Data Structures and Algorithms

#### **Short Notes**

**Topics**: Application of Heaps

## Heaps

A max\_heap is a container which can facilitate the following operations efficiently

- 1. Insert a new element into the container in  $O(\log n)$
- 2. Get a view on the maximum element in the container in O(1)
- 3. Delete a single copy of the maximum element from the container in  $O(\log n)$
- 4. Get the size of the container in O(1)
- 5. Check whether the container is empty or not in O(1)

Similarly, we can also define a  $min\_heap$  which facilitates all the above operations with maximum replaced by minimum

## Usage

Heaps are implemented in **STL** via the *priority\_queue* class. Instantiating a new object of this class would by default result in a *max\_heap*. To see how to create a *min\_heap*, see the letcure notes on comparators.

### **Applications**

We discussed 3 classical applications of heaps in the class. You can find their discussion and pseudocodes in the following section

## Minimum Cost to Connect Ropes

**Problem**: Given n ropes of possibly different length, find the minimum cost to connect them. Connecting 2 ropes of length a and b incurs a cost of a + b.

**Solution**: Let us focus on the first 2 ropes that are connected. Let their length be a and b. At some stage, the resulting rope of length a + b would be connected to another rope of length, say x, which would further be connected to y. Let us analyze the total cost.

```
a+b
a+b+x
a+b+x+y
\vdots
a+b+x+y+z+\dots
```

The total cost would be the summation of all the numbers in all the above levels. Notice that a and b are appearing at every level and hence we should minimize them. The only choice is to pick the 2 smallest elements of the array and connect them in the first step. After this step, the problem is reduced to a sub problem of the same nature. Hence, we again pick the current 2 smallest elements and add them. We continue this until we have one single rope.

#### **Algorithm** Find the minimum cost to connect n ropes

```
1: function Connect_Ropes(Arr)
      for i = 1 : N  do
2:
3:
          min\_heap.push(a[i])
      cost \leftarrow 0
4:
5:
      while min\_heap.size > 1 do
          min\_element \leftarrow min\_heap.top, min\_heap.pop
6:
          second\_min\_element \leftarrow min\_heap.top, min\_heap.pop
7:
8:
          cost + = min\_element + second\_element
          min\_heap.push(min\_element + max\_element)
9:
```

### Time Complexity

```
O(n \log n)
```

## Median in a Stream of Integers

#### Intuition

Suppose we have an array of n numbers. We want to divide it into 2 segments. The first segment should contain all the elements that are smaller than or equal to the median and the second segment should contain elements bigger than or equal to the median.

- 1. If n is even, we can first sort the elements and then put the first n/2 elements in the first segment and the remaining elements in the other segment. The median in this case is the average of the maximum element of the first segment and the minimum element of the second segment.
- 2. If n is odd, we can sort the elements. Now, we can place the first (n-1)/2 elements in the first segment and the last (n-1)/2 elements in the second segment. For the middle element, we have a choice. Let's say that we would always prefer insertion in the first segment whenever there's a choice. Hence, we would insert the middle element in the first segment and the median would be the maximum element in the first segment.

Naturally, this gives us a hint to use heaps. We will store the first segment in a  $max\_heap$  and the second segment in a  $min\_heap$ .

#### Invariant 1

We'll maintain an invariant that the size of the  $max\_heap$  cannot be strictly smaller than the size of  $min\_heap$  (because of the second point above). Of course, any element of  $max\_heap$  should be less than or equal to any element of  $min\_heap$  (and vice versa).

## **Handling Insertions**

It's clear that if we maintain the above rules and invariant while inserting a new element, we can quickly find the new median.

#### Invariant 2

Let us say that we would always insert a new element into the  $max\_heap$  and immediately transfer the maximum element of the  $max\_heap$  to the  $min\_heap$ .

### Balancing

Now, we need to balance these 2 heaps.

- 1. If the size of the max\_heap is greater than the size of min\_heap, we don't do anything.
- 2. If the size of the max\_heap is equal to the size of the min\_heap, we don't do anything.

3. If the size of the  $max\_heap$  is less than the size of the min heap, we transfer the minimum element of the  $min\_heap$  to the  $max\_heap$ 

Can you now see why the size difference of these 2 heaps would never be more than 1?

#### **Algorithm** Find the **Median** in a stream of integers

```
1: function Median_in_Stream(Stream)
   ▷ max_heap contains elements smaller than or equal to median
   ▷ min_heap contains elements bigger than or equal to median
 2:
       for each element in stream do
 3:
          max\_heap.push(element)
                                                                                      ▶ Insertion
          max\_element \leftarrow max\_heap.top
 4:
          max\_heap.pop
 5:
          min\_heap.push(max\_element)
 6:
          if max\_heap.size < min\_heap.size then
                                                                                     ▶ Balancing
 7:
             min\_element \leftarrow min\_heap.top
 8:
             min_heap.pop
 9:
             max\_heap.push(min\_element)
10:
          if max\_heap.size == min\_heap.size then
                                                                                  ▶ Find Median
11:
             Print (max(max\_heap) + min(min\_heap))/2
12:
          else
13:
             Print max(max\_heap)
14:
```

### **Extended Discussion**

As you can see, the code is quite concise. It handles a lot of tricky cases underneath. Let us explore some of them

- 1. Initially, both the heaps are empty. Let us see what happens at the first iteration. In the insertion phase, the first element goes to the max\_heap and then immediately to the min\_heap. In the Balancing phase, it comes back to the max\_heap as its size has become smaller than min\_heap. In the last phase, we get the correct median.
- 2. Suppose at some stage, both the heaps are balanced. Now, let us assume that the incoming element needs to go to the *max\_heap*. Then, in the insertion phase, it would be inserted into the *max\_heap* and the new maximum element would be transferred to the *min\_heap*. However, this element would again come back in the insertion phase. Finally, we would get the correct median in the last phase.
- 3. Suppose at the some stage, both the heaps are balanced and the new element needs to go into the min\_heap. Then, we would still insert it into the max\_heap first but it would

immediately be transferred to the  $min\_heap$ . In the balancing phase, a new element would be transferred to the  $max\_heap$  which would be the median.

- 4. If the size is not equal, then it means that the size of  $max\_heap$  is bigger. Now, suppose the incoming element needs to go to the  $max\_heap$ . Then, in the insertion phase, we would insert it into the  $max\_heap$  and transfer the biggest element to the  $min\_heap$ . There's no need to balance now as the size have become equal. Finally, we would get the correct median.
- 5. If  $max\_heap$  is bigger and the new element needs to go to the  $min\_heap$ , then we would insert it into the  $max\_heap$  first and then immediately take it out and put it into the  $min\_heap$ . Of course, no balancing would happen and we would get the correct median.

In a nutshell, if the size of both the heaps are equal, then in the next phase  $max\_heap$  would become greater in size. And if at some stage the  $max\_heap$  is bigger in size, then in the next phase, the size of both the heaps would become equal. Of course, we maintain the invariant that any element of  $max\_heap$  is less than or equal to any element of  $min\_heap$  and hence our median formula works.

#### Time Complexity

 $O(n \log n)$ 

## Merge K Sorted Vectors

One technique is to merge the first 2 vectors using the merging technique developed in class. Then, merge the resultant with the third one, and so on. However, the complexity would be n+2n+3n... =  $O(n^2)$ .

We can use heaps to do it in  $O(Total\_Elements * \log k)$ . We create a  $min\_heap$  and insert the minimum element of each of the k vectors into the heap. The overall minimum would be the minimum element in the heap. We pop it and print it. Now, the second minimum can either be in the heap or it can be the next element of the vector that the popped element came from. Hence, we insert its right neighbour into the min heap, thus maintaining the size of the heap as k at each step.

#### **Algorithm** Merge k Sorted Vectors

**Require:** This pseudocode is specific to *vector* container in C++

- 1: **function** Merge\_Sorted\_Vectors(mat)
  - ▶ This solution destroys the original vectors
  - ▷ min\_heap contains the element, and the index of the vector it came from

```
2:
       for each vector in mat do
 3:
          reverse(vector)
       for index = 1 : mat.size do
                                                     ▶ Push the minimum element into min_heap
 4:
          min\_heap.push(mat[index].back,index)
 5:
       while min_heap is not empty do
 6:
          (current\_element, index) \leftarrow min\_heap.top
 7:
 8:
          min\_heap.pop
          mat[index].pop\_back
 9:
          Print current_element
10:
          if mat[index] is not empty then
11:
              min\_heap.push(mat[index].back, index)
12:
```

# **Pratice Problems**

- 1. k-th Smallest Largest Element: Given an unsorted array, find the k-th order statistics effectively (i,e without sorting the entire array).
- 2. Sort a Nearly Sorted Array : Given an unsorted array where every element is at most k distance away from its correct position (on either side), given an efficient algorithm to sort the array in  $O(n \log k)$