Phil Diegmann, Manuel Schmidt-Kraeplin, Sven van de Eynden

Selected Issues II
Major Information Systems

Benefits of Augmented Reality in Educational Environments

Prüfer: Dr. Dirk Basten

Köln, Juni 2014

Table of Contents

Index of Abbreviations

Index of Tables

Index of Illustrations

1. Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement

I cite.[1]

And again.[2] Or again the first footnote.[1]

1.2 Objectives

[1] Chang et al. (2014)

[2] Dünser et al. (2012)

2. Augmented Reality in Educational Environments

2.1 Definition of "Augmented Reality"

2.2 Five Directions of Augmented Reality in Educational Environments

3. Systematic Literature Review

We applied a two-step research approach, whereby we first conducted a systematic literature review to identify relevant publications before analysing the identified publications for the coding of benefits and directions. After coding, we grouped all found benefits. This process is illustrated in Fig. 3-1 for data collection and in Fig. 3-2 for data analysis.

3.1 Data Collection

For the identification of papers addressing Augmented Reality in educational environments, we applied a systematic online literature database search. We included databases which were specialised on more information systems centered papers, namely Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) Xplore Digital Library, ProQuest (ABI / INFORM), Association for Information Systems Electronic Library (AISel) and Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) Digital Library, as well as more general databases, namely EBSCO Host and ScienceDirect.

To find relevant papers, we searched within all databases with on the following attributes: title, abstract and author supplied keywords. Within these keywords we had three mandatory groups of keywords. Every article had to include the keyword "Augmented Reality". Additionally, every article needed to have at least one synonym for education and benefits. Namely we searched for "Educat*", "Learn*", "Teach*", "College" or "School" as synonyms for education and "Benefi*", "Advan*", "Improv*", "Enhanc*", "Driver*" or "Value*" as synonyms for benefits. To deal with the limitations of some databases, we had to split our query and conduct multiple queries on the database and merge them together by hand.

This database query resulted in a total of 523 articles. Those results were checked against our include- and exclude-criteria, which are listed in Tab. 3-1, and were preliminary coded into one of the five directions. This process was performed by ourselves and each article was read by two of the authors.

After merging our results, a total of 25 articles remained.



Fig. 3-1: Research Approach: Data Gathering



Fig. 3-2: Research Approach: Data Analysis

Include Criteria	**Exclude Criteria**
Empirical works	Theoretical works, grey literature, dissertations
A teaching problem is solved with the help of Augmented Reality or a teaching concept is improved by Augmented Reality	Untried or untested technologies, concepts without empirical evidence
Lists positive effects of Augmented Reality applications in comparison to conventional learning tools	No control-group or control-scenario provided, no comparison to conventional learning tools
Human learning	Machine learning
English language	Other language
Peer-reviewed	Not peer-reviewed

Tab. 3-1: Include- and Exclude-Criteria

3.2 Data Analysis

During data analysis we clustered all found benefits into clusters and matched all found benefits to the directions of the articles in which they were mentioned. We will go into details regarding the benefits found and the grouping of them in chapter 4.1 and regarding the mapping of benefits to directions in chapter 4.2.

Because of our orientation towards the five directions, we assigned directions to all articles during data collection but revised our assignment in case of differences between the first and second coder. Our inter coder reliability score is 0.64. We will interpret and discuss this score in chapter 5. During assignment of directions we also collected all mentioned benefits and generalised similar benefits into a single one. Afterwards, those benefits were grouped into broader topic-related benefits. The process we applied is based on the process proposed by Jankowicz.[3] The process proposed by Jankowicz helps by formalising the process of clustering.

A total of 67 benefits were mentioned, containing 14 unique benefits, which were clustered into six clusters.

---

[3] cf. Jankowicz (2003), p. 149

4. Benefits of Augmented Reality in Educational Environments

4.1 Benefit Categorization

4.1.1 State of Mind

Increased Motivation

Increased Attention

Increased Concentration

Increased Satisfaction

4.1.2 Teaching Concepts

Increased Student Centered Learning

Improved Collaborative Learning

4.1.3 Presentation

Increased Details

Increased Information Accessibility

Increased Interactivity

4.1.4 Learning Type

Improved Learning Curve

Increased Creativity

4.1.5 Content Understanding

Improved Development of Spacial Abilities

Improved Memory

4.1.6 Reduced Cost

4.2 Mapping of the Benefits to the „Five Directions“

4.2.1 Discovery-based Learning

4.2.2 Objects Modeling

4.2.3 AR Books

4.2.4 Skills Training

4.2.5 AR Gaming

		Discovery-based Learning	Object Modelling	AR Books	Skills Training	AR Gaming	Sums
State of Mind	Increased Motivation	7	4	2	1	1	15
	Increased Attention	2	0	1	0	0	3
	Increased Concentration	2	0	0	0	1	3
	Increased Satisfaction	1	2	0	1	1	5
	Sums	2	6	3	3	12	26
Teaching Concepts	Student Centered Learning	2	0	1	0	0	3
	Improved Collective Learning	1	2	0	0	0	3
	Sums	0	2	0	1	3	6
Presentation	Increased Details	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Easy Accessible Information	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Interactivity	1	0	1	0	0	2
	Sums	2	0	1	1	1	5
Learning Types	Improved Learning Curve	6	4	1	6	1	18
	Increased Creativity	2	0	1	0	0	3
	Sums	6	4	1	2	8	21
Reduced Costs	Reduced Costs	0	1	0	1	0	2
Content Understanding	Development of Spatial Abilities	0	2	1	1	0	4
	Improved Memory	1	1	0	2	0	3
	Sums	3	2	0	1	1	7

Tab. 4-1: Mapping of Benefits and Directions

5. Discussion

6. Conclusion

Bibliography

Chang et al. (2014)

Chang, K.-E., Chang, C.-T., Hou, H.-T., Sung, Y.-T., Chao, H.-L., Lee, C.-M. (2014): Development and Behavioral Pattern Analysis of a Mobile Guide System with Augmented Reality for Painting Appreciation Instruction in an Art Museum. In: Computers & Education. Jg. 71, pp. 185–197

Dünser et al. (2012)

Dünser, A., Walker, L., Horner, H., Bentall, D. (2012): Creating Interactive Physics Education Books with Augmented Reality. In: Proceedings of the 24th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference. Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, Nov. 26–30, 2012, pp. 107–114

Jankowicz (2003)

Jankowicz, D. (2003): The Easy Guide to Repertory Grids., pp. 1–332