t Sx + x^t Sy \\
&= Syx - Sxy \\
&= S(yx - xy) \\
&= -S[x, y]
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Find  $\mathfrak{gl}_S(2, \mathbb{R})$  if  $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(2, \mathbb{R})$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \\
x^t S &= \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \\
Sx &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
0 &= x^t S + Sx = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & a+d \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \\
x &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Does there exist a matrix  $S$  such that  $\mathfrak{gl}_S(2, \mathbb{R})$  is equal to the set of all diagonal matrices in  $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  be a diagonal matrix.

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Let } S = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^t S + S A = A S + S A \rightarrow A S = -S A$$

$$a u = -u a \text{ and } b z = -z b$$

No, no such  $S$  exists.

- (iv) Find a matrix  $S$  such that  $\mathfrak{gl}_S(3, \mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra  $\mathbb{R}_\wedge^3$  defined in §1.2, Example 1.

*Hint:* Part (i) of Exercise 1.14 is relevant.

Let  $x, y, z$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We want to find  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\wedge^3$ .

Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\phi : \mathfrak{gl}_S(3, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\wedge^3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([X, Y]) &= [\phi(X), \phi(Y)] = \phi(X) \wedge \phi(Y) \\ \phi(XY - YX) &= \phi(X) \wedge \phi(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (XY)^t S &= Y^t X^t S = -Y^t S X = S Y X \\ \text{and } [X, Y]^t S &= (XY - YX)^t S \\ &= (XY)^t S - (YX)^t S \\ &= S Y X - S X Y \\ &= S(YX - XY) \\ &= -S[X, Y] \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(X^t S) = \phi(-S X) = -\phi(S) \phi(X)$$

1.16 Show, by giving an example, that if  $F$  is a field of characteristic 2, there are algebras over  $F$  which satisfy (L1') and (L2) but are not Lie algebras.

1.17 Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex vector space and let  $L = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Suppose that  $x \in L$  is diagonalisable, with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Show that  $\text{ad } x \in \mathfrak{gl}(L)$  is also diagonalisable and that its eigenvalues are  $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

1.18 Let  $L$  be a Lie algebra. We saw in §1.6, Example 1.2(2) that the maps  $\text{ad } x : L \rightarrow L$  for  $x \in L$  are derivations of  $L$ ; these are known as *inner derivations*. Show that if  $\text{IDER } L$  is the set of inner derivations of  $L$ , then  $\text{IDER } L$  is an ideal of  $\text{DER } L$ .

1.19 Let  $A$  be an algebra and let  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  be a derivation. Prove that  $\delta$  satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\delta^n(xy) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

This resembles the binomial theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n-r}$$

Consider an inductive proof and consider  $\delta^0(x) = x$

**Show true for  $n = 1$ .**

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(xy) &= \binom{1}{0} \delta^0(x) \delta(y) + \binom{1}{1} \delta(x) \delta^0(y) \\ &= x \delta(y) + \delta(x) y\end{aligned}$$

which is the Liebniz rule.

**Show true for  $n + 1$ .** Now, assuming that this is true for some number  $n$ , we must show that it is also true for  $n + 1$ . Thus, starting with  $n$  we'll calculate  $\delta(\delta^n(xy)) = \delta^{n+1}(xy)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^n(xy) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y), \forall x, y \in A. \\ \delta(\delta^n(xy)) &= \delta \left( \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta(\delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y))\end{aligned}\tag{*}$$

Let us focus on the term in the summation

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y)) &= \delta^r(x) \delta(\delta^{n-r}(y)) + \delta(\delta^r(x)) \delta^{n-r}(y) \\ &= \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y) + \delta^{r+1}(x) \delta^{n-r}(y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta(\delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y)) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (\delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y) + \delta^{r+1}(x) \delta^{n-r}(y)) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \left( \binom{n}{r} + \binom{n}{r-1} \right) \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y)\end{aligned}$$

when  $r = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}r = 0 &\rightarrow x \delta^{n+1}(y) + \delta(x) \delta^n(y) \\ r = n &\rightarrow \delta^n(x) \delta(y) + \delta^{n+1}(x) y\end{aligned}$$

From combinatorics we have the identity

$$\binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{n+1}(xy) &= x \delta^{n+1}(y) + \delta(x) \delta^n(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n+1}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y) \\ &\quad + \delta^n(x) \delta(y) + \delta^{n+1}(x) y \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Mathematical Induction, our assertion is true

□

# Chapter 2

## Ideals and Homomorphisms

### Operations that work on Ideals

Addition:  $I + J = \{x + y : x \in I, y \in J\}$  is an ideal.

Lie Bracket:  $[I, J] = \text{span}\{[x, y] \mid x \in I, y \in J\}$  is an ideal.

Quotient:  $L/I = \{z + I : z \in L\}$  is a quotient algebra.

#### Notes:

Correspondence:  $L \supset J \supset I$ , where  $I, J$  are ideals of  $L$ . Then,  $J/I$  is an ideal of  $L/I$ .

Also, if  $K$  is an ideal of  $L/I$  and  $J = \{z \in L : z + I \in K\}$  (i.e.,  $J$  is the set of cosets of  $K$  in  $I$ ) then  $J$  is an ideal of  $L$  and  $J \supset I$ .

### 2.1 Exercises

2.1 (Pg. 11) Show that  $I + J$  is an ideal of  $L$  where

$$I + J = \{x + y : x \in I, y \in J\}.$$

Let  $z \in L$  and  $x, y \in I + J$  then there exists  $x_I, y_I \in I$  and  $x_J, y_J \in J$  such that  $x = x_I + x_J$  and  $y = y_I + y_J$  then from (L2) we have

$$\underbrace{[[y, x], z]}_{\in I+J} = \underbrace{[x, [y, z]]}_{\in I} + \underbrace{[y, [z, x]]}_{\in J} \in I + J$$

2.2 (Pg. 12) Show that  $\text{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})' = \text{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $L = \text{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  and  $X \in [L, L]$ . Then, there exist  $A, B \in L$  such that  $[A, B] = X$  thus

$$X = [A, B] = AB - BA$$

$AB \in L$  and  $BA \in L$  therefore  $X \in L$ .

2.3 (Pg. 13)

(i) Show that the Lie Bracket defined in  $L/I$  is bilinear and satisfies the axioms (L1) and (L2).

Define the Lie Bracket of two cosets as

$$[w + I, z + I] = [w, z] + I, \forall w, z \in L$$

where the bracket on the right side is the Lie Bracket defined for  $L$ . Thus, let  $a, b \in L$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned}[a + w + I, b + z + I] &= [a + w, b + z] + I \\ &= [a, b] + [a, z] + [w, b] + [w, z] + I\end{aligned}$$

the four Lie Brackets add up to a single element in  $L$  and is therefore true. Thus, this Lie Bracket is bilinear.

- (ii) Show that the linear transformation  $\pi : L \rightarrow L/I$  which takes an element  $z \in L$  to its coset  $z + I$  is a homomorphism of a Lie Algebras.

Need to show that

$$\pi([x, y]) = [\pi(x), \pi(y)]$$

I prefer to call elements of  $L/I$  equivalence classes. That is  $L/I$  is partitioned into equivalence classes (cosets) and its elements are these subsets. The proper notation for such an element would be  $[x] \in L/I$  where  $x$  is a representative element of the equivalence class containing  $x$ . Thus  $\pi(x) = [x] = \{x + I\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(x) &= [x] = \{x + I\} \\ [\pi(x), \pi(y)] &= [[x], [y]] \\ &= [\{x + I\}, \{y + I\}] \\ &= [x, y] + I \\ &= [[x, y]]\end{aligned}$$

or the equivalence class of the Lie Bracket of the left hand side.

- 2.4 (Pg. 14) Show that if  $L$  is a Lie Algebra then  $L/Z(L)$  is isomorphic to a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(L)$ .

$Z(L) = \{x \in L : [x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } y \in L\}$ . Therefore,  $[x] \in L/Z(L) = \{y \in L : y = x + z, z \in Z(L)\}$ .  $Z(L)$  is an ideal. Thus,  $[x] = x + Z(L)$ . Let  $\varphi : L/Z(L) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$  be a homomorphism. Then  $x, y \in Z(L)$  implies that  $\varphi([x, y]) = \ker \varphi$ . From the first isomorphism theorem,  $L/\ker \varphi = L/Z(L) \cong \text{Im } \varphi$ .

- 2.5 Show that if  $z \in L'$  then  $\text{tr ad } z = 0$ .

The thing to remember is that every  $z \in L'$  is a linear combination of Lie Brackets. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}z &= \sum_k [x_k, y_k] \\ \text{tr ad } z &= \sum_k \text{tr ad}([x_k, y_k]) \\ \text{or each } \text{tr ad}([x_k, y_k]) &= 0, \forall k\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ad}([x_k, y_k]) &= \text{ad } x_k \circ \text{ad } y_k - \text{ad } y_k \circ \text{ad } x_k = 0 \\ \therefore \text{tr ad } z &= 0\end{aligned}$$

- 2.6 Suppose  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are Lie algebras. let  $L := \{(x_1, x_2) : x_i \in L_i\}$  be the direct sum of their underlying vector spaces, e.g.,  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ . Show that if we define

$$[(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)] := ([x_1, y_1], [x_2, y_2])$$

then  $L$  becomes a Lie algebra, the *direct sum* of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ ,  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ .

- (i) Prove that  $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , the 1-dimensional complex abelian Lie algebra.

Let  $\varphi : \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$  be a surjective transformation. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C}) &= \dim \ker \varphi + \dim \text{range } \varphi \\ \dim \ker \varphi &= \dim \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C}) - \dim(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}) \\ &= n^2 - n^2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

The dimension of the kernel of  $\varphi$  is 0 therefore  $\varphi$  is a bijection implying an isomorphism.

- (ii) Show that if  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$  then  $Z(L) = Z(L_1) \oplus Z(L_2)$  and  $L' = L'_1 \oplus L'_2$ . Formulate a general version for a direct sum  $L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_k$ .

**1: Show**  $Z(L) = Z(L_1) \oplus Z(L_2)$ .

For any  $u \in L$  there exists  $u_1 \in L_1$  and  $u_2 \in L_2$  such that  $u = (u_1, u_2)$ . If  $z \in Z(L)$  then  $[z, u] = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}[z, u] &= [(z_1, z_2), (u_1, u_2)] \\ &= ([z_1, u_1], [z_2, u_2]) \\ \therefore [z_1, u_1] &= 0 \text{ and } [z_2, u_2] = 0\end{aligned}$$

for any  $u$ . Thus,  $z_1 \in Z(L_1)$  and  $z_2 \in Z(L_2)$ .

**2: Show**  $L' = L'_1 \oplus L'_2$ .

Let  $z \in L$  then there exists a linear combination of commutators  $[x_k, y_k]$  equal to zero

$$z = \sum_k [x_k, y_k]$$

There exist  $a_k, b_k \in L_1$  and  $c_k, d_k \in L_2$  such that  $x_k = (a_k, c_k)$  and  $y_k = (b_k, d_k)$ . then

$$\begin{aligned}z &= \sum_k [(a_k, c_k), (b_k, d_k)] \\ &= \sum_k ([a_k, b_k], [c_k, d_k]) \\ &= \left( \sum_k [a_k, b_k], \sum_k [c_k, d_k] \right) \\ &\in L_1 \oplus L_2\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$L = \bigoplus_k L_k \implies Z(L) = \bigoplus_k Z(L_k) \text{ and } L' = \bigoplus_k L'_k$$

- (iii) Are the summands in the direct sum decomposition of a Lie Algebra uniquely determined?  
*Hint:* If you think that the answer is yes, now might be a good time to read §16.4 in Appendix A on the “diagonal fallacy”. The next question looks at this point in more detail.

2.7 Suppose  $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$  is the direct sum of two Lie algebras.

- (i) Show that  $\{(x_1, 0) : x_1 \in L_1\}$  is an ideal of  $L$  isomorphic to  $L_1$  and that  $\{(0, x_2) : x_2 \in L_2\}$  is an ideal of  $L$  isomorphic to  $L_2$ . Show that the projections  $p_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$  and  $p_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2$  are Lie algebra homomorphisms.

**Show the  $L_1$  isomorphism.**

Let  $u = (u_1, u_2) \in L$ . Then  $N_1 = \{(x_1, 0) : x_1 \in L_1\}$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in N_1$  then  $[u, x] = [(u_1, u_2), (x_1, 0)] = ([u_1, x_1], [u_2, 0]) = ([u_1, x_1], 0) \in N_1$  and therefore an ideal. Also, allow

$\varphi : N_1 \rightarrow L_1$ . Let  $a, b \in \ker \varphi$ . Then  $\varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) = (0, 0)$  implies that  $a_1 = b_1$  or  $a = b$ . Thus,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

**A similar argument for the  $L_2$  isomorphism.**

**Projections:**

Given any  $x, y \in L$

$$\begin{aligned} p_1([x, y]) &= p_1([x_1, y_1], [x_2, y_2]) \\ &= [x_1, y_1] \end{aligned}$$

thus  $p_1([x, y]) \in L_1$ . A similar argument for  $L_2$ .

Now suppose that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  do not have any non-trivial proper ideals.

- (ii) Let  $J$  be a proper ideal of  $L$ . Show that  $J \cap L_1 = 0$  and  $J \cap L_2 = 0$ , then the projection  $p_1 : J \rightarrow L_1$  and  $p_2 : J \rightarrow L_2$  are isomorphisms.
- (iii) Deduce that if  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are not isomorphic as Lie algebras, then  $L_1 \oplus L_2$  has only two non-trivial proper ideals.
- (iv) Assume that the ground field is infinite. Show that if  $L_1 \cong L_2$  and  $L_1$  is 1-dimensional, then  $L_1 \oplus L_2$  has infinitely many different ideals.

2.8 Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be Lie algebras, and let  $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  be a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism. True or False:

- (a)  $\varphi(L'_1) = L'_2$ ;
- (b)  $\varphi(Z(L_1)) = Z(L_2)$ ;
- (c)  $h \in L_2$  and  $\text{ad } h$  is diagonalisable then  $\text{ad } \varphi(h)$  is diagonalisable.

2.9 For each pair of the following Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{R}$ , decide whether or not they are isomorphic:

- (i) the Lie algebra  $R_\wedge^3$  where the Lie bracket is given by the vector product;
- (ii) the upper triangular  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iii) the strict upper triangular  $3 \times 3$  matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iv)  $L = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R}) : x^t = -x\}$ .

*Hint:* Use Exercises 1.15 and 2.8.

2.10 Let  $F$  be a field. Show that the derived algebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$  is  $\mathfrak{sl}(n, F)$

2.11 In Exercise 1.15, we defined the Lie Algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$  over a field  $F$  where  $S$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in  $F$ .

Suppose that  $T \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$  is another  $n \times n$  matrix such that  $T = P^t S P$  for some invertible  $n \times n$  matrix  $P \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$  (Equivalently, the bilinear forms defined by  $S$  and  $T$  are congruent.) Show that the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}_T(n, F)$  are isomorphic.

2.12 Let  $S$  be an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Show that if  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $\text{tr } x = 0$

2.13 Let  $I$  be an ideal of a Lie Algebra  $L$ . Let  $B$  be the centraliser of  $I$  in  $L$ ; that is

$$B = C_L(I) = \{x \in L : [x, a] = 0, \forall a \in I\}$$

Show that  $B$  is an ideal of  $L$ . Now suppose that

- (a)  $Z(I) = 0$ , and
- (b) if  $D : I \rightarrow I$  is a derivation, then  $D = \text{ad } x$  for some  $x \in I$ .

Show that  $L = I \oplus B$ .

- (c) Recall that if  $L$  is Lie algebra, we defined  $L'$  to be the subspace spanned by the commutators  $[x, y]$  for  $x, y \in L$ . The purpose of this exercise, which may safely be skipped on first reading, is to show that the *set* of commutators may not even be a vector space (and so certainly not an ideal of  $L$ ).

Let  $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$  denote the ring of all real polynomials in two variables. Let  $L$  be the set of all matrices of the form

$$A((f(x), g(y), h(x, y))) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f(x) & h(x, y) \\ 0 & 0 & g(y) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (i) Prove  $L$  is a Lie algebra with usual commutator bracket. (In contrast to all the Lie algebras seen so far,  $L$  is infinite-dimensional.)
- (ii) Prove that

$$[A((f_1(x), g_1(y), h_1(x, y))), A((f_2(x), g_2(y), h_2(x, y)))] = A(0, 0, f_1(x)g_2(x) - f_2(x)g_1(y)).$$

Hence describe  $L'$ .

- (iii) Show that if  $h(x, y) = s^2 + xy + y^2$ , then  $A(0, m0, h(x, y))$  is not a commutator.