Results for PEACE Follow Up

October 3, 2018

Contents

1	Pape	er lables	2
2	App	endix Tables	4
3	Extra 3.1	a Tables All conflict and security variables	8 9
	3.2	Subcomponents of Norms and Skills	12
	3.3	Using years-of-treatment as treatment variable	15
	3.4	Effect of being dropped on violence outcomes	18
	3.5	Community-Level Impacts	19
	3.6	Plot-Level Impacts	20
L	ist o	f Tables	
	1	Program impacts on number, length, severity, and resolution of land disputes	2
	2	Program impacts on number, length, severity, and resolution of all dispute types	2
	3	Effect on land security and investment 3-year endline	3
	4	Heterogeneity in land security and investment, 3-year endline	3
	5	Effect on norms, attitudes and skills, 3-year endline	3
	6	Effect on community-level disputes	4
	7	Estimated aggregate effects of the program on violent disputes among the 30,000 households in treat-	
		ment communities	4
	A.1	2008 baseline summary statistics and test of randomization balance	5
		Effects of intense treatment	5
		Heterogeneity by demographics and peace background on conflict	6
		Heterogeneity by demographics and peace background on security and investment	6
		Summary statistics of key outcomes by demographics and peace background	7
	A.6	Questions on Attitudes, Norms and Skills	8
	E.1	Additional p-value corrections, general and land conflict combined	9
	E.2	All land conflict results, endlines 1 and 2	10
	E.3	All general conflict results, endlines 1 and 2	11
	E.4	All land and security results	12
		Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment Bias	12
		Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Defection	13
		Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Forum Choice	13
		Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Managing Emotions	14
		Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Mediation	14
	E.10	Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Negotiation	15
		Resident-Level Effect of years since Treatment on Land Conflicts	16
		Resident-Level Effect of years since Treatment on Land Conflicts: IV	17
		Resident-Level Effect of being dropped on violence outcomes	18
		Community-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Conflicts	19
		Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Investments	20
		Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Security	20
	E 17	Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Main Outcomes	20

1 Paper Tables

Table 1: Program impacts on number, length, severity, and resolution of land disputes

				Endline 1							Endline 2			
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	control mean (%)	Est. p-val (5)	WY Adj. p-val (6)	Holms Adj p-val (7)	Control mean (8)	N (9)	ITT (10)	control mean (%) (11)	Est. p-val (12)	WY Adj. p-val (13)	Holms Ad p-val (14)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents														
Any serious land dispute	0.221	5,435	0.003 [0.016]	1.2	0.867	1.000 ^a	0.867	0.087	4,011	0.008 [0.011]	8.8	0.473	0.500 ^b	0.854
Any unresolved land dispute	0.070	5,435	-0.020 [0.008]**	-28.0	0.015	0.000^{a}	0.057	0.024	4,011	0.002	6.4	0.744	1.000 ^b	0.854
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.122	5,435	-0.010 [0.012]	-8.1	0.397	1.000 ^a	0.781	0.041	4,011	-0.012 [0.006]**	-29.3	0.039	0.000 ^b	0.182
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.091	5,435	-0.012 [0.009]	-13.2	0.183			0.021	4,011	-0.007 [0.004]	-31.2	0.117		
Threats	0.114	5,435	-0.006 [0.011]	-5.3	0.596			0.035	4,011	-0.010 [0.006]*	-28.9	0.069		
Property damage	0.041	5,435	-0.013 [0.006]**	-32.4	0.029			0.010	4,011	-0.005 [0.003]*		0.072		
Violence	0.077	5,435	-0.007 [0.008]	-8.7	0.416			0.017	4,011	-0.004 [0.004]	-21.4	0.361		
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute			[]							[]				
Length of maximum land conflict								13.247	353	3.642 [2.889]	27.5	0.209	0.500 ^b	0.609
Resolved land dispute	0.684	1,212	0.072 [0.027]***	10.5	0.009	0.000^{a}	0.045	0.668	353	-0.024 [0.046]	-3.6	0.604	1.000 ^b	0.854
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.554	1,212	-0.024 [0.035]	-4.3	0.496	1.000 ^a	0.781	0.476	353	-0.192 [0.047]***	-40.4	0.000	0.000 ^b	0.000
Property damage or violence	0.411	1,212	-0.037 [0.030]	-9.1	0.213			0.243	353	-0.090 [0.042]**	-37.2	0.035		
Threats	0.515	1,212	-0.013 [0.035]	-2.5	0.713			0.408	353	-0.157 [0.048]***	-38.6	0.001		
Property damage	0.186	1,212	-0.051 [0.025]**	-27.4	0.041			0.114	353	-0.067 [0.027]**	-58.5	0.016		
Violence	0.349	1,212	-0.022 [0.028]	-6.3	0.442			0.202	353	-0.056 [0.042]	-28.0	0.186		
Witchcraft			[]					0.065	353	0.035	54.3	0.182		

Table 2: Program impacts on number, length, severity, and resolution of all dispute types

				Endline 1							Endline 2			
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Est. p-val (5)	WY Adj. p-val (6)	Holms Adj p-val (7)	Control mean (8)	N (9)	ITT (10)	ITT / control mean (%) (11)	Est. p-val (12)	WY Adj. p-val (13)	Holms Ad p-val (14)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents														
Any serious dispute	0.299	5,435	0.022 [0.018]	7.2	0.220	0.500 ^a	0.525	0.306	4,011	0.012 [0.017]	4.1	0.456	0.500 ^b	0.912
Any unresolved dispute	0.118	5,435	-0.013 [0.011]	-11.0	0.250	0.500a	0.525	0.064	4,011	-0.004 [0.009]	-6.6	0.623	0.500 ^b	0.912
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[]					0.101	4,011	-0.015 [0.010]	-15.2	0.111	0.500 ^b	0.444
Panel B: Conditional on dispute														
Resolved dispute	0.676	1,670	0.051 [0.024]**	7.5	0.033	0.000^{a}	0.125	0.767	1,227	-0.019 [0.026]	-2.5	0.467	0.500 ^b	0.912
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.247	1,671	0.018 [0.025]	7.4	0.470	0.500 ^a	0.525	0.409	1,227	-0.019 [0.027]	-4.6	0.487	0.500 ^b	0.912
Any threats, property damage, or violence								0.331	1,227	-0.069 [0.026]***	-20.7	0.009	0.500 ^b	0.053
Property damage or violence								0.190	1,227	-0.027 [0.022]	-14.1	0.222		
Threats								0.274	1,227	-0.072 [0.024]***	-26.2	0.003		
Property damage								0.048	1,227	-0.019 [0.011]*	-40.0	0.085		
Violence								0.173	1,227	-0.011]* -0.018 [0.021]	-10.2	0.411		

Table 3: Effect on land security and investment 3-year endline

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Security rights index for house and farm	4,011	0.045	-0.085 [0.037]**	0.024	0.000	0.071
Improvement index for house and farm	4,011	0.023	-0.066 [0.037]*	0.079	0.000	0.151
Index of Fallow Land for farm	3,666	0.003	-0.004 [0.044]	0.926	1.000	0.926
Size of farm	3,598	37.481	2.773 [1.051]***	0.009	0.000	0.036

Table 4: Heterogeneity in land security and investment, 3-year endline

	Politica	al Connectedr	ness	M	arket Tenure		Ow	ns own land	
	Coeff. on	Coeff. on treatment-	Net effect	Coeff. on	Coeff. on treatment-covariate	Net effect	Coeff. on	Coeff. on treatment-covariate	Net effect
Dependent variable	treatment	interaction	(sum)	treatment	interaction	(sum)	treatment	interaction	(sum)
Security index through rights	-0.126	0.140	0.014	-0.129	0.083	-0.046	-0.087	0.058	-0.030
	[0.037]***	[0.058]**	[0.047]	[0.063]**	[0.067]	[0.031]	[0.031]***	[0.076]	[0.072]
Property investment index, z-score	-0.046	-0.022	-0.068	-0.013	-0.044	-0.056	-0.049	-0.017	-0.067
	[0.031]	[0.054]	[0.046]	[0.050]	[0.054]	[0.029]**	[0.028]*	[0.064]	[0.060]
Size of land (house and farm)	2.235	1.496	3.731	2.689	-0.152	2.537	3.247	-3.106	0.141
	[1.137]**	[1.956]	[1.653]**	[2.082]	[2.303]	[1.057]**	[1.034]***	[2.513]	[2.327]

Table 5: Effect on norms, attitudes and skills, 3-year endline

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Bias index	4,011	-0.009	-0.002	0.962	1.000	0.997
Defection index	4,011	-0.043	[0.046] 0.045 [0.041]	0.274	1.000	0.798
Empathy index	4,010	0.002	0.030	0.363	1.000	0.836
Forum choice index	4,011	-0.028	[0.033] 0.031 [0.037]	0.400	1.000	0.836
Managing emotions index	4,011	-0.031	0.067	0.032	0.000	0.203
Mediation index	4,011	0.003	[0.031]** -0.062 [0.037]*	0.094	0.500	0.447
Negotiation index	4,011	0.002	0.002 [0.027]	0.945	1.000	0.997

Table 6: Effect on community-level disputes

				Endline ITT /	1						Endline ITT /	2		
Dependent Variable	Control mean	N	ITT	control mean (%)	Est. p-val	WY Adj. p-val	Holms Adj p-val	Control mean	N	ITT	control mean (%)	Est. p-val	WY Adj. p-val	Holms Adj p-val
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Any Violence	0.442	940	0.085 [0.037]**	19.3	0.021	0.000^{a}	0.193	0.622	971	-0.057 [0.057]	-9.1	0.319	1.000 ^b	0.735
Level of community violence	0.790	940	0.152 [0.083]*	19.2	0.070	0.500^{a}	0.478	0.984	971	-0.131 [0.096]	-13.3	0.171	1.000 ^b	0.677
Intertribal violence	0.028	940	0.008 [0.013]	30.0	0.512	1.000 ^a	0.884	0.021	971	-0.016 [0.008]**	-77.8	0.039	0.500 ^b	0.331
Violent strike or protest	0.061	940	-0.004 [0.016]	-7.1	0.782	1.000 ^a	0.952	0.002	971	0.015	912.4	0.104	1.000 ^b	0.585
Youth-elder dispute	0.110	940	0.044	40.2	0.124	1.000 ^a	0.603	0.103	967	0.003	3.4	0.880	1.000 ^b	0.880
Peaceful strike or protest	0.100	940	0.037	37.1	0.144	1.000 ^a	0.606	0.059	971	0.010 [0.019]	16.0	0.613	1.000 ^b	0.850
Interfamily land disputes	0.274	940	0.030	11.1	0.408	1.000 ^a	0.878	0.548	971	-0.071 [0.066]	-12.9	0.282	1.000 ^b	0.735
Conflicts with other towns	0.154	940	-0.005 [0.033]	-3.5	0.870	1.000 ^a	0.952	0.171	970	-0.038 [0.029]	-22.2	0.194	1.000 ^b	0.677
Witch hunts	0.015	940	0.023 [0.013]*	153.3	0.087	1.000 ^a	0.517	0.011	971	-0.008 [0.005]*		0.085	1.000 ^b	0.551
Trial by ordeal	0.048	940	0.019 [0.018]	39.4	0.298	1.000 ^a	0.830	0.070	971	-0.027 [0.018]	-39.1	0.120	1.000 ^b	0.592

Table 7: Estimated aggregate effects of the program on violent disputes among the 30,000 households in treatment communities

	A	ГЕ	Net e	effect	Net Effect imputed
Dependent Variable	Endline 1	Endline 2	Endline 1	Endline 2	for missing year
Any threats, property damage, or violence	-0.010	-0.012	-303	-325	-942
Threats	-0.006	-0.010	-183	-275	-687
Property damage or violence in land dispute	-0.012	-0.007	-365	-177	-813
Property damage	-0.013	-0.005	-406	-139	-817
Violence	-0.007	-0.004	-206	-101	-459
Property damage + violence (land)	-0.020	-0.009	-611	-240	-1,276

2 Appendix Tables

Table A.1: 2008 baseline summary statistics and test of randomization balance

Table A.2: Effects of intense treatment

		Endline 1			Endline 2	
	Normal	Intensive		Normal	Intensive	
	treatment	treatment	Sum	treatment	treatment	Sum
Resident: If assigned: Attended peace program	0.251	0.086	0.336			
	[0.023]***	[0.045]*	[0.040]***			
Any serious land dispute	0.010	-0.030	-0.020	0.007	-0.013	-0.006
	[0.018]	[0.030]	[0.028]	[0.012]	[0.016]	[0.014]
Any threats, property damage, or violence	-0.008	-0.008	-0.016	-0.013	-0.006	-0.019
	[0.013]	[0.021]	[0.019]	[0.007]*	[0.009]	[0.007]**
Security rights index for house and farm				-0.083	0.008	-0.075
				[0.044]*	[0.064]	[0.054]
Improvement index for house and farm				-0.066	0.027	-0.039
-				[0.039]*	[0.061]	[0.056]

Table A.3: Heterogeneity by demographics and peace background on conflict

	Female			Youth		Wealth			Mu	slim minority	,	Any ethnic minority			Prior p	peace educati	on	Pct. to	wn prior pea	ace	
	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum
Any serious land dispute	-0.002	0.018	0.016	0.014	-0.012	0.002	0.008	-0.016	-0.008	0.009	-0.009	-0.001	0.009	-0.013	-0.004	0.014	-0.016	-0.002	0.030	-0.079	-0.049
	[0.015]	[0.018]	[0.013]	[0.014]	[0.017]	[0.013]	[0.011]	[0.017]	[0.021]	[0.011]	[0.026]	[0.024]	[0.011]	[0.026]	[0.024]	[0.021]	[0.048]	[0.032]	[0.017]*	[0.051]	[0.039]
Any unresolved land dispute	0.005	-0.008	-0.002	0.005	-0.006	-0.001	0.002	-0.011	-0.010	-0.002	0.030	0.028	-0.001	0.025	0.023	0.009	-0.019	-0.010	0.006	-0.019	-0.013
	[0.008]	[0.010]	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.009]	[0.007]	[0.005]	[0.008]	[0.009]	[0.005]	[0.012]**	[0.012]**	[0.005]	[0.013]*	[0.012]*	[0.009]	[0.021]	[0.014]	[0.007]	[0.024]	[0.019]
Property damage	-0.009	0.008	-0.001	-0.002	-0.007	-0.008	-0.005	-0.003	-0.009	-0.005	0.002	-0.004	-0.005	-0.003	-0.008	-0.004	-0.002	-0.006	-0.003	-0.007	-0.010
	[0.004]**	[0.005]	[0.004]	[0.004]	[0.005]	[0.004]**	[0.003]*	[0.004]	[0.005]*	[0.003]*	[0.007]	[0.006]	[0.003]	[0.008]	[0.007]	[0.005]	[0.012]	[0.008]	[0.005]	[0.015]	[0.011]
Resolved land dispute	-0.062	0.081	0.019	-0.133	0.201	0.068	-0.021	-0.085	-0.106	-0.014	-0.171	-0.185	-0.008	-0.153	-0.161	0.048	-0.185	-0.137	-0.049	0.083	0.033
1	[0.067]	[0.102]	[0.070]	[0.075]*	[0.109]*	[0.069]	[0.046]	[0.074]	[0.089]	[0.049]	[0.137]	[0.128]	[0.050]	[0.138]	[0.128]	[0.109]	[0.248]	[0.155]	[0.097]	[0.270]	[0.191

Table A.4: Heterogeneity by demographics and peace background on security and investment

		Female Youth		Wealth			Mu	slim minority		Any ethnic minority			Prior p	eace educatio	on	Current me	mber of a pead	ce group			
	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum	Treatment	Interaction	Sum
Security rights index, aggregated	-0.093	0.015	-0.078	-0.087	0.003	-0.084	-0.088	0.067	-0.020	-0.091	0.050	-0.041	-0.090	0.035	-0.054	-0.119	0.081	-0.038	-0.213	0.469	0.256
	[0.051]*	[0.064]	[0.047]*	[0.045]*	[0.066]	[0.054]	[0.037]**	[0.060]	[0.075]	[0.040]**	[0.098]	[0.089]	[0.040]**	[0.099]	[0.090]	[0.090]	[0.210]	[0.133]	[0.072]***	[0.208]**	[0.151]*
Improvement index, aggregated	-0.112	0.086	-0.025	-0.082	0.029	-0.052	-0.070	0.099	0.029	-0.060	-0.056	-0.117	-0.060	-0.062	-0.122	-0.135	0.174	0.039	-0.199	0.492	0.293
	[0.055]**	[0.063]	[0.042]	[0.052]	[0.064]	[0.047]	[0.037]*	[0.052]*	[0.063]	[0.039]	[0.092]	[0.091]	[0.039]	[0.092]	[0.091]	[0.075]*	[0.164]	[0.105]	[0.066]***	[0.221]**	[0.171]*
Index of Fallow Land, farm	0.001	-0.012	-0.011	-0.053	0.096	0.043	-0.007	0.079	0.071	-0.012	0.060	0.048	-0.011	0.053	0.042	-0.018	0.035	0.017	-0.088	0.309	0.221
	[0.053]	[0.068]	[0.057]	[0.056]	[0.066]	[0.053]	[0.043]	[0.063]	[0.075]	[0.046]	[0.105]	[0.097]	[0.046]	[0.104]	[0.096]	[0.103]	[0.218]	[0.131]	[0.083]	[0.229]	[0.163]
Size of farm	5.609	-3.734	1.875	5.063	-2.654	2.409	3.614	2.553	6.167	3.498	2.138	5.635	3.520	1.878	5.398	3.399	0.888	4.287	5.468	-7.376	-1.908
	[2.225]**	[2.875]	[1.837]	[2.173]**	[2.761]	[1.809]	[1.415]**	[2.791]	[3.350]*	[1.523]**	[4.357]	[4.147]	[1.527]**	[4.385]	[4.149]	[3.044]	[7.497]	[5.011]	[2.895]*	[10.319]	[7.964]

Table A.5: Summary statistics of key outcomes by demographics and peace background

	All Endli	ne 2 residents	Ge	ender	Age		Muslim	minority	Any eth	nic minority	Any town	Prior peace education
	Mean	SD	Men	Women	above 40	20-40	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pct. of Endline 2 residents			0.48	0.52	0.49	0.51	0.87	0.13	0.87	0.13	0.03	0.97
Panel A: Land dispute outomes for all residents												
Any serious land dispute	0.09	0.28	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.09
Any unresolved land dispute	0.02	0.15	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.04	0.18	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.02	0.13	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02
Threats	0.03	0.17	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03
Property damage	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01
Violence	0.02	0.13	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute												
Length of maximum land conflict	14.50	28.07	14.95	13.95	16.20	13.11	14.30	16.02	14.35	15.63	14.49	14.50
Resolved land dispute	0.66	0.47	0.65	0.67	0.69	0.64	0.66	0.70	0.66	0.68	0.67	0.66
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.40	0.49	0.41	0.40	0.36	0.44	0.42	0.30	0.41	0.32	0.20	0.41
Property damage or violence	0.21	0.41	0.23	0.18	0.16	0.24	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.07	0.21
Threats	0.35	0.48	0.35	0.36	0.33	0.37	0.36	0.25	0.37	0.24	0.13	0.36
Property damage	0.09	0.29	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.10
Violence	0.18	0.39	0.20	0.16	0.14	0.22	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.07	0.19
Witchcraft	0.07	0.26	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.10	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.07
Panel C: General dispute outcomes for all residents												
Any serious dispute	0.31	0.46	0.31	0.30	0.25	0.36	0.32	0.24	0.32	0.24	0.35	0.30
Any unresolved dispute	0.06	0.25	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.06
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.09	0.29	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.09
Panel D: Conditional on a dispute												
Resolved dispute	0.76	0.43	0.78	0.74	0.74	0.77	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.76
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.41	0.49	0.40	0.41	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.37	0.41	0.37	0.41	0.40
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.31	0.46	0.29	0.33	0.30	0.31	0.32	0.20	0.32	0.21	0.25	0.31
Property damage or violence	0.18	0.39	0.16	0.20	0.16	0.20	0.19	0.11	0.19	0.12	0.11	0.19
Threats	0.25	0.44	0.24	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.17	0.26	0.17	0.23	0.25
Property damage	0.04	0.20	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04
Violence	0.17	0.38	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.18	0.11	0.18	0.11	0.11	0.17
Panel E: Security and investment												
Security rights index for house and farm	-0.00	1.00	0.12	-0.11	0.05	-0.05	0.04	-0.25	0.04	-0.26	-0.32	0.01
Improvement index for house and farm	0.00	1.00	0.16	-0.15	-0.02	0.02	0.01	-0.05	0.01	-0.05	-0.08	0.00
Index of Fallow Land for farm	-0.00	1.00	0.09	-0.09	0.04	-0.04	-0.01	0.10	-0.02	0.10	0.09	-0.00
Size of farm	38.43	56.85	43.99	32.92	43.42	33.41	39.03	34.09	39.04	34.06	36.00	38.51

3 Extra Tables

3.1 All conflict and security variables

Table E.1: Additional p-value corrections, general and land conflict combined

				Endline 1							Endline 2			
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Est. p-val (5)	WY Adj. p-val (6)	Holms Adj p-val (7)	Control mean (8)	N (9)	ITT (10)	ITT / control mean (%) (11)	Est. p-val (12)	WY Adj. p-val (13)	Holms Adj p-val (14)
Panel A: Land dispute outomes for all residents														
Any serious land dispute	0.221	5,435	0.003	1.2	0.867	1.000 ^a	0.868	0.087	4,011	0.008	8.8	0.473	1.000 ^b	0.986
Any unresolved land dispute	0.070	5,435	[0.016] -0.020	-28.0	0.015	0.000 ^a	0.111	0.024	4,011	[0.011]	6.4	0.744	1.000 ^b	0.986
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.122	5,435	[0.008]** -0.010	-8.1	0.397	1.000 ^a	0.868	0.041	4,011	[0.005] -0.012	-29.3	0.039	0.000 ^b	0.331
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.091	5,435	[0.012] -0.012	-13.2	0.183			0.021	4,011	-0.007	-31.2	0.117		
Threats	0.114	5,435	[0.009] -0.006	-5.3	0.596			0.035	4,011	[0.004] -0.010	-28.9	0.069		
Property damage	0.041	5,435	-0.013	-32.4	0.029			0.010	4,011	[0.006]* -0.005		0.072		
Violence	0.077	5,435	-0.007	-8.7	0.416			0.017	4,011	[0.003]* -0.004	-21.4	0.361		
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute			[0.008]							[0.004]				
Length of maximum land conflict								13.247	353	3.642	27.5	0.209	1.000 ^b	0.847
Resolved land dispute	0.684	1,212	0.072	10.5	0.009	0.000 ^a	0.080	0.668	353	[2.889] -0.024	-3.6	0.604	1.000 ^b	0.986
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.554	1,212	[0.027]*** -0.024	-4.3	0.496	1.000 ^a	0.868	0.476	353	[0.046] -0.192	-40.4	0.000	0.000 ^b	0.001
Property damage or violence	0.411	1,212	[0.035] -0.037	-9.1	0.213			0.243	353	-0.090	-37.2	0.035		
Threats	0.515	1,212	[0.030] -0.013	-2.5	0.713			0.408	353	[0.042]** -0.157	-38.6	0.001		
Property damage	0.186	1,212	[0.035] -0.051	-27.4	0.041			0.114	353	-0.067	-58.5	0.016		
Violence	0.349	1,212	[0.025]** -0.022	-6.3	0.442			0.202	353	[0.027]** -0.056	-28.0	0.186		
Witchcraft			[0.028]					0.065	353	[0.042] 0.035 [0.026]	54.3	0.182		
Panel C: General dispute outcomes for all residents										[0.026]				
Any serious dispute	0.299	5,435	0.022	7.2	0.220	0.500 ^a	0.775	0.306	4,011	0.012	4.1	0.456	1.000 ^b	0.986
Any unresolved dispute	0.118	5,435	[0.018] -0.013	-11.0	0.250	0.500 ^a	0.775	0.064	4,011	[0.017] -0.004	-6.6	0.623	1.000 ^b	0.986
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[0.011]					0.101	4,011	[0.009] -0.015	-15.2	0.111	0.500 ^b	0.652
Panel D: Conditional on a dispute										[0.010]				
Resolved dispute	0.676	1,670	0.051	7.5	0.033	0.000 ^a	0.208	0.767	1,227	-0.019	-2.5	0.467	1.000 ^b	0.986
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.247	1,671	0.024]**	7.4	0.470	1.000 ^a	0.868	0.409	1,227	[0.026] -0.019	-4.6	0.487	1.000 ^b	0.986
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[0.025]					0.331	1,227	[0.027] -0.069	-20.7	0.009	0.000 ^b	0.095
Property damage or violence								0.190	1,227	-0.027	-14.1	0.222		
Threats								0.274	1,227	[0.022] -0.072	-26.2	0.003		
Property damage								0.048	1,227	-0.019	-40.0	0.085		
Violence								0.173	1,227	[0.011]* -0.018 [0.021]	-10.2	0.411		

Table E.2: All land conflict results, endlines 1 and 2

		Eı	ndline 1			Eı	ndline 2	
	Control			ITT / control	Control			ITT / control
Dependent Variable	mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	mean (%) (4)	mean (5)	N (6)	ITT (7)	mean (%) (8)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents								
Any serious land dispute	0.221	5,435	0.003 [0.016]	1.2	0.087	4,011	0.008 [0.011]	8.8
Any unresolved land dispute	0.070	5,435	-0.020 [0.008]**	-28.0	0.024	4,011	0.002 [0.005]	6.4
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.122	5,435	-0.010 [0.012]	-8.1	0.041	4,011	-0.012 [0.006]**	-29.3
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.091	5,435	-0.012 [0.009]	-13.2	0.021	4,011	-0.007 [0.004]	-31.2
Threats	0.114	5,435	-0.006 [0.011]	-5.3	0.035	4,011	-0.010 [0.006]*	-28.9
Property damage	0.041	5,435	-0.013 [0.006]**	-32.4	0.010	4,011	-0.005 [0.003]*	
Violence	0.077	5,435	-0.007 [0.008]	-8.7	0.017	4,011	-0.004 [0.004]	-21.4
Length of maximum land conflict phantom					1.147	4,011	0.411 [0.279]	35.9
Length of mean land conflict					0.396	4,011	0.131 [0.094]	33.0
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute							. ,	
Resolved land dispute	0.684	1,212	0.072 [0.027]***	10.5	0.668	353	-0.024 [0.046]	-3.6
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.193	1,212	0.032	16.4	0.251	353	0.031	12.3
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.554	1,212	-0.024 [0.035]	-4.3	0.476	353	-0.192 [0.047]***	-40.4
Property damage or violence	0.411	1,212	-0.037 [0.030]	-9.1	0.243	353	-0.090 [0.042]**	-37.2
Threats	0.515	1,212	-0.013 [0.035]	-2.5	0.408	353	-0.157 [0.048]***	-38.6
Property damage	0.186	1,212	-0.051 [0.025]**	-27.4	0.114	353	-0.067 [0.027]**	-58.5
Violence	0.349	1,212	-0.022 [0.028]	-6.3	0.202	353	-0.056 [0.042]	-28.0
Witchcraft			[0.020]		0.065	353	0.035 [0.026]	54.3

Table E.3: All general conflict results, endlines 1 and 2

_		Er	ndline 1			E	ndline 2	
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Control mean (5)	N (6)	ITT (7)	ITT / control mean (%) (8)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents								
Any serious dispute	0.299	5,435	0.022 [0.018]	7.2	0.306	4,011	0.012 [0.017]	4.1
Any unresolved dispute	0.118	5,435	-0.013 [0.011]	-11.0	0.064	4,011	-0.004 [0.009]	-6.6
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[0.011]		0.101	4,011	-0.015 [0.010]	-15.2
Property damage or violence in a land dispute					0.058	4,011	-0.006 [0.008]	-10.8
Threats					0.084	4,011	-0.018 [0.009]**	-20.9
Property damage					0.015	4,011	-0.006 [0.004]	-37.2
Violence					0.053	4,011	-0.003 [0.007]	-4.8
Length of maximum conflict					1.852	4,011	0.447 [0.289]	24.1
Length of mean conflict					0.400	4,011	0.081	20.1
Length of mean conflict					1.308	1,227	0.201 [0.161]	15.4
Length of maximum conflict					6.052	1,227	1.164 [0.788]	19.2
Panel B: Conditional on dispute							[0.700]	
Resolved dispute	0.676	1,670	0.051 [0.024]**	7.5	0.767	1,227	-0.019 [0.026]	-2.5
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.247	1,671	0.018 [0.025]	7.4	0.409	1,227	-0.019 [0.027]	-4.6
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[0.023]		0.331	1,227	-0.069 [0.026]***	-20.7
Property damage or violence					0.190	1,227	-0.027 [0.022]	-14.1
Threats					0.274	1,227	-0.072 [0.024]***	-26.2
Property damage					0.048	1,227	-0.019 [0.011]*	-40.0
Violence					0.173	1,227	-0.011 -0.018 [0.021]	-10.2
Witchcraft					0.029	1,227	0.006 [0.010]	19.7

Table E.4: All land and security results

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Est. p-val (5)	WY. adj. p-val (6)	Holms adj. p-val (7)
Security rights index for house and farm	4,011	0.045	-0.085	-187.9	0.024	0.500	0.216
Security rights index for farm	3,666	0.048	[0.037]** -0.090 [0.037]**	-187.1	0.016	0.000	0.164
Security rights index for house	3,850	0.034	-0.068	-200.8	0.076	0.500	0.509
Improvement index for house and farm	4,011	0.023	[0.038]* -0.066 [0.037]*	-281.1	0.079	0.500	0.509
Improvement index for farm	4,011	0.015	-0.061	-409.4	0.078	0.500	0.509
Improvement index for house	3,850	0.022	[0.034]* -0.042 [0.034]	-192.9	0.229	0.500	0.727
Index of Fallow Land for farm	3,666	0.003	-0.004	-131.5	0.926	1.000	0.926
Has ever let land rest	3,666	0.682	[0.044] 0.018 [0.020]	2.6	0.377	1.000	0.849
Will let land rest in future	3,666	0.730	0.014	1.9	0.441	1.000	0.849
Num. of seasons to rest	3,666	3.347	-0.063 [0.145]	-1.9	0.666	1.000	0.888
Level of security if land resting	3,666	2.322	-0.052	-2.2	0.146	0.500	0.613
Size of farm	3,598	37.481	[0.036] 2.773 [1.051]***	7.4	0.009	0.000	0.103

3.2 Subcomponents of Norms and Skills

Table E.5: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment Bias

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Bias index	4,011	-0.009	-0.002	0.962	1.000	0.999
Thinks bad to gossip (z-score)	4,011	0.039	[0.046] -0.072 [0.039]*	0.068	0.500	0.390
Comm. thinks bad to gossip (z-score)	3,721	-0.028	0.026	0.555	1.000	0.961
Thinks bad to tell lies (z-score)	4,011	0.003	[0.043] -0.001 [0.038]	0.984	1.000	0.999
Comm. thinks bad to tell lies (z-score)	3,717	-0.046	0.057	0.126	0.500	0.554
Thinks bad to take sides (z-score)	4,011	0.026	[0.037] -0.048 [0.039]	0.219	0.500	0.710
Comm thinks bad to take sides (z-score)	3,833	-0.034	0.023 [0.043]	0.595	1.000	0.961

Table E.6: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Defection

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Defection index	4,011	-0.043	0.045 [0.041]	0.274	1.000	0.722
Thinks bad to renege (z-score)	4,011	0.014	-0.061 [0.045]	0.177	1.000	0.622
Comm. thinks bad to renege (z-score)	3,717	0.000	-0.066 [0.042]	0.122	1.000	0.596
Thinks good to take palava to elders (z-score)	4,011	-0.044	0.067 [0.036]*	0.061	1.000	0.398
Comm. thinks good to take palava to elders (z-score)	3,706	-0.027	0.016	0.670	1.000	0.891
Doesn't think okay to take palava to police (z-score)	4,011	0.005	0.009	0.815	1.000	0.891
Comm. doesn't think okay to take palava to police (z-score)	3,846	-0.043	0.066	0.148	1.000	0.617
Thinks bad to take palava to biased forum (z-score)	4,011	-0.021	0.038	0.321	1.000	0.722
Comm thinks bad to take palava to biased forum (z-score)	3,693	-0.058	0.088 [0.039]**	0.026	0.000	0.211

Table E.7: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Forum Choice

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Forum choice index	4,011	-0.028	0.031	0.400	1.000	0.908
Thinks good to go to good talkers first (z-score)	4,011	-0.004	[0.037] -0.005 [0.038]	0.891	1.000	0.988
Thinks good to talk to others first (z-score)	3,850	-0.013	0.046	0.222	1.000	0.828
Thinks good to talk (z-score)	4,011	0.002	-0.030 [0.034]	0.380	1.000	0.908
Comm. thinks good to talk (z-score)	3,926	-0.003	0.001 [0.035]	0.988	1.000	0.988
Doesn't think okay to go to police first (z-score)	4,011	-0.013	0.018	0.640	1.000	0.953
Comm doesn't think okay to go to police first (z-score)	3,824	-0.050	0.047 [0.043]	0.273	1.000	0.853

Table E.8: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Managing Emotions

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Managing emotions index	4,011	-0.031	0.067	0.032	0.000	0.150
Thinks shouldn't spoil property (z-score)	4,011	0.035	[0.031]** -0.077 [0.037]**	0.040	0.000	0.150
Comm thinks shouldn't spoil property (z-score)	3,760	-0.043	0.076 [0.041]*	0.067	0.500	0.187
Stays calm (z-score)	4,010	-0.022	0.043	0.184	0.500	0.335
Cools temper (z-score)	4,010	-0.028	0.039 [0.032]	0.221	0.500	0.335
Don't talk bad (z-score)	4,010	-0.034	0.089 [0.037]**	0.017	0.000	0.097

Table E.9: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Mediation

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Mediation index	4,011	0.003	-0.062 [0.037]*	0.094	1.000	0.627
Thinks good to ask friends for help (z-score)	4,011	0.007	-0.037 [0.034]	0.271	1.000	0.891
Comm thinks good to ask friends for help (z-score)	3,927	-0.008	-0.005 [0.035]	0.880	1.000	0.991
Thinks its good to talk (z-score)	4,011	0.035	-0.107 [0.040]***	0.008	0.000	0.083
Community brings people together in palava (z-score)	3,949	-0.017	-0.013 [0.033]	0.688	1.000	0.991
Thinks okay to help others (z-score)	4,011	0.011	-0.061 [0.041]	0.133	1.000	0.715
Comm thinks okay to help others (z-score)	3,953	-0.021	-0.001 [0.036]	0.970	1.000	0.991
Bring others together (z-score)	4,010	-0.009	-0.010 [0.035]	0.781	1.000	0.991
Help others agree (z-score)	4,010	0.009	-0.050 [0.033]	0.130	1.000	0.715
Helps others understand (z-score)	4,010	0.002	-0.018	0.592	1.000	0.991
Cool others' temper (z-score)	4,010	-0.005	[0.033] -0.021 [0.035]	0.541	1.000	0.991

Table E.10: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Negotiation

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Negotiation index	4,011	0.002	0.002	0.945	1.000	0.999
Comm. thinks its good to talk (z-score)	4,011	-0.004	-0.011 [0.037]	0.758	1.000	0.999
Thinks its good to talk (z-score)	3,919	-0.002	-0.032 [0.031]	0.310	1.000	0.965
Thinks gifts okay (z-score)	4,011	0.011	-0.006	0.865	1.000	0.999
Comm. thinks gifts okay (z-score)	3,820	0.010	[0.036]	0.473	1.000	0.994
Talks with opposing side (z-score)	4,010	-0.008	0.009	0.787	1.000	0.999
Makes other side understand (z-score)	4,010	0.005	[0.032] -0.003 [0.029]	0.923	1.000	0.999
Proposes solution (z-score)	4,010	-0.010	0.013	0.711	1.000	0.999
Compromizes with other (z-score)	4,010	-0.035	[0.035]	0.180	1.000	0.862
Forgives others (z-score)	4,010	-0.004	[0.031] 0.019 [0.040]	0.643	1.000	0.999

3.3 Using years-of-treatment as treatment variable

Table E.11: Resident-Level Effect of years since Treatment on Land Conflicts

				ITT /
		Control		control
Dependent Variable	N	mean	ITT	mean (%)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents				
Any serious land dispute	4,011	0.088	-0.028 [0.015]*	-31.9
Any unresolved land dispute	4,011	0.024	-0.009 [0.006]	-35.3
Any threats, property damage, or violence	4,011	0.041	-0.014 [0.006]**	-34.3
Property damage or violence in land dispute	4,011	0.021	-0.005 [0.004]	-26.3
Threats	4,011	0.035	-0.015 [0.006]**	-41.8
Property damage	4,011	0.010	-0.009 [0.005]*	-87.4
Violence	4,011	0.017	-0.004 [0.004]	-20.3
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute				
Length of maximum land conflict	353	12.844	5.362 [2.356]**	41.7
Resolved land dispute	353	0.662	0.039	5.9
Any threats, property damage, or violence	353	0.469	-0.076 [0.044]*	-16.2
Property damage or violence	353	0.238	-0.042 [0.037]	-17.7
Threats	353	0.404	-0.090 [0.042]**	-22.3
Property damage	353	0.114	-0.092 [0.043]**	-81.2
Violence	353	0.198	-0.015 [0.037]	-7.6
Witchcraft	353	0.070	-0.056 [0.034]*	-80.8

Table E.12: Resident-Level Effect of years since Treatment on Land Conflicts: IV

			Endli	ne 1				Endlin	ne 2	
Dependent Variable	Control mean	N	IV est.	SE	ITT / control mean	Control mean	N	IV est.	SE	ITT / control mean
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents										
Any serious land dispute	0.222	1,900	0.011	0.027	4.8	0.085	1,692	0.018	0.013	20.9
Any unresolved land dispute	0.067	1,900	0.008	0.011	11.5	0.023	1,692	0.004	0.006	18.8
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.121	1,900	0.017	0.019	14.3	0.038	1,692	0.007	0.006	18.2
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.087	1,900	0.026	0.014*	29.4	0.019	1,692	0.006	0.004*	32.2
Threats	0.114	1,900	0.010	0.019	9.2	0.033	1,692	0.007	0.005	21.6
Property damage	0.039	1,900	0.010	0.009	26.6	0.009	1,692	0.004	0.002*	47.0
Violence	0.075	1,900	0.016	0.013	21.4	0.016	1,692	0.005	0.004	32.7
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute										
Length of maximum land conflict						13.883	145	-0.770	3.636	-5.5
Resolved land dispute	0.697	429	-0.024	0.039	-3.4	0.657	145	0.045	0.041	6.8
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.546	429	0.046	0.052	8.4	0.449	145	0.023	0.043	5.2
Property damage or violence	0.393	429	0.092	0.042**	23.5	0.225	145	0.037	0.033	16.5
Threats	0.511	429	0.018	0.050	3.5	0.384	145	0.030	0.044	7.9
Property damage	0.174	429	0.037	0.035	21.4	0.106	145	0.030	0.022	27.8
Violence	0.337	429	0.054	0.039	16.0	0.188	145	0.030	0.032	16.0
Witchcraft						0.062	145	-0.006	0.019	-9.6

3.4 Effect of being dropped on violence outcomes

Table E.13: Resident-Level Effect of being dropped on violence outcomes

						Effect as pct of control	
Dependent variable	N (1)	Control mean in full sample Not dropped (2) Dropped (3)		Effect of Treatment (no drops) (4)	Effect of Dropping (full sample) (5)	Treatment (no drops) (6)	Dropping (full sample) (7)
Panel A: Land dispute outomes for all residents							
Any serious land dispute	3,995	0.22	0.21	0.009 (0.017)	0.002 (0.024)	4.20	0.86
Any unresolved land dispute	3,995	0.07	0.06	-0.019 (0.008)**	-0.010 (0.011)	-26.86	-15.79
Any threats, property damage, or violence	3,995	0.12	0.12	-0.010 (0.013)	-0.009 (0.016)	-7.84	-7.42
Property damage or violence in land dispute	3,995	0.09	0.09	-0.013 (0.010)	-0.007 (0.014)	-14.52	-7.60
Threats	3,995	0.11	0.12	-0.005 (0.012)	-0.005 (0.015)	-4.53	-4.68
Property damage	3,995	0.04	0.03	-0.017 (0.007)**	-0.024 (0.010)	-39.19	-85.25
Violence	3,995	0.08	0.08	-0.009 (0.009)	0.000 (0.013)	-10.94	0.16
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute							
Resolved land dispute	883	0.68	0.70	0.075 (0.029)**	0.028 (0.042)	10.95	4.00
Any threats, property damage, or violence	883	0.56	0.57	-0.030 (0.039)	-0.027 (0.049)	-5.36	-4.69
Property damage or violence	883	0.42	0.40	-0.040 (0.033)	-0.009 (0.047)	-9.43	-2.20
Threats	883	0.52	0.54	-0.021 (0.039)	-0.017 (0.047)	-3.97	-3.10
Property damage	883	0.20	0.13	-0.062 (0.028)**	-0.086 (0.041)	-31.18	-64.29
Violence	883	0.37	0.35	-0.029 (0.032)	0.013 (0.043)	-7.78	3.61
Panel C: General dispute outcomes for all residen	ts						
Any serious dispute	3,995	0.30	0.28	0.024 (0.019)	-0.022 (0.024)	8.02	-7.65
Any unresolved dispute	3,995	0.12	0.10	-0.010 (0.012)	-0.019 (0.014)	-8.45	-18.48
Panel D: Conditional on a dispute				(,	(/		
Resolved dispute	1,242	0.68	0.68	0.043 (0.025)*	0.009 (0.037)	6.37	1.29
Resolved via informal mechanism	1,243	0.23	0.28	0.017 (0.027)	0.037) 0.031 (0.041)	7.23	11.21

3.5 Community-Level Impacts

Table E.14: Community-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Conflicts

	Endline ITT /	1	Endline 2 ITT /											
Dependent Variable	Control mean	N	ITT	control mean (%)	Est. p-val	WY Adj. p-val	Holms Adj p-val	Control mean	N	ITT	control mean (%)	Est. p-val	WY Adj. p-val	Holms Adj p-val
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
Any Violence	0.442	940	0.085 [0.037]**	19.3	0.021	0.000 ^a	0.193	0.622	971	-0.057 [0.057]	-9.1	0.319	1.000 ^b	0.735
Level of community violence	0.790	940	0.152 [0.083]*	19.2	0.070	0.500 ^a	0.478	0.984	971	-0.131 [0.096]	-13.3	0.171	1.000 ^b	0.677
Intertribal violence	0.028	940	0.008 [0.013]	30.0	0.512	1.000 ^a	0.884	0.021	971	-0.016 [0.008]**	-77.8	0.039	0.500 ^b	0.331
Violent strike or protest	0.061	940	-0.004 [0.016]	-7.1	0.782	1.000 ^a	0.952	0.002	971	0.015 [0.009]	912.4	0.104	1.000 ^b	0.585
Youth-elder dispute	0.110	940	0.044 [0.029]	40.2	0.124	1.000 ^a	0.603	0.103	967	0.003 [0.023]	3.4	0.880	1.000 ^b	0.880
Peaceful strike or protest	0.100	940	0.037 [0.025]	37.1	0.144	1.000 ^a	0.606	0.059	971	0.010 [0.019]	16.0	0.613	1.000 ^b	0.850
Interfamily land disputes	0.274	940	0.030 [0.037]	11.1	0.408	1.000 ^a	0.878	0.548	971	-0.071 [0.066]	-12.9	0.282	1.000 ^b	0.735
Conflicts with other towns	0.154	940	-0.005 [0.033]	-3.5	0.870	1.000 ^a	0.952	0.171	970	-0.038 [0.029]	-22.2	0.194	1.000 ^b	0.677
Witch hunts	0.015	940	0.023 [0.013]*	153.3	0.087	1.000 ^a	0.517	0.011	971	-0.008 [0.005]*		0.085	1.000 ^b	0.551
Trial by ordeal	0.048	940	0.019 [0.018]	39.4	0.298	1.000 ^a	0.830	0.070	971	-0.027 [0.018]	-39.1	0.120	1.000 ^b	0.592

3.6 Plot-Level Impacts

Table E.15: Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Investments

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)
Property investment index, z-score	7,861	0.018	-0.052 [0.026]	-287.8
Monetary value of improvement, house and farm, (z-score)	7,848	0.016	-0.044 [0.028]	-279.1
Non-monetary improvement, house and farm (z-score)	7,848	0.007	-0.018 [0.025]	-252.9
Made an improvement, house and farm	7,861	0.360	-0.028 [0.012]	-7.8

Table E.16: Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Security

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)
Security index through rights	7,516	0.041	-0.078	-191.2
Level of security of boundaries, house and farm	7,516	2.462	[0.030] -0.058 [0.020]	-2.4
Has ability to inherit, house and farm	7,515	2.317	-0.123 [0.025]	-5.3
Has ability to sell, house and farm	7,515	1.075	0.008	0.8
Has ability to pawn, house and farm	7,516	1.051	0.004 [0.031]	0.4
Has ability to survey, house and farm	7,516	1.849	-0.037 [0.031]	-2.0

Table E.17: Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Main Outcomes

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control Mean (2)	ATE (3)	ATE / control mean (4)
Security rights index, aggregated	4,011	0.045	-0.083 [0.037]**	-183.5
Improvement index, aggregated	4,011	0.023	-0.065 [0.037]*	-275.5
Index of Fallow Land, farm	3,666	0.003	-0.003 [0.044]	-109.3
Size of farm	3,598	37.481	2.750 [1.051]***	7.3