

# TITLE

P. Einarsson Nielsen

Affiliation

Submitted: March 15, 2020

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

## I. INTRODUCTION

- Detail balance
- Ergodic
- Stochastic
- Entropy driven phase transitions
- Equations of state

## II. METHODOLOGY

### III. MODEL


Our simulated system consists of  $N$  number of particles enclosed in a cubic container of volume  $V$ . The potential energy between any two particles in the system is given by the Lennard-Jones potential, expressed in the reduced unit scheme as

$$U_{LJ} = 4 \left( \frac{1}{r^{12}} - \frac{1}{r^6} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $r$  is the reduced distance between the particles. The number of particles in the system was kept constant for every initial configuration and, as such, achieving a given reduced density required the container length to be varied. When applying perturbations to a particle in the system, the interaction potential was considered for every other particle in the system under periodic boundary conditions, or up to half the container length.

Virial equation and configuration energy per particle

The model and method were verified by direct comparison to Monte Carlo results at liquid and vapour-like densities along isotherms  $T^* = 0.85, 0.90$  published by United States' National Institute of Standard and Technology (NIST). NIST's results were for 500 particles whose Lennard-Jones interaction had been truncated to  $3\sigma$  and standard long range corrections had been applied. Their systems were equilibrated for  $5.0e7$  moves and quantities calculated over  $2.5e8$  moves. Figure 1 shows the configuration energy per particle,  $u^*$ , and virial pressure,  $p^* = P - \rho kT$  for both our system and NIST's. Our results were obtained as described in section II.



**FIG. 1:** Configuration energy per particle,  $u^*$ , and virial pressure,  $p^* = P - \rho kT$  for NIST data (dots) and our model (crosses). The blue and red data are along isotherms  $T^* = 0.85, 0.90$  respectively.

## IV. RESULTS

## V. DISCUSSION

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

### References

- [1] A. N. Other, Title of the Book, edition, publishers, place of publication (year of publication), p. 123.
- [2] I. G. Hughes, T. P. A Hase, Measurements and their Uncertainties A Practical Guide to Modern Error Analysis, 1st, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2010)

**APPENDIX A: ERROR ANALYSIS**

All propagation of errors is performed as outlined in [2].

APPENDIX B: COMPARISON TO NIST DATA							
$T^*$	$\rho^*$	$U_{\text{NIST}}^*$	$\pm$	$U^*$	$\pm$	$p_{\text{NIST}}^*$	$\pm$
8.50E-01	1.00E-03	-1.0317E-02	2.34E-05	8.4402E-04	4.66E-08		
8.50E-01	3.00E-03	-3.1019E-02	5.91E-05	2.4965E-03	4.99E-07		
8.50E-01	5.00E-03	-5.1901E-02	7.53E-05	4.1003E-03	5.05E-07		
8.50E-01	7.00E-03	-7.2834E-02	1.34E-04	5.6565E-03	7.96E-07		
8.50E-01	9.00E-03	-9.3973E-02	1.29E-04	7.1641E-03	2.24E-06		
8.50E-01	7.76E-01	-5.5121E+00	4.55E-04	6.7714E-03	1.77E-03		
8.50E-01	7.80E-01	-5.5386E+00	7.26E-04	4.7924E-02	3.18E-03		
8.50E-01	8.20E-01	-5.7947E+00	6.03E-04	5.5355E-01	4.13E-03		
8.50E-01	8.60E-01	-6.0305E+00	2.38E-03	1.2660E+00	1.36E-02		
8.50E-01	9.00E-01	-6.2391E+00	5.27E-03	2.2314E+00	2.72E-02		
9.00E-01	1.00E-03	-9.9165E-03	1.89E-05	8.9429E-04	2.48E-08		
9.00E-01	3.00E-03	-2.9787E-02	3.21E-05	2.6485E-03	2.54E-07		
9.00E-01	5.00E-03	-4.9771E-02	3.80E-05	4.3569E-03	2.19E-07		
9.00E-01	7.00E-03	-6.9805E-02	7.66E-05	6.0193E-03	1.02E-06		
9.00E-01	9.00E-03	-8.9936E-02	2.44E-05	7.6363E-03	1.44E-06		
9.00E-01	7.76E-01	-5.4689E+00	4.20E-04	2.4056E-01	2.74E-03		
9.00E-01	7.80E-01	-5.4956E+00	7.86E-04	2.7851E-01	2.97E-03		
9.00E-01	8.20E-01	-5.7456E+00	7.51E-04	8.2386E-01	2.85E-03		
9.00E-01	8.60E-01	-5.9753E+00	5.53E-04	1.5781E+00	3.29E-03		
9.00E-01	9.00E-01	-6.1773E+00	1.57E-03	2.5848E+00	9.54E-03		