

MURI 2013 Review

Carl A. B. Pearson & Burton H. Singer

Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida

September 23, 2013

Overview

- ▶ development of simulation framework,

Overview

- ▶ development of simulation framework,
- ▶ intra-MURI projects,

Overview

- ▶ development of simulation framework,
- ▶ intra-MURI projects,
- ▶ extra-MURI projects

Simulation Framework

Basic problem: lots of graph metrics, so what?

Simulation Framework

Basic problem: lots of graph metrics, so what?

- ▶ Limited closure of inductive-deductive loop

Simulation Framework

Basic problem: lots of graph metrics, so what?

- ▶ Limited closure of inductive-deductive loop
- ▶ Experimental options restricted

Simulation Framework

Basic problem: lots of graph metrics, so what?

- ▶ Limited closure of inductive-deductive loop
- ▶ Experimental options restricted
- ▶ So: want simple tool to simulate mechanics

Simulation Framework

“Simple” == need piping connecting

Simulation Framework

“Simple” == need piping connecting

- ▶ initial graph generation

Simulation Framework

“Simple” == need piping connecting

- ▶ initial graph generation
- ▶ dynamic graph evolution

Simulation Framework

“Simple” == need piping connecting

- ▶ initial graph generation
- ▶ dynamic graph evolution
- ▶ “message” passing and observation model

Simulation Framework

“Simple” == need piping connecting

- ▶ initial graph generation
- ▶ dynamic graph evolution
- ▶ “message” passing and observation model
- ▶ agent-to-agent behavior

Simulation Framework

“Simple” == need piping connecting

- ▶ initial graph generation
- ▶ dynamic graph evolution
- ▶ “message” passing and observation model
- ▶ agent-to-agent behavior
- ▶ agent-to-broadcast (and vv.) behavior

Simulation Framework

“Simple” == need piping connecting

- ▶ initial graph generation
- ▶ dynamic graph evolution
- ▶ “message” passing and observation model
- ▶ agent-to-agent behavior
- ▶ agent-to-broadcast (and vv.) behavior
- ▶ interventions

Simulation Framework

“Simple” == need piping connecting

- ▶ initial graph generation
- ▶ dynamic graph evolution
- ▶ “message” passing and observation model
- ▶ agent-to-agent behavior
- ▶ agent-to-broadcast (and vv.) behavior
- ▶ interventions
- ▶ plus computational concerns (e.g., IO, cluster computation)

Simulation Framework Progress

- ≈ initial graph generation (on-going work w/ Ed/Edo re correlated interaction types)
- ✗ dynamic graph evolution
- ✓ “message” passing and observation model
- ✓ agent-to-agent behavior
- ✗ agent-to-broadcast (and vv.) behavior
- ✗ interventions
- ≈ plus computational concerns (e.g., IO, cluster computation)

Results Reported at Sunbelt

Worked w/ Edo & Ed to prepare basic simulated communications

- ▶ simple graph generation:
 - ▶ mixed interaction types
 - ▶ households into communities
 - ▶ clandestine manager + cliqued groups of subordinates
- ▶ simple message passing - “Good” vs. “Bad”, time-independent probabilities

Sample Population Graphs



show mixed interaction types

Sample Population Graphs



highlight particular interaction types

Sample Results Analysis



toss in sunbelt example

Aside on Results

Measured strategies as TPR and FPR (sensitivity and 1 - specificity) over time, with fixed strategy criteria.

ROC could capture TPR vs FPR over criteria – measure ROC scalar (e.g., discrimination) time evolution?

Even more complicated surface with several internal setpoints

Intra-MURI Projects

- ▶ Airoidi / Kao – implement more sophisticated conditional tie generators
- ▶ Lazer et al. – simulate firm-induced vs background political donations
- ▶ Shapiro – identification with evolving SIMs, and using telephony data to parametrize graph generation

Intra-MURI Project: Lazer et al. Collaboration

Brief Detailed Note

- ▶ sample a size distribution for firms,

¹We use a uniform sample; seems reasonable to assume that there would be assortativity within firms.

Intra-MURI Project: Lazer et al. Collaboration

Brief Detailed Note

- ▶ sample a size distribution for firms,
- ▶ until desired population size generated

¹We use a uniform sample; seems reasonable to assume that there would be assortativity within firms.

Intra-MURI Project: Lazer et al. Collaboration

Brief Detailed Note

- ▶ sample a size distribution for firms,
- ▶ until desired population size generated
- ▶ randomly assign political preferences (A vs. B)¹

¹We use a uniform sample; seems reasonable to assume that there would be assortativity within firms.

Extra-MURI Projects

- ▶ D. Bright, UNSW – agent/process-based models of meth production
- ▶ K. Carley, CMU – adding broadcast/mean-field perspectives to agent-models
- ▶ SAIC/L. Gerdes, USMA – geo-temporal hashing, specifically estimating between-observation distribution
- ▶ N. Roberts and S. Everton, NPGS – dynamic growth of Noordin network
- ▶ Assorted EPI – cryptic infections (equivalent to rumor spreading source ID), using large Montreal WiFi access metadata

Extra-MURI Projects, David Bright




meth network highlighting players of
different roles

Extra-MURI Projects, Kathleen Carley



ORA logo - unfortunately, other part is under NDA, though they are supposed to be used in tandem


Extra-MURI Projects, SAIC/Luke Gerdes



Missing
figure

mostly about applying kinematics +
diffusion theory to describe probability
distribution of multiple actors between
observations

Extra-MURI Projects, Nancy Roberts & Sean Everton



Missing
figure

Some of their Noordin results?

Extra-MURI Projects, EPI

Mostly focused on large, anonymized data set of Montreal municipal WiFi access.

Tracking spread of cryptic pathogen analogous to tracking rumor to source