14 - Query Compilation

1. Background

- A. The only way to improve performance of in-memory DBMS, is to reduce the number of instructions executed.
- B. Generating query-specific code can reduce number of instructions

2. Transpilation

- A. Write code that converts a query plan to efficient source code. (source code to source code)
- B. HIQUE

 Generate C/C++ program that implements query's execution

C. Advantages

- i. Debugging is easy, because it is high level language
- ii. Generated query code can invoke any other function in the DBMSSo, it can use all components of DBMS

D. Disadvantages

- i. Compile C/C++ code may be long
- ii. Not support full pipelining

3. JIT Compilation

A. Generate an IR of the query to organize query processing mainly on registers

(source code to machine code)

- B. Compile time grows linearly by query size
- C. HyPer

generate LLVM IR, execute IR using an interpreter immediately at background, compile the query,

if compilation complete, then replace interpreter with the executable file

4. Real-World Implementations

A. IBM system R

- Primitive form of query compilation and code generation compile each operator by specific template.
- ii. Abandoned when DB2 appearsbecause of high cost, poor portability, etc

B. ORACLE

- i. Store procedure into Pro*C, and compile it into C/C++ code
- ii. Oracle-specific operations directly in the SPARC chips.

C. MS Hekaton

- i. Can compile procedures and SQL
- ii. Generate C code

D. Actian Vector

- i. Pre-compiles primitives that perform basic operations on typed data actually, vectorwise version of primitives.
- ii. DBMS executes a query with primitives.

E. MEMSQL (past)

- i. Perform code generation as HIQUE and invokes gcc
- ii. Converts all queries into parameterized form and caches query plan

F. MEMSQL (present)

- i. Convert query plan into imperative plan
- ii. Convert imperative plan into opcodes
- iii. Compile the opcodes into LLVM and native code.

G. PostgreSQL

i. Support JIT compilation of predicates