

## Syntax

- $e ::= x$   
 $\mid \lambda x \rightarrow e$   
 $\mid e_1 e_2$
- Programs are *expressions* or  $\lambda$ -terms
- Variable*:  $x, y, z$
- Abstraction*: (aka nameless function definition)  $\lambda x \rightarrow e$  means “for any  $x$ , compute  $e$ ”;  $x$  is the *formal parameter*,  $e$  is the *body*
- Application*: (aka function call)  $e_1 e_2$  means “apply  $e_1$  to  $e_2$ ”;  $e_1$  is the *function* and  $e_2$  is the *argument*
- Syntactic Sugar*: convenient notation used as a shorthand for valid syntax

-- instead of:                      we write:

```

\lambda x -> (\lambda y -> (\lambda z -> e))  \lambda x -> \lambda y -> \lambda z -> e
\lambda x -> \lambda y -> \lambda z -> e      \lambda x y z -> e
(((e1 e2) e3) e4)              e1 e2 e3 e4

```

- Scope of a variable* The part of a program where a *variable is visible*
- In the expression  $\lambda x \rightarrow e$ 
  - $x$  is the newly-introduced variable
  - $e$  is the *scope* of  $x$
  - Any occurrence of  $x$  in  $\lambda x \rightarrow e$  is *bound* (by the *binder*  $\lambda x$ )
  - An occurrence of  $x$  in  $e$  is *free* if it is **not bound** by an enclosing abstraction
- Free Variables*: A variable  $x$  is *free* if there exists a free occurrence of  $x$  in  $e$  (not bound as a formal)
- Closed Expressions*: if  $e$  has no free variables it is *closed*
- $\alpha$ -step (renaming formals): we can rename a formal parameter and replace all its occurrences in the body
- $\beta$ -step (aka function call)
  - $(\lambda x \rightarrow e_1) e_2 \Rightarrow e_1[x := e_2]$
  - $e_1[x := e_2]$  means “ $e_1$  with all free occurrences of  $x$  replaced with  $e_2$ ”
  - Computation is **search and replace**: if you see an *abstraction* applied to an argument, take the *body* of the abstraction and replace all free occurrences of the *formal* by that argument
- Normal Forms*:
  - A *redex* is a  $\lambda$ -term of the form  $(\lambda x \rightarrow e_1) e_2$
  - A  $\lambda$ -term is in *normal form* if it contains no redexes
- Evaluation*:
  - A  $\lambda$ -term  $e$  evaluates to  $e'$  if there is a sequence of steps

```

e =>? e_1 =>? ... =>? e_N =>? e'

-- each =>? is either =>a> or =>b> and N >= 0
-- e' is in normal form
-- e1 ==> e2: e1 reduces to e2 in 0 or more steps
-- e1 ==> e2: e1 evaluates to e2
• Ω: (λx -> x x) (λx -> x x)
• Recursion: Fixpoint Combinator

```

```

FIX STEP
==> STEP (FIX STEP)

```

- $\text{FIX} = \lambda \text{stp} \rightarrow (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{stp } (x \text{ } x)) (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{stp } (x \text{ } x))$
- Quicksort in Haskell*

```

sort :: [a] -> [a]
sort [] = []
sort (x:xs) = sort ls ++ [x] ++ sort rs
  where
    ls = [ l | l <- xs, l <= x ]
    rs = [ r | r <- xs, x < r ]

```

- Functions in Haskell*
  - Functions are *first-class values*
  - can be *passes as arguments* to other functions
  - can be *returned as results* from other functions
  - can be *partially applied* (arguments passed *one at a time*)
- Top-level bindings*:
  - Things can be defined globally
  - Their names are called *top-level variables*
  - Their definitions are called *top-level bindings*
- Equations and Patterns*

```

pair x y b = if b then x else y
fst p      = p True
snd p      = p False

```

- A single function binding can have multiple equations with different *patterns* of parameters

- The first equation whose pattern matches the actual arguments is chosen
- Referential Transparency* means that a variable can be defined *once per scope* and *no mutation is allowed*; the same function always evaluates to the same value
- Local variables* can be defined using a **let** expression

```

sum 0 = 0
sum n = let n' = n - 1
         in n + sum n'

```

- Syntactic sugar for nested **let** expressions:

```

sum 0 = 0
sum n = let
          n'      = n - 1
          sum'    = sum n'
        in n + sum'

```

- If you need a variable whose scope is an equation, use the **where** clause instead:

```

cmpSquare x y | x > z  = "bigger :)"
               | x == z = "same :|"
               | x < z  = "smaller :("

  where z = y * y

```

- Types*:
  - In Haskell every expression either *has a type* or is *ill-typed* and rejected at compile-time
  - Types can be annotated using ::

```

haskellIsAwesome :: Bool
haskellIsAwesome = True

```

- Functions have *arrow types*
- $\lambda x \rightarrow e$  has type  $A \rightarrow B$
- If  $e$  has type  $B$  assuming  $x$  has type  $A$
- A *Combinator* is a function with *no free variables*
- Lists*:
  - A list is either an *empty list*:  $[]$
  - Or a *head element* attached to a *tail list*:  $x:xs$

```

[]           -- A list with zero elements
1:[]         -- A list with one element
(:) 1 []     -- A list with one element
1:(2:(3:(4:[]))) -- A list with four elements
1:2:3:4:[]   -- Same thing
[1,2,3,4]    -- Syntactic sugar

```

- $[]$  and  $:$  are called the list *constructors*
- A list has type  $[A]$  if each one of its elements has type  $A$
- Pairs*: the constructor is  $(,)$

```

myPair :: (String, Int)
myPair = ("apple", 3)

```

- Record Syntax*:
  - Instead of:

```

data Date = Date Int Int Int

```

- You can write:

```

data Date = Date {
  month  :: Int,
  day    :: Int,
  year   :: Int
}

```

- Use the field name as a function to access part of the data:

```

deadlineDate = Date 1 10 2019
deadlineMonth = month deadlineDate

```

- Building data types:
  - Product* types (each-of): a value of  $T$  contains a value of  $T_1$  *and* a value of  $T_2$
  - Sum* types (one-of): a value of  $T$  contains a value of  $T_1$  *or* a value of  $T_2$
  - Recursive* types: a value of  $T$  contains a *sub-value* of the same type  $T$
- Pattern Matching*:

```

html :: Paragraph -> String

```

```

html (Text str)      = ...
html (Heading lvl str) = ...
html (List ord items) = ...

```

- Match for arbitrary data types
- Dangers: *missing* or *overlapped* patterns
- Pattern matching expression

```

html :: Paragraph -> String
html p =
  case p of
    Text str      -> ...
    Heading lvl str -> ...
    List ord items -> ...

```

- The **case** expression has type  $T$  if every output expression has type  $T$  and the input is a valid pattern for the type; the input expression is called the *match scrutinee*
- Tail Recursion*: The recursive call is the *top-most* sub-expression in the function body; no computations allowed on recursively-returned body; the value returned by the recursive call is the value returned by the function
- Tail-recursive factorial:

```

loop acc n
  | n <= 1  = acc
  | otherwise = loop (acc * n) (n - 1)

```

- Tail recursive calls compile to fast loops automatically
- The *Filter* pattern:

```

filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
filter f [] = []
filter f (x:xs)
  | f x      = x : filter f xs
  | otherwise = filter f xs

```

- Higher-order function which takes function  $f$  and a list as arg
- For each element  $x$  in the list, if  $f \ x == \text{True}$  then  $x$  will be in the output list
- The *Map* pattern:

```

map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map f [] = []
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs

```

- Higher order function which takes a function  $f$  and a list as arg
- For each element  $x$  in the input list,  $f \ x$  will be in the output list
- The *Fold-Right* pattern:

```

foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f b [] = b
foldr f b (x:xs) = f x (foldr f b xs)

```

- Higher order function which recurses on the tail
- Combines result with the head in some binary operation
- $\text{len} = \text{foldr } (\lambda x \ n \rightarrow 1 + n) \ 0$
- $\text{sum} = \text{foldr } (\lambda x \ n \rightarrow x + n) \ 0$
- $\text{cat} = \text{foldr } (\lambda x \ n \rightarrow x ++ n) \ ""$
- The *Fold-Left* pattern:

```

foldl :: (a -> b -> a) -> a -> [b] -> a
foldl f b xs = helper b xs
  where
    helper acc [] = acc
    helper acc (x:xs) = helper (f acc x) xs

```

- Higher order function uses a helper function with an extra accumulator argument
- To compute the new accumulator, combine the urrent accumulator with the head using some binary operation
- Useful HOFs:
  - Flip**: flips the order of the input args

```

flip :: (a -> b -> c) -> b -> a -> c

```

- Compose**: compose functions

```

(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c

```

- \* When we have to pick a type  $T$  for  $x$  we pick a **fresh** type variable  $a$
- \* So the type of  $\lambda x \rightarrow x$  comes out as  $a \rightarrow a$
- \* We can **generalize** this type to  $\text{forall } a . a \rightarrow a$
- \* When we apply `id` the first time we *instantiate* this polymorphic type with `Int`
- \* When we apply `id` the second time we *instantiate* this polymorphic type with `Int`  $\rightarrow$  `Int`

- \* Example:  $U1 = [a / \text{Int}, b / (c \rightarrow c)]$
- \* To apply a substitution  $U$  to a type  $T$  means replace all type vars in  $T$  with whatever they are mapped to in  $U$
- \* Example:  $U1 (a \rightarrow a) = \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

- \* Given a context  $G$  and an expression  $e$
- \* return a type  $T$  such that  $G \vdash e : T$
- \* or report a type error if  $e$  is ill-typed in  $G$
- \* Depending on what kind of expression  $e$  is, find a typing rule that applies to
- \* If the rule has premises, recursively call **infer** to obtain the types of sub-expressions

- \* If no rule applies, report a type error

- \* Whenever you need to ‘guess’ a type, don’t. Just use a *fresh* type variable
- \* Whenever a rule imposes a constraint on a type, try to find the right substitution for the free type variables to satisfy the constraint

- \* The *unification* problem: given two types  $T1$  and  $T2$ , find a type substitution  $U$  such that  $U\ T1 = U\ T2$
- \* Such a substitution is called a *unifier* of  $T1$  and  $T2$

- \* Whenever we infer a type for a let-defined variable, generalize
- \* Whenever we see a variable with a polymorphic type, instantiate it with a fresh type variable

- Want to guarantee properties about programs such as: all programs terminate, evaluations is deterministic, certain programs never fail at run time, etc

- \* Defines how to execute a program step by step
- \* A *step relation* or *reduction relation*  $e \Rightarrow e'$  as: “expression  $e$  makes a step to an expression  $e'$ ”
- \* Defined inductively through a set of rules

- \* A reduction is *valid* if we can build its *derivation* by “stacking” the rules
- **Normal forms:**
  - \* There are no reduction rules for **n** or **x**
  - \* Both of these expressions are normal forms already; however, **n** is a *value* and **x** is *not a value*
  - \* Thus if we reduce to just **x** the program is *stuck*
- **Evaluation relation:**
  - \* Like in  $\lambda$ -calculus we define the *multi-step reduction* relation  $e \Rightarrow^* e'$  iff there exists a sequence of expressions  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  such that  $e = e_1$ ,  $e_n = e'$ , and  $e_i \Rightarrow^1 e_{i+1}$  for each  $i$  in  $0..n$
  - \* The evaluation relation  $e \Rightarrow^* e'$  iff  $e \Rightarrow^* e'$  and  $e'$  is in normal form

- ```
-- examples
map f xs    = [f x | x <- xs]
filter p xs = [x | x <- xs, p x]
concat xss  = [x | xs <- xss, x <- xs]
```

```
insert :: Int -> Tree -> Tree
insert x Empty = Node x Empty Empty
insert x (Node y l r)
  | x == y    = Node y l r
  | x < y     = Node y (insert x l) r
  | otherwise = Node y l (insert x r)
```

\* G | - e :: T

```
-- sets
EMPTY  = \x -> FALSE
INSERT = \n s x -> ITE (EQL n x) TRUE (s x)
HAS    = \s x -> s x
INTERSECT = \s1 s2 x -> AND (s1 x) (s2 x)
```