

# Math 5110 – Real Analysis I– Fall 2024

w/Professor Liu

Paul Carmody  
Homework #2 – September 18, 2024

I. Consider a sequence  $x_n$  of real numbers. The *limit inferior* and *limit superior* of  $x_n$  are defined by

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \inf_{k \geq n} x_k \right), \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{k \geq n} x_k \right)$$

(a) Show that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \inf_{k \geq n} x_k \right)$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \inf \left( \sup_{k \geq n} x_k \right)$$

- (b) Show that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  are well-defined for any sequence  $x_n$ . (Unlike  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ .) We allow values of  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$ .
- (c) Let  $x_n$  be a bounded sequence, and let  $L$  be the set of limit points of  $x_n$ , i.e., the set of all limits of subsequences of  $x_n$ . Show  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \inf L$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sup L$ .
- (d) Let  $x_n$  be a bounded sequence. Conclude using (c) that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ , with equality if and only if  $x_n$  is convergent.

II. Prove that for any (possibly uncountable) collection  $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  of closed sets, the intersection  $F = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha$  is closed, in two ways.

(a) Using the fact that any union of open sets is open, and DeMorgan's Laws from set theory, which state

$$X \setminus \left( \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha \right) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (X \setminus E_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad X \setminus \left( \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha \right) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (X \setminus E_\alpha)$$

for all collection of sets  $(E_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$

(b) More directly, using the fact that a set  $G$  is closed if and only if for any convergent sequence  $(x_n)$  with all  $x_n \in G$ , the limit  $x$  is also in  $G$ .

III. (a) Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in a metric space  $X$ . Show that if a subsequence  $(x_{n_j})$  of  $x_n$  converges to  $x$ , then the entire sequence also converges to  $x$ .

(b) Show that the metric space

$$C^1((-1, 1)) = \{f : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is differentiable and } f' \text{ is continuous in } (-1, 1)\}$$

with the metric

$$d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in (-1, 1)} |f(x) - g(x)|,$$

is not complete. (Hint: similar to the proof that the rational numbers are not complete, find a sequence  $C'((-1, 1))$  that converges in  $d$  metric to a function that is not in  $C^1((-1, 1))$ , and show that this sequence is Cauchy.)

IV. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of the metric space  $X$ . which one of the following is true?

$$(A \cup B)^o = A^o \cup B^o, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(A \cup B)^o \subset A^o \cup B^o, \quad \text{"=" fails for some } A \text{ and } B \tag{2.2}$$

$$(A \cup B)^o \supset A^o \cup B^o, \quad \text{"=" fails for some } A \text{ and } B \tag{2.3}$$

V. Let  $C^0([a, b])$  be the space of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$ , with the metric  $d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)|$ .

Show that the map  $I : C^0([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $I(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$  is continuous mapping from  $C^0([a, b])$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

VI. Prove Proposition 2.3.2 in the text, in two different ways.:

- a) As a consequence of Theorem 2.3.1 in text.
- b) Directly, using the sequential definition of compactness. **Proposition 2.3.2** (Maximum principle). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space, and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then  $f$  is bounded. Furthermore,  $f$  attains its maximum at some point  $x_{\max} \in X$ , and also attains its minimum at some point  $x_{\min} \in X$ .*

VII. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = +\infty$$

Prove that  $f$  attains its minimum.

Recall that by definition, the limit in (??) means that Given  $A > 0$ , there is  $R > 0$  such that

$$f(x) > A \text{ for all } x \notin B_R$$

in other words,  $f(x) > A$  whenever  $|x| \geq R$ . Here,  $|x| = d_2(x, 0)$  and  $d_2$  is the standard Euclidean distance defined in Example 1.4.