

# NEMSIS V3 Schematron Guide

---

## Date

November 23, 2011 (Final)  
January 17, 2014 (Rewritten – Candidate Release 1)  
March 3, 2014 (Updated)  
March 2, 2015 (Updated)  
September 7, 2017 (Updated references)  
September 30, 2019 (NEMSIS version 3.5)  
January 21, 2021 (Test-driven Schematron development resources)  
October 1, 2021 (update to Using Diagnostic References)  
September 10, 2024 (NEMSIS Schematron schema validator)

## Authors

Joshua Legler – NEMSIS Consultant  
Laurel Baeder – NEMSIS Software Developer  
N. Clay Mann – NEMSIS P.I.

## Contributors

Aaron Hart, Chris Morgan, Mike Darvill,  
and René Nelson – ZOLL  
Adam Voss – TriTech Software Systems  
David Saylor – Beyond Lucid Technologies  
Jeff Robertson – EMSPIC  
Jessica Lundberg – Cognitech  
Juan Esparza – State of Florida

Kashif Khan and Troy Whipple – ImageTrend  
Lindsey Narloch – State of North Dakota  
Mark Potter – Medusa  
Patrick Sennett – Good Samaritan Hospital  
Paul Sharpe – Commonwealth of Virginia  
Ryan Smith – Intermedix  
Tom Walker – University of Alabama

## Overview

Schematron is a rule-based language for XML document validation. Schematron is an international standard defined in ISO/IEC 19757-3(2016) (hereafter referred to as “normative standard”). Anyone who creates Schematron files or software that performs Schematron-based validation should obtain a copy of the normative standard at <https://www.iso.org/standard/55982.html>. (Note: Software compliant with NEMSIS version 3.5 should implement the 2016 version of the normative standard, as contained in the NEMSIS version 3.5 Schematron Development Kit.)

Much of the validation in NEMSIS is accomplished via the use of W3C XML Schemas (known as XSD). XML Schemas constrain the structure of NEMSIS XML documents and the contents of elements and attributes within those documents using grammar-based validation. However, XML Schemas are not capable of context-sensitive validation, such as constraining the contents of one element based on the contents of another element. The rule-based validation provided by Schematron fills the gap.

## Purpose

This document sets forth requirements and guidelines for Schematron rule files and for software that performs validation using Schematron rule files. The following terms in this document have special meaning when displayed in uppercase:

- **MUST:** Specified feature is mandatory and will be verified through NEMESIS TAC compliance testing processes.
- **SHOULD:** Specified feature is recommended but will not be verified through NEMESIS TAC compliance testing processes.
- **MAY:** Specified feature is allowed but not mandatory.

Most of the content of this document is divided into the following two sections:

- Requirements and Guidelines for Schematron File Creators (p. 3)
- Requirements and Guidelines for Systems that Perform Validation (p. 14)

## Structure of a Schematron File

Provided below is a general overview of the structure of a Schematron file. The normative standard should be consulted for details. (*? means the element must occur zero or one time; \* means the element must occur zero or more times; + means the element must occur one or more times; no qualifier means the element must occur exactly one time.*)

schema	The document element for a Schematron file
phase*	A named group of patterns, to support phased validation
active*	A reference to a pattern that is active within a phase
pattern+	A container for related rules
rule*	A container for assertions tested within a particular context
assert*	An assertion (validation requirement) to be tested
text	A message to be generated if the assert test fails
report*	An assertion (validation requirement) to be tested
text	A message to be generated if the report test succeeds
diagnostics?	A container for diagnostic information
diagnostic*	A message giving more specific details concerning a failed assertion
properties?	A container for property information
property*	A message giving additional metadata concerning a failed assertion

## Requirements and Guidelines for Schematron File Creators

### NEMSIS Schematron File Content Requirements

All aspects of the normative standard apply to NEMSIS Schematron files, unless specifically constrained in this section. NEMSIS imposes additional requirements on the contents of Schematron files that are more restrictive than the normative standard. The additional NEMSIS requirements are listed below, by element.

#### schema

@defaultPhase MUST be undefined.

@id SHOULD be the name of the NEMSIS data set the schema is intended to validate (StateDataSet, DEMDataSet, or EMSDataSet).

@queryBinding MUST be xslt2 (see XSLT 2 Query Language Binding, p. 5).

@schemaVersion MUST be the full NEMSIS release version and build number the schema is intended to validate, which MUST be followed by an underscore and a version number and build number specific to the Schematron file itself (for example, 3.5.0.190930\_AZ191015).

title SHOULD contain plain language and SHOULD indicate the name of the entity providing the schema (for example, the name of a state or territory).

diagnostics MUST exist and MUST at least contain the pre-defined diagnostic section supplied by the NEMSIS TAC. (See Referencing Diagnostics, p. 5.)

According to the RelaxNG schema for Schematron as published in the normative standard, properties must exist. To maintain backwards compatibility with previous versions of Schematron (as claimed in the normative standard), the NEMSIS TAC maintains a modified version of the RelaxNG schema for Schematron that allows properties to be optional.

#### pattern

title MUST exist, SHOULD contain human-readable text, and SHOULD be written from the perspective of a business analyst.

#### rule

@role MUST NOT exist.

#### assert and report

@diagnostics MUST exist and MUST consist of a space-delimited list containing at least nemsisDiagnostic. (See Referencing Diagnostics, p. 5.)

@role MUST exist and MUST be [FATAL], [ERROR], or [WARNING]. (See Setting Severity Levels, p. 5.)

The content SHOULD be a natural language assertion written from the perspective of an EMS professional. (See Writing Natural Language Assertion Text, p. 7.)

### Verifying Validity of Schematron Files

A NEMSIS Schematron file MUST be valid according to both the normative standard and the NEMSIS requirements. Specifically, it must be valid according to the following schemas:

- Normative standard RELAX NG schema for Schematron files
- Normative standard Schematron schema for Schematron files
- NEMSIS Schematron schema for Schematron files

All of the above schemas, as well as a NEMSIS Schematron file template designed to comply with NEMSIS requirements, are available from the NEMSIS TAC (see NEMSIS Schematron Development Kit, p. 11).

RELAX NG Schema validators are less prevalent than W3C XML Schema (XSD) validators; recommended tools include Jing (<https://relaxng.org/jclark/jing.html>) and xmllint (<http://xmlsoft.org/>).

The following sequence of commands illustrates how to validate a NEMSIS Schematron file using Jing for RELAX NG validation and the Schematron reference implementation and Saxon (see Reference Implementation, p. 17) for Schematron validation (this is the process the NEMSIS TAC uses when validating files submitted by states):

First, process any Schematron include elements that are present in the Schematron file:

```
[path/to/saxon/]Transform
  -xsl:iso-schematron-xslt2\iso_dsd1_include.xsl
  -s:[SchematronFile1.sch]
  -o:[SchematronFile1.sch]
```

Validate the Schematron file per the normative standard RELAX NG schema for Schematron files:

```
[path/to/jing]
  [path/to/]iso-schematron.rng
  [SchematronFile1.sch]
  > [RelaxNgValidationResults.txt]
```

Validate the Schematron file per the normative standard Schematron schema for Schematron files:

```
[path/to/saxon/]Transform
  -xsl:[path/to/]iso-schematron.xsl
  -s:[SchematronFile1.sch]
  -o:[IsoSchematronValidationResults.svr1]
```

Validate the Schematron file per the NEMSIS Schematron schema for Schematron files:

```
[path/to/saxon/]Transform
  -xsl:[path/to/]nemsis-schematron.xsl
  -s:[SchematronFile1.sch]
  -o:[NemsisSchematronValidationResults.svr1]
```

Schematron rule authors are welcome to submit Schematron code samples to the NEMSIS TAC early in their development cycle to obtain feedback regarding approaches they plan to use in writing Schematron files. The NEMSIS TAC hosts a service that validates Schematron schemas at <https://schematronvalidator.nemsis.org/>.

## XSLT 2 Query Language Binding

In Schematron, the query language binding specifies the language used for rule context expressions, assertion tests, etc. Schematron implementations may support various query language bindings. However, NEMSIS Schematron files **MUST** use the Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations (XSLT) version 2 query language binding, and all implementations **MUST** support XSLT 2.

XSLT 2 incorporates XML Path Language (XPath) version 2. Schematron rule authors should be proficient in XSLT 2 and XPath 2.

## XSLT document() Function

The XSLT `document()` function allows a Schematron file to reference external resources. Schematron rule authors **SHOULD** avoid the use of the `document()` function, for the following reasons:

- If the `document()` function references an external resource, the Schematron file is no longer self-contained: it becomes dependent on the external resource. Systems that perform validation may not always be online and able to retrieve network-based resources, and they may not support the ability to locally manage external resource files along with a Schematron file.
- If the `document()` function references a relative URI or the Schematron file itself, the base URI may be ambiguous or undefined in systems that store and retrieve Schematron files from locations other than a file system (such as database or in-memory implementations).

Schematron rule authors should use the Schematron `include` element instead of the XSLT `document()` function. The `document()` function is evaluated each time validation is performed. On the other hand, the Schematron `include` element is evaluated at the time a Schematron file is compiled into XSLT when using the reference implementation of Schematron. (see Reference Implementation, p. 17).

## Setting Severity Levels

Schematron rule authors **MUST** set a severity level for every `assert` or `report` by setting the `@role` attribute to `[FATAL]`, `[ERROR]`, or `[WARNING]`. Severity levels **MUST NOT** be set in any other location. The following examples demonstrate the three severity levels allowed in NEMSIS Schematron files:

```
<sch:assert role="[FATAL]"    diagnostics="..." id="..." test="...">...</assert>
<sch:assert role="[ERROR]"   diagnostics="..." id="..." test="...">...</assert>
<sch:assert role="[WARNING]" diagnostics="..." id="..." test="...">...</assert>
```

For information on how systems must behave when encountering the various severity levels, see Interpreting Severity Levels, p. 15.

## Referencing Diagnostics

All Schematron files **MUST** contain a copy of the national diagnostic and **MUST** refer to it within `assert` and `report` elements. The national diagnostic ensures that detailed, structured information is available on every failed `assert` or successful `report`, which is used by software to provide a user with options for resolving validation problems. The `@id` of the national diagnostic is `nemsisDiagnostic`. The following example shows how the national diagnostic is referenced within an `assert`:

```
<sch:assert diagnostics="nemsisDiagnostic" role="..." id="..." test="...">...
</assert>
```

The national diagnostic contains three parts.

- **record**: A set of elements that uniquely identify the agency demographic report or patient care report where the validation problem was found.
- **elements**: A list of specific NEMESIS elements that were present in the record that the user may need to edit in order to resolve the validation problem.
- **elementsMissing**: A list of NEMESIS elements that were *not* present in the record that the user may need to edit in order to resolve the validation problem.

Every assert or report uses the record part simply by referring to the national diagnostic. Additionally, every assert or report SHOULD use the elements or elementsMissing part, or both parts, in order to facilitate the ability for the software to direct the user to the areas of the record that should be edited in order to resolve a validation problem.

Details on how to use each part are provided below.

### **record**

Record information is generated for each failed assert or successful report. No further configuration is required on the part of the rule author.

### **elements**

To use the elements part, the rule author must declare a Schematron variable within a rule. The variable MUST be named `nemsisElements` and MUST contain a set of XML elements defined using XPath, relative to the context of the rule. All elements in the set SHOULD be terminal elements: they SHOULD NOT contain any child elements.

The simplest example defines the `nemsisElements` variable as a set containing only the element that is the context of the current rule. If the context of the current rule is `eTimes.03`, the following will refer to an instance of `eTimes.03`:

```
<sch:let name="nemsisElements" value="."/>
```

The next example defines the `nemsisElements` variable as a set containing all of the children of the element that is the context of the current rule. If the context of the current rule is `eTimes`, the following will refer to all of the elements that are children of an instance of `eTimes` (`eTimes.01` through `eTimes.16`):

```
<sch:let name="nemsisElements" value="*"/>
```

The next example defines the `nemsisElements` variable as a set containing specific elements. If the context of the current rule is `eTimes` and the namespace prefix for the NEMESIS namespace is `nem`, the following will refer to `eTimes.03` and `eTimes.04` (the parentheses are important to indicate that the elements are part of a set):

```
<sch:let name="nemsisElements" value="(nem:eTimes.03, nem:eTimes.04)"/>
```

## elementsMissing

An XPath reference cannot be generated for elements that *do not exist* in a particular record. The `elementsMissing` part is used to provide a list of names of missing elements, along with the XPath for the elements that would be the parents of the missing elements.

To use the `elementsMissing` part, the rule author must declare a Schematron variable within a rule. The variable must be named `nemsisElementsMissing` and must contain a space-delimited list of NEMESIS elements (without namespace prefix).

The rule author may also declare a Schematron variable named `nemsisElementsMissingContext`, which must contain a set of XML elements defined using XPath, relative to the context of the rule. Each element in the set should be an element that would be the parent of one or more of the elements listed in `nemsisElementsMissing`. If `nemsisElementsMissingContext` is not declared, then it is assumed to be the element selected by the context of the rule.

The first example defines the `elementsMissing` variable to be `eDispatch.03` (the single quotes inside of the double quotes are important to indicate that the `@value` is a string). If the context of the rule is `eDispatch`, the parent element is an instance of `eDispatch`:

```
<sch:let name="nemsisElementsMissing" value="'eDispatch.03'"/>
```

The next example is as above but defines the `elementsMissing` variable to be `eDispatch.03`, `eDispatch.04`, and `eDispatch.05`:

```
<sch:let name="nemsisElementsMissing"
  value="'eDispatch.03 eDispatch.04 eDispatch.05'"/>
```

The next example is as the first but explicitly sets the context to be an instance of `eDispatch`, if the context of the rule is `PatientCareReport` and the namespace prefix for the NEMESIS namespace is `nem`:

```
<sch:let name="nemsisElementsMissing" value="'eDispatch.03'"/>
<sch:let name="nemsisElementsMissingContext" value="nem:eDispatch"/>
```

The national diagnostic matches each element named in `nemsisElementsMissing` with its parent in `nemsisElementsMissingContext` by comparing element names. For example, `eDispatch.03` is matched with `eDispatch` because its name starts with `eDispatch`. If a missing element's name matches more than one of the elements in `nemsisElementsMissingContext`, the element that occurs first in the NEMESIS XML document is used. If a missing element's name matches none of the elements in `nemsisElementsMissingContext`, the element selected by the context of the rule is used.

## Writing Natural Language Assertion Text

Natural language assertion text should be written from the perspective of an EMS professional and adhere to the following guidelines:

- It SHOULD consist of grammatically correct and complete sentences.
- It SHOULD use sentence case (not all UPPER or all lower case).

- It SHOULD be a positive statement of a constraint: it should be a statement of what is expected rather than a statement of what was found that was incorrect. The following examples illustrate the difference:
  - *Correct:* Unit En Route Date/Time should not be prior to Unit Notified by Dispatch Date/Time.
  - *Incorrect:* Unit En Route Date/Time is prior to Unit Notified by Dispatch Date/Time.
- It SHOULD use NEMESIS element names/titles (“Unit Notified by Dispatch Date/Time”) rather than XML element names (“eTimes.03”).
- When referring to expected enumerated list values, it SHOULD use NEMESIS value labels (“Yes”) rather than XML values (“9923003”).
- It SHOULD NOT have contents that are intended to be parsed by software, other than the Schematron elements allowed by the normative standard. Diagnostics should be used to provide such information.

## Managing State and Local Rules

State and local rules MUST be provided in separate files from the national rules.

State and local rule files SHOULD avoid using @id values that are already used in the national Schematron files. Patterns, rules, asserts, and reports in the national Schematron files have @id values that start with nemSch\_.

State rule files MUST contain an exact copy of the national diagnostic section and use it. (See Referencing Diagnostics, p. 5).

A state or local entity may escalate the severity level of an assert or report that is already defined in a national rule file. The recommended mechanism for doing so is to copy the national assert or report (and any elements on which it depends) into the state or local rule file and modify the @role attribute.

A state or local entity MUST NOT modify the national rule files. This implies that it is not possible for a state or local entity to de-escalate the severity level of an assert or report that is already defined in a national rule file.

States that maintain state rule files MUST submit the files to the NEMESIS TAC. The NEMESIS TAC will resolve any Schematron include elements and then validate the files. (See Verifying Validity of Schematron Files, p. 3.)

The NEMESIS TAC publishes the following valid and approved Schematron rule files for DEMDataSet and EMSDataSet:

- National rules
- State rules for each state that provides state rules

The NEMESIS TAC also publishes national rules for StateDataSet.



## Use of StateDataSet in Schematron Rules

States may wish to validate data against information that is contained in a StateDataSet document. For example, a state may wish to create a rule that tests whether a destination code is a valid code per the state's facility list. One way to accomplish this is to embed the StateDataSet in an `xsl:variable` in the Schematron rule file. Schematron rules can then reference elements in the StateDataSet using the `xsl:key` element and the `key()` function for high-performance validation. When changes occur to the StateDataSet, a new Schematron rule file must be built by replacing the StateDataSet that is embedded in the rule file. However, no changes are needed in the code of the Schematron rules. This approach also guarantees consistency between a StateDataSet and related Schematron rules.

## Versioning

New minor versions of the XML Schemas (XSDs) for NEMSIS are backward-compatible: any file that is valid in a previous version of NEMSIS will also be valid in the current version. In contrast, new versions of Schematron files for NEMSIS are not guaranteed to be backward-compatible: a file that is valid in a previous version of NEMSIS may not be valid in the current version. The Schematron rule development process allows for new business constraints to be identified and introduced over time.

Schematron rule authors should consider how new rules may affect the validity of existing records. The following information is provided for consideration:

Rule authors MAY add effective dates to individual rules by including a date-related comparison in the test. For example, a rule author may add a new rule that takes effect on all events occurring after a certain date. The rule author can implement the "effective date" by adding a comparison on `eTimes.03 Unit Notified by Dispatch Date/Time` to the `@test` in the `assert` or `report` element.

NEMSIS XML documents may contain `@xsi:schemaLocation`, which contains the URL of a specific release of the NEMSIS XML Schemas. Rule authors may choose to test the value of that attribute within rules and then apply assertions selectively based on the version to which the attribute refers. In doing so, rule authors would need to decide upon a default behavior in case the attribute is not present in the XML document.

New versions of the national rule files are published in accordance with the NEMSIS release cycle. When each new version of the NEMSIS standard is published for public comment, each state MUST test its state rule files to identify whether any incompatibilities exist. If incompatibilities exist, the state MUST submit updated state rule files to the NEMSIS TAC; otherwise, the state MUST notify the NEMSIS TAC that the existing state rule files are compatible with the new version of NEMSIS.

States may release updates to state rule files at other times outside of the NEMSIS release cycle. Each state SHOULD submit its release cycle to the NEMSIS TAC so that it can be published on the NEMSIS web site. States SHOULD adopt release cycles that occur no more frequently than quarterly (unless critical patches are needed) and give at least 90 days advance notice for vendors to implement new releases.

(See also Schematron Release Cycles, p. 17.)

## Managing Optional Rules

States may define additional validation rules that are optional for use at the local level. Optional rules MUST NOT be included in state rule files. However, a state MAY include optional rules in a separate file and submit it to the NEMSIS TAC. The file MUST be a valid NEMSIS Schematron file. (See Verifying

Validity of Schematron Files, p. 3.) The NEMSIS TAC does not review or endorse the contents of the file other than ensuring it is valid.

## Maintaining Documentation

Good documentation is important for the successful implementation of validation rules. Schematron rule authors SHOULD document their rule files.

Documentation of the national rule files is published in a format similar to the NEMSIS Data Dictionary. The NEMSIS TAC publishes the same documentation interface for state rule files as well. Rule authors may strengthen the value of the documentation interface by the generous use of XML comments within their files. The comments should contain human-readable text regarding the elements within a file, including information such as why they are important, how they were developed, or pseudo-code representing how they function.

Documentation of the national rule files is also included in the NEMSIS Data Dictionary. State rule documentation is not included in the NEMSIS Data Dictionary.

## Testing

The National rule files are built using a test-driven development approach. The SampleData/Schematron folder in the national public repository contains a sample XML document for each data set (StateDataSet, DEMDataSet, and EMSDataSet) that passes validation on all national rules as well as test case documents that are designed to fail each assert in the national Schematron rule files. The repository also contains a sample validation report for each test case in SVRL format.

Schematron rule authors MUST test their rules prior to submitting them to the NEMSIS TAC for publication. When submitting rule files to the NEMSIS TAC, rule authors MUST include a written attestation that the rules passed testing.

Rule authors SHOULD use a test case process similar to the one used by the NEMSIS TAC. Rule authors MAY also use a testing process that involves validating an existing batch of previously collected records using the new rule file.

The NEMSIS Schematron Development Kit (see p. 11) provides resources to support test-driven Schematron development based on the [XML Schema Unit Test \(SUT\)](#) project. The [XSpec](#) project is another option for test-driven Schematron development.

## Designing for Performance

Schematron rule authors should consider the impact that each rule has on validation processing time. The following suggestions may help. While they are specific to the XSLT-based reference implementation of Schematron (see Reference Implementation, p. 17), they may apply to other implementations as well:

- Phased validation requires the overhead of validating a document in multiple passes. Phases are resolved in the process of compiling the Schematron file into XSLT, so a different XSLT file is generated to execute each phase of validation.
- Abstract patterns, abstract rules, and diagnostic references are resolved during the process of compiling the Schematron file into XSLT. Abstracts are good for maintainability and code re-use, but they do not improve performance.

- Processing is performed in document order. Each element in the document is selected one at a time. Then, each active pattern is searched for the first rule whose context matches the selected element. The first rule that matches is then fired, and all contents within the rule are processed. Performance is better if related rules are grouped together within a pattern, the context of each rule is more restrictive, and the rules that are more likely to be matched occur earlier within a pattern. Schematron files with fewer patterns and fewer *fired* rules will generally perform more quickly.

Consider using a predefined set of records for benchmarking. Each time an element is added or modified in a Schematron file, re-run the benchmark to calculate the performance impact of the change.

## NEMSIS Schematron Development Kit

The NEMSIS TAC supplies a Schematron development kit. Its purpose is to help Schematron rule authors create well-designed Schematron files and validate and test them. It contains the following folders and resources:

- **rules:** Templates for building NEMSIS Schematron files
- **tests:** test suite files for test-driven Schematron development (see more information below).
- **utilities:** resources for compiling Schematron files using the Reference Implementation (see p. 17), validating Schematron files, generating Schematron file documentation, and building and verifying test cases.
  - **html:** an XSLT file and accompanying resources to generate documentation in HTML format from a Schematron file
  - **iso-schematron-xslt2:** Reference Implementation files
  - **schema:** RELAX NG and Schematron schemas for validating Schematron files
  - **test:** XSLT files for generating Schematron test case files and reports (see Testing, p. 10)

The Schematron Development Kit provides resources to support test-driven Schematron development. The resources are based on the XML Schema Unit Test (SUT) project (see <http://sut.sourceforge.net/>). The resources enable a person to add markup to a NEMSIS XML “test suite” document (DEMDataset or EMSDataset) to generate NEMSIS XML test case documents that demonstrate passing or failing specific Schematron validation rules.

The **tests** folder in the NEMSIS Schematron Development Kit contains two sample test suite documents: `SampleDEMDatasetSuite.xml` and `SampleEMSDatasetSuite.xml`. Both sample documents contain sample markup for creating test cases. Test cases are identified by additional elements in the SUT namespace, `http://www.powerware.com/nz/XMLSchemaUnitTest:`

### case

Creates a test case by including or excluding all elements within the case element.

**Parameters:**

Attribute	Description	Required
test	If with, include all nested elements that do not belong to the SUT namespace. If without, exclude all nested elements.	Yes
result	The expected validation result of the test case: pass, warning, error, or fatal.  If omitted, then this test case is not executed. This is usually because the id attribute has been specified and this test is referenced by another test (that is, this test is not a complete, independent, test).	No
desc	The test name or description.	Yes, unless the result attribute is omitted.
id	Identifies this test so it can be included into another test using the ref tag.	No
ref	Identifies a test (via the id attribute) that is included into this test. That is, the referenced test case is activated when this test case is the current test. Test case referencing is not recursive. Reference multiple tests using nested ref elements.  ref may also be specified as a child element. Where there is more than one other test to be referenced, multiple ref elements may be used.	No

**attribute**

Creates a test case by adding, modifying or deleting an attribute in the containing element.

**Parameters:**

Attribute	Description	Required
name	The name of the attribute being added, modified or deleted to form the test case.	Yes
value	The value of the attribute for the test case. If absent, the attribute is deleted.	No
result	The expected validation result of the test case: pass, warning, error, or fatal.  If omitted, then this test case is not executed. This is usually because the id attribute has been specified and this test is referenced by another test (that is, this test is not a complete, independent, test).	No

desc	The test name or description.	Yes, unless the result attribute is omitted.
id	Identifies this test so it can be included into another test using the ref tag.	No
ref	Identifies a test (via the id attribute) that is included into this test. That is, the referenced test case is activated when this test case is the current test. Test case referencing is not recursive. Reference multiple tests using nested ref elements.  ref may also be specified as a child element. Where there is more than one other test to be referenced, multiple ref elements may be used.	No

### ref

When nested inside a case or attribute element, allows that test to reference another test.

Each ref element identifies a test (via its id attribute) that is included into this test. That is, the referenced test case is activated when this test case is the current test. Test case referencing is not recursive. Reference multiple tests using multiple ref elements.

Once a Schematron schema and an accompanying test suite document have been developed, the CompileTests.bat script in the utilities folder of the Schematron Development Kit can be used to do the following:

1. Compile the Schematron schema into XSLT using the Reference Implementation (compiled files will be placed in the rules/compiled folder)
2. Generate individual test case documents from the test suite (files will be placed in an xml folder within a folder named after the test suite document, in the tests folder)
3. Validate each test case document using the NEMESIS XSD and the Schematron schema being developed (SVRL files will be placed in an svr1 folder within a folder named after the test suite document, in the tests folder)
4. Compile reports of the expected and actual results of each test case (text files starting with the names "Tests" and "Results" will be placed within a folder named after the test suite document, in the tests folder)

In summary, the NEMESIS Schematron Development Kit can be used to implement test-driven Schematron rule development in this way:

1. Start with a valid NEMESIS document (DEMDataset or EMSDataset) that will serve as the test suite and the basis for developing test cases.
2. As requirements for new Schematron rules are identified, use the SUT elements documented above to add markup to the test suite that generates test cases that are intended to pass or fail Schematron validation.

3. Build the new Schematron rules in a new or existing Schematron schema file.
4. Run `CompileTests.bat`. For parameters, provide the name of the Schematron schema file and the name of the test suite file.
5. Compare the “Tests...” and “Results...” reports to identify whether the rules worked as intended on the test cases. To troubleshoot problems, review the individual test case and validation result files in the `xml` and `svr1` folders.

The test-driven Schematron development approach provided by the NEMSIS TAC requires Jing (<https://relaxng.org/jclark/jing.html>), Saxon (<https://www.saxonica.com/>), and xmllint (<http://xmlsoft.org/>).

## Requirements and Guidelines for Systems that Perform Validation

### Schematron Validation within the Validation Workflow

All NEMSIS-compliant systems **MUST** perform XML Schema validation and Schematron validation:

- Systems that collect and send data **MUST** perform validation on each record at the time it is finalized.
- Systems that receive and process data **MUST** perform validation on each NEMSIS XML document that they receive.

Requirements for Schematron validation within the validation workflow are outlined in the [Web Services Guide](#) and the [Compliance documentation](#). The following points are reiterated here:

All systems:

- A system **MUST** perform XML Schema validation before Schematron validation. If XML Schema validation fails, Schematron validation **SHOULD NOT** be performed.
- A system **MUST** be capable of performing Schematron validation on a NEMSIS XML document using multiple Schematron files (such as national, state, and local Schematron files). A system **SHOULD** process national rules first.

Systems that collect and send data:

- A system that collects and sends data **MUST** validate each record (agency demographic report or patient care report) when it is finalized (when data entry is completed by an EMS professional) and any time it is subsequently modified. The system **MUST** present natural language assertion text to the user in order for action to be taken on failed assertions.

Systems that receive and process data:

- If XML Schema validation fails, the receiving system **SHOULD** reject the entire transaction.
- A receiving system **MUST** provide Schematron validation results using Schematron Validation Report Language (SVRL). (See Schematron Validation Report Language, p. 15.)

A Schematron file MAY define phases to support phased validation. A system MAY support selecting a phase for validation, as long as the system ensures that all patterns have been executed before a record is considered valid.

## Schematron Validation Report Language

A system that receives and processes data MUST provide Schematron results using Schematron Validation Report Language (SVRL). SVRL is described in an informative appendix to the normative standard. The NEMESIS TAC maintains a modified version of the RELAX NG schema for SVRL in the NEMESIS Schematron Development Kit. In particular, the following deviations from the informative appendix are allowed in the NEMESIS schema for SVRL:

- `fired-rule` MAY occur zero times.
- `diagnostic-reference` MAY contain XML elements.

An SVRL document MUST be valid according to the NEMESIS RELAX NG schema for SVRL. (When using valid NEMESIS Schematron files, the XSLT-based reference implementation of Schematron generates valid NEMESIS SVRL output.)

## Interpreting Severity Levels

Severity levels are defined using the `@role` attribute on `assert` and `report` elements.

A system MUST determine record validity based on severity levels in the following way:

- `[FATAL]`: If a record contains any `[FATAL]` problems, it is not valid.
- `[ERROR]`: If a record contains any `[ERROR]` problems, it is not valid.
- `[WARNING]`: If a record contains only `[WARNING]` problems, it is valid.

A web services transaction may include multiple records. A receiving system MUST behave as follows when receiving data, based on severity levels:

- `[FATAL]`: If a document contains any `[FATAL]` problems, it is not valid. The receiving system MUST reject the transaction.
- `[ERROR]`: If a document contains any `[ERROR]` problems, it is not valid. The receiving system MUST either reject the transaction or reject the records within the transaction that have `[ERROR]` problems while accepting the rest of the records.
- `[WARNING]`: If a document contains only `[WARNING]` problems, it is valid. The receiving system MUST accept the transaction.

## Using Diagnostic References

Every valid NEMESIS Schematron file contains a `diagnostic` named `nemesisDiagnostic`. Systems that perform validation MUST implement `nemesisDiagnostic`. (If using the XSLT-based reference implementation of Schematron, this is accomplished by setting the parameter `allow-foreign=true` on the final stage of transforming a Schematron file into XSLT.)

When a document fails Schematron validation, every failed-assert or successful-report contains a diagnostic-reference with @diagnostic set to nemsisDiagnostic, with the following structure, in the http://www.nemsis.org namespace. (*? means the element must occur zero or one time; \* means the element must occur zero or more times; + means the element must occur one or more times; no qualifier means the element must occur exactly one time.*)

## nemsisDiagnostic

record	Elements that uniquely identify the record with the problem
sState.01	State (only present in StateDataSet validation)
dAgency.01	EMS Agency Unique State ID
dAgency.02	EMS Agency Number
dAgency.04	EMS Agency State
eRecord.01?	Patient Care Report Number (only present in EMSDataSet validation)
UUID?	The UUID of the nearest ancestor element (in EMSDataSet validation, the UUID of the PatientCareReport if the problem occurred within a PatientCareReport; in DEMDataSet validation, the UUID of the nearest UUID-containing ancestor object, if applicable; not present in StateDataSet validation)
elements	Elements that the user may edit to resolve the problem
element*	
@location	XPath expression
( <i>any attributes that exist on the element</i> )?	
( <i>text</i> )?	The value of the element
elementsMissing	Missing elements that the user may edit to resolve the problem
element*	
@parentLocation	Xpath expression for the parent element
@name	XML name of element
( <i>no text</i> )	

The nemsisDiagnostic information enables a system to provide intelligent guidance to a user regarding which elements the user may need to edit in order to resolve a problem. Systems that collect and send data SHOULD process the diagnostic data and MAY highlight or provide links to the relevant elements of the agency demographic report or patient care report where the problem can be resolved.



## Improving Performance

Schematron validation can generate verbose output in SVRL. The following suggestions may help. While they are specific to the XSLT-based reference implementation of Schematron, they may apply to other implementations as well:

- For production environments, the parameter `generate-fired-rule=false` may be set on the final stage of transforming a Schematron file into XSLT. SVRL output generated by that XSLT will not contain any `fired-rule` elements, which usually constitute the bulk of an SVRL document; it will still contain `failed-assert` and `successful-report` elements in cases where there are validation problems. The SVRL document will be considerably smaller, especially when there are no validation problems.
- Systems that receive and process data MAY omit Schematron processing results in their response to the client if all records in the transaction were accepted.
- Because of the implementation of severity levels within NEMESIS Schematron validation, a NEMESIS document may trigger `failed-assert` or `successful-report` statements in SVRL validation results and yet be a valid document (in other words, all of the `failed-assert` and `successful-report` statements may be at the [WARNING] level). The following XPath query of the SVRL output tests whether the document contains any validation problems at the [FATAL] or [ERROR] levels: `//@role=(' [FATAL] ', ' [ERROR] ')`.

## Schematron Release Cycles

New versions of the national rule files are published in accordance with the NEMESIS release cycle.

Maintainers of state systems may choose to implement XML Schema changes quickly (to ensure that new data values are accepted in the state system) but defer Schematron changes until the end of the year (to ensure that the new rules have been implemented at the local level first).

If a state system implements new Schematron rules before a local system does, then a record that was found valid by a local system may be found invalid by the state system at the time of submission. The local system MUST communicate the error(s) to a user for resolution.

(See also Versioning, p. 9.)

## Reference Implementation

A reference implementation of Schematron is available at <https://github.com/Schematron/schematron>. It implements Schematron processing using a series of Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations (XSLT). NEMESIS Schematron files use XSLT2 as the required query binding and therefore require an XSLT processor that implements XSLT version 2.0. A schema-aware (SA) processor is not required.

The following sequence of commands illustrates how to transform a Schematron file into an XSLT file using the Saxon XSLT processor. (The commands are broken into multiple lines for clarity but should be typed on one line.)

```
[path/to/saxon/]Transform
-xsl:iso-schematron-xslt2\iso_dsd1_include.xsl
-s:[SchematronFile.sch]
-o:[SchematronFile1.sch]
```

```
[path/to/saxon/]Transform
  -xsl:iso-schematron-xslt2\iso_abstract_expand.xsl
  -s:[SchematronFile1.sch]
  -o:[SchematronFile2.sch]
```

```
[path/to/saxon/]Transform
  -xsl:iso-schematron-xslt2\iso_svrl_for_xslt2.xsl
  -s:[SchematronFile2.sch]
  -o:[SchematronFile.xsl]
  allow-foreign=true
```

The first command processes any Schematron include elements that are present in the Schematron file. (Note that this first step has already been performed on all Schematron files published by the NEMSIS TAC.)

The second command expands all instances of abstract patterns. (See *Designing for Performance*, p. 10.)

The third command transforms the Schematron file into an XSLT file. The `allow-foreign=true` parameter ensures that non-Schematron elements in NEMSIS Schematron files are preserved (which is important for NEMSIS diagnostics to work). Other parameters are available as well and are documented in `iso_svrl_for_xslt2.xsl`. In particular, the `generate-fired-rule=false` parameter may be used to generate an XSLT file that will not produce fired-rule statements in its SVRL output. (See *Improving Performance*, p. 17.) If using phases, it is important to note that phase is provided as a parameter at this stage of processing. For example, if a Schematron file defines multiple phases, and an implementation intends to execute validation in phases (rather than the default behavior of executing all patterns at once), then a separate XSLT file will be created for performing each phase of validation.

Implementations based on the reference implementation should execute all of the above commands upon receipt of a new Schematron file. They can then use the resulting XSLT file to validate NEMSIS XML documents. The following command performs Schematron validation on a NEMSIS XML document and generates results in SVRL:

```
[path/to/saxon/]Transform
  -xsl:[SchematronFile.xsl]
  -s:[NemsisXmlFile.xml]
  -o:[ValidationResults.svrl]
```

In SVRL generated by the reference implementation, `active-pattern` elements contain a `@document` attribute, which reports the location (URI or file path) of the XML document being validated. The NEMSIS TAC modified version of the RELAX NG schema for SVRL allows but does not require the `@document` attribute. For security, it may be advisable for implementations based on the reference implementation to omit or suppress the `@document` attribute (it can be done by modifying `iso_svrl_for_xslt2.xsl` or post-processing its output).

The examples above demonstrate how to use Saxon to execute the reference implementation of Schematron via a command line. However, implementers should use tools and application programming interfaces (APIs) that are available within their development environment. For example, Saxon provides Java and .Net APIs.

## Limitations

A combination of XML Schema validation and Schematron validation covers the majority of validation rules that may be identified in NEMESIS data. However, neither form of validation is well-suited for the following kinds of validation:

- *Authenticating the credentials of a web services client and determining whether the client is authorized to submit the data contained in a transaction.* These activities should be part of the security layer of a system that receives and processes data.
- *Validating data based on value lookups in large lists, such as GNIS, ICD-10, SNOMED, or RxNorm.* Schematron is capable of these activities, but it may not perform well on lower-performing hardware, and it may be difficult to keep the lists up-to-date in field installations. It is best suited for server processing.
- *Performing statistical or longitudinal analysis and validation.* For example, it is reasonable to assert that the gender mix within a large set of patient care reports should be close to 50/50; but since NEMESIS data may be transferred in batches as small as a single record, it is not practical to apply such an assertion to each transaction.

## Conclusions

Schematron facilitates rule-based validation capabilities on NEMESIS data. The requirements in this guide ensure consistency and compatibility among NEMESIS Schematron rule files and software that performs validation on NEMESIS data.