

## GLS and LME

Peter Hoff  
Duke STA 610

Basic Gauss-Markov

General Gauss-Markov

Gauss-Markov for LMEs

## LME - Is it worth it?

```
fitOLS<-lm(y.nels ~ flp.nels + ses.nels + flp.nels*ses.nels)
summary(fitOLS)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = y.nels ~ flp.nels + ses.nels + flp.nels * ses.nels)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -36.107  -5.758   0.142   5.977  33.538
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)    54.8442     0.2280  240.50  <2e-16 ***
## flp.nels        -2.0809     0.1075  -19.36  <2e-16 ***
## ses.nels         4.9058     0.2810   17.46  <2e-16 ***
## flp.nels:ses.nels -0.1279     0.1361   -0.94    0.347
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 8.754 on 12970 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.2028, Adjusted R-squared:  0.2026
## F-statistic: 1100 on 3 and 12970 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

## LME - Is it worth it?

```
fitLME<-lmer(y.nels ~ flp.nels + ses.nels + flp.nels:s ses.nels + (ses.nels|g.nels) )
summary(fitLME)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML ['lmerMod']
## Formula: y.nels ~ flp.nels + ses.nels + flp.nels:s ses.nels + (ses.nels |
##      g.nels)
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 92388.1
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.9769 -0.6415  0.0198  0.6659  4.5206
##
## Random effects:
##   Groups      Name                Variance Std.Dev. Corr
##   g.nels      (Intercept)          9.056    3.009
##              ses.nels              1.602    1.266    0.06
##   Residual                    67.258    8.201
## Number of obs: 12974, groups:  g.nels, 684
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept)      55.3989    0.3866 143.285
## flp.nels          -2.4070    0.1822 -13.212
## ses.nels           4.4899    0.3333 13.472
## flp.nels:s ses.nels -0.1931    0.1590 -1.214
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) flp.nl ss.nls
## flp.nels      -0.930
## ses.nels      -0.157  0.088
## flp.nls:ss.   0.085 -0.007 -0.926
```

## LME - Is it worth it?

For the mixed effects model

$$\mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{X}_j\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j\mathbf{a}_j + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j,$$

the OLS estimate is still *unbiased*. However,

- it is no longer the BLUE;
- its variance is no longer  $\sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ .

For this model, the BLUE is (approximately) the MLE returned by `lmer`.

## LME - Is it worth it?

For the mixed effects model

$$\mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{X}_j\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j\mathbf{a}_j + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j,$$

the OLS estimate is still *unbiased*. However,

- it is no longer the BLUE;
- its variance is no longer  $\sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ .

For this model, the BLUE is (approximately) the MLE returned by `lmer`.

## Linear unbiased estimators

### Model:

- $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$
- $E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{0}, \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}.$

or equivalently,

- $E[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$

OLS Estimator:  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$

Linear unbiased estimators:  $\check{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = [(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top + \mathbf{H}^\top] \mathbf{y}$

Exercise: Show that  $\check{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , and hence  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , are unbiased.

## Linear unbiased estimators

Model:

- $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$
- $E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{0}, \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}.$

or equivalently,

- $E[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$

OLS Estimator:  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$

Linear unbiased estimators:  $\check{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = [(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top + \mathbf{H}^\top] \mathbf{y}$

Exercise: Show that  $\check{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , and hence  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , are unbiased.



## Linear unbiased estimators

Model:

- $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$
- $E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{0}, \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}.$

or equivalently,

- $E[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$

OLS Estimator:  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$

Linear unbiased estimators:  $\check{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = [(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top + \mathbf{H}^\top] \mathbf{y}$

Exercise: Show that  $\check{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , and hence  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , are unbiased.

## Linear unbiased estimators

Model:

- $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$
- $E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{0}, \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}.$

or equivalently,

- $E[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$
- $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$

OLS Estimator:  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$

Linear unbiased estimators:  $\check{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = [(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top + \mathbf{H}^\top] \mathbf{y}$

Exercise: Show that  $\check{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , and hence  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , are unbiased.

## Variance of linear unbiased estimators

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[\check{\beta}] &= (\mathbf{X}^+ + \mathbf{H}^\top) \text{Var}[\epsilon] (\mathbf{X}^+ + \mathbf{H}^\top)^\top \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^+ + \mathbf{H}^\top) (\mathbf{X}^+ + \mathbf{H}^\top)^\top \\ &= \sigma^2 \left( \mathbf{X}^+ (\mathbf{X}^+)^{\top} + \mathbf{X}^+ \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}^\top (\mathbf{X}^+)^{\top} + \mathbf{H}^\top \mathbf{H} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Now calculate the individual terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}^+ (\mathbf{X}^+)^{\top} &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}, \\ \mathbf{H}^\top (\mathbf{X}^+)^{\top} &= \mathbf{H}^\top \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{0}.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[\check{\beta}] &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{H}^\top \mathbf{H} \\ &= \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}] + \sigma^2 \mathbf{H}^\top \mathbf{H}.\end{aligned}$$

## Gauss-Markov theorem

### Definition (Loewner order)

For two positive semidefinite matrices  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  of the same size, we say that  $\Sigma_1 > \Sigma_2$  if  $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$  is positive definite, and that  $\Sigma_1 \geq \Sigma_2$  if  $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$  is positive semidefinite.

### Theorem

Let  $\check{\beta}$  be a linear unbiased estimator of  $\beta$  in a linear model where  $E[y] = X\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\text{Var}[y] = \sigma^2 I$ ,  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Then

$$\text{Var}[\check{\beta}] \geq \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}],$$

where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the OLS estimator.

The OLS estimator is the BLUE in this case.

## Gauss-Markov theorem

### Definition (Loewner order)

For two positive semidefinite matrices  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  of the same size, we say that  $\Sigma_1 > \Sigma_2$  if  $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$  is positive definite, and that  $\Sigma_1 \geq \Sigma_2$  if  $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$  is positive semidefinite.

### Theorem

Let  $\check{\beta}$  be a linear unbiased estimator of  $\beta$  in a linear model where  $E[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{X}\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Then

$$\text{Var}[\check{\beta}] \geq \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}],$$

where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the OLS estimator.

The OLS estimator is the BLUE in this case.

## Gauss-Markov theorem

### Definition (Loewner order)

For two positive semidefinite matrices  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  of the same size, we say that  $\Sigma_1 > \Sigma_2$  if  $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$  is positive definite, and that  $\Sigma_1 \geq \Sigma_2$  if  $\Sigma_1 - \Sigma_2$  is positive semidefinite.

### Theorem

Let  $\check{\beta}$  be a linear unbiased estimator of  $\beta$  in a linear model where  $E[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{X}\beta$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Then

$$\text{Var}[\check{\beta}] \geq \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}],$$

where  $\hat{\beta}$  is the OLS estimator.

The OLS estimator is the **BLUE** in this case.

## Non-isotropic variance

What if  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] \neq \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ ?

- Heteroscedasticity:  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_i] = w_i \sigma^2$  for some known  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ .
- Time series:  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{A}$ , where  $a_{i,j} = \rho^{|i-j|}$ .

LME models and can be viewed as models for correlated data. Let

$$\mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{a}_j + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j$$

where

- $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{a}_j] = \mathbf{0}, \text{Var}[\mathbf{a}_j] = \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ .
- $\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j] = \mathbf{0}, \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}_j] &= \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_j] &= \mathbf{Z}_j \boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathbf{Z}_j^\top + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}.\end{aligned}$$

## Non-isotropic variance

What if  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] \neq \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ ?

- Heteroscedasticity:  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_i] = w_i \sigma^2$  for some known  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ .
- Time series:  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{A}$ , where  $a_{i,j} = \rho^{|i-j|}$ .

LME models and can be viewed as models for correlated data. Let

$$\mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{a}_j + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j$$

where

- $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{a}_j] = \mathbf{0}, \text{Var}[\mathbf{a}_j] = \boldsymbol{\Psi}$ .
- $\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j] = \mathbf{0}, \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}_j] &= \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_j] &= \mathbf{Z}_j \boldsymbol{\Psi} \mathbf{Z}_j^\top + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}.\end{aligned}$$



## OLS with dependent data

The OLS estimator is still unbiased when data are correlated:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\beta}] &= E[(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}] = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top E[\mathbf{X}^\top \beta + \epsilon] \\ &= \beta + \mathbf{0} = \beta. \end{aligned}$$

However, its variance in this case is complicated:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}] &= \text{Var}[(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}] = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is quite messy, and not equal to  $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$  unless  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}]$  is special.

## OLS with dependent data

The OLS estimator is still unbiased when data are correlated:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\beta}] &= E[(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}] = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top E[\mathbf{X}^\top \beta + \epsilon] \\ &= \beta + \mathbf{0} = \beta. \end{aligned}$$

However, its variance in this case is complicated:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}] &= \text{Var}[(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}] = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is quite messy, and not equal to  $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$  unless  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}]$  is special.

## OLS with dependent data

The OLS estimator is still unbiased when data are correlated:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\beta}] &= E[(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}] = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top E[\mathbf{X}^\top \beta + \epsilon] \\ &= \beta + \mathbf{0} = \beta. \end{aligned}$$

However, its variance in this case is complicated:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}] &= \text{Var}[(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}] = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is quite messy, and not equal to  $\sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$  unless  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}]$  is special.

## GLS estimator

Let  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$ . We define the *symmetric square root*  $\mathbf{V}^{1/2}$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  as

$$\mathbf{V}^{1/2} = \mathbf{E} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2} \mathbf{E}^\top.$$

where  $(\mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda})$  are the eigenvectors and values of  $\Sigma$ . Note that  $\mathbf{V}^{1/2} \mathbf{V}^{1/2} = \mathbf{V}$ .

This matrix is a *whitening matrix* for  $\mathbf{y}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}] &= \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] \mathbf{V}^{-\top/2} \\ &= \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} (\sigma^2 \mathbf{V}) \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$$

## GLS estimator

Let  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \text{Var}[\epsilon] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$ . We define the *symmetric square root*  $\mathbf{V}^{1/2}$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  as

$$\mathbf{V}^{1/2} = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2} \mathbf{E}^\top.$$

where  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{\Lambda})$  are the eigenvectors and values of  $\Sigma$ . Note that  $\mathbf{V}^{1/2} \mathbf{V}^{1/2} = \mathbf{V}$ .

This matrix is a *whitening matrix* for  $\mathbf{y}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}] &= \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] \mathbf{V}^{-\top/2} \\ &= \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} (\sigma^2 \mathbf{V}) \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$$

## OLS with whitened data

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{y}$ . The linear model for  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  is then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}} &= \tilde{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}},\end{aligned}$$

where  $E[\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = \mathbf{0}$  and

$$\text{Var}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{V}^{-1/2}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^{-1/2} = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}.$$

The BLUE based on  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_V = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$$

## GLS via whitened OLS

$\hat{\beta}_V$  is linear in  $\mathbf{y}$ ! So  $\hat{\beta}_V$  is the BLUE of  $\beta$ , based on either  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  or  $\mathbf{y}$ .

On the original scale of the data, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_V &= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y}.\end{aligned}$$

This estimator is the *generalized least squares* (GLS) estimator of  $\beta$ . Its variance is

$$\text{Var}[\hat{\beta}_V] = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1}.$$

## GLS via whitened OLS

$\hat{\beta}_V$  is linear in  $\mathbf{y}$ ! So  $\hat{\beta}_V$  is the BLUE of  $\beta$ , based on either  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  or  $\mathbf{y}$ .

On the original scale of the data, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_V &= (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{V}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y}.\end{aligned}$$

This estimator is the *generalized least squares* (GLS) estimator of  $\beta$ . Its variance is

$$\text{Var}[\hat{\beta}_V] = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1}.$$



## Gauss-Markov-Aitkin theorem

## Theorem

Let  $\check{\beta}$  be a linear unbiased estimator of  $\beta$  in a linear model with  $E[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{X}\beta$ ,  $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \sigma^2\mathbf{V}$  for  $(\beta, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  known. Then

$$\text{Var}[\check{\beta}] \geq \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}^{-1}\mathbf{X}^\top)^{-1} = \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}_V],$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_V = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$ .

## LME model as a GLM

Within-groups model:  $\mathbf{y}_j = \mathbf{X}_j\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j\mathbf{a}_j + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_j$ .

- $E[\mathbf{y}_j] = \mathbf{X}_j\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ;
- $\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}_j] = \mathbf{Z}_j\boldsymbol{\Psi}\mathbf{Z}_j^\top + \sigma^2 I_{n_j}$ .

Let

- $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum n_j}$ ;
- $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_1^\top \dots \mathbf{X}_m^\top)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum n_j \times p}$ .

Then

$$E[\mathbf{y}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$
$$\text{Var}[\mathbf{y}] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_1\boldsymbol{\Psi}\mathbf{Z}_1^\top & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Z}_2\boldsymbol{\Psi}\mathbf{Z}_2^\top & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Z}_m\boldsymbol{\Psi}\mathbf{Z}_m^\top \end{pmatrix}$$

## Numerical comparison