



Soysambu Conservancy

Social Assessment of Protected Area

Sustain East Africa




March 2024

Table of Contents

Summary	6
Context	6
Key Findings	6
Introduction	10
Soysambu Conservancy	10
Social Assessment of Protected Areas (SAPA) approach	10
Approach and Methods	12
SAPA overview, process and outputs	12
Preparation	12
Findings	i
Characteristics of the respondents	i
Wealth of households	iv
Positive Social Impacts	v
Agricultural extension services	26
Bibliography	32
Appendix	1
Appendix 1-Sample frame	1
Appendix 2-Additional data	3

List of Tables

1 Table of sample size by location	15
2 Characteristics of households	1
3 Agricultural extension -Soil Management	26
4 Agricultural extension -Maize.	28



5 Agricultural extension - Livestock	29
6 Water Management	30
7 Financial literacy	31
8 Sampling of households from locations and villages	1

List of Figures

1 Map of Soysambu with sample sizes from each locations	17
2 Gender of respondents	1
3 Map of principal livelihood activities in surveyed households	3
4 Was the household head born in this community?	4
5 Map showing the distribution of wealth quintiles	5
6 Overall positive impacts across all communities	7
7 Positive impacts broken down into separate locations	8
8 How secure do you feel from the risk of theft of your property?	8
9 Overall negative impacts across all communities	10
10 Negative impacts broken down into separate locations	11
11 Responses when asked: How is your life at the moment?	12
12 How has the general wellbeing of your household changed over the last 5 years?	13
13 The impact of Soysambu Conservancy on the well-being of their household	14
14 How has the contribution of Soysambu to your households well-being changed over the past 5 years?	15
15 Map of survey locations	17
16 Agree/Disagree on statements about transparency and access to information	18
17 Agree/Disagree on statements about mitigation of negative impacts	18



18 Agree/Disagree on statements about equitable benefit-sharing processes	19
19 Agree/Disagree on statements about rights	19
20 Map of second most important household livelihood	3
21 Livestock as tropical livestock units, per household	3
22 Did the household cultivate crops in the last year	4
23 No. of acres cultivated by the household in their location, in the last year	4
24 Livestock damaged by wildlife in the last year	5
25 Cultivated crops damaged by wildlife in the last year	5



Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in this report reflect those of the authors. Whilst every care has been taken in the writing of this report, the authors accept no responsibility for any resultant errors herein, any damages or loss whatsoever caused or suffered by any individual or organisation.

Rights

The information in this report is copyrighted, therefore, copying or transmitting any part of this report without permission from the authors may be a violation. However, we encourage dissemination and use of this report with appropriate citation and acknowledgement.

Suggested citation

Please cite this report as such :

Brehony, P., Tyrrell, P., Muiyuro, R., Kang'ethe, E. 2024. Social Assessment of Protected Area for Soysambu Conservancy. Sustain East Africa, Nairobi, Kenya.


Acknowledgements

This Social Assessment of Protected Areas for Soysambu Conservancy is the result of a collaborative effort between Sustain East Africa, Soysambu Conservancy, and representatives from communities bordering the Conservancy. Thank you to the all those who helped to pull this together.

Particular thanks go to: Helen Jerotich, Community Education Officer (Soysambu Conservancy); Chief Joseph Mwangi and Assistant Chief Felicah Mugi of Mbaruk location; Chief Parit Sururu and Assistant Chief Henry Balozi of Kongasis, Oljorai location; and Chief Simon Mathare and Assistant Chief Benson Mungai of Kiptangwani location.

We would also like to acknowledgement the MCA of Eburru Mbaruk Ward, Michael Gathanwa, and his ward administration for their insights and input in highlighting areas where Soysambu Conservancy can continue to support neighbouring communities.

We also thank the Nyumba Kumi village elders from the three locations for their input, as well as the dedicated enumerators involved in carrying out the household surveys: Benedicta Wanjiru, Emmanuel Kooli, and Paul Waweru.



Summary

Context

The Social Assessment for Protected and Conserved Areas (SAPA) is a standardised, low-cost and relatively simple approach to assessing social impacts of protected or conserved areas. SAPA can help identify positive and negative social impacts of protected or conserved areas, understand the underlying causes of problems related to governance and identify actions that could improve the situation. The methodology can also be used to establish a baseline for social impacts and their overall contribution to human wellbeing against which changes can be tracked over time. It is a multi-stakeholder assessment methodology for use by site-level stakeholders.

Key Findings


Positive social impacts

Based on the findings of the SAPA process, the main positive social impacts that contributed to household well-being in communities neighbouring or within Soysambu Conservancy were: 1) Improving access to water 2) Building or maintaining infrastructure 3) Support for education 4) Support for health projects 5) Outreach services and training

Soysambu conservancy provides water to the community either through trucks or by building water tanks, ensuring access to clean and safe water for local residents.

Soysambu conservancy's support in building or maintaining infrastructure including police posts, schools, and roads, were reported to enhance the overall quality of life for community members.

Soysambu conservancy's initiative to offer sponsorship opportunities for students, enabling access to education and fostering academic achievement, was seen as a positive social impact by some households. Additionally, Soysambu's contributions to local schools, such as providing school meals for students, school uniforms, desks, and sports equipment, positively impact educational outcomes and student well-being. The Conservancy also provides free guided educational trips to community members, offering valuable learning experiences and fostering appreciation for conservation efforts.



“

We appreciate Soysambu for giving land to construct Lady Anne Secondary School - Respondent from Mbaruk

Soysambu conservancy's support for health projects, including the provision of equipment to clinics and cancer screening for women, contributed to improved healthcare access and outcomes within the community. Additionally, Soysambu Conservancy also provided anti-rabies vaccinations for dogs and donkeys, demonstrating a commitment to community health.

Soysambu conservancy also offered outreach services in the form of training in improved livestock production, health, and waste management, empowering residents with valuable knowledge and skills.

Soysambu actively participates in environmental conservation initiatives, such as tree planting, contributing to the preservation of natural resources and biodiversity. Furthermore, some households also felt that providing access to firewood also demonstrated a commitment to community support.

Negative social impacts

Most negative social impacts across the six case studies fall under five main categories: 1) Ecosystem service benefits 2) PA-related employment 3) PA-supported development projects 4) Reduced human-wildlife conflict, and 5) Improved security

Short detail about each

“

Scholarships should be transparent and target vulnerable children

“

My child needs compensation. He was injured by a buffalo and was a security in conservancy



Overall contribution to wellbeing

The survey results indicated that the majority of respondents perceived Soysambu's overall contribution to well-being as neutral, taking into account both positive and negative impacts. There were exceptions, particularly in Oljorai and Mbaruk locations, where a few respondents felt that Soysambu had increased their well-being.

75%

of those residing in Soysambu felt the conservancy increased their wellbeing

On the other hand, approximately

12%

of respondents living in Oljorai agreed that Soysambu had reduced their overall well-being

Governance


SAPA encompasses four key principles of effective governance: participation in decision-making, transparency and accessibility to information, mitigation of negative impacts, and equitable benefit-sharing process.

Participation

In Mbaruk and Oljorai locations, the majority of people disagree that there is participation in Soysambu's decision-making that impacts the community. However, in Soysambu itself, a large percentage of people feel that there is some level of participation, particularly in knowing their community representative for meetings with Soysambu and communicating with them. In Kiptangwani, there is a mix in opinions with most people feeling that there is no participation, some agreeing that there is participation, and quite a number do not know whether there is any participation.

Transparency and access to information

Rights



Benefit Sharing Process

Mitigation of Negative Impacts

Recommendations going forward

Given that there are significant differences between locations, we recommend xyz



Introduction

Soysambu Conservancy

Soysambu Conservancy is located within the Rift Valley System. It covers 48,000 acres, encompassing the northern and western shores of Lake Elmenteita. Soysambu Conservancy is renowned for its remarkable biodiversity, including a population of 170 endangered Nubian Giraffes and over 450 bird species, notably hosting 28% of the world's Lesser Flamingo population. Indeed Lake Elmentaita is one of Kenya's Key Biodiversity Areas and RAMSAR sites (a wetland that is of international importance under the Ramsar Convention). Its rich wildlife habitat is home to buffalo, leopard, hippo, hyena, jackal, eland, zebra, impala, Thompson's and Grant's Gazelle, waterbuck, reedbuck, klipspringer, warthog, steinbok, colobus monkey, vervet monkey, and baboons.

Soysambu Conservancy was established as a Not-for-Profit Company in 2007 and works to conserve the Soysambu Estate as a traditional wildlife area, which supports the integrity of the greater Rift Valley ecosystem, while promoting sustainable coexistence of wildlife with livestock and at the same time being relevant to and part of modern-day Kenya.

Soysambu Conservancy wanted to understand more about the social impact of the conservancy on communities living within and surround the conservancy. Furthermore, they wanted to use the opportunity of assessing the social impacts of the Conservancy to listen to what communities within and surrounding the conservancy had to say about the positive and negative impacts of the Conservancy. This could also serve as an opportunity to establish a baseline for Soysambu Conservancy's contribution to the well-being of communities, over time.

Social Assessment of Protected Areas (SAPA) approach

The Social Assessment for Protected and Conserved Areas (SAPA), launched in 2014, responded to a need for a standardised, low-cost and relatively simple approach to assessing social impacts of protected or conserved areas (Franks, Small, and Booker 2018).

SAPA is a multi-stakeholder assessment methodology for use by site-level stakeholders. The methodology is based on a standardised process that can be replicated across protected or conserved areas while remaining flexible enough for tailoring to local needs and contexts.

SAPA uses a set of standard assessment questions directly related to social impacts and governance quality. It also includes a process of developing site-specific questions that respond to specific needs of actors.

SAPA can help identify positive and negative social impacts of protected or conserved areas, understand the underlying causes of problems related to governance and identify actions that could improve the situation. The inclusion of a governance and equity assessment in the second edition of SAPA strengthens the results and action planning processes. Governance is distinct from management and pays attention to who defines objectives and how. It also looks at allocation of responsibility and accountability for delivering on these objectives.


The methodology can also be used to establish a baseline for social impacts and their overall contribution to human wellbeing against which changes can be tracked over time. Therefore, the SAPA approach meets the requirements of Soysambu Conservancy as they seek to understand social impacts over time, and to listen to feed back from communities living within and surrounding the conservancy.

Objectives of the Social Assessment

Soysambu Conservancy aims to ensure that they provide value to Kenya, its people and the wider international community through sustainable conservation and enjoyment as a national treasure and heritage.

The conservancy is currently expanding its engagement with communities living within and surrounding the conservancy. Conducting a SAPA will therefore help them better understand the views, needs, and opportunities of the surrounding communities.

The primary objective of this assessment therefore was to integrate conservation initiatives with community development and foster a positive relationship between Soysambu and their neighbouring communities, principally by increasing positive impacts and reducing negative impacts to communities.



Approach and Methods

SAPA overview, process and outputs

The SAPA Assessment uses a mixed methods approach that combines qualitative data and quantitative data to gather information, assess results and generate ideas for action in response to the findings.

Throughout the SAPA process, there is an emphasis on linking the steps to stakeholder engagement, either through discussions, or feedback, or actions to improve the situation. This ensures that stakeholders, including communities through their representatives, are effectively engaged throughout the assessment.

This multi-stakeholder approach increases the accuracy and credibility of the findings, enhances transparency and ownership of the assessment process, builds support for action and accountability for implementation. For those interested, much greater detail can be found in the SAPA manual Franks, Small, and Booker (2018).

Preparation

Feasibility and planning

Following discussing with Soysambu Conservancy management, it was agreed that Soysambu met the feasibility requirements for a SAPA process. Soysambu began operating in 2007. It was Protected or Conserved Area that was established and operating with management and governance systems for at least two years.

Furthermore, Soysambu Conservancy satisfies the second feasibility criterion as its managers and other key stakeholders can convene for face-to-face meetings at least once during the assessment process. Additionally, there is a clearly defined zone covering Soysambu and its neighboring communities. Finally, the managers of Soysambu were willing to implement specific action plans aimed at improving social impacts and governance within the conservancy.

In order to plan the SAPA process, together with the Soysambu management, the Sustain East Africa team put together a work plan with dates for key steps in the SAPA process, and those responsible for organising the step, and where relevant, inviting stakeholders, such as community representatives to participate.

Community Mapping

The aim of community mapping is to ensure that all stakeholders within or neighbouring the conservancy are considered during the SAPA process.

Soysambu Conservancy provided a detailed map delineating its boundaries and essential physical features such as roads, rivers, and Lake Elmenteita. This map also included the locations and boundaries of communities within and surrounding the conservancy.

Following a meeting and exploratory visit with Soysambu management and representatives from neighbouring communities in September 2023 it was decided that this SAPA would focus on key neighbouring villages within the Oljorai, Kiptangwani, and Mbaruk locations, as well as those living within Soysambu.

Furthermore, at this stage of the process, some of the questions that would be specifically tailored to the Soysambu context were formulated. While many of these raised issues that were already aligned with standard assessment questions, some were articulated as additional queries in the household questionnaire.

Review existing information

At this stage, Soysambu Conservancy provided reports and meeting minutes from previous community engagements and projects. These were carefully reviewed to gain a comprehensive understanding of pertinent background details that a site profile could be formed. In turn, this allowed us to ensure that we were able to ensure that the most appropriate positive and negative social impacts and measures of wellbeing were being covered in the assessments; that a sufficient number of households would be sampled; that the assessment would be suitable to the cultural context.

Stakeholder Engagement

Finally, each location was visited, and the assessment was discussed with the Administrative Chief from the location. This in turn led to introductions to the heads of village. At this stage, the survey were able to understand the local context, ensure that community representatives were willing to participate in group discussions, and to secure permission to carry out the household surveys.

Scoping



The scoping phase of SAPA is dedicated to defining the assessment's boundaries concerning space, time, and issues, prior to delving into detailed information gathering.

In terms of space, the villages and locations that had been visited and consulted were already set.

In terms of time, the SAPA primarily addresses social impacts that have occurred in the past, rather than those anticipated in the future. For this assessment, a recall period of five years was selected.

SAPA covers various social impact and governance issues. The standard aspects of assessment include:

- The impact of Soysambu and its development activities on people's well-being.
- Identification of significant negative and positive impacts resulting from Soysambu and associated conservation and development initiatives.
- Evaluation of the recognition and respect of local women's and men's rights by Soysambu.
- Assessment of timely access to pertinent information by local women and men.
- Examination of the effectiveness of measures to mitigate negative impacts on local women and men.
- Evaluation of the equitable distribution of benefits related to Soysambu within and between local communities.

However, even these aspects of the assessment might overlook important issues. To address this, as part of the scoping step, a community meeting and stakeholder workshop is conducted. Furthermore, the household survey and the second community meeting are also designed to identify other potential gaps that may exist.


First community meeting and stakeholder workshop

In November 2023, the Sustain team conducted a half-day workshop with community stakeholders, including 19 participants representing location chiefs, village representatives, and enumerators recruited from the communities.

The workshop aimed to familiarize participants with the SAPA methodology and upcoming household survey questions. It also gave representatives a chance to raise any outstanding issues that were not being asked about.

This workshop plays a vital role in ensuring the SAPA's relevance to local needs, active participation of the key stakeholders, and fostering ownership of the process among key stakeholders.

Information Gathering



The next step in the process is to gather information through a household survey. This is then followed once more by a discussion and feedback in a stakeholder workshop and community meeting. This balanced approach combines quantitative data from surveys with qualitative insights from community meetings and stakeholder workshops.

Planning the household survey

The household survey will be the key step to collecting quantitative data from across the key locations in a statistically representative manner. Based on timelines, budgets, and the number of villages to cover, we agreed to interview 180 households, randomly selected from the target area's villages.

Therefore, out of 44 villages identified as important, across the 3 selected locations, 18 villages were randomly chosen for the survey. Within each of these 18 villages, a minimum of 10 households were to be randomly selected.

The sampling plan was devised based on information from the most recent national census, information from Administrative Chiefs, accessibility of locations, estimated survey duration and enumerator requirements.

Table 1: Table of sample size by location


Location	No. of Respondents	% of total
Mbaruk	81	45%
Oljorai	70	39%
Soysambu	20	11%
Kiptangwani	10	5%

Developing household questionnaire

The SAPA facilitation team crafted a series of questions to be piloted, then tested with enumerators, before being deployed in the household survey.

The survey incorporated Soysambu-specific social impacts, governance issues, informed by concerns raised during reconnaissance visits and reports from the Soysambu Conservancy team. Furthermore, custom governance statements were developed to address rights, participation, transparency, and impact mitigation or benefit sharing.

The survey was initially drafted in English, it was then translated into Swahili. A back-translation process to English ensured accuracy and that the intended meaning was correct. Adjustments based on feedback from the pilot survey, training process, and



Soysambu Conservancy management were incorporated, and the final questionnaire was uploaded to the Open Data Kit (ODK) and KoboToolbox for enumerator use during the survey.

Enumerator Training

In order to ensure that data collection is of the highest standards, the SAPA process relies on proficiently trained enumerators, capable of conducting efficient and accurate surveys.

Therefore, 3 enumerators were recruited from within the survey locations, based on their proficiency in English and Swahili, their good standing in the community, and the fact that they had at least completed high school.

In November 2023, the SAPA facilitation team conducted a two day training sessions which included a detailed run through of all the questions, the information the survey was to capture, and why this was important. The enumerators were trained in the use of ODK and KoboToolbox. The training also included a review of survey and research ethics, and appropriate behaviour before and after the survey.

The enumerators conducted practice interviews, focused on comprehension and questionnaire adjustments.

Following successful completion of the training, the enumerators were equipped with smartphones, battery banks, notebooks, and backpacks. They were clearly instructed on the sampling approach with each enumerator allocated six villages and instructed to randomly sample 10 households from each village.

As a final step in the training, the enumerators conducted pilot interviews with acquaintances. The data from these were reviewed and analysed and the enumerators were given feedback on their performance.

Conduct Household Survey

As discussed above, the sample size include 18 randomly selected villages, where a total of 10 households were surveyed. In total, 181 households were sampled, over three weeks from November 20th to December 6th, 2023.

Sampling locations included Data collection locations included Kiwanja Ndege Mkulima, Leleshwa, Pema, Kapkures, Mbaruk, Muranga, Kiambogo, Ngatta, Mololine, Kelelwa, Soysambu Area, Oldubey, Kapedo, Jogoo, Echareria, Central Utut, Jolai 1,2, Sleeping Warrior Gate, Jolai Gate, and Kampi Turkana.

Each survey began with an explanation of the purpose of the survey, how data would be used, confidentiality measures, the participant's rights, and sought their consent before proceeding.



Following each survey, the respondent was given a small token of appreciation in the form of sugar.

As the survey was being conducted, the facilitation team made random calls to 11% of all respondents to verify that the survey had taken place, to ask for any comments or feedback, and to ensure that the information provided was correct.

No negative feedback or concerns regarding the data collection process were received.




Figure 1: Map of Soysambu with sample sizes from each locations

Analyse Household Survey

The first step in data analysis was to ensure that there was anonymity. Therefore, in adherence to the Data Protection Act, 2019, identities and numbers collected from



respondents was removed. This ensured that all data collected from respondents was handled anonymously, and that no individually identifiable information pertaining to race, health status, ethnic social origin, conscience, belief, genetic data, biometric data, property details, marital status, family details, sex, or sexual orientation were shared.

The next steps were to use design based inference in *R*, with the **survey** and **srvyr** packages to calculate population proportions, standard errors and 95% confidence intervals based on the sample data collected.

The results from these are presented as tables, graphs, maps, and percentages in the following section.

Assessing

Second community meeting and stakeholder workshop

The final stages of the SAPA process involve conducting a second stakeholder workshop, where key findings from the household survey are shared with representatives of key stakeholders. Any questions raised during the workshop are addressed, and actionable ideas are presented.

This workshop serves firstly as a review and validation that the data collected are likely to reflect the realities on the ground, and secondly as a further information-gathering opportunity. The insights gathered as part of this discussion can help assist in mitigating negative social impacts, promoting equitable distribution of positive impacts, and enhancing governance within the protected area.


At the second workshop in Soysambu, the focus was on discussing additional ideas for ways in which Soysambu could provide positive social benefits to the community, that were not sufficiently captured in the survey responses.

These ideas, detailed below, encompassed community activities, initiatives by Soysambu Conservancy management, and greater collaboration with local, regional, or national government entities.

Taking Action

Communicate results

Following the conclusion of Soysambu Conservancy's SAPA, it is crucial that the findings are effectively communicated to all relevant stakeholders. This includes not only the conservancy management team but also local communities, government authorities, NGOs, and other interested parties. This has been partly achieved through the second workshop discussed above. However, beyond this, clear and



transparent communication of the assessment results will help foster understanding, build trust, and encourage collective action towards addressing identified issues.

In communicating the results, it is essential to use accessible language and diverse communication channels to reach different stakeholders effectively. This may include community meetings, workshops, newsletters, social media platforms, and formal reports. As was the case for the second workshop discussed above, engaging in further dialogue sessions where stakeholders can ask questions and provide feedback on the assessment findings will improve understanding and ownership of the process.

Plan actions and monitor progress

Looking ahead, it is important that an action plan to address the identified issues is put in place. This should outline specific strategies, activities, timelines, responsibilities, and resources required to implement interventions.

As was brought up in the second stakeholder workshop, community representatives called for greater collaboration with local, regional, or national government entities. Therefore, it will be important to ensure that the conservancy management, local communities, government agencies, and potentially other relevant NGOs, are involved in the action planning process. This will ensure some ownership, prioritising, and commitment to the proposed interventions.

Once an action plan is in place, it will be essential to establish mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating progress. By continuously monitoring progress, Soysambu Conservancy can adapt its strategies and interventions to meet evolving social needs and ensure the sustainable management of the protected area.



Findings

Characteristics of the respondents

Household head's gender, age, and average number of children





Figure 2: Gender of respondents


Table 2: Characteristics of households



Location	Mean no. of children	Mean age of household head
Kiptangwanyi	2.5	46.8
Mbaruk	1.8	54.1
OIJorai	3.6	47.0
Soysambu	3.2	45.3

Principle livelihoods of the households

Residents surrounding Soysambu Conservancy engage in various economic activities, each with distinct needs. To the south, adjacent to Samburu, are pastoralist communities. Along the Pipeline-Elementaita road, there exists a satellite urban settlement area where minimal agriculture is practiced. The northern region comprises a mix of satellite urban communities and pastoralists, while the area along the Nakuru road towards Gilgil is predominantly occupied by smallholder farmers. Following this, the results are presented disaggregated by location.







Figure 3: Map of principal livelihood activities in surveyed households

How long household heads have been in the area







Figure 4: Was the household head born in this community?

Wealth of households

Based on a number of variables that were recorded during the survey, a wealth index was constructed. This included whether the household owned assets such as a car, motorbike, television, radio, generator, smart phone, water tank, pit latrine, and whether they used mpesa, the construction material of their house, how often they skipped meals, how many livestock they had, and how large an area they cultivated. Based on a principal component analysis, households were categorised into 5 quintiles, and a map of these is shown below, followed by two key variables in understanding household level wealth, namely how often the household were forced to skip a meal, and the construction material of the walls of their house.






Figure 5: Map showing the distribution of wealth quintiles


Positive Social Impacts

Soysambu conservancy's initiative to offer sponsorship opportunities for students, enabling access to education and fostering academic achievement, was seen as a positive social impact by some households. Additionally, Soysambu's contributions to local schools, such as providing school meals for students, school uniforms, desks, and sports equipment, positively impact educational outcomes and student well-being. The Conservancy also provides free guided educational trips to community members, offering valuable learning experiences and fostering appreciation for conservation efforts.

Soysambu conservancy's support for health projects, including the provision of equipment to clinics and cancer screening for women, contributed to improved healthcare access and outcomes within the community. Additionally, Soysambu Conservancy also provided anti-rabies vaccinations for dogs and donkeys, demonstrating a commitment to community health.


Soysambu conservancy provides water to the community either through trucks or by building water tanks, ensuring access to clean and safe water for local residents.

Soysambu conservancy's support in building or maintaining infrastructure including police posts, schools, and roads, were reported to enhance the overall quality of life for community members.



Soysambu conservancy also offered outreach services in the form of training in improved livestock production, health, and waste management, empowering residents with valuable knowledge and skills.

Soysambu actively participates in environmental conservation initiatives, such as tree planting, contributing to the preservation of natural resources and biodiversity. Moreover, Soysambu offers support to bush-clearing workers by providing firewood for free, demonstrating a commitment to employee welfare and community support.






Figure 6: Overall positive impacts across all communities

Positive Impacts by Location

The findings of the household survey reveal diverse perspectives across the four locations. When the survey respondents were asked about how important projects previously implemented by Soysambu were to their households the majority of respondents from Kiptangwani location assigned a rating of zero, indicating little importance. A significant portion expressed low importance, while only a few acknowledged medium to high importance, particularly concerning water provision and infrastructure development.

In Mbaruk location, respondents indicated that the previously mentioned projects had zero impact on their households, with very few attributing medium to high importance to them. In the Oljorai location, the influence of Soysambu Conservancy on the community appears notably positive. A majority of respondents affirmed the high importance of the projects to their households, with a significant number rating them as of medium importance. Fewer respondents indicated zero importance, while a minimal number expressed low importance.

The findings revealed mixed perceptions among residents within Soysambu regarding the significance of various projects. While a considerable number regarded most projects as of high importance, some perceived certain initiatives e.g sponsorship opportunities for students and community education programs, as



having zero importance to their households. Consequently, the number of respondents expressing medium importance to the projects was minimal.




Figure 7: Positive impacts broken down into separate locations

Feelings of security

This was not across the board, but there were clear results that communities living on Soysambu conservancy felt much more secure than those living outside. Feeling secure is a crucial contributor to wellbeing.




Figure 8: How secure do you feel from the risk of theft of your property?



Negative Social Impacts

Although Soysambu Conservancy have made considerable efforts to improve relationships with communities within and neighbouring the conservancy, there are still a number of impacts that are having negative impacts on household well-being.

The findings from discussions with community representatives and the household surveys indicate that transmission of disease from wildlife and livestock in Soysambu to local livestock poses a significant concern for community members. Human-wildlife conflict also dominates in the area with wildlife originating from Soysambu exacerbating tensions and challenges for residents. Examples include the damage to crops, livestock and other property; injury of people; and lack of compensation for damage and injury by wildlife from Kenya Wildlife Service (KWS) Moreover, restricted access to certain public utilities, such as roads, creates barriers to mobility and community well-being.

Respondents also said that Soysambu-related benefits are unfairly shared, for example, there was main concern on limited employment opportunities and a lack of prioritisation in employment within Soysambu. This together with the exclusion of the local community from involvement in development projects perpetuates feelings of exclusion.

There is also a perceived lack of appreciation from Soysambu Conservancy when they receive assistance from the community, such as when the community assists in putting out fires during dry seasons. Limited access to grazing areas and restrictions on tree cutting within Soysambu Conservancy compound the challenges faced by local residents.






Figure 9: Overall negative impacts across all communities

Negative Impacts by Location

The assessment findings suggest that respondents in Oljorai location considered all of the highlighted negative impacts as of high importance, implying a detrimental effect on their household well-being. Fewer respondents felt that the impacts were of medium importance while a number of them fel that some impacts were of zero importance for example conflict with wildlife, little grazing access to Soysambu and community not being prioritised in employment by Soysambu.

Opinions among respondents in Kiptangwani location were varied when it came to negative impacts. A significant majority of respondents highlighted that all negative impacts were of high importance and thus had a lot of impact on their household wellbeing, except for the restriction of access to public utilities, where most respondents expressed a perception of zero importance. Some respondents cited the negative impacts as having low importance, while others had no opinion at all.






Figure 10: Negative impacts broken down into separate locations

Damage by wildlife

These are not caused by wildlife from Soysambu, although people often attribute this to be the case.

Conflict

Based on the results of the household survey, the majority of conflict with wildlife comes from baboons and velvet monkeys, as well as a few others, including porcupines and buffalo. For households who have livestock, hyenas (not defined which species) were the most frequently cited. Furthermore, across all areas, thankfully less than 1% (0.9%) of households reported that a member of their household was injured or killed by wildlife in the last year.

Households reporting that livestock were damaged by wild animals in the last year

10%

Kiptangwanyi

25%

Mbaruk

50%

Oljorai

0%

Soysambu



Households reporting that 🌾 crops were damaged by wild animals in the last year

80% Kiptangwanyi **24%** Mbaruk **17%** Oljorai **4%** Soysambu

Overall contribution to wellbeing

In terms of wellbeing, the survey looked at this from a number of perspectives. Firstly, the respondents were asked about their own overall self-assessed well-being. This was achieved by asking the household head *How's life* and explaining that 1 means everything is very bad and 10 means everything is very good.




Figure 11: Responses when asked: How is your life at the moment?






Figure 12: How has the general wellbeing of your household changed over the last 5 years?

Then, once the respondent was asked about the positive and negative impacts of Soysambu conservancy, they were asked if they could take into account all of these impacts discussed, and summarise the overall impact of Soysambu on the well-being of their household.






Figure 13: The impact of Soysambu Conservancy on the well-being of their household

Finally, in order to understand how the impact of Soysambu Conservancy on household wellbeing is changing over time, the respondents were asked how has the contribution of Soysambu to your household's well-being changed over the past 5 years?






Figure 14: How has the contribution of Soysambu to your households well-being changed over the past 5 years?

The survey results indicated that the majority of respondents perceived Soysambu's overall contribution to well-being as neutral, taking into account both positive and negative impacts. There were exceptions, particularly in OlJorai and Mbaruk locations, where a few respondents felt that Soysambu had increased their well-being.

75%

of those residing in Soysambu felt the conservancy increased their wellbeing

On the other hand, approximately

12%



of respondents living in Oljorai agreed that Soysambu had reduced their overall well-being

Governance

In the context of the Social Assessment of Protected Areas (SAPA), governance plays a pivotal role, encompassing various aspects such as power dynamics, relational structures, and mechanisms for accountability. Unlike management, governance within SAPA is concerned with decision-making processes, resource allocation, and ensuring the inclusion of all relevant stakeholders in the conservation framework.

Governance within SAPA is characterized by: Recognition and Respect for Rights; Full and Effective Participation; Transparency and Access to Information; Mitigation of Negative Impacts. As part of the SAPA process we asked questions about each of these.

Participation in decision-making

Full and effective participation in decision making ensures that all relevant stakeholders are actively involved in the decision-making processes which fosters a sense of ownership and inclusivity.

In the communities of Mbaruk and Oljorai, a significant portion of residents express that they disagreed that they were involved in decision-making processes that affect their communities. Conversely, within Soysambu itself, a notable proportion of individuals perceive a degree of participation, particularly in terms of awareness about their community representatives for engagements with Soysambu and the channels available for communication with them. In Kiptangwani, opinions vary, with some residents indicating a lack of participation, others acknowledging its existence, and a considerable number choosing to answer **Don't know**, when asked about the extent of their involvement in decision-making processes.






Figure 15: Map of survey locations

Level of influence

One key positive result that did emerge from the survey was that most household heads felt that they had medium or high levels of influence on decision making in their communities.

The percentage of households that said they had medium or high levels of influence in their communities:

Transparency and access to information

Transparency and Access to Information: Facilitating transparency through timely access to relevant information in suitable formats, thereby promoting openness and trust among stakeholders.






Figure 16: Agree/Disagree on statements about transparency and access to information

Mitigation of negative impacts

Mitigation of Negative Impacts: Implementing effective measures to mitigate any adverse effects on indigenous peoples and local communities, ensuring their well-being and protection.




Figure 17: Agree/Disagree on statements about mitigation of negative impacts

Equitable benefit-sharing processes

Equitable Benefit Sharing: Ensuring that benefits derived from the protected area are equitably distributed among relevant stakeholders based on agreed-upon targeting options, thus promoting fairness and social justice.






Figure 18: Agree/Disagree on statements about equitable benefit-sharing processes

Rights

Recognition and Respect for Rights: Emphasizing the acknowledgment and respect for the rights of all relevant actors involved in the protected area management.




Figure 19: Agree/Disagree on statements about rights



From assessment to action: stakeholder suggestions for how Soysambu can help

To capture suggestions from stakeholders, during the survey, respondents were asked a simple open-ended question: “Are there any other ways, not mentioned, that you think Soysambu could help your community?”. Furthermore, during the second stakeholder workshop, the 33 participants were divided into groups that represented their communities, and were given an opportunity to brainstorm and add further suggestions.

In the following section, we outline the main categories under which these suggestions fall.

Support on Schools and Education

Mbaruk In Mbaruk, 30% of respondents expressed the need for support in constructing and maintaining schools, particularly emphasizing the establishment of educational facilities such as a nursery school in Pema and polytechnics in Kiambogo and Leleshwa. Furthermore, 11% of respondents sought assistance to aid well-

performing students facing financial constraints. These insights were predominantly derived from the survey conducted in Mbaruk.

Oljorai In Oljorai, 6% of respondents highlighted the importance of food programs in schools and requested the construction of a nursery school in Ngatta. Additionally, 34% of respondents requested scholarships, emphasizing the importance of a fair and transparent selection process. These suggestions emerged from both the survey and stakeholder workshops conducted in Oljorai.

Kiptangwani No specific requests were recorded during the survey in Kiptangwani.

Stakeholder workshop

Participants requested the construction of an Early Childhood Education (ECDE) center in Jogoo village, reflecting a need identified during community engagement sessions. Furthermore, workshop participants suggested that Soysambu could provide scholarships to the brightest students from Primary Schools across all areas.

"Provide transport for children going to Kiboko Primary."

"Kutengenezea wanajamii shule ya upili katika hiki kiwanja cha ndege"

"Kutengeneza angalau shule ya chekechea kwa jamii" "Scholarships should be transparent and target vulnerable children" "Kutoa udhamini kwa wanafunzi"

Second feedback workshop participants requested that Soysambu provides land between Baraka and Soysambu for building a primary school and other public utilities (62%) and Kiboko primary school be transferred to that land to serve a larger community land (54%). They also wanted a primary school be built in Royal Estate.

During the second stakeholder workshop, the participants requested for an ablution block constructed in each of these schools; Kapkures primary school (79%), Kambi Turkana primary school (36%), Oldubei primary school (28%), Olesirwa, Kelelwa and Olepolos primary schools.

Kiptangwani; None of the survey respondents made any requests. During the second feedback workshop, however, the participants requested for the construction of an Early Childhood Education (ECDE) at Jogoo village (75%).

One respondent expressed gratitude to Soysambu for providing land for the construction of Lady Anne Secondary. 61 respondents suggested support for their schools in different aspects. Some (21 -Oljorai, 6 -Mbaruk) requested scholarships and bursaries. Some felt that scholarship provision was unfair and requested that it be made fair and transparent. Others (16) asked that schools be constructed, specifically a nursery school in Kiwanja Ndege and Ngatta areas, polytechnics and secondary schools. A few (3) requested school feeding programs in Ol Jorai primary school. Others suggested that establishing a library in the Mololine area to engage the youth, and the provision of transportation for children commuting to Kiboko Primary.

Health and hospital facilities

Mbaruk; 19% of total Mbaruk respondents requested support for health-related initiatives, including the construction of a hospital, provision of hospital equipment, and the development of the Echareria dispensary. More emphasis during the workshop was laid when participants requested for Soysambu to build a maternity wing (62%), staff houses (46%) and outpatient wing 15%) In Kiungururia dispensary.

Oljorai; 4% of total Oljorai respondents suggested the need for support in constructing health centers in Kampi Turkana and Kapkures, as well as assistance in addressing an unknown disease. “We need hospital in Kapkures, women loose children because of lacking hospital” “Providing health services to curb a strange skin disease from caves bordering Soysambu.” More emphasis was laid during the second workshop where they requested for a dispensary at Kampi Turkana (64%) and Kapkures (29%), and staff houses at Oljorai health centre (29%) Kiptangwani; None of the survey respondents made any requests. During the second workshop, the participants requested for maternity wing equipment at Elementaita dispensary in Elementaita village (75%).

Water Provision Services

Mbaruk; 33% of Mbaruk’s total respondents. They expressed the need for water infrastructure such as constructed dams and boreholes, reviving a borehole opposite The African Forest and offering access to water that passes through Kiambogo and near their farms to Soysambu. “Allow us access the water passing through Kiambogo to Dalamere farm.” “Reviving the borehole opposite The African Forest to help with the water problem.”

second stakeholder workshop participants from Mbaruk sublocation wanted a water tower built at Kiungururia (61%) and a borehole drilled in Echareria (61%) In Kasambara, the participants wanted a borehole drilled at Wamagwathi’s farm and a pipe extension at Kianyenji village (69%) and as survey respondents had earlier suggested, 38% wanted the borehole near African Forest (Mt Kenya) to be revived with an additional request of a fence on the land. Also, they requested the land be expanded from ¼ acre to 1 acre.

Oljorai; 29% of Oljorai’s total respondents suggested that dams or water pans be built to help with water scarcity during dry seasons for human and livestock use. “Dams are needed in Soysambu to serve locals during dry weather”

second stakeholder workshop participants precisely mentioned that they wanted provision of water pipes for water distribution (79% of the participants), a mega-dam at Soysambu to help harvest water heading to Elementaita around Maisha poa area(71%), waterpan in Kampi Turkana (64%), and a borehole drilled in Ngatta (35%)

Kiptangwani; 50% of Kiptangwani's total survey respondents wanted water provided to the community. During the second stakeholder workshop, 50% of the participants agreed with this proposition and wanted assistance in the construction of water points at Mwariki C and the provision of water pipes in Jogoo village.

Livestock Management

Mbaruk; 7% of total Mbaruk respondents requested the following from Soysambu; permission to graze livestock on unused land, education initiatives focused on livestock management for the community and provision of animal vaccination services.

In Kasambara, the workshop participants requested the provision of dairy goats and dairy cattle, citing a promise made to them five years ago (46%).

Oljorai; 40% of total Oljorai respondents sought assistance from Soysambu in the following areas: provision of grass for livestock, allocation of a portion of land for their livestock to graze during dry seasons and livestock management education and training programs. At the feedback workshop, participants expressed the following requests: 86% requested grazing fields during the dry season, 71% suggested growing hay in Nderit Primary School, 71% requested the provision of livestock vaccination, 64% proposed building a cattle dip in Kambi Shule and capacity building on livestock management. 50% of the participants suggested installing traps to address the issue of hyenas killing domestic animals.

- 20 respondents (80% from Ol Jorai) expressed a need for support in livestock-related matters such as: prompt response from the conservancy when their animals are attacked, grass and water to support livestock during dry seasons; a dam in the farm for livestock water during dry periods; compensation for livestock killed or damaged by wildlife; and a cessation of harassment by security personnel on herders. In Mbaruk people requested permission from Soysambu to graze their livestock on the unused land of the Soysambu farm, as well as training on improved practices in livestock keeping. Several also asked for vaccination and artificial insemination services.

Environment and Sanitation

Mbaruk; 19% of total Mbaruk respondents expressed a desire for Soysambu to address environment-related issues by: installing sanitation points near the highway in the Soysambu area, continuing to provide tree seedlings, and assisting in raising awareness about environmental preservation. Workshop participants from Kasambara requested for fencing and trees be provided for planting at Chamuka springs (31%)

Oljorai; 1% of total Oljorai respondents wanted Soysambu to help in planting trees in the community. This was also raised as a request at the workshop with participants

wanting the provision of tree seedlings for planting in the entire location (7%).

Security

As mentioned above, the majority of respondents from communities living in Soysambu expressed a greater sense of security for their property. Feeling secure is a crucial contributor to wellbeing. However, in other areas, this was not always the case. In Mbaruk; 22% of total survey respondents requested assistance from Soysambu in the construction of a police post in Murang'a Echereria, Mbaruk, and Mololine villages. This was also highlighted in the workshop where participants wanted a police post in Royal estate (38%), Mbaruk chief's office (23%) and motor vehicles for patrol (62%). Kiptangwanyi; the second workshop participants highlighted a need for a chief's and assistant chief's administration office at the Kiptangwanyi chief's camp (100%).

Land and Fencing

Mbaruk; 16% of total Mbaruk respondents expressed a variety of desires regarding land usage in Soysambu: They requested the opportunity to purchase land from Soysambu whenever it becomes available for sale, proposed allocating a portion of the land for community use (including establishing a market for local produce, a graveyard, and a waste disposal site), and they suggested distributing land to squatters in the community at affordable rates. In Kiambogo, they conveyed appreciation to Soysambu for selling land to their fathers. Soysambu; 35% of Soysambu respondents suggested receiving a portion of land from Soysambu at a subsidized rate, Soysambu to set aside land for them to cultivate vegetables, and advocated for the installation of an electric fence around residential areas within Soysambu for enhanced security.

Community representation

Mbaruk; 10% of total Mbaruk's respondents expressed a desire for Soysambu to; clearly communicate their rules to the community, hold quarterly general meetings with the community and in Pema, one respondent requested the election of a community representative. Oljorai; 9% of total Mbaruk respondents shared their perspectives on community involvement as follows; they emphasised the importance of Soysambu fostering positive relationships with local communities, advocated for the inclusion of the community in decision-making processes, and suggested holding regular meetings between Soysambu and the community.

Other suggestions that were mentioned which we have not elaborated on here include: employment - that Soysambu prioritise community members for positions in the conservancy; and community participation in game drives to observe wild animals in Soysamb Conservancy.

Harrassment and compensation

In Oljorai, a number of respondents, reported instances of harassment, particularly on people gathering firewood. Furthermore, a number of people reported that they were harassed in the process of being arrested. A number of respondents also expressed their frustration over a lack of compensation from the Kenya Wildlife Service (KWS) for damage by wild animals to crops and livestock. These issues were not raised in other areas.

Recommendations

Here, we outline actionable steps and strategies aimed at enhancing the conservation efforts and social impact management within Soysambu Conservancy. Drawing upon the identified challenges and opportunities, our recommendations seek to foster sustainable practices, improve community engagement, and promote equitable outcomes for all stakeholders involved.

Agricultural extension services

Soysambu has already carried out some form of agricultural extension activity through the farmer's seminar on livestock production. This could be an area that is expanded on to support those in the community who are engaged in smallholder activities.


An extension programme could be designed to promote new methods and solutions to inform smallholders on how to increase production (and income) and become more food secure.

A needs-based assessment would be required for the target community and tracking impact would be a crucial consideration. Changing farming practices and behaviours is a particularly challenging objective in the short-term as behaviours tend to change over longer periods of time and often proof of success of new practices needs to be demonstrated for changes in traditional farming practice to be adopted. As such, this would be a longer-term programme allowing for shifts in both the knowledge and attitudes of the target community with regards to the practices being promoted and actually being implemented. Funding of certain inputs should also be considered for the success of this programme and for the farmers to be able to adopt some of the practices being promoted.

An agricultural extension programme could be rolled out in a number of ways, from inviting interested members of the community to watch videos promoting new and improved farming methods – through to creating demonstration farms to impart knowledge in a more practical manner and allowing for participants to see changes over time.

The tables below set out some examples of how climate smart agriculture could be promoted to the smallholder community. These suggestion aim to increase farmer's knowledge on farming practices that can improve productivity in crop or livestock agriculture, and how farmers can adapt their practices to accommodate an ever-changing climate.

Table 3: Agricultural extension - Soil Management

Theme	Content	Disbursement of information
Soil health	<p>Information sharing on what a soil test is and why it is important to do one e.g. can tell the health of the soil, PH levels, which crops can be grown in your soil and which fertilizer to use.</p> <p>How to carry out a soil test. Should do a soil test every 3-5 years.</p>	<p>Practical demonstration - how to carry out a crop test.</p> <p>Potential to fund soil tests for participants. Cost circa 1000 KSH per test.</p>
Conservation		

Theme	Content	Disbursement of information
agriculture	A way of farming to keep nutrients and moisture in the soil. Helps control pests and diseases. Minimum tillage, mulching, crop rotation	Practical demonstration of all 3 activities.
Soil management	<p>The importance of fertilizer to return nutrients to soil.</p> <p>Different types of fertilizer and nutrients at different stages of growth.</p> <p>Manure - helps soil fertility and improves soil health over time – reducing the need for fertilizer.</p>	<p>Practical demonstration of fertilizer and manure application.</p> <p>Possible provision of inputs for 1 season for participants.</p>




Table 4: Agricultural extension - Maize.

Theme	Content	Disbursement of information
Planting	<p>Informing participants that maize does not grow well in acidic soils. Soil test can determine this. What can be done if soil is acidic e.g. add lime.</p> <p>Selecting the best maize variety for the area and using certified seeds (disease free and guaranteed to germinate).</p> <p>Consider planting drought resistant crops too e.g. maize and sorghum.</p> <p>Preparation of land for planting e.g. minimum tillage, marking planting lines, digging planting holes and manure and fertilizer application.</p> <p>Plant beans between maize rows to boost soil health.</p>	<p>Practical demonstration of how to plant and education session on importance of certified seeds and contingency crops.</p>
Management	Importance of weeding, fertiliser and when do apply, soil testing (to gauge best fertilizer).	Practical demonstration.
Pests and diseases	<p>Plant certified seeds, keep farm weed free and rotate crops each season.</p> <p>Scout for pests and diseases regularly and treat them as you see them.</p> <p>How to deal with plants once diseased.</p>	Education session e.g videos.
Storage	<p>The importance of harvesting and storing appropriately e.g. Dry maize thoroughly for 2-3 weeks on tarpaulin in the sun.</p> <p>If storing in a sisal sack – treat maize first with pesticide (can't be eaten for 6 months after treating).</p>	<p>Practical demonstration and education on alternative storage methods e.g. Hermetic bags.</p> <p>Possible funding of hermetic bags for participants.</p>



Table 5: Agricultural extension -Livestock

Theme	Content	Disbursement of information
Cows – Housing	Importance of good housing and what a cow shed should consist of e.g. Sleeping area, walking area, feeding area, milking place and calf pen. Use concrete flooring. Clean daily and use disinfectant.	Practical demonstration.
Cows – feeding	Ensure good yields with provision of fodder crops, protein supplements (dairy meal, legume plants) along with vitamins and minerals. What the average healthy cow needs to produce well.	Education session e.g. talk from experts or videos.
Cows (dairy) - fodder	What grasses can be planted directly into the field and creating a nursery for other fodder grasses.	Practical demonstration.
Cows (dairy) – Hay	Good for adapting to the changing climate. How to make it.	Practical demonstration how and when to cut grass, drying it and storage.
Cows (dairy) – Silage	Planning for the dry season. How to make it and how long it can be stored for.	Practical demonstration on how to make and store silage.



Table 6: Water Management

Theme	Content	Disbursement of information
Rainwater harvesting	<p>How to build a rainwater harvesting system by fixing gutters to roofs and connecting to a tank.</p> <p>Building a water pan that collects and stores surface run off water for crops.</p>	<p>Demonstration on how to create gutters on roofs.</p> <p>Demonstration of creating a water pan.</p> <p>Possible funding of materials e.g. water storage tanks, gutters.</p>
Water management	<p>Installing a drip irrigation system to save time and money.</p> <p>How solar power can also be used e.g. solar panels and solar pumps.</p>	<p>Demonstration of drip irrigation system.</p> <p>Possible funding of tanks, irrigation materials.</p>



Table 7: Financial literacy

Theme	Content	Disbursement of information
Budgeting	What is it? Why it's important.	Education session – talk given by an expert or video.
Loans	Why take a loan e.g. farm inputs. Type of loan e.g. bank/SACCO/Chama. Paying it back, interest, collateral.	Education session – talk given by an expert or video.
Savings	Why save e.g. gets you through poor yields, buying inputs etc. Work out how much you can afford to save, where to put your money e.g. a bank etc.	Education session – talk given by an expert or video.
Insurance	Why? Changing weather and unexpected losses. How insurance works etc.	Education session – talk given by an expert or video.



Bibliography

Ellis, Greg Freedman. 2019. "Srvyr: 'Dplyr'-Like Syntax for Summary Statistics of Survey Data." <https://cran.r-project.org/package=srvyr>.

Franks, Phil, Rob Small, and Francesca Booker. 2018. *Social Assessment for Protected Areas (SAPA): Methodology Manual for SAPA Facilitators*. 2nd edition. London: IIED.

Lumley, Thomas. 2019. "Survey: Analysis of Complex Survey Samples. R Package Version 3.35-1."

R Core Team. 2019. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org>.




Appendix


Appendix 1 - Sample frame

Table 8: Sampling of households from locations and villages

Location	Village	Estimated No. of HHs	Selected
Gilgil	Kikopey		
Gilgil	Karura		
Gilgil	Kasarani		
Kiptangwanyi	Jogoo	600	yes
Kiptangwanyi	Mwariki C		
Kiptangwanyi	Elmentaita	200	
Kiptangwanyi	Bombo	250	
Kiptangwanyi	Dam	150	
Kiptangwanyi	Old game		
Kiptangwanyi	Miti Mingi		
Mbaruk	Muranga	90	yes
Mbaruk	Mbaruk Marura	100	
Mbaruk	Pema	200	yes
Mbaruk	Kiwanja Ndege Mkulima	5000	yes
Mbaruk	Kiambogo	70	yes
Mbaruk	Kahuho	200	
Mbaruk	Mololine	80	yes
Mbaruk	Kasambara	100	



Location	Village	Estimated No. of HHs	Selected
Mbaruk	Kiwanja Ndege	200	
Mbaruk	Leleshwa	80	yes
Mbaruk	Echareria	300	yes
Mbaruk	Mbaruk	500	yes
Oljorai	Oldubey	260	yes
Oljorai	Kelelwa	282	yes
Oljorai	Central Utut	178	yes
Oljorai	Kapkures	274	yes
Oljorai	Elementaita Munyaka	600	
Oljorai	Lokichogio	400	
Oljorai	Kapedo	189	yes
Oljorai	Central hall	700	
Oljorai	Kongasis		
Oljorai	Block D	500	
Oljorai	Gema	400	
Oljorai	Kampi shule	400	
Oljorai	Kongasis A	800	
Oljorai	Kongasis B	1300	
Oljorai	Kongasis Centre	1200	
Oljorai	Ngatta	250	yes
Oljorai	Kampi Turkana	117	yes
Soysambu	Head office	92	
Soysambu	Soysambu area	183	yes
Soysambu	Melia Nyeupe/borehole/nginegii	28	
Soysambu	Jolai 1, 2, sleeping warrior, jolai gate	50	yes
Soysambu	Congreve area	11	



Appendix 2 - Additional data




Figure 20: Map of second most important household livelihood





Figure 21: Livestock as tropical livestock units, per household






Figure 22: Did the household cultivate crops in the last year





Figure 23: No. of acres cultivated by the household in their location, in the last year






Figure 24: Livestock damaged by wildlife in the last year




Figure 25: Cultivated crops damaged by wildlife in the last year

