

## ASSIGNMENT 3 — LAMBDA CALCULUS

COMP 3010 — ORGANIZATION OF PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

### 1. SYNTAX

Remember that the abstract syntax of the  $\lambda$ -calculus is defined by the grammar

$$M ::= x \mid M \ M \mid \lambda x.M$$

where  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  stand for *identifier names* (a.k.a. *variables*) used inside expressions in the language of the  $\lambda$ -calculus.

Ambiguities in the grammar, where multiple juxtapositions and  $\lambda$ s are mixed, are resolved by these associativity and precedence rules:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \ M_2 \ \dots \ M_n &= ((M_1 \ M_2) \ \dots) \ M_n & M_1 \ \lambda x.M_2 &= M_1 \ (\lambda x.M_2) \\ \lambda x.M_1 \ M_2 \ \dots \ M_n &= \lambda x.(M_1 \ M_2 \ \dots \ M_n) & \lambda x_1.\lambda x_2.M &= \lambda x_1.(\lambda x_2.M) \end{aligned}$$

In other words, application (written as juxtaposition  $M_1 \ M_2$ ) associates to the *left*, abstraction (written as  $\lambda x.M$ ) associates to the *right*, a  $\lambda$  argument ( $M_1 \ (\lambda x.M_2)$ ) has a *higher precedence* than the application it appears in, and an application inside of an abstraction ( $\lambda x.(M_1 \ M_2)$ ) has a *higher precedence* than the  $\lambda$ .

**Exercise 1** (Multiple Choice). Which of the following, fully-parenthesized  $\lambda$ -calculus expressions stand for the same syntax tree as  $y \ \lambda x.x \ y \ z$ ?

- (a)  $y \ (\lambda x.x) \ (y \ z)$
- (b)  $((y \ (\lambda x.x)) \ y) \ z$
- (c)  $y \ (\lambda x.(x \ (y \ z)))$
- (d)  $y \ (\lambda x.((x \ y) \ z))$

**Exercise 2** (Short Answer). Use the above rules of precedence/associativity to draw the syntax tree represented by the fully-parenthesized version of  $\lambda x.\lambda y.y \ z \ x$

### 2. ALPHA

**Exercise 3** (Short Answer). Remember that the set of *free variables* of an expression  $M$ , written as  $\text{FV}(M)$ , is *inductively defined* on the *syntax* of  $M$  like so:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FV}(x) &= \{x\} \\ \text{FV}(M_1 \ M_2) &= \text{FV}(M_1) \cup \text{FV}(M_2) \\ \text{FV}(\lambda x.M) &= \text{FV}(M) - \{x\} \end{aligned}$$

Give the set of *free variables* found in the expression  $(\lambda x.\lambda y.y \ (\lambda z.x)) \ (\lambda x.z)$ :

$$\text{FV}(\lambda x.\lambda y.y \ (\lambda z.x)) \ (\lambda x.z) = ?$$

**Exercise 4** (This or That). Remember that the operation which *renames*  $x$  to  $y$  in  $M$ , written  $[x/y]M$ , is *inductively defined* by transforming the *syntax* of  $M$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} [y/x]x &= x \\ [y/x]z &= z & (\text{if } z \neq x) \\ [y/x](M_1 \ M_2) &= ([y/x]M_1) ([y/x]M_2) \\ [y/x](\lambda x.M) &= \lambda x.M \\ [y/x](\lambda z.M) &= \lambda z.([y/x]M) & (\text{if } z \neq x) \end{aligned}$$

The law of  $\alpha$ -equivalence is defined in terms of renaming as:

$$\lambda x.M =_\alpha \lambda y.([y/x]M)$$

Determine which of the following are valid renamings. In other words, say whether or not each pair of  $\lambda$ -calculus expressions are equal according to  $\alpha$ -equivalence.

- (1)  $\lambda x.(x \ x) =_\alpha \lambda y.(y \ y)?$
- (2)  $\lambda x.(\lambda x.x) =_\alpha \lambda y.(\lambda z.z)?$
- (3)  $\lambda x.(x \ y) =_\alpha \lambda y.(y \ y)?$
- (4)  $(\lambda x.x) \ x =_\alpha (\lambda y.y) \ x?$
- (5)  $(\lambda x.x) \ x =_\alpha (\lambda y.y) \ y?$

### 3. BETA

**Exercise 5** (Multiple Choice). Remember that the operation which *substitutes*  $M'$  for  $x$  in  $M$ , written  $[M'/x]M$ , is *inductively defined* by transforming the *syntax* of  $M$  like so:

$$\begin{aligned} [M'/x]x &= M' \\ [M'/x]z &= z & (\text{if } z \neq x) \\ [M'/x](M_1 \ M_2) &= ([M'/x]M_1) ([M'/x]M_2) \\ [M'/x](\lambda x.M) &= \lambda x.M \\ [M'/x](\lambda z.M) &= \lambda z.([M'/x]M) & (\text{if } z \neq x \text{ and } z \notin \text{FV}(M')) \end{aligned}$$

What is the result of the substitution  $[(\lambda x.x \ x)/y](y \ (\lambda z.y \ z))$ ?

- (1)  $[(\lambda x.x \ x)/y](y \ (\lambda z.y \ z)) = (\lambda x.x \ x) \ (\lambda z.y \ z)$
- (2)  $[(\lambda x.x \ x)/y](y \ (\lambda z.y \ z)) = y \ (\lambda z.(\lambda x.x \ x) \ z)$
- (3)  $[(\lambda x.x \ x)/y](y \ (\lambda z.y \ z)) = (\lambda x.x \ x) \ (\lambda z.(\lambda x.x \ x) \ z)$
- (4)  $[(\lambda x.x \ x)/y](y \ (\lambda z.y \ z)) = (\lambda x.x \ x) \ (\lambda z.(\lambda x.x \ x) \ (\lambda x.x \ x))$

**Exercise 6** (Multiple Choice). Remember that the law of  $\beta$ -reduction is defined in terms of substitution as:

$$(\lambda x.M) \ M' \mapsto_\beta [M'/x]M$$

and the *call-by-name operational semantics* for the  $\lambda$ -calculus is defined by applying the above  $\beta$ -reduction rule inside of these evaluation contexts  $E$  defined like so:

$$E ::= \square \mid E \ M \qquad \frac{M \mapsto_\beta M'}{E[M] \mapsto_\beta E[M']}$$

Which of the following is the result of evaluating the expression

$$(\lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.y \ x \ z) \ (\lambda x.x) \ (\lambda x.\lambda y.x) \ z$$

according to the call-by-name operational semantics (as shown above)? In other words, which of the following do you get by applying  $\beta$ -reduction (as shown above) as many times as possible in a sequence like so:

$$(\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. y \ x \ z) (\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. \lambda y. x) \ z \mapsto M_1 \mapsto M_2 \mapsto \dots \mapsto \text{answer}$$

- (a)  $(\lambda x. x)$
- (b)  $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x)$
- (c)  $(\lambda y. z)$
- (d)  $z$

**Exercise 7** (Short Answer). What happens when you evaluate the  $\lambda$ -calculus expression  $(\lambda x. x \ x) (\lambda x. x \ x)$ ? by repeatedly applying  $\beta$ -reduction? If  $\beta$ -reduction eventually stops, what final expression is the result? If you think  $\beta$ -reduction does not stop, explain why not?

#### 4. ETA

**Exercise 8** (This or That). Remember that the law of  $\eta$ -reduction is defined in terms of free variables like so:

$$(\lambda x. (M \ x)) \rightarrow_{\eta} M \qquad (\text{if } x \notin \text{FV}(M))$$

Say which of the following are correct  $\eta$ -reductions (according to the above rule) and which are not.

- (1)  $\lambda x. x \rightarrow_{\eta} x$
- (2)  $\lambda y. (x \ y) \rightarrow_{\eta} x$
- (3)  $\lambda x. (x \ x) \rightarrow_{\eta} x$
- (4)  $\lambda x. ((\lambda y. y) \ x) \rightarrow_{\eta} \lambda y. y$
- (5)  $\lambda x. ((y \ x) \ x) \rightarrow_{\eta} y \ x$