

Math 5050 – Special Topics: Manifolds– Spring 2025

w/Professor Berchenko-Kogan

Paul Carmody
Presentation 1 – March 11, 2025

Section 3: 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9

3.1. Tensor Product of covectors

Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis for a vector space V and let $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n$ be its dual basis in V^\vee . Suppose $g_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is an $n \times m$ matrix define a bilinear function $f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(v, w) = \sum_{i \leq i, j, n} g_{ij} v^i w^j$$

for $v = \sum v^j e_j$ and $w = \sum w^j e_j$ in V . Describe f in terms of the tensor products of α^i and $\alpha^j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

$$\alpha^i(e_j) = \delta_i^j \tag{1}$$

$$\alpha^i(v) = \alpha^i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n v^j e_j \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^i(v^j e_j) \quad \alpha^i \text{ is linear}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n v^j \alpha^i(e_j) \quad v^j \text{ is a scalar}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n v^j \delta_j^i = v^i \quad \text{apply (1)}$$

$$(\alpha^i \otimes \alpha^j)(v, w) = \alpha^i(v) \alpha^j(w) = v^i w^j$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i \leq i, j, n} g_{ij} v^i w^j = \sum_{i \leq i, j, n} g_{ij} (\alpha^i \otimes \alpha^j)(v, w)$$

3.2. Hyperplanes

- (a) Let V be a vector space of dimension n and $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a nonzero linear functional. Show that $\dim \ker f = n - 1$.
A linear subspace of V of dimension $n - 1$ is called a *hyperplane* in V .

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &= \dim \text{range}(f) + \dim \ker(f) \\ \dim \ker(f) &= \dim V - \dim \text{range}(f) \\ &= n - 1 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Show that a nonzero linear functional on a vector space V is determined up to a multiplicative constant by its kernel, a hyperplane in V . In other words, if f and $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nonzero linear functionals and $\ker f = \ker g$, then $g = cf$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\text{Let } v = (y + z) \in V \text{ and } f(y) \in \text{range}(f), z \in \ker(f)$$

$$u = (x + w) \in V \text{ and } g(x) \in \text{range}(g), z \in \ker(g)$$

$$\dim \ker(f) = \dim \ker(g) = n - 1$$

$$\dim \text{range}(f) = \dim \text{range}(g) = 1 \text{ a scalar function}$$

$$\therefore g = cf \text{ for some constant } c.$$

One dimension is a single vector and either g and f contract or expand that vector and being linear they do so by a constant.

3.3. A basis for k -tensors

Let V be a vector space of dimension n with basis e_i, \dots, e_n . Let $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n$ be the dual basis in V^\vee . Show that a basis for the space $L_k(V)$ of k -linear functions on V is $\{\alpha^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{i_k}\}$ for all multi-indices (i_1, \dots, i_k) (not just the strictly ascending multi-indices as for $A_k(L)$). In particular, this shows that $\dim L_k(V) = n^k$. (This problem generalizes Problem 3.1.)

Let $\Phi = \{\alpha^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{i_k}\}$ where $i_1, \dots, i_k = 1, \dots, n$. We want to show

- WTS Φ is a linearly independent set.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } x &= \alpha^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{i_k}, \text{ for some set } \{i_k\}, i_k \in [1, n] \\ \text{and } y &= \alpha^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{j_k}, \text{ for some set } \{j_k\}, j_k \in [1, n] \\ \text{where } \{i_k\} &\neq \{j_k\} \end{aligned}$$

then for any non-zero vectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ where $v_i = (v_i^1, \dots, v_i^n)$ and any $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ where $Ax + By = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (Ax + By)(v_1, \dots, v_k) &= A(\alpha^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{i_k})(v_1, \dots, v_k) + B(\alpha^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha^{j_k})(v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ &= A\left(\prod_{m=1}^k \alpha^{i_m}(v_m)\right) + B\left(\prod_{p=1}^k \alpha^{j_p}(v_p)\right) \\ &= A\left(\underbrace{\prod_{m=1}^k v_m^{i_m}}_{\neq 0}\right) + B\left(\underbrace{\prod_{p=1}^k (v_p)^{j_p}}_{\neq 0}\right) \end{aligned}$$

thus $A = B = 0$ and the elements of Φ are linearly independent.

- WTS Φ is surjective over $L_k(V)$. Given any $f \in L_k(V)$ we can factor out k 1-covectors whose tensor product is f .

Step One: Given an element of $L_2(V)$ show that it can be factored into two elements of $L_1(V)$

Let $f \in L_2(V)$ and $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i e_i, w = \sum_{i=1}^n w^i e_i \in V$ then

$$\begin{aligned} f(v, w) &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n v^i e_i, w\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i f(e_i, w) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) f(e_i, w) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) f\left(e_i, \sum_{n=1}^n w^j e_j\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n w^j f(e_i, e_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j(w) f(e_i, e_j) \end{aligned}$$

Let $g, h \in L_1(V)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } g(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^n g^i \alpha^i(v), \text{ for components } g^i \\ \text{and } h(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^n h^j \alpha^j(v), \text{ } h^j = \frac{f(e_i, e_j)}{g^i} \end{aligned}$$

Claim: $h^j = \frac{f(e_i, e_j)}{g^i}$ has the same value regardless of e_i . (Note: we can choose g^i to counter the sign of $f(e_i, e_j)$ making h^j positive.)

Proof: let $f_{ij} = f(e_i, e_j)$ this can be represented as a matrix $\{f_{ij}\}$ which we will build using $g \otimes h$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} & \cdots & f_{1n} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} & \cdots & f_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{n1} & f_{n2} & \cdots & f_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g^1 \\ g^2 \\ \vdots \\ g^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h^1 & h^2 & \cdots & h^n \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} g^1 h^1 & g^1 h^2 & \cdots & g^1 h^n \\ g^2 h^1 & g^2 h^2 & \cdots & g^2 h^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g^n h^1 & g^n h^2 & \cdots & g^n h^n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Notice that } h^1 = \frac{f_{11}}{g^1} = \frac{f_{21}}{g^2} = \cdots = \frac{f_{n1}}{g^n}$$

which is also true for h^2, \dots, h^n . end of proof. \square

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } f(v, w) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j(w) f(e_i, e_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g^i \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j(w) \frac{f(e_i, e_j)}{g^i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g^i \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n h^j \alpha^j(w) \\ &= g(v)h(w) \\ \therefore f(v, w) &= (g \otimes h)(v, w) \end{aligned}$$

Since g, h are both 1-covectors, that is, they are linear combinations of $\{\alpha^i\}$ thus

$$(g \otimes h)(v, w) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g^i \alpha^i \otimes \sum_{j=1}^n h^j \alpha^j \right) (v, w)$$

thus any $f \in L_2(V)$ can be written as a linear combination of Φ for $k = 2$.

Step Two: extend this to elements of $L_3(V)$, 3-covectors. The difficulty here is replacing the 1-covector, h , from Step One with a 2-covector and realizing the same proof.

Let $f \in L_3(V)$. As above, follow the same steps to expand the first element revealing

$$f(v, w, u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j(w) f(e_i, e_j, u)$$

Let $g \in L_1(V)$ and $h \in L_2(V)$ and g be defined as above and h defined by the h^l 1-covectors for $l = 1, \dots, n$

$$h(w, u) = \sum_{l=1}^n h^l(u) \alpha^l(w), \text{ where } h^l(u) = \frac{f(e_i, e_j, u)}{g^i}$$

In precisely the same manner as the Claim from above, where the elements of f were an $n \times n$ matrix, the elements of f are now the $n \times n \times n$ structure each containing a g^i which can be factored out. These are independent of the value of e_j . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(v, w, u) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j(w) f(e_i, e_j, u) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n g^i \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j(w) \frac{f(e_i, e_j, u)}{g^i} \\ &= g(v)h(w, u) \\ &= (g \otimes h)(v, w, u) \end{aligned}$$

Step Three: extend this to k -covectors Let $f \in L_k(V)$ and $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v^i e_i, w = \sum_{i=1}^n w^i e_i \in V$ then, similar

to above, expand the first and second vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(v, w, v_3, \dots, v_k) &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n v^i e_i, w, v_3, \dots, v_k\right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n v^i f(e_i, w, v_3, \dots, v_k) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) f(e_i, w, v_3, \dots, v_k) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) f\left(e_i, \sum_{j=1}^n w^j e_j, v_3, \dots, v_k\right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n w^j f(e_i, e_j, v_3, \dots, v_k) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(v) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j(w) f(e_i, e_j, v_3, \dots, v_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

once again, let $g \in L_1(V)$ and $g(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n g^i \alpha^i(v)$ and this time $h \in L_{k-1}(V)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(w, v_3, \dots, v_k) &= \sum_{j=1}^n h^j \alpha^j(w) f(e_i, e_j, v_3, \dots, v_k) \\
 h^j &= \frac{f(e_i, e_j, v_3, \dots, v_k)}{g^i}
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(v, w, v_3, \dots, v_k) &= g(v) h(w, v_3, \dots, v_k) \\
 &= (g \otimes h)(v, w, v_3, \dots, v_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Step Four: Steps One, Two and Three demonstrate that we can factor out a 1-covector and k -1-covector from any k -covector f into a tensor product *from the first parameter*. By repeating this process **in sequence**, that is with identity permutation $\sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, we can see that any k -covector can be factored into the tensor product of k 1-covectors.

This proves that Φ is surjective over $L_k(V)$.

- **WTS: Show independence of order. CLAIM:** Replace σ with a different permutation of k and it will have the same effect. That is, we can still factor out the $\sigma_{1\text{st}}$ parameter into a 1-covector, $\gamma^1,^1$ as defined by g above, on the left of the tensor product and a k -1-covector on the right, $h^1 \in L_{k-1}(V)$. Keep in mind that the components of these γ^i 1-covectors are chosen to make h^i positive. Define h^1 as

$$h^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, \underbrace{w}_{\sigma_{2\text{nd}}}, \dots, v_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, w, \dots, v_k) \quad \sigma_{2\text{nd}} \text{ parameter is used}$$

$$h_j^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, w, \dots, v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha^i(w) f(v_1, v_2, \dots, e_{\sigma_1}, \dots, e_{\sigma_2}, \dots, v_k)$$

and

$$f(v_1, \dots, v_{\sigma_1}, \dots, v_k) = (\gamma^1 \otimes h^1)(v_{\sigma_1}, v_1, \dots, v_{\sigma_1-1}, v_{\sigma_1+1}, \dots, v_k)$$

repeating the process reducing each h^1, \dots, h^{k-1} (each one lower level covector than previous one) with a progression of left hand operands, $\gamma^1, \gamma^2, \dots, \gamma^{k-1}$, to the product tensor following the sequence in σ . Thus,

$$f(v_1, \dots, v_k) = (\gamma^1 \otimes \gamma^2 \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma^{k-1} \otimes h^{k-1})(v_{\sigma_1}, v_{\sigma_2}, \dots, v_{\sigma_k})$$

Therefore, any k -covector $f \in L_k(V)$ is the tensor product of k 1-covectors in any order, each of which is a linear combination of elements from Φ .

¹We use γ here because using g^i for covectors would confuse the g^i used in Step One

3.7. Transformation rule for a wedge product of covectors

Suppose two set so of covectors on a vector space V . β^1, \dots, β^k and $\gamma^i, \dots, \gamma^k$, are related by

$$\beta^i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^i \gamma^j, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

for a $k \times k$ matrix $A = [a_j^i]$. Show that

$$\beta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta^k = (\det A) \gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma^k.$$

Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(V^\vee)$

$$\beta = [\beta^i] \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(v_1, \dots, v_k) = [\beta^i](v_1, \dots, v_k) = [\beta^i(v_j)]$$

$$\gamma = [\gamma^i] \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(v_1, \dots, v_k) = [\gamma^i](v_1, \dots, v_k) = [\gamma^i(v_j)]$$

$$A = [a_j^i]$$

$$(\beta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta^k)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \det[\beta^i(v_j)] = \det \beta(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

$$(\gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma^k)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \det[\gamma^i(v_j)] = \det \gamma(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

we can see that

$$\beta^i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^i \gamma^j \implies \beta = A \cdot \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(v_1, \dots, v_k) = A \cdot \gamma(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

$$\det \beta = \det(A \cdot \gamma) = \det A \cdot \det \gamma$$

$$\det \beta(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \det A \cdot \det \gamma(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

$$(\beta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta^k)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = \det A (\gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma^k)(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

$$\beta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta^k = \det A (\gamma^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma^k)$$

3.8. Transformation rule for k -covectors

Let f be a k -covector on a vector space V . Suppose two sets of vectors u_1, \dots, u_k and v_1, \dots, v_k in V are related by

$$u_j = \sum_{i=1}^k a_j^i v_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

for $k \times k$ matrix $A = [a_j^i]$. Show that

$$f(u_1, \dots, u_k) = (\det A) f(v_1, \dots, v_k).$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1, \dots, u_k) &= f\left(\sum_{i_1=1}^k a_1^{i_1} v_{i_1}, \sum_{i_2=1}^k a_2^{i_2} v_{i_2}, \dots, \sum_{i_k=1}^k a_k^{i_k} v_{i_k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^k a_1^{i_1} \sum_{i_2=1}^k a_2^{i_2} \dots \sum_{i_k=1}^k a_k^{i_k} f(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} a_1^{\sigma_1} \dots a_k^{\sigma_k} f(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}) \\ &= (\det A) f(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}) \end{aligned}$$

3.9. Vanishing of a covector of top degree

Let V be a vector space of dimension n . Prove that if an n -covector ω vanishes on a basis e_1, \dots, e_n for V . then ω is the zero covector on V .

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \omega(v_1, \dots, v_n) \\
 \exists \omega_i &\in L_1(V), i = 1, \dots, n \\
 \text{such that } \omega(v_1, \dots, v_n) &= \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \omega_i \right) (v_1, \dots, v_n) \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \omega_i(v_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

which means that there exists an element j such that $\omega_j(v_j) = 0$ and

$$\omega_j(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n c^i \alpha^i(v_j) = 0$$

the α^i are linearly independent thus either the components of v_j must be zero or the components c^i of ω_j must be zero. Since v_j is arbitrary, all of the $c^i = 0$ and $\omega_j = 0$. Since, this is true for all v_j then $\omega = 0$ always.