## ACID

- ATOMICITY: An atomic transaction happens as one unit, either the whole thing commits or none of it does
- CONSISTENCY: A consistent transaction brings the DB from one valid state to another valid state with respect to any con-
- ISOLATION: Concurrent isolated transactions would have the same result if run sequentially
- DURABILITY: A committed transaction will remain committed even in the event of a hardware failure

### RAID Levels

- Level 0: No redundancy (just stripin)
- Level 1: Mirrored (two identical copies) \* Each disk has an exact mirror image
- \* Parallel reads; writes involve two disks \* Maximum transfer rate = transfer rate of
- one disk
- Level 0+1 (Level 10): Striping and Mirror-
- \* Parallel reads; writes involve two disks
- \* Maximum transfer rate = aggregate bandwidth
- Level 3: Bit-interleaved parity
- \* Striping Unit: one bit (or byte) (one check disk)
- \* Each read and write request involves all disks: disk array can process one request
- Level 4: Block-interleaved parity
- \* Striping unit: one disk block (one check disk)
- \* Parallel reads possible for small requests, large requests can utilize full bandwidth
- \* Writes involve modified block and check
- Level 5: Block-interleaved distributed par-
- \* Similar to RAID level 4 but parity blocks are distributed over all disks

## • Buffer Management in a DBMS

- DBMS maintains buffer pool of frames, each frame holds a page, info is in <frame#, pageid> table
- Choice of frame replacement dictated by replacement policy such as LRU
- When a page is requested:
- \* If requested page is not in pool: · Choose a frame for replacement
- · If that frame is dirty, write it to disk
- · Read requested page into chosen frame
- \* Pin the page and return its address
- \* When done the requestor must indicate whether the page has been modified (dirty bit) and unpin
- \* Page in pool may be requested many times · A pin count is used and a page is a can-
- didate for replacement iff pin\_count = 0 · Pinning increments pin count and unpin-
- ning decrements \* Concurrency control and recovery may entail additional I/O when a frame is chosen
- for replacement (write-ahead log protocol) \* Frame is chosen for replacement using
- LRU, clock, MRU, etc \* Sequential flooding: Caused by using LRU
- when the number of buffer frames is less than the number of pages in the file

## • Files of Records

- Page or block is ok when doing I/O but higher levels of DBMS operate on records and thus want files of records
- FILE: A collection of pages each containing a collection of records. Must support
- \* Insert (append)/delete/modify record
- \* Read a particular record specified using record id
- \* Scan all records possibly with some condi-

- tions on the records to be retrieved
- Unordered ''Heap''Files:
- \* Simplest file structure that contains records in no particular (logical) order
- \* As file grows and shrinks, disk pages are allocated and de-allocated
- \* To support record-level operations we Indexes:
- · Keep track of the pages in a file: page id (pid)
- Keep track of the free space on a page
- Keep track of the records on a page: record id (rid)
- · Keep track of fields within records
- \* Operations: create/destroy file, insert/delete record, fetch record with specific rid, scan all records
- Record formats: Fixed Length
- \* Information about field types is the same for all records in file; it is stored in system catalogs
- \* Finding the i<sup>th</sup> field of a record does not require scanning the record
- Record formats: Variable length
- \* Several alternative formats (# of fields is
- \* Fields delimited by special symbols (e.g. \$ between fields)
- \* Fields preceded by lengths
- Record formats: Variable length with directory
- \* Use array of offsets at start of record
- Heap file implemented as a list
- \* The header page id and heap file name must be stored someplace
- \* Each page contains two extra pointers in this case
- \* Refinement: use several lists for different degrees of free space
- Page formats:
- \* File → collection of pages
- \* Page -¿ collection of tuples/records
- \* Query operators deal with tuples
- \* Slotted page format:
- Each page has a collection of slots
- Each slot contains a record
- \* RID: <page id, slot number> Heap file using a page directory
- \* Page entries can include the number of free bytes on each page
- \* Directory is a collection of pages; linked list is one possible implementation
- System catalogs:
- \* For each relation:
- · name, file, file structure
- · name, type, length (if fixed) for each attribute
- · Index name, target, and kind for each in-
- · also integrity constraints, defaults, nullability, etc
- \* For each index: structure (e.g. B+ tree) and search key fields
- For each view: view name and definition (including query)
- \* Plus statistics, authorization, buffer pool size, etc.
- Column Stores:
- \* Store data "vertically"
- \* Contrast with a "row-store" that stores all the attributes of a tuple/record contiguously
- \* Each column can be stored as a separate file and compressed
- \* SAP HANA:
- · Dictionary compression per column
- Column main: read-optimized store for immutable data. Uses high data compression and heuristic algoriths to order data to maximize secondary compression
- Column delta: write-optimized store for Index classification:

- inserts, updates, deletes. Uses less compression, appends updates to the end. and merges with main periodically.
- \* Additional types: prefix coding, run length coding, cluster coding, sparse coding, indirect coding

- Speeds up selections on the search key fields for the index
- Contains a collection of data entries and R: number of records per page supports efficient retrieval of all data entires  $k^*$  with a given key value k

## • B+ Tree Indexes

- Leaf pages contain data entries and are Indexes with composite search keys: chained (prev & next)
- Non-leaf pages have index entries, used to direct searches
- Insert/delete at log<sub>F</sub> N, keep tree heightbalanced (F = fanout, N = # leaf pages)
- Minimum 50% occupancy (in all nodes except root). Each node contains  $d \le m \le 2d$ entries; d = the order of the tree.
- Typical order d = 100
- Percentage of node that is full is more use Index file has first key on each page, can ful, typical fill-factor 67%
- Average fanout for non-leaves F = 133
- Inserting a data entry:
- \* Find correct leaf L
- $\ast$  Put data entry onto L
- \* If L has enough space, done \* Otherwise, must split L. Redistribute entries evenly, copy up the middle key (key must still exist in leaf). Insert index entry
- pointing to  $L_2$  into parent of L. This can happen recursively: if parent of L grows, need to push up middle key.
- \* Splits "grow" the tree; root split increases height.
- Deleting a data entry:
- \* Start at root, find leaf L where entry belongs
- \* Remove the entry
- \* If L is at least half full, done
- st Otherwise, if L has only d-1 entries, ullet B-Tree Prefix Key Compression: Increase try to redistribute, borrowing from sibling (adjacent node with same parent)
- \* If redistribution fails, merge L and sibling
- \* If merge occurred, must delete entry from parent (pointing to merged node) \* Merge can propagate to root, decreasing

# height of the tree

- Hash-Based Indexes:
- Good for equality selections - Index is a collection of buckets. Each bucket = primary page plus zero or more overflow pages (called static hashing). Buckets con-
- tain data entries. (data entry for) record r belongs. h looks at the search key fields of r.
- Alternatives for Data Entry k\* in index:
- In a data entry  $k^*$  we can store: an actual data record, or <k, RID>, or <k, list of
- Choice of alternative for entries is orthogonal to the indexing technique
- · Alternative 1: data records live in index
- Index structure is actually a file organization for the data records
- At most one index on a given collection of data can use this Alternative
- If data records are very large, # of leaf pages containing data entries is high. • Alternatives 2 and 3: Key/RID or
- Data entries are typically much smaller than data records
- Alternative 3 is more compact but leads to variable-sized data entries, even if the search keys are of fixed length

Key/RIDlist:

- Primary vs Secondary: if search key contains the primary key, index is called the primary index
- Clustered vs Unclustered: If order of data records is the same as (or close to) the order of stored data records then index is called a clustered index.
- A back of the envelope cost model:
- B: the number of data pages
- D: average time to read or write a disk
- F: average fanout for a non-leaf page
- Composite search keys: search on a combi- Bitmap Indexes: nation of fields
- Equality query: every field value is equal to a constant value
- Range query: some field value doesn't have equality test
- Data entries in index sorted by search key to support range queries
- ISAM: Index-Sequential Access Method
- binary search index then scan the page.
- Static structure, inserts and deletes only affect leaf or overflow pages.
- If index is very large, recursively create a second layer (and so on). - File Creation: Leaf pages first allocated se-
- quentially, sorted by search key; then index pages allocated, and then overflow pages. Index entries: <key value, page id>; they 'direct' searches for data entries which are
- in leaf pages Search: Start at root; use key comparisons to go to leaf. I/O cost  $\propto \log_F N$  where F =
- # entries/index pg, N = # leaf pgs - Insert: Find leaf where data entry belongs and put it there, using overflow page if nec-
- essarv. Delete: Finda nd remove from leaf; if empty overflow page, deallocate
- fan-out by reducing the size of search keys on interior nodes. key values only direct traffic so we only need the minimum length
- for that
- Bulk Loading of a B+ Tree - Creating a new B+ tree by inserting one at
- a time is very slow, bulk loading is better Initialization: Sort all data entries, insert pointer to first (leaf) page in a new (root)
- Index entries for leaf pages always entered into right-most index page just above leaf
- level. When this fills up it splits. Hashing function h:  $h(r) = \text{bucket in which} \bullet \text{Log-Structured Merge Tree}$ : Sequential trees of exponentially larger size. Inserts go to smallest smallest tree, deletes insert tombstone records, spill to next-deeper level
  - on overflow • R-Tree: Tree of rectangles, search for inter-

## sections between them

- Static Hash-based Indexes: # primary pages is fixed, allocated sequentially, never de-allocated; overflow pages if
- needed  $-h(k) \mod M = \text{bucket(page)}$  to which • Query Processing data entry with key k belongs (M = #
- buckets) Buckets contain data entries
- Hash function works on search key field of record r. Must distribute over range 0...M - 1
- h(key) = (a \* key + b) usually works well; a and b are constants to tune hLong overflow chains can develop and de-
- grade performance. · Extendible Hashing:

- full. Solved by doubling number of buckets instead of using an overflow page
- Use directory wich pointers to buckets. Double the number of buckets by doubling the directory and splitting buckets as
- Only one bucket at a time splits. No overflow pages
- Global Depth is the last d bits after hashing and indexes into the directory to determine which bucket is used
- Local Depth is used for each bucket. If the LD == GD and the bucket splits, the directory must double.

- Index which allows for fast equality checks. Order the records in some O(1) way and maintain one or more bit vectors storing their values for particular fields.
- One bitmap for each distinct domain value. and one bitmap for NULL if the column can be null.
- Can use XOR operation to reduce number of maps needed by one.
- External Sorting:
- Goal: Need to sort more data than will fit in memory, efficiently,
- 2-Way Sort: Requires 3 buffers \* Pass 0: read a page, sort it, write it out
- (only one buffer page used) \* Pass 1, 2, 3, ...: Read and merge pairs of runs. (Three buffer pages are used)
- Sorting  $N = 2^k Pages of Data$ :
- \* Pass 0: read, sort, write  $\rightarrow 2^k$  1-page runs \* Pass 1: Read + merge 1-page pairs, write
- $\rightarrow 2^{k-1}$  2-page runs \* Pass 2: Read + merge 2-page pairs, write  $\rightarrow 2^{k-2}$  4-page runs
- Pass k-1: Read + merge 2<sup>k-2</sup>-page pairs, write  $\rightarrow 22^{k-1}$ -page runs
- Pass k: Read + merge 2<sup>k-1</sup>-page pairs, write  $\rightarrow 12^k$ -page result 2-Way External merge sort: N pages in file
- $\implies \lceil \log_2 N \rceil + 1 \text{ passes, total I/O cost}$  $=2N\left(\lceil \log_2 N \rceil + 1\right)$
- General external merge sort: \* Sorting a file with N pages using B buffer
- pages. \* Pass 0: use B buffer pages. Produce
- $\lceil N/B \rceil$  sorted runs of B pages each.
- \* Pass 2, ... etc: merge b-1 runs
- \* Number of passes:  $1 + \lceil \log_{B-1} \lceil N/B \rceil \rceil$
- \* Cost = 2N \* (# of passes)- Double Buffering \* To reduce wait time for I/O request to
- complete, can prefetch into shadow block \* Potentially more passes; in practice, most
- files still sorted in 2-3 passes.
- B+ Tree as "Sorted Access Path" \* Scenario: table to be retrieved in some order has a B+ tree index on the ordering
- \* Idea: retrieve records in order by traversing the B+ tree's leaf pages
- Very good idea if the tree is clustered, oth-

# erwise probably a bad idea

columns

- Access Paths: \* An access path is a method of retrieving tuples: file scan or index that matches a selection in the query
- \* A tree index matches (a conjunction of) terms that involve only attributes in a prefix of the search key. \* A hash index matches (a conjunction of)
- terms that has a term attribute = value for every attribute in the search key of the in-
- Situation: bucket (primary page) becomes Selection conditions often first converted to

## be in CNF (ANDing of ORs)

- One approach to selections:
- \* Find the most selective access path, retrieve tuples using it, then apply any remaining terms which don't match the index
- \* Most selective access path: an index or file scan that we estimate will require the fewest page I/Os
- \* Terms that match this index reduce the number of tuples retrieved; other terms used to filter the retrieved tuples on the fly, but don't prevent retrieval of the tuples/pages
- Using an index for selections: cost depends on # qualifying tuples and clustering
- \* Cost of finding qualifying data entries (typically small) plus cost of retrieving the actual records themselves (can be large without clustering)
- Duplicate Elimination
- \* Relational algebra projection removes duplicates: SQL systems don't remove duplicates unless the keyword DISTINCT is spec-
- \* Sorting approach: sort on <sid, bid> and remove duplicates. Can optimize by dropping unwanted columns while sorting.
- \* Hashing approach: hash on <sid, bid> to create partitions. Load partitions into memory one at a time, build an in-memory hash structure, and eliminate duplicates within it.
- Notation:
- \* Pages in a heap relation R: Pages R
- \* Tuples per page for a relation R: TPPR
- \* Number of tuples in R: Card R
- $* \ \operatorname{Card}_R = \operatorname{Pages}_R * \operatorname{TPP}_R$
- Simple Nested Loops Join: foreach tuple in R, for each tuple in S, if  $r_i == s_i$  then add <r.s> to result.
- \* For each tuple in the *outer* relation R we scan the entire inner relation S. Cost:  $Pages_R + Card_R * Pages_S$
- \* Page-oriented nested loops join: for each page of R get each page of S and write out matching pairs of tuples
- Index Nested Loops
- \* If there is an index on the join column of one relation, can make it the inner and exploit the index. Cost: Pages<sub>R</sub> + Card<sub>R</sub> \* cost of finding matching S tuples
- \* For each R tuple, cost of probing S index is about 1.2 for hash index, 2-4 for B+ tree. Cost of then finding S tuples (assuming alt. 2 or 3) depends on clustering. Clustered typically 1 I/O, unclustered up to 1 I/O per matching S tuple.
- Block Nexted Loops Join
- \* Use one page as an input buffer for scanning the inner S, one pas as the output buffer, and use all remaining pages to hold "block" of pages of outer R.
- \* For each block of R, hash each data entry to a hash table. Then compare all entries in S.
- Join: Sort-Merge  $(R \bowtie_{i=j} S)$
- \* Sort R and S on the join column, then scan them to do a 'merge' (on join column) • The Projection Operation and then output result tupls.
- \* R is scanned once; each S group is scanned once per matching R tuple.
- Statistics and Catalogs
- \* Catalogs typically contain at least: # tuples and # pages in each relation; # distinct key values and # pages for each index; index height, low/high key values for each tree index
- \* Catalogs updated periodically: updating each time data changes is too expensive and approximation is fine
- Cost estimation: For each plan must esti-
- \* Estimate Cost of each operation in plan-

- tree: depends on input cardinalities
- \* Estimate Size of result for each operation in the tree; for seelctions and joins assume independence of predicates
- Size estimation and reduction factors
- \* Maximum # of tuples in result is the product of cardinalities in the FROM clause
- \* Reduction Factor RF associated with each term reflects the impact of term in reducing result size
- Grace Hash-Join
- \* Like a two-phase index nested loop join
- \* Build phase: Partition both relations using hash function h: R tuples in partition i will only match S tuples in partition i.
- \* Match phase: Read in a partition of R, hash it using  $h_2(<>h)$ . Scan matching partition of S searching for its R matches.
- # partitions  $k \leq B-1$  and Pages<sub>R</sub>/k (size of largest partition to be held in memory) < B - 1.
- \* Assuming uniformy-sized partitions and maximizing k: k = B - 1 and Pages<sub>R</sub>/k <  $B-1 \text{ so } (B-1)^2 > \text{Pages}_R \implies B >$  $\sqrt{\operatorname{Pages}_R}$
- \* Can hash-join recursively to reduce the amount of memory needed
- In build phase: Read + write both relations:  $2 * (Pages_R + Pages_S)$
- \* In match phase: Read both relations;  $Pages_R + Pages_S$  I/Os
- Sort-Merge Join vs Hash Join:
- \* Given a a reasonable amount of memory  $(B > \sqrt{\text{Pages}_R})$ , both have cost 3 \*  $(Pages_R + Pages_s)$  I/Os
- \* Hash join is superior is relation sizes differ greatly because it needs less memory, and • Aggregate operations (AVG, MIN...) is also highly parallelizable
- \* Sort-Merge is less sensitive to data skew and its result is sorted
- More general join conditions:
- \* Equalities over several attributes: for INL, build index on composite key. For sortmerge and hash join, sort/hash-partition on the combination of the join columns
- \* Inequality conditions: for INL, need (clustered!) B+ tree index; hash-join not usable; merge-join possible; block NL the best

## • Two Approaches to General Selections

- First Approach: Find the most selective access path, retrieve tuples using it, and apply any remaining terms which don't match the index
- \* Most selective access path: an index or file scan that we estimate will require the fewest page I/Os
- \* Terms that match this index reduce # of tuples retrieved; other terms are used to discard some retrieved tuples but do not reduce number of pages read
- Second approach: Intersection of RIDs
- \* Get sets of RIDs for data records using each matching index
- \* Then intersect these sets
- \* Finally retrieve the records and apply any remaining terms
- An approach based on sorting:
- \* Modify pass 0 of external sort so that it also elminates unwanted fields. Thus, runs of pages are produced, but tuples in runs are smaller than input tuples.
- Modify merging passes to eliminate duplicates (!). Thus, # of result tuples is smaller than number of tuples in input.
- \* Cost: in pass 0, read original relation (M pages), write out same tuples but fewer columns. In merging passes, fewer tuples written out in each pass.
- Projection based on hashing:
- \* Partitioning phase: Read R using one

- input buffer. For each tuple, discard unwanted fields and use hash function  $h_1$ (tuple) to pick one of the B-1 output
- \* Duplicate elimination phase: For each partition, read it in, and build an in-memory hash table with hash function  $h_2 \neq h_1$ on "wanted" fields while discarding duplicates (!).
- \* Cost: For partitioning, read R, write out each tuple, but with fewer fields. Less data read in next phase.
- Relational Set Operations
- Intersection and Cross-Product are special cases of Join.
- \* Intersection does Join matching all columns in join predicates
- \* Cross-Product does Join matching no columns in join predicates
- Union (which is DISTINCT not ALL) and Except are similar, here is a sorting-based approach to Union:
- \* Sort both relations (on combination of all attributes)
- \* Scan sorted relations and merge them, discarding duplicates
- \* Alternative: merge runs from pass 0 for both relations (!) discarding duplicates.
- Hash-based approach to Union (from Grace)
- \* Partition both R and S using hash function  $h_1$
- \* For each S-partition build in-memory hash table using  $h_2$ , then scan corresponding R-partition, adding truly new S tuples to hash table while discarding duplicates
- Without grouping: In general, requires scanning the full relation. Given an index whose search key includes all attributes in the SELECT/WHERE clauses, can do an index- • Intermediate result size estimation: only scan
- With grouping:
- \* Sort on group-by attributes, then scan relation and compute the aggregate for each
- \* Or, similar approach using hashing
- \* Given tree index whose search key includes all attributes in SELECT, WHERE, GROUP BY  $\bullet$  Simple selection queries  $\sigma_P$ clauses, can do an index-only scan
- Query Optimization Query blocks: an SQL query is parsed into a collection and they are optimized one block
- at a time Nested subquery blocks are usually treated as calls to a subroutine
- For each block, plans considered are:
- \* All available access methods for each relation in the FROM clause
- \* All left-deep join trees i.e. all ways to join the relations one-by-one
- \* Access an initial relation as outer, then take the next (inner) relation in the FROM clause, considering all relation permutations and join methods
- Relational Algebra Equivalences allow us to Two-way Equijoin Predicates choose different join orders and to 'push' selections and projects ahead of joins
- \* Selections:  $\sigma_{c_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge c_n}(R)$  $\sigma_{c_1}(\ldots\sigma_{c_n}(R))$
- \* Selections:  $\sigma_{c_1}(\sigma_{c_2}(R)) = \sigma_{c_2}(\sigma_{c_1}(R)) |Q| \approx (|R| * |S|) / \max(|\pi_A(R)|, |\pi_B(S)|)$ \* Projections:  $\pi_{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_n(R) = \bullet$  Lock types:
- $\pi_{a_1}(\dots(\pi_{a_n}(R)))$ \* Joins:  $R \bowtie (S \bowtie T) = (R \bowtie S) \bowtie T$
- \* Joins:  $(R \bowtie S) = (S \bowtie R)$
- \* A projection commutes with a selection that only uses the attributes retained by • TRANSACTION SCHEDULING the projection
- \* Selection between attributes of the two arguments of a cross-product converts the cross-product to a join
- \* A selection on just attributes of R commutes with  $R \bowtie S$ :  $\sigma(R \bowtie S) = \sigma(R) \bowtie$

- \* Similarly if a projection follows a join  $R \bowtie$ S we can push parts of the projection into R and S
- Cost Estimates for Single-Relation Plans:
- \* Index I on primary key matching selection: cost is height of I+1 for a B+ tree, about 1.2 for a hash index
- \* Clustered index I matching one or more selects:  $(Pages_I + Pages_R)*$  product of RF's of matching selects
- \* Non-clustered index I matching one or more selects:  $(Tuples_R)*$  product of RF's of matching selects
- \* Sequential scan of file: Pages R.
- Enumeration of Left-Deep Plans
- \* Left-Deep plans differ only in the order of relations, the access method, and the join method
- Enumerated using N stages: stage 1 finds best plan for each relation, stage 2 finds best plan joining result of each 1-relation plan to another relation (all 2-relation plans), and so on
- \* For each subset of relations, retain only WRITE-AHEAD LOGS the cheapest plan overall and the cheapest plan for interesting order of tuples
- Interesting orders: A given data order is inlater on
- \* Ordering on Join attributes
- \* Ordering on GROUP BY attributes
- \* Ordering on DISTINCT attributes
- \* Ordering on ORDER BY attributes
- A partial plan on k relations is combined with an additional relation only if there is a join condition between them, except if • all join predicates in the WHERE clause combining the k relations with another relation have been used up
- For each relation R: Cardinality |R|, avg R-tuple width, and # of pages in R
- For each indexed attribute of R: \* Number of distinct values  $|\pi_A(R)|$
- \* Range of values (low to high)
- \* Number of index leaf pages
- \* Number of index levels (if B+ tree)
- Equality predicate (p is " A = val")
- \*  $|Q| \approx |R|/|\pi_A(R)|$ \* R's cardinality divided by the number of distinct A values, assumes all values equally likely
- Range predicate (p is " $val_1 \le A \le val_2$ ")  $* |Q| \approx |R| * ((val_2 - val_1))$
- 1)/((high(R.A) low(R.A))))\* Selected range size divided by full range size, assumes all values equally likely
- \* Conjunctive (" $p_1$  and  $p_2$ "):  $RF_p \approx$

Boolean selection predicates

- $RF_{p_1} * RF_{p_2}$ \* Negative ("not  $p_1$ "):  $RF_p \approx 1 RF_{p_1}$
- \* Disjunctive (" $p_1$  or  $p_2$ "):  $RF_p \approx RF_{p_1} + RF_{p_2} (RF_{p_1} * RF_{p_2})$
- Query Q: R join S on R.A = S.B
- Assume join value set containment (foreign key/primary key)
- $-\pi_A(R)$  is a subset of  $\pi_B(S)$  or vice versa
- S is a read-lock and is compatible with other S locks
- X is a write-lock and is incompatible with all locks
- A serializable schedule is equivalent to a serial schedule of committed transactions
- Cascading Rollbacks: If transaction  $T_2$  is dependent on data written by  $T_1$ , and  $T_1$  is rolled back,  $T_2$  must be rolled back as well.

- ACR Avoid Cascading Rollbacks schedule prevents this
- TWO-PHASE LOCKING 2PL
- \* If transaction T wants to read/modify an object it first obtains an S or X lock
- \* If T releases a lock it can acquire no new locks
- \* Guarantees serializability
- \* Strict 2PL: hold all locks until the commit point, guarantees ACR Thm: A schedule is conflict serializable iff
- its precedence graph is acyclic.
- Thm: 2PL ensures that the precedence graph will be acyclic.
- Repeatable read: long-term R/W locks on real objects; read only committed records. between two reads by the same transaction, no updates by another transaction
- Read committed: long-term W locks, short-
- Read uncommitted: read ignoring locks!
- Append to the log any time a transaction updates a record with the 'before' and 'after' values
- teresting if it has the potential to save work Append to the log any time a transaction
  - Write the log to disk before writing the
  - mize crash recovery time; includes a description of transactions that were active and (possibly) dirty pages at time it started

  - Analysis: read the most recent checkpoint, scan log forward to id all transactions that
  - pool and redo all updates in log by applying after values of their updates
  - which were active at the crash as well as all rolled by transactions

  - \* B: the number of data pages
  - \* D: average time to read or write a page
  - \* F: average fanout for a non-leaf page

  - Heap

  - \* Equality: 0.5BD
  - \* Insert: 2D

  - Sorted \* Scan: BD
  - \* Equality:  $D \log_2 B$
  - \* Range:  $D(\log_2 B + P)$ \* Insert: Search + BD
  - \* Delete: Search + BD
  - \* Equality:  $D \log_F 1.5B$

  - \* Insert: Search + D
  - Unclust. Tree
  - \* Scan: BD(R + 0.15)
  - \* Range:  $D(\log_F 0.15B + P)$
  - \* Delete: Search + 2D
  - Unclust. Hash
  - \* Equality: 2D
  - \* Range: BD
  - \* Delete: Search + 2D

- ISOLATION LEVELS
- Serializable: default, long-term R/W locks on phantoms too
- term R locks: read only committed records

- commits
- Create a checkpoint periodically to mini-
- CRASH RECOVERY: 3 phases
- were active + dirty pages in buffer pool Redo: write out all dirty pages in buffer
- Undo: Undo all the writes of transactions
- Indexes:
- Terms:
- \* R: number of records per page

- \* P: # matching pages
- \* Scan: BD
- \* Range: BD
- \* Delete: Search + D

- Clustered \* Scan: 1.5BD
- \* Range:  $D(\log_F 1.5B + P)$
- \* Delete: Search + D
- Equality: D(1 + log<sub>F</sub> 0.15B)
- \* Insert: Search + 2D
- \* Scan: BD(R + 0.125)
- \* Insert: Search + 2D