Component	Excellent	Good	Fair
Content (30 points)	The deliverable did a fantastic job on all the components - problem definition, background, motivation, literature search, experimental evaluation and conclusions.	The deliverable lacked in one or two of the components defined for criterion "excellent".	The deliverable did a poor job on more than 2 of the components defined in criterion "excellent".
Contribution (30 points)	The deliverable explained core ideas of the project in an excellent manner & demonstrated novel concepts and ideas in relation to previous work.	The deliverable demonstrated adequate understanding of the problem and research approach, and discussed the novelty aspects.	The project was not novel and uninteresting from a research perspective. Either the problem was ill- defined or the methods required no thought.
Subject Knowledge (30 points)	The deliverable demonstrated knowledge of the course content by integrating major and minor concepts into the project. The deliverable also demonstrated evidence of extensive research effort and a depth of thinking about the topic.	The deliverable demonstrated knowledge of the course content by integrating major concepts into the project. The deliverable also demonstrated evidence of limited research effort and/or initial of thinking about the topic.	The deliverable did not demonstrate knowledge of the course content, evidence of the research effort or depth of thinking about the topic
Composition (10 points)	Excellent presentation & grammar. Excellent technical vocabulary. Have at least 5 pages and well-formatted (ACM template).	Good presentation & grammar. Good technical vocabulary. Have at least 5 pages and well-formatted (ACM template).	Poor presentation & grammar. Poor technical vocabulary. Do not meet the page requirement (5 pages) and/or poorlyl-formatted.