CS411 Database Systems

02: ER Model

The New Contract on Lecture: Students

• Students:

- Please attend class and participate.
- Please sit in the front rows so we are together.
- Please interact with instructor (signal, ask, answer).
- Please do not fall asleep or ...

The New Contract on Lecture: Instructor

• Instructor:

- Will be do my best to prepare.
- Will respect each question.
- Will not rush to cover all the materials.
- Will make sure online students hear well.
- Will not fall asleep or ...

Why Do We Learn This?

Steps in Building a DB Application

- Suppose you are working on CS411 project
- Step 0: pick an application domain
 - we will talk about this later
- Step 1: conceptual design
 - discuss with your team mates what to model in the application domain
 - need a modeling language to express what you want
 - ER model is the most popular such language
 - output: an ER diagram of the app. domain

Steps in Building a DB Application


- Step 2: pick a type of DBMS
 - relational DBMS is most popular and is our focus
- Step 3: translate ER design to a relational schema
 - use a set of rules to translate from ER to rel. schema
 - use a set of schema refinement rules to transform the above rel. schema into a good rel. schema
- At this point
 - you have a good relational schema on paper

Steps in Building a DB Application

- Subsequent steps include
 - implement your relational DBMS using a "database programming language" called SQL
 - ordinary users cannot interact with the database directly
 - and the database also cannot do everything you want
 - hence write your application program in C++, Java,
 Perl, etc to handle the interaction and take care of things that the database cannot do
- So, the first thing we should start with is to learn ER model ...


ER Model

- Gives us a language to specify
 - what information the db must hold
 - what are the relationships among components of that information
- Proposed by Peter Chen in 1976
- What we will cover
 - basic stuff
 - constraints
 - weak entity sets
 - design principles



Entities and Attributes


- Entities
 - real-world objects distinguishable from other objects
 - described using a set of attributes




- each has an atomic domain: string, integers, reals, etc.
- Entity set: a collection of similar entities


Relations

- A mathematical definition:
 - if A, B are sets, then a relation R is a subset of A x B
- $A=\{1,2,3\}, B=\{a,b,c,d\},\$ $R=\{(1,a),(1,c),(3,b)\}$



makes is a subset of **Product** x **Company**:







More about relationships ...

Multiplicity of E/R Relationships


one-one:





many-one




many-many










Q: Example scenarios for each case?

• one-one:


• many-one

many-many



Multiway Relationships


How do we model a purchase relationship between buyers, products and stores?



Can still model as a mathematical set (how ?)

Arrows in Multiway Relationships

Q: what does the arrow mean?




A:

Arrows in Multiway Relationships

Q: how do I say: "invoice determines store"?


A: no good way; best approximation:



Q: Why is this incomplete?


Roles in Relationships

What if we need an entity set twice in one relationship?




Roles in Relationships


What if we need an entity set twice in one relationship?




Attributes on Relationships



Q: Attributes vs. Entities on Relationships?






Relationships: Summary

- Modeled as a mathematical set
- Binary and multiway relationships
- Converting a multiway one into many binary ones
- Constraints on the degree of the relationship
 - many-one, one-one, many-many
 - limitations of arrows
- Attributes of relationships
 - not necessary, but useful

Subclasses in ER Diagrams



Warning: Viewers' Discretion Please




Subclasses

- Subclass = special case = fewer entities = more properties.
- Example: Ales are a kind of beer.
 - Not every beer is an ale, but some are.
 - Let us suppose that in addition to all the *properties* (attributes and relationships) of beers, ales also have the attribute *color*.

Subclasses in ER Diagrams

- Assume subclasses form a tree.
 - I.e., no multiple inheritance.
- Isa triangles indicate the subclass relationship.
 - Point to the superclass.


Example



ER Vs. Object Oriented Subclasses

- In the object-oriented world, objects are in one class only.
 - Subclasses inherit properties from superclasses.
- In contrast, E/R entities have components in all subclasses to which they belong.
 - Matters when we convert to relations.

Example



Behind the Scene: Peter Chen

engineering in 1968 at the National Taiwan University, and a Ph.D. in computer science/applied mathematics at the Harvard University in 1973. From 1974 to 1978 Chen as Assistent Professor at MIT Sloan School of Management. From 1978 to 1984 he was Professor at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA Management School). Since 1983 Chen has held the position of M. J. Foster Distinguished Chair Professor of Computer Science at Louisiana State University.



- 1976. "The Entity-Relationship Model--Toward a Unified View of Data". In: ACM Transactions on Database Systems 1/1/1976 ACM-Press ISSN 0362-5915, S. 9–36
- One of the most cited CS papers.
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peter_Chen

Constraints

- A constraint = an assertion about the database that must be true at all times
- Part of the database schema
- Very important in database design

Modeling Constraints

Finding constraints is part of the modeling process. Commonly used constraints:

Keys: social security number uniquely identifies a person.

Single-value constraints: a person can have only one father.

Referential integrity constraints: if you work for a company, it must exist in the database.


Domain constraints: peoples' ages are between 0 and 150.

General constraints: all others (at most 50 students enroll in a class)

Why Constraints are Important?

- Give more semantics to the data
 - help us better understand it
- Allow us to refer to entities (e.g., using keys)
- Enable efficient storage, data lookup, etc.

Keys in E/R Diagrams



More about Keys

- Every entity set must have a key
 - why?
- A key can consist of more than one attribute
- There can be more than one key for an entity set
 - one key will be designated as primary key
- Requirement for key in an isa hierarchy
 - see book


Referential Integrity Constraint

- Ref. int. constraint: exactly one value exists in a given role
- An attribute has a non-null, single value
 - this can be considered a kind of ref. int. constraint
- However, we more commonly use such constraints to refer to relationships

Referential Integrity Constraints

- In some formalisms we may refer to other object but get garbage instead
 - e.g. a dangling pointer in C/C++
- the Referential Integrity Constraint on relationships explicitly requires a reference to exist

Referential Integrity Constraints




• This will be even clearer once we get to relational databases

Weak Entity Sets

Entity sets are weak when their key attributes come from other classes to which they are related.

This happens if:


- part-of hierarchies
- splitting n-ary relations to binary.



Weak Entity Sets


- Occasionally, entities of an entity set need "help" to identify them uniquely.
- Entity set *E* is said to be *weak* if in order to identify entities of *E* uniquely, we need to follow one or more many-one relationships from *E* and include the key of the related entities from the connected entity sets.

Q: Is this subclassing? Similarity? Difference?



Now, about design techniques ...


Design Principles: Be Faithful



Avoiding Redundancy


- Redundancy occurs when we say the same thing in two different ways.
- Redundancy wastes space and (more importantly) encourages inconsistency.
 - The two instances of the same fact may become inconsistent if we change one and forget to change the other, related version.

Example: Good




This design gives the address of each manufacturer exactly once.

Example: Bad



This design states the manufacturer of a beer twice: as an attribute and as a related entity.

Example: Bad



This design repeats the manufacturer's address once for each beer; loses the address if there are temporarily no beers for a manufacturer.


Entity Sets Versus Attributes

- An entity set should satisfy at least one of the following conditions:
 - It is more than the name of something; it has at least one nonkey attribute.

or


It is the "many" in a many-one or many-many relationship.

Example: Good




- *Manfs* deserves to be an entity set because of the nonkey attribute *addr*.
- Beers deserves to be an entity set because it is the "many" of the many-one relationship ManfBy.

Example: Good



There is no need to make the manufacturer an entity set, because we record nothing about manufacturers besides their name.

Example: Bad



Since the manufacturer is nothing but a name, and is not at the "many" end of any relationship, it should not be an entity set.

Don't Overuse Weak Entity Sets

- Beginning database designers often doubt that anything could be a key by itself.
 - They make all entity sets weak, supported by all other entity sets to which they are linked.
- In reality, we usually create unique ID's for entity sets.
 - Examples include social-security numbers, automobile VIN's etc.

When Do We Need Weak Entity Sets?

- The usual reason is that there is no global authority capable of creating unique ID's.
- Example: it is unlikely that there could be an agreement to assign unique player numbers across all football teams in the world.

ER Review

Basic stuff

- entity, attribute, entity set
- relation: binary, multiway, converting from multiway
- relationship roles, attributes on relationships
- subclasses (is-a)

Constraints

- on relations
 - many-one, one-one, many-many
 - limitations of arrows
- keys, single-valued, ref integrity, domain & general constraints

ER Review

- Weak entity set
- Design principles