

# Mixed Non-Bayesian and DeGroot Learning

Pedro d'Aquino  
pdaquino@umich.edu

Dan Clark  
ddclark@umich.edu

December 11, 2012

## 1 Introduction

[2] [1]

## 2 The Model

### 2.1 The Network

(Mixed Non-Bayesian and DeGroot nodes)

### 2.2 States and Signals

We will represent the state of the world as a probability distribution:

$$p^*(x) = \begin{cases} m^* \cdot x + \frac{1}{2}(2 - m^*) & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The slope  $\{m^* \in \mathbf{R} : -2 \leq m^* \leq 2\}$  will be the defining characteristic of the distribution. We have chosen to bound  $m^*$  between -2 and 2 and add the term  $\frac{1}{2}(2 - m^*)$  so that the support of  $p^*(x)$  is always over  $[0, 1]$ . The value of the parameter  $m^*$  is the “true” state that each node is trying to learn.

At each timestep  $t$ , every Non-Bayesian node  $i$  will receive a signal  $s_{i,t}$  which is a value drawn from  $p^*(x)$ . These observations will be used by the nodes in the network to construct a belief about  $m^*$ .

## 2.3 Representing Belief States

For a DeGroot node  $i$ , we represent the belief state of  $i$  at time  $t$  as a single value  $m_{i,t} \in \mathbf{R}$ . However, belief of Non-Bayesian nodes is a probability distribution over a finite set of possible states of the world. There is thus a tension between these two schemes and it is necessary to develop a conversion between the belief states of Non-Bayesian and DeGroot nodes.

### 2.3.1 Belief in Non-Bayesian Nodes

To represent a belief about the continuous slope value  $m^*$  for a Non-Bayesian node we discretize  $m$  in the following manner. Let  $\Theta = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ ,  $n < \infty$  be the discrete set of possible states of the world over which each Non-Bayesian node holds a distribution of belief. Each  $\theta_k$  corresponds to the belief that the slope of  $p^*(x)$  is equal to  $m_k$  where

$$\hat{m}_k = \frac{4k}{n-k} - 2 \quad (2)$$

As an example, if we take  $n = 21$  belief states, then we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta_0 : m^* = -2.0 \\ \theta_1 : m^* = -1.9 \\ \dots \\ \theta_{20} : m^* = 2.0 \end{array} \right.$$

For each Non-Bayesian node  $i$ , the belief at time  $t$  that  $\theta_k$  is the true state of the world is denoted by  $\mu_{i,t}(\theta_k)$ . Thus  $\{\mu_{i,t}(\theta_1), \mu_{i,t}(\theta_2), \dots, \mu_{i,t}(\theta_n)\}$  is a probability distribution over the set of world states for fixed  $i, t$ .

## 2.4 Learning in DeGroot Nodes

DeGroot nodes in our model do not directly receive signals; they act merely as message-passers in the network. For the most part our DeGroot nodes behave in a fashion similarly to nodes in a standard DeGroot-style network. That is, a DeGroot node's  $i$ 's belief of the true slope value  $m^*$  is given at time  $t + 1$  as

$$m_{i,t+1} = \sum_{j \in N(i)} a_{ij} m_{j,t} \quad (3)$$

where  $N(i)$  denotes the neighbors of  $i$ .  $a_{ij}$  represents the level of “trust” that a DeGroot node  $i$  has in neighbor  $j$ , such that

$$\sum_{j \in N(i)} a_{ij} = 1$$

for all DeGroot nodes  $i$ . Thus the DeGroot update in equation 3 is simply a weighted average of its neighbors' beliefs in the previous timestep.

When a DeGroot node with a Non-Bayesian neighbor performs an update it must obtain a value  $m'_{j,t}$  for the belief of this neighbor. This is calculated as

$$m'_{j,t} = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{j,t}(\theta_k) \hat{m}_k \quad (4)$$

Here we are taking a weighted average of the  $\hat{m}_k$  slope values represented by each state  $\theta_k$  as defined in equation 2, where the weights are given by  $j$ 's level of belief in each state.

## 2.5 Learning in Non-Bayesian Nodes

The update of a Non-Bayesian node in our model is handled similarly to the method laid out in [2]. We denote the belief of a node  $i$  that it will receive the signal  $s_i$  at time  $t$  as  $m_{i,t}(s_i)$ , defined as follows:

$$m_{i,t}(s_i) = \int_{\Theta} \ell_i(s_i|\theta) d\mu_{i,t}(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_i(s_i|\theta_k) \mu_{i,t}(\theta_k) \quad (5)$$

In this case the likelihood function  $\ell_i(s_i|\theta_k)$  can be obtained from the probability distribution function represented by the belief state  $\theta_k$ .

$$\ell_i(s_i|\theta_k) = \hat{m}_k s_i + \frac{1}{2}(2 - \hat{m}_k) \quad (6)$$

where  $\hat{m}_k$  is defined as in equation 2.

The update of a node's belief in each state  $\theta_k$  for a given time period  $t$  is then given by

$$\mu_{i,t+1}(\theta_k) = a_{ii} \mu_{i,t}(\theta_k) \frac{\ell_i(\omega_{i,t+1}|\theta_k)}{m_{i,t}(\omega_{i,t+1})} + \sum_{j \in N(i)} a_{ij} \mu_{j,t}(\theta_k) \quad (7)$$

Here the first term is the Bayesian update of the belief  $\mu_{i,t}(\theta_k)$  after observing the signal  $\omega_{i,t+1}$ , multiplied by the node's self reliance  $a_{ii}$ . The summation is the linear incorporation of the beliefs of  $i$ 's neighbors.

This update differs from the previous work in two major ways. Firstly, we provide each Non-Bayesian node with only a single signal per timestep, where this signal is a single draw from the probability distribution  $p^*(x)$ .

Secondly, we must define  $\mu_{j,t}(\theta_k)$  when  $j$  is a DeGroot node. We consider two methods for doing so, defined in sections 2.5.1 and 2.5.2 respectively.

### 2.5.1 Belief Distribution from Draw of Probability Distribution

We require a method for converting a DeGroot node  $j$ 's belief  $m_{j,t} \in \mathbf{R}$  to a series of priors which can be included in a Non-Bayesian node  $i$ 's belief update. The first method we consider involves generating a probability distribution from the  $j$ 's current belief and drawing from this distribution. For each DeGroot neighbor of  $i$  at time  $t$  we define the probability distribution

$$p_{j,t}(x) = \begin{cases} m_{j,t}x + \frac{1}{2}(2 - m_{j,t}) & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

This is simply the distribution which  $j$  believes to be the state of the world. We then draw a single signal  $s'_{j,t}$  from this distribution. We create a belief distribution  $\mu'_{j,t}$  from  $s'_{j,t}$  by performing a Bayesian-style update with equal priors.

$$\mu'_{j,t}(\theta_k) = \frac{\ell_i(s'_{j,t}|\theta_k)}{\ell_i(s'_{j,t}|\theta_0) + \ell_i(s'_{j,t}|\theta_1) + \dots + \ell_i(s'_{j,t}|\theta_n)} \quad (9)$$

This generated belief distribution  $\mu'_{j,t}$  is then used for the values of  $\mu_{j,t}(\theta_k)$  for the DeGroot node  $j$  in the Non-Bayesian update of equation 7.

### 2.5.2 Belief Distribution from Likelihood Distance Metric

The second method we consider for creating a probability distribution over the set of belief states  $\Theta$  is to define an exponential distance metric such that

$$\mu'_{j,t}(\theta_k) \propto e^{-(m_{j,t} - \hat{m}_k)^2} \quad (10)$$

where  $\mu'_{j,t}$  is the belief distribution which is used for the DeGroot node  $j$ 's values of  $\mu_{j,t}(\theta_k)$  in the Non-Bayesian update of equation 7.

Since  $\mu'_{j,t}$  must be a probability distribution we must normalize the values for each state with the value

$$q_{j,t} = \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-(m_{j,t} - \hat{m}_k)^2} \quad (11)$$

Thus the calculation to create the DeGroot node's level of belief in each state  $\theta_k$  is given by

$$\mu'_{j,t}(\theta_k) = e^{-(m_{j,t}-\hat{m}_i)^2}/q_{j,t} \quad (12)$$

## References

- [1] Morris H. DeGroot. Reaching a consensus. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, pages 118–121, 1974.
- [2] Ali Jadbabaie, Pooya Molavi, Alvaro Sandroni, and Alireza Tahbaz-Salehi. Non-bayesian social learning. *Games and Economic Behavior*, pages 210–225, 2012.