# Results for PEACE Follow Up

### June 4, 2019

## Contents

1 I	Paper Tables	3
2 A	Appendix Tables	6
3 I	Extra Tables	12
	3.1 All conflict and security variables	13
	3.2 Subcomponents of Norms and Skills	16
	3.3 Using years-of-treatment as treatment variable	19
	3.4 Effect of being dropped on violence outcomes	22
	3.5 Community-Level Impacts	24
	3.6 Plot-Level Impacts	25
	3.7 Power calculations	26
		_0
Lis	st of Tables	
1	Program impacts on number, length, severity, and resolution of land disputes	3
2		3
3		
4		
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
$\epsilon$		5
7		
/	communities	
		5 7
	A.1 2008 baseline summary statistics and test of randomization balance for residents	
	A.2 2008 baseline summary statistics and test of randomization balance for communities	
	A.3 Effects of intense treatment	
	A.4 Heterogeneity by demographics and peace background on conflict	8
	A.5 Heterogeneity by demographics and peace background on security and investment	9
	A.6 Summary statistics of conflict outcomes by demographics and peace background	10
	A.7 Summary statistics of security and investment by demographics and peace background	11
	A.8 Questions on Attitudes, Norms and Skills	12
	E.1 Additional p-value corrections, general and land conflict combined	13
	E.2 All land conflict results, endlines 1 and 2	14
I	E.3 All general conflict results, endlines 1 and 2	15
F	E.4 All land and security results	16
F	E.5 Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment Bias	16
I	E.6 Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Defection	17
F	E.7 Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Forum Choice	17
	E.8 Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Managing Emotions	18
F	E.9 Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Mediation	18
	E.10 Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Negotiation	19
	E.11 Resident-Level Effect of years since Treatment on Land Conflicts	20
	E.12 Resident-Level Effect of years since Treatment on Land Conflicts: IV	21
	E.13 Resident-Level Effect of being dropped on violence outcomes	22
	E.14 Comparison of resident-level baseline summary statistics for dropped and non-dropped communities	23
	E.15 Comparison of community-level baseline summary statistics for dropped and non-dropped communities	
	E.16 Community-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Conflicts	24
	E.17 Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Investments	25
	E.17 Flot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Security	25
1	E.19 Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Main Outcomes	25

E.20 Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Main Outcomes		26
---	--	----

## 1 Paper Tables

Table 1: Program impacts on number, length, severity, and resolution of land disputes

				1-year endli	ne						3-year endli	ne		
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Est. p-val (5)	WY Adj. p-val (6)	Holms Adj p-val (7)	Control mean (8)	N (9)	ITT (10)	ITT / control mean (%) (11)	Est. p-val (12)	WY Adj. p-val (13)	Holms Ad p-val (14)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents														
Any serious land dispute	0.221	5,435	0.003 [0.016]	1.2	0.867	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.867	0.087	4,011	0.008 [0.011]	8.8	0.473	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.854
Any unresolved land dispute	0.070	5,435	-0.020 [0.008]**	-28.0	0.015	$0.000^{a}$	0.057	0.024	4,011	0.002 [0.005]	6.4	0.744	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.854
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.122	5,435	-0.010 [0.012]	-8.1	0.397	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.781	0.041	4,011	-0.012 [0.006]**	-29.3	0.039	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.182
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.091	5,435	-0.012 [0.009]	-13.2	0.183			0.021	4,011	-0.007 [0.004]	-31.2	0.117		
Threats	0.114	5,435	-0.006 [0.011]	-5.3	0.596			0.035	4,011	-0.010 [0.006]*	-28.9	0.069		
Property damage	0.041	5,435	-0.013 [0.006]**	-32.4	0.029			0.010	4,011	-0.005 [0.003]*	-52.3	0.072		
Violence	0.077	5,435	-0.007 [0.008]	-8.7	0.416			0.017	4,011	-0.004 [0.004]	-21.4	0.361		
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute														
Length of maximum land conflict								13.247	353	3.642 [2.889]	27.5	0.209	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.609
Resolved land dispute	0.684	1,212	0.072 [0.027]***	10.5	0.009	$0.000^{a}$	0.045	0.668	353	-0.024 [0.046]	-3.6	0.604	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.854
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.554	1,212	-0.024 [0.035]	-4.3	0.496	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.781	0.476	353	-0.192 [0.047]***	-40.4	0.000	0.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.000
Property damage or violence	0.411	1,212	-0.037 [0.030]	-9.1	0.213			0.243	353	-0.090 [0.042]**	-37.2	0.035		
Threats	0.515	1,212	-0.013 [0.035]	-2.5	0.713			0.408	353	-0.157 [0.048]***	-38.6	0.001		
Property damage	0.186	1,212	-0.051 [0.025]**	-27.4	0.041			0.114	353	-0.067 [0.027]**	-58.5	0.016		
Violence	0.349	1,212	-0.022 [0.028]	-6.3	0.442			0.202	353	-0.056 [0.042]	-28.0	0.186		
Witchcraft								0.065	353	0.035 [0.026]	54.3	0.182		

Table 2: Program impacts on number, length, severity, and resolution of all dispute types

				1-year endli	ine						3-year endli	ne		
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Est. p-val (5)	WY Adj. p-val (6)	Holms Adj p-val (7)	Control mean (8)	N (9)	ITT (10)	ITT / control mean (%) (11)	Est. p-val (12)	WY Adj. p-val (13)	Holms Adj p-val (14)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents														
Any serious dispute	0.299	5,435	0.022 [0.018]	7.2	0.220	0.500 <sup>a</sup>	0.525	0.306	4,011	0.012 [0.017]	4.1	0.456	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.912
Any unresolved dispute	0.118	5,435	-0.013 [0.011]	-11.0	0.250	$0.500^{a}$	0.525	0.064	4,011	-0.004 [0.009]	-6.6	0.623	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.912
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[]					0.101	4,011	-0.015 [0.010]	-15.2	0.111	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.444
Panel B: Conditional on dispute										[0.010]				
Resolved dispute	0.676	1,670	0.051 [0.024]**	7.5	0.033	0.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.125	0.767	1,227	-0.019 [0.026]	-2.5	0.467	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.912
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.247	1,671	0.018	7.4	0.470	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.525	0.409	1,227	-0.019 [0.027]	-4.6	0.487	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.912
Any threats, property damage, or violence								0.331	1,227	-0.069 [0.026]***	-20.7	0.009	0.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.053
Property damage or violence								0.190	1,227	-0.027 [0.022]	-14.1	0.222		
Threats								0.274	1,227	-0.072	-26.2	0.003		
Property damage								0.048	1,227	[0.024]*** -0.019	-40.0	0.085		
Violence								0.173	1,227	[0.011]* -0.018 [0.021]	-10.2	0.411		

Table 3: Effect on land security and investment 3-year endline

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Security rights index, z-score	4,011	0.045	-0.085 [0.037]**	0.024	0.000	0.071
Improvement index, z-score	4,011	0.023	-0.066 [0.037]*	0.079	0.500	0.151
Index of Fallow Land for farm	3,666	0.003	-0.004 [0.044]	0.926	1.000	0.926
Size of farm	3,598	37.481	2.773 [1.051]***	0.009	0.000	0.036

Table 4: Heterogeneity in land security and investment, 3-year endline

Independent variable	Security index through rights (1)	Property investment index, z-score (2)	Size of land (house and farm) (3)
Is politically connected			
Treatment	-0.126	-0.046	2.235
	[0.037]***	[0.031]	[1.137]**
Covariate	0.005	0.069	0.916
	[0.042]	[0.038]*	[1.370]
Treatment x Covariate	0.140	-0.022	1.496
	[0.058]**	[0.054]	[1.956]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	0.014	-0.068	3.731
	[0.047]	[0.046]	[1.653]**
Has market Tenure			
Treatment	-0.129	-0.013	2.689
	[0.063]**	[0.050]	[2.082]
Covariate	0.543	0.126	-5.666
	[0.046]***	[0.037]***	[1.644]***
Treatment x Covariate	0.083	-0.044	-0.152
	[0.067]	[0.054]	[2.303]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.046	-0.056	2.537
	[0.031]	[0.029]**	[1.057]**
Owns own land			
Treatment	-0.087	-0.049	3.247
	[0.031]***	[0.028]*	[1.034]***
Covariate	0.309	0.008	7.207
	[0.053]***	[0.044]	[1.710]***
Treatment x Covariate	0.058	-0.017	-3.106
	[0.076]	[0.064]	[2.513]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.030	-0.067	0.141
	[0.072]	[0.060]	[2.327]

Table 5: Effect on norms, attitudes and skills, 3-year endline

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	Est. p-val (4)	Wy adj. p-val (5)	Holms adj. p-val (6)
Index of all norms combined	4,011	-0.026	0.028 [0.038]	0.469	1.000	0.895
Bias index	4,011	-0.009	-0.002 [0.046]	0.962	1.000	0.997
Defection index	4,011	-0.043	0.045	0.274	1.000	0.853
Empathy index	4,010	0.002	0.030	0.363	1.000	0.895
Forum choice index	4,011	-0.028	0.031 [0.037]	0.400	1.000	0.895
Managing emotions index	4,011	-0.031	0.067 [0.031]**	0.032	0.000	0.228
Mediation index	4,011	0.003	-0.062 [0.037]*	0.094	0.500	0.499
Negotiation index	4,011	0.002	0.002 [0.027]	0.945	1.000	0.997

Table 6: Effect on community-level disputes

				1-year end ITT /	line						3-year end ITT /	line		
	Control			control	Est.	WY Adj.	Holms Adj	Control			control	Est.	WY Adj.	Holms Adj
Dependent Variable	mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	mean (%) (4)	p-val (5)	p-val (6)	p-val (7)	mean (8)	N (9)	ITT (10)	mean (%) (11)	p-val (12)	p-val (13)	p-val (14)
Any Violence	0.442	940	0.085 [0.037]**	19.3	0.021	0.500 <sup>a</sup>	0.193	0.622	971	-0.057 [0.057]	-9.1	0.319	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.735
Level of community violence	0.790	940	0.152 [0.083]*	19.2	0.070	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.478	0.984	971	-0.131 [0.096]	-13.3	0.171	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.677
Intertribal violence	0.028	940	0.008 [0.013]	30.0	0.512	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.884	0.021	971	-0.016 [0.008]**	-77.8	0.039	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.331
Violent strike or protest	0.061	940	-0.004 [0.016]	-7.1	0.782	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.952	0.002	971	0.015 [0.009]	912.4	0.104	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.585
Youth-elder dispute	0.110	940	0.044 [0.029]	40.2	0.124	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.603	0.103	967	0.003 [0.023]	3.4	0.880	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.880
Peaceful strike or protest	0.100	940	0.037 [0.025]	37.1	0.144	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.606	0.059	971	0.010 [0.019]	16.0	0.613	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.850
Interfamily land disputes	0.274	940	0.030 [0.037]	11.1	0.408	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.878	0.548	971	-0.071 [0.066]	-12.9	0.282	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.735
Conflicts with other towns	0.154	940	-0.005 [0.033]	-3.5	0.870	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.952	0.171	970	-0.038 [0.029]	-22.2	0.194	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.677
Witch hunts	0.015	940	0.023 [0.013]*	153.3	0.087	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.517	0.011	971	-0.008 [0.005]*	-71.8	0.085	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.551
Trial by ordeal	0.048	940	0.019 [0.018]	39.4	0.298	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.830	0.070	971	-0.027 [0.018]	-39.1	0.120	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.592

Table 7: Estimated aggregate effects of the program on violent disputes among the 30,000 households in treatment communities

	A	ΓΕ	Net e	effect	Net Effect imputed
Dependent Variable	1-year endline (1)	3-year endline (2)	1-year endline (3)	3-year endline (4)	for missing year (5)
Any threats, property damage, or violence	-0.010	-0.012	-303	-325	-942
Threats	-0.006	-0.010	-183	-275	-687
Property damage or violence in land dispute	-0.012	-0.007	-365	-177	-813
Property damage	-0.013	-0.005	-406	-139	-817
Violence	-0.007	-0.004	-206	-101	-459
Property damage + violence (land)	-0.020	-0.009	-611	-240	-1,276

## 2 Appendix Tables

Table A.1: 2008 baseline summary statistics and test of randomization balance for residents

		Mean		Regressi	on difference
Baseline covariate	All	Control	Treatment	Coeff.	p-value
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Resident demograhics					
Age	40.65	40.88	40.25	-0.50	0.44
Male	0.56	0.57	0.56	-0.00	0.91
Years of education	5.21	5.29	5.07	-0.04	0.87
Muslim	0.12	0.12	0.12	-0.01	0.76
Traditional religion	0.05	0.05	0.04	-0.01	0.27
christian	0.84	0.83	0.84	0.02	0.52
Wealth index	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.05	0.29
Landless	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.01	0.50
No farm	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.01	0.54
Prior peace education	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.03	0.32
Participating in a peace group	0.40	0.41	0.37	-0.03	0.19
Resident war experience					
Refugee	0.58	0.58	0.58	-0.02	0.48
Displaced	0.43	0.40	0.48	0.08	0.01**
Violence experience index (0-13)	4.24	4.30	4.15	-0.19	0.33
Resident land and interpersonal conflict					
House spot or farmland taken during war	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.96
Any land conflict since end of war	0.24	0.26	0.22	-0.03	0.20
Had a money conflict in 2008	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.01	0.41
Any burglary or armed robbery in 2008	0.13	0.14	0.13	-0.01	0.59
Victim of witchcraft in 2008	0.09	0.10	0.07	-0.02	0.16
Had a dispute at a water source in past 6 mo.	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.70

Table A.2: 2008 baseline summary statistics and test of randomization balance for communities

		Mean		Regression difference		
Baseline covariate	All	Control	Treatment	Coeff.	p-value	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Resident demograhics						
Age	40.65	40.88	40.25	-0.50	0.44	
Male	0.56	0.57	0.56	-0.00	0.91	
Years of education	5.21	5.29	5.07	-0.04	0.87	
Muslim	0.12	0.12	0.12	-0.01	0.76	
Traditional religion	0.05	0.05	0.04	-0.01	0.27	
christian	0.84	0.83	0.84	0.02	0.52	
Wealth index	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.05	0.29	
Landless	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.01	0.50	
No farm	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.01	0.54	
Prior peace education	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.03	0.32	
Participating in a peace group	0.40	0.41	0.37	-0.03	0.19	
Resident war experience						
Refugee	0.58	0.58	0.58	-0.02	0.48	
Displaced	0.43	0.40	0.48	0.08	0.01**	
Violence experience index (0-13)	4.24	4.30	4.15	-0.19	0.33	
Resident land and interpersonal conflict						
House spot or farmland taken during war	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.96	
Any land conflict since end of war	0.24	0.26	0.22	-0.03	0.20	
Had a money conflict in 2008	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.01	0.41	
Any burglary or armed robbery in 2008	0.13	0.14	0.13	-0.01	0.59	
Victim of witchcraft in 2008	0.09	0.10	0.07	-0.02	0.16	
Had a dispute at a water source in past 6 mo.	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.70	

Table A.3: Effects of intense treatment

	1-	year endline		3-у	ear endline	
Dependent variable	Normal treatment (1)	Intensive treatment (2)	Sum (3)	Normal treatment (4)	Intensive treatment (5)	Sum (6)
Attended program if treated	0.251 [0.023]***	0.086 [0.045]*	0.336 [0.040]***			
Any serious land dispute	0.010 [0.018]	-0.030 [0.030]	-0.020 [0.028]	0.007 [0.012]	-0.013 [0.016]	-0.006 [0.014]
Any threats, property damage, or violence	-0.008 [0.013]	-0.008 [0.021]	-0.016 [0.019]	-0.013 [0.007]*	-0.006 [0.009]	-0.019 [0.007]**
Security rights index, z-score				-0.083 [0.044]*	0.008 [0.064]	-0.075 [0.054]
Improvement index, z-score				-0.066 [0.039]*	0.027 [0.061]	-0.039 [0.056]

Table A.4: Heterogeneity by demographics and peace background on conflict

	For a	all residents	Conditional on a disj	Resolved land
Independent variable	dispute	Any unresolved dispute	Any threats, property damage or violence	dispute
macpenaem variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Female				
Treatment	-0.002	0.005	-0.229	-0.062
	[0.015]	[0.008]	[0.066]***	[0.067]
Covariate	-0.018	-0.009	-0.045	0.035
	[0.012]	[0.008]	[0.071]	[0.071]
Treatment x Covariate	0.018	-0.008	0.079	0.081
	[0.018]	[0.010]	[0.104]	[0.102]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	0.016	-0.002	-0.150	0.019
20-40 years old	[0.013]	[0.006]	[0.074]**	[0.070]
		0.00=	0.400	0.400
Treatment	0.014	0.005	-0.192	-0.133
0	[0.014]	[0.006]	[0.071]***	[0.075]*
Covariate	0.007	0.005	0.066	-0.164 [0.101]
Treatment x Covariate				
freatment x Covariate	-0.012 [0.017]	-0.006 [0.009]	-0.001 [0.107]	0.201 [0.109]*
Come of Tourstonest and Instrumetion				
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	0.002 [0.013]	-0.001 [0.007]	-0.193 [0.071]***	0.068
Below median wealth	[0.020]	[]	[0.00.2]	[]
Treatment	0.005	-0.004	-0.203	-0.112
reatment	[0.016]	[0.007]	[0.073]***	[0.068]
Covariate	0.025	-0.004	0.026	-0.134
Covariate	[0.025]	[0.010]	[0.084]	[0.093]
Treatment x Covariate	0.010	0.012	0.031	0.165
	[0.020]	[0.009]	[0.112]	[0.094]*
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	0.015	0.007	-0.172	0.052
	[0.013]	[0.006]	[0.076]**	[0.063]
Any ethnic minority				
Treatment	0.009	-0.001	-0.185	-0.008
	[0.011]	[0.005]	[0.051]***	[0.050]
Covariate	0.017	-0.007	0.000	0.000
	[0.024]	[0.015]	[0.000]	[0.000]
Treatment x Covariate	-0.013	0.025	-0.068	-0.153
	[0.026]	[0.013]*	[0.143]	[0.138]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.004	0.023	-0.253	-0.161
	[0.024]	[0.012]*	[0.130]*	[0.128]
% town peace education at baseline				
Treatment	0.030	0.006	-0.206	-0.049
	[0.017]*	[0.007]	[0.106]*	[0.097]
Covariate	0.023	0.024	-0.204	-0.009
	[0.038]	[0.017]	[0.260]	[0.194]
Treatment x Covariate	-0.079	-0.019	0.086	0.083
	[0.051]	[0.024]	[0.327]	[0.270]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.049	-0.013	-0.120	0.033
% town peace group at baseline	[0.039]	[0.019]	[0.238]	[0.191]
Treatment	0.014	0.009	-0.251	0.048
	[0.021]	[0.009]	[0.124]**	[0.109]
Covariate	-0.003	-0.014	-0.264	0.390
	[0.035]	[0.014]	[0.208]	[0.173]**
Treatment x Covariate	-0.016	-0.019	0.152	-0.185
0 (7 ) 17 ; "	[0.048]	[0.021]	[0.273]	[0.248]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.002	-0.010	-0.099	-0.137
	[0.032]	[0.014]	[0.165]	[0.155]

Table A.5: Heterogeneity by demographics and peace background on security and investment

	Consulter in dos	Duon outre investment	Size of land
Independent variable	Security index through rights	Property investment index, z-score	(house and farm)
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Female			
<b></b>	0.000	0.004	
Treatment	-0.082 [0.041]**	-0.086 [0.038]**	4.318 [1.358]***
Covariate	-0.097	-0.187	-1.290
COVIDA	[0.042]**	[0.035]***	[1.323]
Treatment x Covariate	0.008	0.067	-3.070
	[0.056]	[0.048]	[1.821]*
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.074	-0.020	1.247
	[0.041]*	[0.033]	[1.287]
20-40 years old			
Treatment	-0.078	-0.063	3.282
	[0.041]*	[0.036]*	[1.341]**
Covariate	-0.031	0.072	3.184
	[0.056]	[0.044]	[1.726]*
Treatment x Covariate	-0.000	0.021	-1.014
	[0.056]	[0.048]	[1.823]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.078	-0.042	2.268
Below median wealth	[0.042]*	[0.035]	[1.307]*
below median wealth			
Treatment	-0.068	-0.013	5.270
	[0.043]	[0.037]	[1.404]***
Covariate	-0.049	0.110	5.097
	[0.070]	[0.062]*	[1.947]***
Treatment x Covariate	-0.029	-0.066	-4.656
	[0.058]	[0.049]	[1.886]**
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.097	-0.079	0.614
Any ethnic minority	[0.040]**	[0.035]**	[1.288]
Any enine innorty			
Treatment	-0.083	-0.047	2.678
	[0.032]***	[0.028]*	[1.010]***
Covariate	0.129	0.000	1.544
	[0.073]*	[0.000]	[2.754]
Treatment x Covariate	0.037	-0.043	0.854
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	[0.080] -0.045	[0.071] -0.090	[2.853]
Sum of freatment and interaction	[0.075]	[0.069]	[2.714]
% town peace education at baseline			
Treatment	-0.196	-0.156	3.173
	[0.056]***	[0.045]***	[1.782]*
Covariate	-0.202	-0.203	3.056
Treatment x Covariate	[0.122]* 0.428	[0.110]* 0.383	[4.231] -2.008
Heathletti x Covariate	[0.167]**	[0.141]***	[5.535]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	0.232	0.228	1.165
	[0.124]*	[0.108]**	[4.160]
% town in peace group at baseline			
Treatment	-0.108	-0.106	1.366
	[0.061]*	[0.049]**	[1.988]
Covariate	-0.127	-0.078	-0.940
	[0.110]	[0.085]	[3.518]
Treatment x Covariate	0.074	0.137	3.579
	[0.142]	[0.112]	[4.514]
Sum of Treatment and Interaction	-0.034	0.031	4.945
	[0.094]	[0.076]	[2.934]*

Table A.6: Summary statistics of conflict outcomes by demographics and peace background

	All 3-year	endline residents	Ge	ender	Age	•	Below n	nedian wealth	Any eth	nic minority	Any peace e	ducation in town at baseline	Any peace	group in town at baseline
	Mean	SD	Men	Women	above 40	20-40	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pct. of 3-year endline residents			0.48	0.52	0.49	0.51	0.50	0.50	0.87	0.13	0.07	0.93	0.03	0.97
Panel A: Land dispute outomes for all residents														
Any serious land dispute	0.09	0.28	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.09
Any unresolved land dispute	0.02	0.15	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.02
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.04	0.18	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.04
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.02	0.13	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02
Threats	0.03	0.17	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03
Property damage	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01
Violence	0.02	0.13	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute														
Length of maximum land conflict	14.50	28.07	14.95	13.95	16.20	13.11	17.17	11.49	14.35	15.63	14.42	14.50	14.49	14.50
Resolved land dispute	0.66	0.47	0.65	0.67	0.69	0.64	0.61	0.72	0.66	0.68	0.87	0.65	0.67	0.66
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.40	0.49	0.41	0.40	0.36	0.44	0.42	0.38	0.41	0.32	0.27	0.41	0.20	0.41
Property damage or violence	0.21	0.41	0.23	0.18	0.16	0.24	0.17	0.25	0.21	0.22	0.13	0.21	0.07	0.21
Threats	0.35	0.48	0.35	0.36	0.33	0.37	0.39	0.31	0.37	0.24	0.20	0.36	0.13	0.36
Property damage	0.09	0.29	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.00	0.10
Violence	0.18	0.39	0.20	0.16	0.14	0.22	0.15	0.22	0.18	0.20	0.07	0.19	0.07	0.19
Witchcraft	0.07	0.26	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.10	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.07
Panel C: General dispute outcomes for all resident	s													
Any serious dispute	0.31	0.46	0.31	0.30	0.25	0.36	0.34	0.27	0.32	0.24	0.25	0.31	0.35	0.30
Any unresolved dispute	0.06	0.25	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.12	0.06
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.09	0.29	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.09
Panel D: Conditional on a dispute														
Resolved dispute	0.76	0.43	0.78	0.74	0.74	0.77	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.75	0.76
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.41	0.49	0.40	0.41	0.39	0.41	0.47	0.32	0.41	0.37	0.37	0.41	0.41	0.40
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.31	0.46	0.29	0.33	0.30	0.31	0.33	0.29	0.32	0.21	0.26	0.31	0.25	0.31
Property damage or violence	0.18	0.39	0.16	0.20	0.16	0.20	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.12	0.14	0.19	0.11	0.19
Threats	0.25	0.44	0.24	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.28	0.22	0.26	0.17	0.21	0.26	0.23	0.25
Property damage	0.04	0.20	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04
Violence	0.17	0.38	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.11	0.18	0.11	0.17

Table A.7: Summary statistics of security and investment by demographics and peace background

	All 3-year e	endline residents	Ge	ender	Age	!	Any eth	nnic minority	Politically connected		Has market tenure		Owns plot	
	Mean	SD	Men	Women	above 40	20-40	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pct. of 3-year endline residents			0.48	0.52	0.49	0.51	0.87	0.13	0.68	0.32	0.15	0.85	0.85	0.15
Property investment index, z-score	0.00	1.00	0.12	-0.12	-0.01	0.01	0.01	-0.04	-0.04	0.08	-0.13	0.02	0.00	-0.02
Monetary value of improvement, house and farm, (z-score)	-0.00	1.00	0.07	-0.06	-0.01	0.01	-0.00	0.02	-0.01	0.02	-0.10	0.02	0.01	-0.03
Non-monetary improvement, house and farm (z-score)	-0.00	1.00	0.07	-0.07	-0.00	0.00	0.01	-0.04	-0.03	0.07	-0.09	0.02	-0.00	0.02
Made an improvement, house and farm	0.35	0.48	0.42	0.28	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.39	0.30	0.36	0.35	0.33
Security rights index, z-score	0.00	1.00	0.11	-0.11	0.05	-0.05	0.03	-0.23	-0.02	0.04	-0.49	0.09	-0.04	0.22
Feels secure in boundaries, house and farm	0.87	0.34	0.90	0.84	0.89	0.85	0.86	0.89	0.86	0.89	0.85	0.87	0.85	0.94
Has ability to inherit, house and farm	0.80	0.40	0.85	0.75	0.84	0.76	0.80	0.81	0.77	0.86	0.68	0.82	0.78	0.90
Has ability to sell, house and farm	0.26	0.44	0.28	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.27	0.15	0.27	0.23	0.17	0.27	0.25	0.31
Has ability to pawn, house and farm	0.26	0.44	0.28	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.27	0.16	0.26	0.24	0.17	0.27	0.25	0.30
has ability to survey, house and farm	0.61	0.49	0.67	0.56	0.63	0.59	0.62	0.54	0.59	0.65	0.46	0.64	0.59	0.70

#### 3 Extra Tables

### 3.1 All conflict and security variables

Table E.1: Additional p-value corrections, general and land conflict combined

				1-year endli	ne						3-year endli	ne		
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Est. p-val (5)	WY Adj. p-val (6)	Holms Adj p-val (7)	Control mean (8)	N (9)	ITT (10)	ITT / control mean (%) (11)	Est. p-val (12)	WY Adj. p-val (13)	Holms Adj p-val (14)
Panel A: Land dispute outomes for all residents														
Any serious land dispute	0.221	5,435	0.003	1.2	0.867	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.868	0.087	4,011	0.008	8.8	0.473	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.986
Any unresolved land dispute	0.070	5,435	[0.016] -0.020 [0.008]**	-28.0	0.015	$0.000^{a}$	0.111	0.024	4,011	[0.011] 0.002 [0.005]	6.4	0.744	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.986
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.122	5,435	-0.010	-8.1	0.397	$0.500^{a}$	0.868	0.041	4,011	-0.012	-29.3	0.039	$0.000^{b}$	0.331
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.091	5,435	[0.012] -0.012 [0.009]	-13.2	0.183			0.021	4,011	[0.006]** -0.007 [0.004]	-31.2	0.117		
Threats	0.114	5,435	-0.006 [0.011]	-5.3	0.596			0.035	4,011	-0.010 [0.006]*	-28.9	0.069		
Property damage	0.041	5,435	-0.013	-32.4	0.029			0.010	4,011	-0.005	-52.3	0.072		
Violence	0.077	5,435	[0.006]** -0.007 [0.008]	-8.7	0.416			0.017	4,011	[0.003]* -0.004 [0.004]	-21.4	0.361		
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute			[0.000]							[0.001]				
Length of maximum land conflict								13.247	353	3.642 [2.889]	27.5	0.209	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.847
Resolved land dispute	0.684	1,212	0.072 [0.027]***	10.5	0.009	$0.000^{a}$	0.080	0.668	353	-0.024 [0.046]	-3.6	0.604	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.986
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.554	1,212	-0.024	-4.3	0.496	$0.500^{a}$	0.868	0.476	353	-0.192 [0.047]***	-40.4	0.000	0.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.001
Property damage or violence	0.411	1,212	[0.035] -0.037	-9.1	0.213			0.243	353	-0.090	-37.2	0.035		
Threats	0.515	1,212	[0.030] -0.013	-2.5	0.713			0.408	353	[0.042]** -0.157	-38.6	0.001		
Property damage	0.186	1,212	[0.035] -0.051 [0.025]**	-27.4	0.041			0.114	353	-0.067	-58.5	0.016		
Violence	0.349	1,212	-0.022 [0.028]	-6.3	0.442			0.202	353	[0.027]** -0.056 [0.042]	-28.0	0.186		
Witchcraft			[0.026]					0.065	353	0.035	54.3	0.182		
Panel C: General dispute outcomes for all residents										[0.020]				
Any serious dispute	0.299	5,435	0.022 [0.018]	7.2	0.220	$0.500^{a}$	0.775	0.306	4,011	0.012 [0.017]	4.1	0.456	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.986
Any unresolved dispute	0.118	5,435	-0.013 [0.011]	-11.0	0.250	$0.500^{a}$	0.775	0.064	4,011	-0.004 [0.009]	-6.6	0.623	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.986
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[0.011]					0.101	4,011	-0.015	-15.2	0.111	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.652
Panel D: Conditional on a dispute										[0.010]				
Resolved dispute	0.676	1,670	0.051	7.5	0.033	$0.000^{a}$	0.208	0.767	1,227	-0.019	-2.5	0.467	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.986
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.247	1,671	0.024]**	7.4	0.470	0.500 <sup>a</sup>	0.868	0.409	1,227	[0.026] -0.019	-4.6	0.487	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.986
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[0.025]					0.331	1,227	-0.069	-20.7	0.009	0.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.095
Property damage or violence								0.190	1,227	[0.026]*** -0.027	-14.1	0.222		
Threats								0.274	1,227	[0.022] -0.072	-26.2	0.003		
Property damage								0.048	1,227	-0.019	-40.0	0.085		
Violence								0.173	1,227	[0.011]* -0.018 [0.021]	-10.2	0.411		

Table E.2: All land conflict results, endlines 1 and 2

		1-ye	ar endline			3-ye	ar endline	
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Control mean (5)	N (6)	ITT (7)	ITT / control mean (%) (8)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents								
Any serious land dispute	0.221	5,435	0.003 [0.016]	1.2	0.087	4,011	0.008 [0.011]	8.8
Any unresolved land dispute	0.070	5,435	-0.020 [0.008]**	-28.0	0.024	4,011	0.002 [0.005]	6.4
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.122	5,435	-0.010 [0.012]	-8.1	0.041	4,011	-0.012 [0.006]**	-29.3
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.091	5,435	-0.012 [0.009]	-13.2	0.021	4,011	-0.007 [0.004]	-31.2
Threats	0.114	5,435	-0.006 [0.011]	-5.3	0.035	4,011	-0.010 [0.006]*	-28.9
Property damage	0.041	5,435	-0.013 [0.006]**	-32.4	0.010	4,011	-0.005 [0.003]*	-52.3
Violence	0.077	5,435	-0.007 [0.008]	-8.7	0.017	4,011	-0.004 [0.004]	-21.4
Length of maximum land conflict phantom					1.147	4,011	0.411 [0.279]	35.9
Length of mean land conflict					0.396	4,011	0.131 [0.094]	33.0
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute								
Resolved land dispute	0.684	1,212	0.072 [0.027]***	10.5	0.668	353	-0.024 [0.046]	-3.6
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.193	1,212	0.032 [0.024]	16.4	0.251	353	0.031 [0.051]	12.3
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.554	1,212	-0.024 [0.035]	-4.3	0.476	353	-0.192 [0.047]***	-40.4
Property damage or violence	0.411	1,212	-0.037 [0.030]	-9.1	0.243	353	-0.090 [0.042]**	-37.2
Threats	0.515	1,212	-0.013 [0.035]	-2.5	0.408	353	-0.157 [0.048]***	-38.6
Property damage	0.186	1,212	-0.051 [0.025]**	-27.4	0.114	353	-0.067 [0.027]**	-58.5
Violence	0.349	1,212	-0.022 [0.028]	-6.3	0.202	353	-0.056 [0.042]	-28.0
Witchcraft					0.065	353	0.035 [0.026]	54.3

Table E.3: All general conflict results, endlines 1 and 2  $\,$ 

		1-yea	ar endline			3-ye	ar endline	
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Control mean (5)	N (6)	ITT (7)	ITT / control mean (%) (8)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents								
Any serious dispute	0.299	5,435	0.022 [0.018]	7.2	0.306	4,011	0.012 [0.017]	4.1
Any unresolved dispute	0.118	5,435	-0.013 [0.011]	-11.0	0.064	4,011	-0.004 [0.009]	-6.6
Any threats, property damage, or violence			. ,		0.101	4,011	-0.015 [0.010]	-15.2
Property damage or violence in a land dispute					0.058	4,011	-0.006 [0.008]	-10.8
Threats					0.084	4,011	-0.018 [0.009]**	-20.9
Property damage					0.015	4,011	-0.006 [0.004]	-37.2
Violence					0.053	4,011	-0.003 [0.007]	-4.8
Length of maximum conflict					1.852	4,011	0.447 [0.289]	24.1
Length of mean conflict					0.400	4,011	0.081 [0.060]	20.1
Length of mean conflict					1.308	1,227	0.201 [0.161]	15.4
Length of maximum conflict					6.052	1,227	1.164 [0.788]	19.2
Panel B: Conditional on dispute								
Resolved dispute	0.676	1,670	0.051 [0.024]**	7.5	0.767	1,227	-0.019 [0.026]	-2.5
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.247	1,671	0.018 [0.025]	7.4	0.409	1,227	-0.019 [0.027]	-4.6
Any threats, property damage, or violence			[0.020]		0.331	1,227	-0.069 [0.026]***	-20.7
Property damage or violence					0.190	1,227	-0.027 [0.022]	-14.1
Threats					0.274	1,227	-0.072 [0.024]***	-26.2
Property damage					0.048	1,227	-0.019 [0.011]*	-40.0
Violence					0.173	1,227	-0.018 [0.021]	-10.2
Witchcraft					0.029	1,227	0.006 [0.010]	19.7

Table E.4: All land and security results

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)
Security rights index, z-score	4,011	0.045	-0.085	-187.9
Security fights fidex, 2-score	4,011	0.043	[0.037]**	-107.9
Security rights index for farm	3,666	0.048	-0.090	-187.1
, 0	,		[0.037]**	
Security rights index for house	3,850	0.034	-0.068	-200.8
			[0.038]*	
Improvement index, z-score	4,011	0.023	-0.066	-281.1
			[0.037]*	
Improvement index for farm	4,011	0.015	-0.061	-409.4
	2.050	0.000	[0.034]*	100.0
Improvement index for house	3,850	0.022	-0.042 [0.034]	-192.9
Index of Fallow Land for farm	3,666	0.003	-0.004	-131.5
muex of Fanow Land for farm	3,000	0.003	[0.044]	-131.3
Has ever let land rest	3,666	0.682	0.014	2.6
This ever let land lest	2,000	0.002	[0.020]	2.0
Will let land rest in future	3,666	0.730	0.014	1.9
	,		[0.018]	
Num. of seasons to rest	3,666	3.347	-0.063	-1.9
			[0.145]	
Level of security if land resting	3,666	2.322	-0.052	-2.2
			[0.036]	
Size of farm	3,598	37.481	2.773	7.4
			[1.051]***	

### 3.2 Subcomponents of Norms and Skills

Table E.5: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment Bias

		Control	
Dependent Variable	N	mean	ITT
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Bias index	4,011	-0.009	-0.002
			[0.046]
Thinks bad to gossip (z-score)	4,011	0.039	-0.072
			[0.039]*
Comm. thinks bad to gossip (z-score)	3,721	-0.028	0.026
			[0.043]
Thinks bad to tell lies (z-score)	4,011	0.003	-0.001
			[0.038]
Comm. thinks bad to tell lies (z-score)	3,717	-0.046	0.057
			[0.037]
Thinks bad to take sides (z-score)	4,011	0.026	-0.048
			[0.039]
Comm thinks bad to take sides (z-score)	3,833	-0.034	0.023
,			[0.043]

Table E.6: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Defection

		Control	
Dependent Variable	N	mean	ITT
•	(1)	(2)	(3)
Defection index	4,011	-0.043	0.045
			[0.041]
Thinks bad to renege (z-score)	4,011	0.014	-0.061
			[0.045]
Comm. thinks bad to renege (z-score)	3,717	0.000	-0.066
			[0.042]
Thinks good to take palava to elders (z-score)	4,011	-0.044	0.067
			[0.036]*
Comm. thinks good to take palava to elders (z-score)	3,706	-0.027	0.016
			[0.038]
Doesn't think okay to take palava to police (z-score)	4,011	0.005	0.009
			[0.037]
Comm. doesn't think okay to take palava to police (z-score)	3,846	-0.043	0.066
			[0.046]
Thinks bad to take palava to biased forum (z-score)	4,011	-0.021	0.038
•			[0.038]
Comm thinks bad to take palava to biased forum (z-score)	3,693	-0.058	0.088
			[0.039]**

Table E.7: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Forum Choice

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)
Forum choice index	4,011	-0.028	0.031
Thinks good to go to good talkers first (z-score)	4,011	-0.004	[0.037] -0.005 [0.038]
Thinks good to talk to others first (z-score)	3,850	-0.013	0.046
Thinks good to talk (z-score)	4,011	0.002	[0.038] -0.030 [0.034]
Comm. thinks good to talk (z-score)	3,926	-0.003	0.001
Doesn't think okay to go to police first (z-score)	4,011	-0.013	[0.035] 0.018 [0.038]
Comm doesn't think okay to go to police first (z-score)	3,824	-0.050	0.047 [0.043]

Table E.8: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Managing Emotions

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)
Managing emotions index	4,011	-0.031	0.067
Thinks shouldn't spoil property (z-score)	4,011	0.035	[0.031]** -0.077 [0.037]**
Comm thinks shouldn't spoil property (z-score)	3,760	-0.043	0.076
Stays calm (z-score)	4,010	-0.022	[0.041]* 0.043 [0.032]
Cools temper (z-score)	4,010	-0.028	0.039
Don't talk bad (z-score)	4,010	-0.034	[0.032] 0.089 [0.037]**

Table E.9: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Mediation

		Control	
Dependent Variable	N	mean	ITT
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Mediation index	4,011	0.003	-0.062
			[0.037]*
Thinks good to ask friends for help (z-score)	4,011	0.007	-0.037
•			[0.034]
Comm thinks good to ask friends for help (z-score)	3,927	-0.008	-0.005
•			[0.035]
Thinks its good to talk (z-score)	4,011	0.035	-0.107
·			[0.040]***
Community brings people together in palava (z-score)	3,949	-0.017	-0.013
			[0.033]
Thinks okay to help others (z-score)	4,011	0.011	-0.061
			[0.041]
Comm thinks okay to help others (z-score)	3,953	-0.021	-0.001
			[0.036]
Bring others together (z-score)	4,010	-0.009	-0.010
			[0.035]
Help others agree (z-score)	4,010	0.009	-0.050
			[0.033]
Helps others understand (z-score)	4,010	0.002	-0.018
			[0.033]
Cool others' temper (z-score)	4,010	-0.005	-0.021
			[0.035]

Table E.10: Resident-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Negotiation

		Control	
Dependent Variable	N	mean	ITT
•	(1)	(2)	(3)
Negotiation index	4,011	0.002	0.002
			[0.027]
Comm. thinks its good to talk (z-score)	4,011	-0.004	-0.011
			[0.037]
Thinks its good to talk (z-score)	3,919	-0.002	-0.032
			[0.031]
Thinks gifts okay (z-score)	4,011	0.011	-0.006
			[0.036]
Comm. thinks gifts okay (z-score)	3,820	0.010	-0.023
			[0.032]
Talks with opposing side (z-score)	4,010	-0.008	0.009
			[0.032]
Makes other side understand (z-score)	4,010	0.005	-0.003
			[0.029]
Proposes solution (z-score)	4,010	-0.010	0.013
			[0.035]
Compromizes with other (z-score)	4,010	-0.035	0.042
			[0.031]
Forgives others (z-score)	4,010	-0.004	0.019
			[0.040]

#### 3.3 Using years-of-treatment as treatment variable

Table E.11: Resident-Level Effect of years since Treatment on Land Conflicts

				ITT /
		Control		control
Dependent Variable	N	mean	ITT	mean (%)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents				
Any serious land dispute	4,011	0.088	-0.028 [0.015]*	-31.9
Any unresolved land dispute	4,011	0.024	-0.009 [0.006]	-35.3
Any threats, property damage, or violence	4,011	0.041	-0.014 [0.006]**	-34.3
Property damage or violence in land dispute	4,011	0.021	-0.005 [0.004]	-26.3
Threats	4,011	0.035	-0.015 [0.006]**	-41.8
Property damage	4,011	0.010	-0.009 [0.005]*	-87.4
Violence	4,011	0.017	-0.004 [0.004]	-20.3
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute				
Length of maximum land conflict	353	12.844	5.362 [2.356]**	41.7
Resolved land dispute	353	0.662	0.039	5.9
Any threats, property damage, or violence	353	0.469	-0.076 [0.044]*	-16.2
Property damage or violence	353	0.238	-0.042 [0.037]	-17.7
Threats	353	0.404	-0.090 [0.042]**	-22.3
Property damage	353	0.114	-0.092 [0.043]**	-81.2
Violence	353	0.198	-0.015 [0.037]	-7.6
Witchcraft	353	0.070	-0.056 [0.034]*	-80.8

Table E.12: Resident-Level Effect of years since Treatment on Land Conflicts: IV

			1-year e	ndline				3-year er	ndline	
Dependent Variable	Control mean	N	IV est.	SE	ITT / control mean	Control mean	N	IV est.	SE	ITT / control mean
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Panel A: Ouctomes for all residents										
Any serious land dispute	0.222	1,900	0.011	0.027	4.8	0.085	1,692	0.018	0.013	20.9
Any unresolved land dispute	0.067	1,900	0.008	0.011	11.5	0.023	1,692	0.004	0.006	18.8
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.121	1,900	0.017	0.019	14.3	0.038	1,692	0.007	0.006	18.2
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.087	1,900	0.026	0.014*	29.4	0.019	1,692	0.006	0.004*	32.2
Threats	0.114	1,900	0.010	0.019	9.2	0.033	1,692	0.007	0.005	21.6
Property damage	0.039	1,900	0.010	0.009	26.6	0.009	1,692	0.004	0.002*	47.0
Violence	0.075	1,900	0.016	0.013	21.4	0.016	1,692	0.005	0.004	32.7
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute										
Length of maximum land conflict						13.883	145	-0.770	3.636	-5.5
Resolved land dispute	0.697	429	-0.024	0.039	-3.4	0.657	145	0.045	0.041	6.8
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.546	429	0.046	0.052	8.4	0.449	145	0.023	0.043	5.2
Property damage or violence	0.393	429	0.092	0.042**	23.5	0.225	145	0.037	0.033	16.5
Threats	0.511	429	0.018	0.050	3.5	0.384	145	0.030	0.044	7.9
Property damage	0.174	429	0.037	0.035	21.4	0.106	145	0.030	0.022	27.8
Violence	0.337	429	0.054	0.039	16.0	0.188	145	0.030	0.032	16.0
Witchcraft						0.062	145	-0.006	0.019	-9.6

### 3.4 Effect of being dropped on violence outcomes

Table E.13: Resident-Level Effect of being dropped on violence outcomes

	Mean	Effect of 7	Treatment	Effect	C	Effect as of control m	
	full	Full	Reduced	of	Full	Reduced	
	sample (1)	sample (2)	sample (3)	Dropping (4)	sample (5)	sample (6)	Dropping (7)
Panel A: Land dispute outomes for all residents							
Any serious land dispute	0.221	0.003 [0.016]	0.002 [0.018]	0.001 [0.023]	1.24	1.00	0.27
Any unresolved land dispute	0.070	-0.020 [0.008]**	-0.020 [0.008]**	-0.011 [0.010]	-27.97	-28.63	-16.62
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.122	-0.010 [0.012]	-0.011 [0.014]	0.001 [0.014]	-8.10	-8.95	0.54
Property damage or violence in land dispute	0.091	-0.012 [0.009]	-0.013 [0.010]	0.002 [0.013]	-13.16	-14.39	2.64
Threats	0.114	-0.006 [0.011]	-0.006 [0.013]	0.001 [0.014]	-5.27	-5.23	1.19
Property damage	0.041	-0.013 [0.006]**	-0.018 [0.007]***	-0.017 [0.010]*	-32.40	-40.65	-49.25
Violence	0.077	-0.007 [0.008]	-0.006 [0.009]	0.007 [0.011]	-8.73	-8.13	8.53
Panel B: Conditional on a land dispute							
Resolved land dispute	0.684	0.072 [0.027]***	0.076 [0.029]***	0.032 [0.040]	10.53	11.13	4.55
Any threats, property damage, or violence	0.554	-0.024 [0.035]	-0.012 [0.037]	0.016 [0.043]	-4.33	-2.22	2.72
Property damage or violence	0.411	-0.037 [0.030]	-0.027 [0.031]	0.028 [0.043]	-9.05	-6.54	6.57
Threats	0.515	-0.013 [0.035]	-0.002 [0.037]	0.013 [0.039]	-2.50	-0.31	2.39
Property damage	0.186	-0.051 [0.025]**	-0.056 [0.026]**	-0.058 [0.038]	-27.37	-28.46	-35.85
Violence	0.349	-0.022 [0.028]	-0.011 [0.030]	0.039 [0.036]	-6.26	-3.17	10.87
Panel C: General dispute outcomes for all residents							
Any serious dispute	0.299	0.022 [0.018]	0.021 [0.019]	-0.016 [0.023]	7.22	6.99	-5.57
Any unresolved dispute	0.118	-0.013 [0.011]	-0.011 [0.012]	-0.014 [0.014]	-11.01	-9.19	-12.54
Panel D: Conditional on a dispute		[0.044]	[0.04-]	[0.044]			
Resolved dispute	0.676	0.051 [0.024]**	0.047 [0.024]*	0.013 [0.038]	7.55	6.88	1.84
Resolved via informal mechanism	0.247	0.018 [0.025]	0.018 [0.026]	0.025 [0.038]	7.42	7.34	9.10

Table E.14: Comparison of resident-level baseline summary statistics for dropped and non-dropped communities

		Mean			on difference
Baseline covariate	All	Kept	Dropped	Coeff.	p-value
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Resident demograhics					
Age	40.65	40.67	40.55	-0.44	0.65
Male	0.56	0.56	0.56	-0.01	0.67
Years of education	5.21	5.23	5.12	-0.24	0.43
Muslim	0.12	0.12	0.10	-0.02	0.62
Traditional religion	0.05	0.05	0.04	-0.02	0.11
christian	0.84	0.83	0.86	0.04	0.33
Wealth index	-0.01	0.02	-0.19	-0.24	0.00***
Landless	0.13	0.14	0.12	-0.01	0.76
No farm	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.01	0.70
Prior peace education	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.03	0.34
Participating in a peace group	0.40	0.39	0.42	0.01	0.83
Resident war experience					
Refugee	0.58	0.58	0.59	0.01	0.81
Displaced	0.43	0.44	0.38	-0.02	0.67
Violence experience index (0-13)	4.24	4.17	4.62	0.44	0.12
Resident land and interpersonal conflict					
House spot or farmland taken during war	0.10	0.10	0.09	-0.01	0.51
Any land conflict since end of war	0.24	0.24	0.23	-0.03	0.45
Had a money conflict in 2008	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.01	0.69
Any burglary or armed robbery in 2008	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.02	0.54
Victim of witchcraft in 2008	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.03	0.19
Had a dispute at a water source in past 6 mo.	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.03	0.10*

Table E.15: Comparison of community-level baseline summary statistics for dropped and non-dropped communities

		Mean		Regressio	on difference
Baseline covariate	All	Kept	Dropped	Coeff.	p-value
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Town population	2,026.13	2,047.29	1,916.03	-125.06	0.55
Town education level	5.16	5.17	5.11	-0.07	0.83
Number of tribes in town	2.62	2.63	2.58	0.17	0.54
% of community members in largest tribe	0.82	0.82	0.81	-0.02	0.55
Town wealth index	-0.02	0.01	-0.20	-0.22	0.00***
# of services available in town (0-14)	5.61	5.63	5.54	-0.21	0.65
# of resources within 2 hrs of comm. (0-5)	1.45	1.41	1.63	0.29	0.03**
Distance to nearest road in hours, rainy season	1.07	1.03	1.32	0.32	0.29
Town exposure to war violence	4.28	4.19	4.73	0.51	0.06*
% of town losing land during war	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.01	0.77
Index of progressive political beliefs in town	3.85	3.87	3.71	-0.18	0.06*
Index of progressive ethnic attitudes in town	5.78	5.75	5.97	0.22	0.32
% of town with prior peace education	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.03	0.36
% of town participating in a peace group	0.40	0.39	0.41	0.01	0.79
% of town accepting of inter-religious marriage	0.66	0.66	0.68	0.04	0.32
% of town reporting assault	0.19	0.17	0.25	0.08	0.07*
% of town reporting a land dispute	0.24	0.24	0.25	-0.01	0.82
% of town reporting witchcraft victimization	0.09	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.12
% of town reporting	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.11
% of town reporting violent dispute at water source	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.01	0.85
Violent strike or ethnic dispute in town	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.01	0.87
Witch killing or tral by ordeal in town	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.02	0.74
Rape or murder in town	0.15	0.17	0.08	-0.09	0.09*

### 3.5 Community-Level Impacts

Table E.16: Community-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Conflicts

				1-year end	line						3-year end	line		
Dependent Variable	Control mean (1)	N (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)	Est. p-val (5)	WY Adj. p-val (6)	Holms Adj p-val (7)	Control mean (8)	N (9)	ITT (10)	ITT / control mean (%) (11)	Est. p-val (12)	WY Adj. p-val (13)	Holms Adj p-val (14)
Any Violence	0.442	940	0.085 [0.037]**	19.3	0.021	0.500 <sup>a</sup>	0.193	0.622	971	-0.057 [0.057]	-9.1	0.319	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.735
Level of community violence	0.790	940	0.152 [0.083]*	19.2	0.070	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.478	0.984	971	-0.131 [0.096]	-13.3	0.171	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.677
Intertribal violence	0.028	940	0.008 [0.013]	30.0	0.512	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.884	0.021	971	-0.016 [0.008]**	-77.8	0.039	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.331
Violent strike or protest	0.061	940	-0.004 [0.016]	-7.1	0.782	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.952	0.002	971	0.015 [0.009]	912.4	0.104	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.585
Youth-elder dispute	0.110	940	0.044 [0.029]	40.2	0.124	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.603	0.103	967	0.003 [0.023]	3.4	0.880	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.880
Peaceful strike or protest	0.100	940	0.037 [0.025]	37.1	0.144	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.606	0.059	971	0.010 [0.019]	16.0	0.613	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.850
Interfamily land disputes	0.274	940	0.030 [0.037]	11.1	0.408	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.878	0.548	971	-0.071 [0.066]	-12.9	0.282	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.735
Conflicts with other towns	0.154	940	-0.005 [0.033]	-3.5	0.870	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.952	0.171	970	-0.038 [0.029]	-22.2	0.194	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.677
Witch hunts	0.015	940	0.023	153.3	0.087	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.517	0.011	971	-0.008 [0.005]*	-71.8	0.085	0.500 <sup>b</sup>	0.551
Trial by ordeal	0.048	940	0.019 [0.018]	39.4	0.298	1.000 <sup>a</sup>	0.830	0.070	971	-0.027 [0.018]	-39.1	0.120	1.000 <sup>b</sup>	0.592

#### 3.6 Plot-Level Impacts

Table E.17: Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Investments

		Control		ITT / control
Dependent Variable	N	mean	ITT	mean (%)
•	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Property investment index, z-score	7,861	0.018	-0.052	-287.8
			[0.026]**	
Monetary value of improvement, house and farm, (z-score)	7,848	0.016	-0.044	<i>-</i> 279.1
			[0.028]	
Non-monetary improvement, house and farm (z-score)	7,848	0.007	-0.018	-252.9
			[0.025]	
Made an improvement, house and farm	7,861	0.360	-0.028	-7.8
-			[0.012]**	

Table E.18: Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Security

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control mean (2)	ITT (3)	ITT / control mean (%) (4)
Security index through rights	7,516	0.041	-0.078 [0.030]***	-191.2
Feels secure in boundaries, house and farm	8,022	0.873	-0.025 [0.008]***	-2.9
Has ability to inherit, house and farm	8,022	0.813	-0.048 [0.010]***	-6.0
Has ability to sell, house and farm	8,022	0.268	-0.010 [0.013]	-3.6
Has ability to pawn, house and farm	8,022	0.264	-0.012 [0.013]	-4.5
has ability to survey, house and farm	8,022	0.619	-0.016 [0.013]	-2.5

Table E.19: Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Main Outcomes

Dependent Variable	N (1)	Control Mean (2)	ATE (3)	ATE / control mean (4)
Security rights index, aggregated	4,011	0.045	-0.083 [0.037]**	-183.5
Improvement index, aggregated	4,011	0.023	-0.065 [0.037]*	-275.5
Index of Fallow Land, farm	3,666	0.003	-0.003 [0.044]	-109.3
Size of farm	3,598	37.481	2.750 [1.051]***	7.3

#### 3.7 Power calculations

Table E.20: Plot-Level Effect of Treatment Assignment on Main Outcomes

	Treatment effect	Minimum detect-	Treatment effect
Dependent variable	at Endline 2	able effect	as % of MDE
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Any serious land dispute	0.008	0.008	0.958
Any unresolved land dispute	0.002	0.003	0.581
Any threats, property damage, or violence	-0.012	0.004	3.062
Any serious dispute	0.012	0.015	0.799
Any unresolved dispute	-0.004	0.006	0.663
Any threats, property damage, or violence	-0.015	0.006	2.395