

Demographics					
First Name:					
Last Name:	Evaluation Date:				
Date of Birth:	Place of Evaluation:				
Age:	Examiner:				
	Articulation Evaluation Protocol				
speech sound errors that may can significantly affect ability to interactions. By understanding plan to improve overall common the evaluation included the formula of the evaluation included the evaluation included the formula of the evaluation included the eval	ely for their age. The purpose of the evaluation is to identify ar affect ability to communicate effectively. Errors in articulation be understood, academic performance, and social specific speech patterns, we can create a tailored intervention inication skills. Illowing components: Int Background Information Imination Issment Sample Analysis	ny			
challenges and to make inform	us to gain a clear picture of your child's speech strengths and ned recommendations for therapy. All measures were performults of all formal and informal assessments appear to be reliable.	ned			
	Relevant Background Information				
The following relevant informatinterview:	tion was collected via review of case history and through pare	ent			
Birth History -	here				
Medical History - ☐ remar Notes: Your text here	cable □ unremarkable				

Developmental Milestones - Notes: Your text here	□ WNL	☐ Delayed
Language Milestones - Notes: Your text here	WNL	□ Delayed
Environmental history -		
School History -		
Language/s spoken at home -		
Other language exposed to -		
Initial reported observations -		
Other -		
	Oral Mechan	ism Evaluation
assess the adequacy of the st includes evaluating the symmo- structures, as well as breath s	ructures and fun- etry, strength, co upport and moto ons that may imp	anism was performed through observation to ctions of the oral-motor mechanism. This ordination, and range of motion of the oral r control. Observations help identify any eact articulation and speech clarity.
Structure -		
abnormalities in structure or a	lignment.	ed to be symmetrical in shape, with no observed etry noted, with irregularities in shape,
their size, height, and shape a	re consistent wit	axilla were observed to be properly aligned, and the chronological age. Imment, disproportionate size, or shape observed
Teeth and Dental Occlusion ☐ Within Normal Limits: Dental Chronological age, with no not		alignment were observed to be appropriate for alities or malformations.

$\hfill \square$ Areas of Concern Observed: Dental malocclusion observed, impacting potential articulation and oral function
Palatal Arch ☐ Within Normal Limits: the palatal arch was observed to to be symmetrical and of appropriate height and shape for chronological age. ☐ Areas of Concern Observed: High, narrow, or asymmetrical palatal arch observed, which may influence resonance or articulation.
Lips ☐ Within Normal Limits: Lips were observed to be of typical size and shape, with no abnormalities observed at rest or during movement. ☐ Areas of Concern Observed: Structural abnormalities were observed that could impact articulation
Structure Notes:
<u>Function</u> –
Jaw Function ☐ Within Normal Limits: Jaw was observed to demonstrate smooth and controlled movements with adequate stability for speech production. ☐ Areas of Concern Observed: Jaw instability or irregular movements were observed, including open-mouth posture and/or difficulty with grading and lateralization.
Lip Function ☐ Within Normal Limits: Lips showed appropriate strength, retraction, rounding, and closure for speech tasks. ☐ Areas of Concern Observed: Decreased strength, precision, or control was observed in lip movements, impacting articulation (e.g., difficulty with rounding for /o/ or /u/).
Tongue Function ☐ Within Normal Limits: Tongue demonstrated accurate and precise movements for articulation, including elevation, lateralization, and retraction. ☐ Areas of Concern Observed: Decreased tongue control and precision observed, with difficulty in producing lingual sounds or achieving proper placement.
Cheek Function ☐ Within Normal Limits: Cheeks exhibited adequate tone and mobility, supporting efficient speech production. ☐ Areas of Concern Observed: Flaccid or excessively tight cheeks observed, indicating poor tone or underuse of musculature.

Velopharyngeal Function

signs of hype \Box Areas of \Box	ernasality.	nsufficient velophary		during speech, with no oted, leading to
☐ Within No seconds of s☐ Areas of C	ustained phonation	nt breath support obs and appropriate voic Reduced breath cont nd articulation.	e quality.	
rooting and box \Box Areas of \Box	rmal Limits: Reflexe biting reflexes absen Concern Observed: l	t).	reflexes (e.g., r	(e.g., gag reflex present; ooting or biting) that
☐ Within No tongue during☐ Areas of C	g speech tasks.	nconsistent or disorg		I between jaw, lips, and ent patterns observed,
Function Not	es:			
		Speech Sound Ass	essment	
the course of misarticulation (CAAP-2) was connected spart Production of (ASHA). The demonstrate Development	f the evaluation in or on. The <u>Clinical Assesses</u> administered. Add beech. Data was col hart as recommended acquisition of speed "typical" errors and tally appropriate errors	der to measure articessment of Articulation itionally, spontaneou lected and analyzed by The American sch sounds is a develophonological pattern	ulation of sounds on and Phonologs speech was elusing the Age of Speech-Language phonological process during this access in into considerate	icited both in words and f Customary Consonant ge-Hearing Association as and children often quisition period.
Based on relevant infor		ological age at the ti		
Sound	Misarticulated	Position of word	Туре	Detail
/p/				

/b/	
/t/	
/d/	
/k/	
/g/	
/f/	
/v/	
/s/	
/z/	
/sh/	
/ch/	
/j/	
/th/ (voiced)	
/th/ (voiceless	
/r/	
/\/	
	Speech Sample Analysis
picture description of following observations Sound Production Accurate production Substitution erro Omission of sou Distortion of sou	vas collected during spontaneous conversation, play-based activities, and tasks to assess articulation and intelligibility in connected speech. The cons were made: ction of sounds observed. ors noted in conversation. nds observed in conversation. ands noted in conversation. ds observed in conversation.
☐ Other:	

Notes:
Phonological Patterns Cluster reduction Final consonant deletion Weak syllable deletion Fronting of sounds Gliding of liquids Stopping Other: Your text here Notes:
Speech Intelligibility Familiar Listeners: Highly intelligible (90–100%). Moderately intelligible (70–89%). Poor intelligibility (50–69%). Urfamiliar Listeners: Highly intelligible (90–100%). Moderately intelligible (70–89%). Poor intelligibility (50–69%). Very poor intelligibility (50–69%). Very poor intelligibility (50–69%). Notes:
Connected Speech Characteristics Speech is organized and fluent. Disorganized speech noted (e.g., frequent pauses, hesitations). Speech rate is within normal limits. Speech rate is slow, impacting clarity. Speech rate is fast, impacting clarity. Self-corrections observed. Other: Your text here. Notes:
Strengths Observed ☐ Variety of sentence structures used. ☐ Age-appropriate vocabulary observed. ☐ Efforts to self-correct noted. ☐ Other:

Clinical Impressions	

Clinical Impressions	
Based on the results of formal and informal assessment, as well as, parent interview and clinical observation, , a year old, presents with:	
Based on the results from this evaluation, family support, and adherence to recommendation that follow, prognosis for improvement is favorable.	าร
Recommendations	
Based on the information obtained through the assessment tools and parent, the following recommendations are made:	
 □ Continue to monitor feeding and swallowing □ Individual feeding and swallowing therapy is recommended times a week for 	
☐ Implement at home feeding intervention plan focusing on goals targeted in intervention.	

☐ Parent training and education ☐ Referral to: ☐ Other: It has been a pleasure meeting and working with and the family. If you have any questions and/or concerns, feel free to contact us directly via telephone at 786-622-2353 or via email at info@iplcmiami.com. Sincerely,