
Math XXXX – Independent Study: Manifolds– Summer 2025
w/Professor Berchenko-Kogan

Paul Carmody

An Introduction to Lie Algebras– August, 2025

Chapter 1

Introduction

Definition 1.0.1 (Lie Bracket). We define the Lie Bracket, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ as a bilinear operation

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \rightarrow L$$

with the following properties

$$[x, x] = 0 \tag{L1}$$

$$[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0 \tag{L2}$$

1.1 Exercises

1.1 (Pg 2.)

(a) Show that $[v, 0] = 0 = [0, v]$ for all $v \in L$.

$$[v, v] = 0$$

$$[v, v] - [v, 0] = 0 - [v, 0]$$

$$[v - v, v - 0] = [0, v]$$

$$[0, v] = [v, 0]$$

but $[0, v] = -[v, 0]$ for all v therefore $[0, v] = 0$.

(b) Suppose that $x, y \in L$ satisfy $[x, y] \neq 0$. Show that x and y are linearly independent on F .

Want to show that $ax + by = 0$ implies that $a, b = 0$.

$$\text{Let } ax + by = 0$$

$$by = -ax \implies y = cx, \text{ for some } c$$

$$[x, y] = [x, cx] = c[x, x] = 0$$

but $[x, y] \neq 0$ therefore $c = 0$ and x, y are linearly independent.

1.2 (Pg 2.) Convince yourself that \wedge is bilinear. Then check that the Jacobi Identity holds. *Hint:* if $x \cdot y$ denotes the dot product of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \cdot z)y - (x \cdot y)z, \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

wedge is bilinear.

Given $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ we have

$$x \wedge y = (x_2y_3 - x_3y_2, x_3y_1 - x_1y_3, x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)$$

$$(x + (0, b, 0)) \wedge y = ((x_2 + b)y_3 - (x_3 + 0)y_2, (x_3 + 0)y_1 - (x_1 + 0)y_3, (x_1 + 0)y_2 - (x_2 + b)y_1)$$

$$= (x_2y_3 - x_3y_2, x_3y_1 - x_1y_3, x_1y_2 - x_2y_1) + (by_3, 0, -by_1)$$

$$= x \wedge y + (0, b, 0) \wedge y$$

Therefore additive on the left for the middle coordinate. Each argument is independent of coordinate so is true for $(a, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, c)$ and can be easily seen when used on the write (e.g., $x \wedge (y + (0, b, 0))$).

The Jacobi Identity

Want to show

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) + y \wedge (z \wedge x) + z \wedge (y \wedge x) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

from the hint

$$x \wedge (y \wedge z) = (x \cdot z)y - (x \cdot y)z$$

and from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge (y \wedge z) + y \wedge (z \wedge x) + z \wedge (y \wedge x) &= (x \cdot z)y - (x \cdot y)z \\ &\quad + (y \cdot x)z - (y \cdot z)x \\ &\quad + (z \cdot y)x - (z \cdot x)y \\ &= ((x \cdot z) - (z \cdot x))y \\ &\quad + (-(x \cdot y) + (y \cdot x))z \\ &\quad + (-(y \cdot z) + (z \cdot y))x \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- 1.3 (Pg 2.) Suppose that V is a finite-dimensional vector space over F . Write $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ for the set of all linear maps from V to V . This is again a vector space over F , and it becomes a Lie algebra, known as the *general linear algebra*, if we define the Lie bracket $[-, -]$ by

$$[x, y] := x \circ y - y \circ x, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}(V),$$

where \circ denotes the composition of maps. Check that the Jacobi Identity holds.

Given $R, S, T \in \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ there exists matrix $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(F)$ where $n = \dim V$ and $Rx = Ax$, $Sx = Bx$, $Tx = Cx$, $\forall x \in V$. Further remember that $R \circ S = AB$ (similar for the other two transformations) for all $x \in v$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [R, [S, T]] + [S, [T, R]] + [T, [R, S]] &= (R \circ (S \circ T - T \circ S) - (S \circ T - T \circ S) \circ R) \\ &\quad + (S \circ (T \circ R - R \circ T) - (T \circ R - R \circ T) \circ S) \\ &\quad + (T \circ (R \circ S - S \circ R) - (R \circ S - S \circ R) \circ T) \\ &= (A(BC - CB) - (BC - CB)A) \\ &\quad + (B(CA - AC) - (CA - AC)B) \\ &\quad + (C(AB - BC) - (AB - BC)C) \end{aligned}$$

by rearranging the terms we can see that they all cancel out. Most notably this is done *without commuting*. It is important to remember that, in general, $R \circ S \neq S \circ R$.

- 1.4 Let $b(n, F)$ be the upper triangular matrices in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$. (A matrix x is said to be upper triangular if $x_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i > j$.) This is a Lie algebra with the same Lie bracket as $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$.

Similarly, let $n(n, F)$ be the strictly upper triangular matrices in $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$. (A matrix x is said to be strictly upper triangular if $x_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \geq j$.) Again this is a Lie algebra with the same Lie bracket as $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$.

Verify these assertions.

Let $b(n, F) = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, F) \mid A = [x_{ij}], i > j \rightarrow x_{ij} = 0\}$. Define

$$[x, y] := x \circ y - y \circ x, \forall x, y \in b(n, F),$$

The only question that needs to be answered is ... Given $S, T \in b(n, F)$ is $S \circ T \in b(n, F)$. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(F)$ and $T(x) = Ax, S(x) = Bx, \forall x \in F$. Then $(T \circ S)(x) = ABx$. Is $AB \in b(n, F)$.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$

$$AB = \left[x_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj} \right]$$

If $i > j$ then x_{ij}

- 1.5 (Pg 4) Find $Z(L)$ when $L = \mathfrak{sl}(2, F)$. You should find the answer depends on the characteristic of F .

Let $\mathfrak{sl}(n, F)$ be the subspace of $GL(n, F)$ consisting of all matrices whose trace is zero, i.e., $\mathfrak{sl}(n, F) = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(F) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = 0 \right\}$. This is known as *Special Linear Algebra* on square matrices.

When is $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = 0$ for all $a_{ii} \in F$? OR $a_{11} + a_{22} = 0$?

Notice, for example, that on the discrete field $F = \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}5$, $2 + 3 = 0$. Thus, when $L = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}p)$ where p is prime, $Z(L)$ will have elements where $a_{11} + a_{22} = p$.

- 1.6 (Pg 5.) Show that if $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is a homomorphism, then the kernel of φ , $\ker \varphi$, is an ideal of L_1 , and the image of φ , $\text{im } \varphi$, is a Lie subalgebra of L_2 .

Show that the kernel is an ideal. Let $h, k \in \ker \varphi$ such that $h \neq k$. Then $\varphi(k) = \varphi(h) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a - b) &= \varphi(a) - \varphi(b) = 0 \\ \therefore a - b &\in \ker \varphi \end{aligned}$$

which makes it a group under addition. Now we need to show that it is closed under multiplication, that is, $ra \in \ker \varphi$ for all $r \in L$. Let $r \in L$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(ra) &= \varphi(r)\varphi(a) = 0 \\ \therefore ra &\in \ker \varphi \end{aligned}$$

Show that the image is a subalgebra. We need to show three things:

Closed under addition (group condition).

Let $u, v \in \text{im } \varphi$ then there exists $x, y \in L_1$ such that $\varphi(x) = u, \varphi(y) = v$.

Then $\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) = u + v \in \text{im } \varphi$.

Therefore closed under addition.

closed under scalar multiplication (ring condition).

Let $r, a \in \text{im } \varphi$. Then there exists $x, y \in L_1$ such that $\varphi(x) = r, \varphi(y) = a$.

Then $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = ra \in \text{im } \varphi$

Therefore closed under scalar multiplication.

closed under Lie bracket (subalgebra condition).

Let $u, v \in \text{im } \varphi$ then there exists $x, y \in L_1$ such that $\varphi(x) = u, \varphi(y) = v$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi([x+y, x+y]) &= \varphi([x, x] + [x, y] + [y, x] + [y, y]) \\
 &= \varphi([x, y] + [y, x]) \\
 &= \varphi([x, y]) + \varphi([y, x]) \\
 \varphi([x, y]) &= -\varphi([y, x]) \\
 [\varphi(x+y), \varphi(x+y)] &= [\varphi(x) + \varphi(y), \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)] \\
 &= [u+v, u+v] \\
 &= [u, u] + [u, v] + [v, u] + [v, v] \\
 &= [u, v] + [v, u] \\
 [u, v] &= -[v, u]
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore closed under Lie Bracket.

1.7 (Pg 6.) Let L be a Lie algebra. Show that the Lie bracket is associative, this is $[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z]$ for all $x, y, z \in L$, if and only if for all $a, b \in L$ the commutator $[a, b]$ lies in $Z(L)$.

1.8 (Pg 6) Let D and E be derivations on algebra A .

(i) Show that $[D, E] = D \circ E - E \circ D$ is also a derivation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D \circ E)(ab) &= D(aE(b) - E(a)b) \\
 &= D(aE(b)) - D(E(a)b) \\
 &= aD(E(b)) - D(a)E(b) - E(a)D(b) + D(E(a))b \\
 &= aD(E(b)) + D(E(a))b - D(a)E(b) - E(a)D(b)
 \end{aligned}$$

We can switch D and E to compute $E \circ D$

$$(E \circ D)(ab) = aE(D(b)) + E(D(a))b - E(a)D(b) - D(a)E(b)$$

taking the difference

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D \circ E)(ab) - (E \circ D)(ab) &= aD(E(b)) + D(E(a))b - D(a)E(b) - E(a)D(b) \\
 &\quad - (aE(D(b)) + E(D(a))b - E(a)D(b) - D(a)E(b))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [D, E](ab) &= a[D, E](b) - [D, E](a)b \\
 &= a(D \circ E)(b) - ((D \circ E)(a))b - (a(E \circ D)(b) - (E \circ D)(a)b) \\
 [D, E](ab) &= (D \circ E)(ab) - (E \circ D)(ab) \\
 &= D(E(ab)) - E(D(ab)) \\
 &= D(aE(b) - E(a)b) - E(aD(b) - D(a)b) \\
 &= D(aE(b)) - D(E(a)b) - E(aD(b)) + E(D(a)b) \\
 &= aD(E(b)) - E(b)D(a) \\
 &\quad - E(a)D(b) + D(E(a))b \\
 &\quad - aE(D(b)) + E(a)D(b) \\
 &\quad + D(a)E(b) - E(D(a))b \\
 &= a(D(E(b)) - E(D(b)) - (E(b))D(a)
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Show that $D \circ E$ need not be a derivation. (see example).

1.9 (Pg 7.) Let L_1 and L_2 be Lie algebras. Show that L_1 is isomorphic to L_2 if and only if there is a basis B_1 of L_1 and a basis B_2 of L_2 such that the structure constants of L_1 with respect to B_1 are equal to the structure constants of L_2 with respect to B_2 .

(\Rightarrow) Assuming that $L_1 \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} L_2$. Define $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ to be that isomorphism. Let $B_1 = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the basis vectors for L_1 . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f([x_i, x_j]) &= f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k x_k\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k f(x_k) \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

since f is isomorphic, it is also injective and surjective. Thus, each $f(x_k)$ is unique. Further, given any $i, j \in [1, \dots, n]$ we know that x_i, x_j are linearly independent. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Ax_i + Bx_j \implies A = B = 0 \text{ and} \\ f(0) &= 0 = f(Ax_i + Bx_j) = Af(x_i) + Bf(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

therefore, $f(x_i), f(x_j)$ are linearly independent and thus, form a basis. From (1.6) we see that it has the same Structure Constants.

1.10 (Pg 7.) Let L be a Lie algebra with basis (x_1, \dots, x_n) . What condition does the Jacobi identity impose on the structure constants a_{ij}^k ?

We have three brackets for the Jacobi Identity that start with

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, x_j] &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij}^k x_k \\ [x_e, x_f] &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k x_k \\ [x_b, x_c] &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{bc}^k x_k \\ [x_i, [x_e, x_f]] &= \left[x_i, \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k x_k \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k [x_i, x_k] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ik}^l x_l \end{aligned}$$

Since, the x_i are linearly independent we can examine each element l independently that is

$$[x_i, [x_e, x_f]]_l = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k a_{ik}^l x_l$$

cycling through the other terms of the Jacobi identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} [x_e, [x_f, x_i]]_l &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{fi}^k a_{ek}^l x_l \\ [x_f, [x_i, x_e]]_l &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ei}^k a_{fk}^l x_l \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobi Identity means that the sum of the coefficients of these terms must be zero that is

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ef}^k a_{ik}^l + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{fi}^k a_{ek}^l g + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ei}^k a_{fk}^l$$

1.11 (Pg 8.) Let L_1 and L_2 be two abelian Lie algebras. Show that L_1 and L_2 are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension.

If L_1 and L_2 are abelian then since $[x, y] = -[y, x]$ then $[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in L_1$ or L_2 . Consequently, these are vector spaces that are isomorphic to each other and, hence, have the same dimension.

1.12 Find the structure constants of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, F)$ with respect to the basis given by the matrices

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lie Bracket for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, F)$ is $[X, Y] = XY - YX$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [e, f] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= h \\ [f, h] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2f \\ [h, e] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -2e \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ii}^k &= 0, \forall k = 1, 2, 3 \\ [e, f] &= a_{12}^1 e + a_{12}^2 f + a_{12}^3 h = h \rightarrow a_{12}^3 = 1 \\ [f, h] &= a_{23}^1 e + a_{23}^2 f + a_{23}^3 h = 2f \rightarrow a_{23}^2 = 2 \\ [h, e] &= a_{31}^1 e + a_{31}^2 f + a_{31}^3 h = -2e \rightarrow a_{31}^1 = -2 \end{aligned}$$

all else are zero.

1.13 Prove $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ has no non-trivial ideals.

1.14 Let L be the 3-dimensional *complex* Lie algebra with basis (x, y, z) and Lie bracket defined by

$$[x, y] = z, [y, z] = x, [z, x] = y$$

(Here L is the “complexification” of the 3-dimensional real Lie algebra \mathbb{R}_\wedge^3 .)

- (i) Show that L is isomorphic to the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ consistent for all 3×3 antisymmetric matrices with entries in \mathbb{C} .

Let $U = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{C}) : A \text{ is an anti-symmetric matrix}\}$. Thus for any $A \in U$ there exists $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \\ -b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which have three linearly independent elements

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ z &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Verify

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= xy - yx \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [y, z] &= yz - zy \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[z, x] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= y
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Find an explicit isomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} L$.

1.15 Let S be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in a field F . Define

$$\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F) = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, F) : x^t S = -Sx\}.$$

(i) Show that $\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$.

Additive Group

Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$, then

$$(x + y)^t S = x^t S + y^t S = -Sx - Sy = -S(x + y)$$

Multiplicative property.

Let $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$ then $x^t S = -Sx$ and $rx^t S = -Sxr$ for all $r \in F$

Lie Bracket

Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
[x, y] &= xy - yx \\
[x, y]^t S &= (xy - yx)^t S \\
&= (xy)^t S - (yx)^t S \\
&= y^t x^t S - x^t y^t S \\
&= -y^t Sx + x^t Sy \\
&= Syx - Sxy \\
&= S(yx - xy) \\
&= -S[x, y]
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Find $\mathfrak{gl}_S(2, \mathbb{R})$ if $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(2, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \\
x^t S &= \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \\
Sx &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
0 &= x^t S + Sx = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} b & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & a+d \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \\
x &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Does there exist a matrix S such that $\mathfrak{gl}_S(2, \mathbb{R})$ is equal to the set of all diagonal matrices in $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ be a diagonal matrix.

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Let } S = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^t S + S A = A S + S A \rightarrow A S = -S A$$

$$a u = -u a \text{ and } b z = -z b$$

No, no such S exists.

- (iv) Find a matrix S such that $\mathfrak{gl}_S(3, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra \mathbb{R}_\wedge^3 defined in §1.2, Example 1.

Hint: Part (i) of Exercise 1.14 is relevant.

Let x, y, z be a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . We want to find $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\wedge^3$.

Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(3, \mathbb{R})$ and $\phi : \mathfrak{gl}_S(3, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\wedge^3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([X, Y]) &= [\phi(X), \phi(Y)] = \phi(X) \wedge \phi(Y) \\ \phi(XY - YX) &= \phi(X) \wedge \phi(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (XY)^t S &= Y^t X^t S = -Y^t S X = S Y X \\ \text{and } [X, Y]^t S &= (XY - YX)^t S \\ &= (XY)^t S - (YX)^t S \\ &= S Y X - S X Y \\ &= S(YX - XY) \\ &= -S[X, Y] \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(X^t S) = \phi(-S X) = -\phi(S) \phi(X)$$

1.16 Show, by giving an example, that if F is a field of characteristic 2, there are algebras over F which satisfy (L1') and (L2) but are not Lie algebras.

1.17 Let V be an n -dimensional complex vector space and let $L = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Suppose that $x \in L$ is diagonalisable, with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Show that $\text{ad } x \in \mathfrak{gl}(L)$ is also diagonalisable and that its eigenvalues are $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

1.18 Let L be a Lie algebra. We saw in §1.6, Example 1.2(2) that the maps $\text{ad } x : L \rightarrow L$ for $x \in L$ are derivations of L ; these are known as *inner derivations*. Show that if $\text{IDER } L$ is the set of inner derivations of L , then $\text{IDER } L$ is an ideal of $\text{DER } L$.

1.19 Let A be an algebra and let $\delta : A \rightarrow A$ be a derivation. Prove that δ satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\delta^n(xy) = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y), \quad \forall x, y \in A.$$

This resembles the binomial theorem

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} a^r b^{n-r}$$

Consider an inductive proof and consider $\delta^0(x) = x$

Show true for $n = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(xy) &= \binom{1}{0} \delta^0(x) \delta(y) + \binom{1}{1} \delta(x) \delta^0(y) \\ &= x \delta(y) + \delta(x) y\end{aligned}$$

which is the Liebniz rule.

Show true for $n + 1$. Now, assuming that this is true for some number n , we must show that it is also true for $n + 1$. Thus, starting with n we'll calculate $\delta(\delta^n(xy)) = \delta^{n+1}(xy)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^n(xy) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y), \forall x, y \in A. \\ \delta(\delta^n(xy)) &= \delta \left(\sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta(\delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y))\end{aligned}\tag{*}$$

Let us focus on the term in the summation

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y)) &= \delta^r(x) \delta(\delta^{n-r}(y)) + \delta(\delta^r(x)) \delta^{n-r}(y) \\ &= \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y) + \delta^{r+1}(x) \delta^{n-r}(y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} \delta(\delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r}(y)) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (\delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y) + \delta^{r+1}(x) \delta^{n-r}(y)) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n \left(\binom{n}{r} + \binom{n}{r-1} \right) \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y)\end{aligned}$$

when $r = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}r = 0 &\rightarrow x \delta^{n+1}(y) + \delta(x) \delta^n(y) \\ r = n &\rightarrow \delta^n(x) \delta(y) + \delta^{n+1}(x) y\end{aligned}$$

From combinatorics we have the identity

$$\binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{n+1}(xy) &= x \delta^{n+1}(y) + \delta(x) \delta^n(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n+1}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y) \\ &\quad + \delta^n(x) \delta(y) + \delta^{n+1}(x) y \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{r} \delta^r(x) \delta^{n-r+1}(y)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Mathematical Induction, our assertion is true

□

Chapter 2

Ideals and Homomorphisms

Operations that work on Ideals

Addition: $I + J = \{x + y : x \in I, y \in J\}$ is an ideal.

Lie Bracket: $[I, J] = \text{span}\{[x, y] \mid x \in I, y \in J\}$ is an ideal.

Quotient: $L/I = \{z + I : z \in L\}$ is a quotient algebra.

2.1 Exercises

2.1 (Pg. 11) Show that $I + J$ is an ideal of L where

$$I + J = \{x + y : x \in I, y \in J\}.$$

Let $z \in L$ and $x, y \in I + J$ then there exists $x_I, y_I \in I$ and $x_J, y_J \in J$ such that $x = x_I + x_J$ and $y = y_I + y_J$ then from (L2) we have

$$\underbrace{[[y, x], z]}_{\in I+J} = \underbrace{[x, [y, z]]}_{\in I} + \underbrace{[y, [z, x]]}_{\in J} \in I + J$$

2.2 (Pg. 12) Show that $\text{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})' = \text{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $L = \text{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $X \in [L, L]$. Then, there exist $A, B \in L$ such that $[A, B] = X$ thus

$$X = [A, B] = AB - BA$$

$AB \in L$ and $BA \in L$ therefore $X \in L$.

2.3 (Pg. 13)

(i) Show that the Lie Bracket defined in L/I is bilinear and satisfies the axioms (L1) and (L2).

(ii) Show that the linear transformation $\pi : L \rightarrow L/I$ which takes an element $z \in L$ to its coset $z + I$ is a homomorphism of a Lie Algebras.

2.4 (Pg. 14) Show that if L is a Lie Algebra then $L/Z(L)$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $\text{gl}(L)$.

2.5 Show that if $z \in L'$ then $\text{tr ad } z = 0$.

2.6 Suppose L_1 and L_2 are Lie algebras. let $L := \{(x_1, x_2) : x_i \in L_i\}$ be the direct sum of their underlying vector spaces, e.g., $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$. Shwo that if we define

$$[(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)] := ([x_1, y_1], [x_2, y_2])$$

then L becomes a Lie algebra, the *direct sum* of L_1 and L_2 , $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$.

- (i) Prove that $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$, the 1-dimensional complex abelian Lie algebra.
- (ii) Show that if $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ then $Z(L) = Z(L_1) \oplus Z(L_2)$ and $L' = L'_1 \oplus L'_2$. Formulate a general version for a direct sum $L_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_k$.
- (iii) Are the summands in the direct sum decomposition of a Lie Algebra uniquely determined?
Hint: If you think that the answer is yes, now might be a good time to read §16.4 in Appendix A on the “diagonal fallacy”. The next question looks at this point in more detail.

2.7 Suppose $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ is the direct sum of two Lie algebras.

- (i) Show that $\{(x_1, 0) : x_1 \in L_1\}$ is an ideal of L isomorphic to L_1 and that $\{(0, x_2) : x_2 \in L_2\}$ is an ideal of L isomorphic to L_2 . Show that the projections $p_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ and $p_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2$ are Lie algebra homomorphisms.
Now suppose that L_1 and L_2 do not have any non-trivial proper ideals.
- (ii) Let J be a proper ideal of L . Show that $J \cap L_1 = 0$ and $J \cap L_2 = 0$, then the projection $p_1 : J \rightarrow L_1$ and $p_2 : J \rightarrow L_2$ are isomorphisms.
- (iii) Deduce that if L_1 and L_2 are not isomorphic as Lie algebras, then $L_1 \oplus L_2$ has only two non-trivial proper ideals.
- (iv) Assume that the ground field is infinite. Show that if $L_1 \cong L_2$ and L_1 is 1-dimensional, then $L_1 \oplus L_2$ has infinitely many different ideals.

2.8 Let L_1 and L_2 be Lie algebras, and let $\varphi : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ be a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism. True or False:

- (a) $\varphi(L'_1) = L'_2$;
- (b) $\varphi(Z(L_1)) = Z(L_2)$;
- (c) $h \in L_2$ and $\text{ad } h$ is diagonalisable then $\text{ad } \varphi(h)$ is diagonalisable.

2.9 For each pair of the following Lie algebras over \mathbb{R} , decide whether or not they are isomorphic:

- (i) the Lie algebra R^3_\wedge where the Lie bracket is given by the vector product;
- (ii) the upper triangular 2×2 matrices over \mathbb{R} ;
- (iii) the strict upper triangular 3×3 matrices over \mathbb{R} ;
- (iv) $L = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{R}) : x^t = -x\}$.

Hint: Use Exercises 1.15 and 2.8.

2.10 Let F be a field. Show that the derived algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$ is $\mathfrak{sl}(n, F)$

2.11 In Exercise 1.15, we defined the Lie Algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$ over a field F where S is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in F .

Suppose that $T \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$ is another $n \times n$ matrix such that $T = P^t S P$ for some invertible $n \times n$ matrix $P \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, F)$ (Equivalently, the bilinear forms defined by S and T are congruent.) Show that the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}_S(n, F)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_T(n, F)$ are isomorphic.

2.12 Let S be an $n \times n$ invertible matrix with entries in \mathbb{C} . Show that if $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_S(n, \mathbb{C})$, then $\text{tr } x = 0$

2.13 Let I be an ideal of a Lie Algebra L . Let B be the centraliser of I in L ; that is

$$B = C_L(I) = \{x \in L : [x, a] = 0, \forall a \in I\}$$

Show that B is an ideal of L . Now suppose that

- (a) $Z(I) = 0$, and

- (b) if $D : I \rightarrow I$ is a derivation, then $D = \text{ad } x$ for some $x \in I$.

Show that $L = I \oplus B$.

- (c) Recall that if L is a Lie algebra, we defined L' to be the subspace spanned by the commutators $[x, y]$ for $x, y \in L$. The purpose of this exercise, which may safely be skipped on first reading, is to show that the set of commutators may not even be a vector space (and so certainly not an ideal of L).

Let $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ denote the ring of all real polynomials in two variables. Let L be the set of all matrices of the form

$$A((f(x), g(y), h(x, y))) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f(x) & h(x, y) \\ 0 & 0 & g(y) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (i) Prove L is a Lie algebra with usual commutator bracket. (In contrast to all the Lie algebras seen so far, L is infinite-dimensional.)
- (ii) Prove that

$$[A((f_1(x), g_1(y), h_1(x, y))), A((f_2(x), g_2(y), h_2(x, y)))] = A(0, 0, f_1(x)g_2(x) - f_2(x)g_1(y)).$$

Hence describe L' .

- (iii) Show that if $h(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2$, then $A(0, 0, h(x, y))$ is not a commutator.