

Simulating Meth Production Networks

Carl A. B. Pearson¹ Burton H. Singer¹ David A. Bright²

Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida¹

School of Social Sciences, University of New South Wales²



19 FEB 14



Pearson/Singer Supported by ARO Award
#W911NF-11-1-0036Z

Bright Supported by Colonial Foundation Trust



Overview

1. SNA Concerns Re Dark Networks

Overview

1. SNA Concerns Re Dark Networks
2. A Meth Bust Network

Overview

1. SNA Concerns Re Dark Networks
2. A Meth Bust Network
3. Simulating Production

Overview

1. SNA Concerns Re Dark Networks
2. A Meth Bust Network
3. Simulating Production
4. Next Steps

NETWORKS ARE NOT THE PHENOMENA

- ▶ What is? Context dependent.

NETWORKS ARE NOT THE PHENOMENA

- ▶ What is? Context dependent.
- ▶ What do we observe? Interaction events, environmental changes.

NETWORKS ARE NOT THE PHENOMENA

- ▶ What is? Context dependent.
- ▶ What do we observe? Interaction events, environmental changes.
- ▶ A network is a representation


NETWORKS ARE NOT THE PHENOMENA

- ▶ What is? Context dependent.
- ▶ What do we observe? Interaction events, environmental changes.
- ▶ A network is a representation
- ▶ For some cases: that's useful - can reliably observe events, translate to network, calculate property with predictive power relative to some future outcome

NETWORKS ARE NOT THE PHENOMENA


- ▶ What is? Context dependent.
- ▶ What do we observe? Interaction events, environmental changes.
- ▶ A network is a representation
- ▶ For some cases: that's useful - can reliably observe events, translate to network, calculate property with predictive power relative to some future outcome
- ▶ For “dark” networks - highly questionable

PROSECUTED METH PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION GROUP




Adapted from Bright, Hughes & Chalmers (2012)

PROSECUTED METH PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION GROUP




Adapted from Bright, Hughes & Chalmers (2012)

PROSECUTED METH PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION GROUP




Adapted from Bright, Hughes & Chalmers (2012)

PROSECUTED METH PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION GROUP



Adapted from Bright, Hughes & Chalmers (2012)

PROSECUTED METH PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION GROUP



SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

AKA, answer the last question formally

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

AKA, answer the last question formally

- ▶ Prosecution data hypothesize roles

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

AKA, answer the last question formally

- ▶ Prosecution data hypothesize roles
- ▶ use as basis for mechanical description

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

AKA, answer the last question formally

- ▶ Prosecution data hypothesize roles
- ▶ use as basis for mechanical description
- ▶ simulate that enterprise

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

AKA, answer the last question formally

- ▶ Prosecution data hypothesize roles
- ▶ use as basis for mechanical description
- ▶ simulate that enterprise
- ▶ compare measures – pseudoephedrine consumption, methamphetamine production, net profit rates – to available estimates

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

Relatively few parts, all written in Scala

`World` target meth consumption rate, pseudo cost

`Supplier, Retailer, Wholesaler` margins and purchase or delivery efficiencies

`Middleman` margin, transaction efficiency

`Cook` margin, pseudo conversion efficiency

SIMPLE ECONOMIC FORCES ONLY

Agents try to net their margin per iteration. Demand for meth inelastic. No economies of scale. No competition in roles.

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

Relatively few parts, all written in Scala

World target meth consumption rate, pseudo cost

Supplier, Retailer, Wholesaler margins and purchase or delivery efficiencies

Middleman margin, transaction efficiency

Cook margin, pseudo conversion efficiency

SIMPLE ECONOMIC FORCES ONLY

Agents try to net their margin per iteration. Demand for meth inelastic. No economies of scale. No competition in roles.

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

Relatively few parts, all written in Scala

`World` target meth consumption rate, pseudo cost

`Supplier, Retailer, Wholesaler` margins and purchase or delivery efficiencies

`Middleman` margin, transaction efficiency

`Cook` margin, pseudo conversion efficiency

SIMPLE ECONOMIC FORCES ONLY

Agents try to net their margin per iteration. Demand for meth inelastic. No economies of scale. No competition in roles.

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

Relatively few parts, all written in Scala

World target meth consumption rate, pseudo cost

Supplier, Retailer, Wholesaler margins and purchase or delivery efficiencies

Middleman margin, transaction efficiency

Cook margin, pseudo conversion efficiency

SIMPLE ECONOMIC FORCES ONLY

Agents try to net their margin per iteration. Demand for meth inelastic. No economies of scale. No competition in roles.

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

Relatively few parts, all written in Scala

World target meth consumption rate, pseudo cost

Supplier, Retailer, Wholesaler margins and purchase or delivery efficiencies

Middleman margin, transaction efficiency

Cook margin, pseudo conversion efficiency

SIMPLE ECONOMIC FORCES ONLY

Agents try to net their margin per iteration. Demand for meth inelastic. No economies of scale. No competition in roles.

SIMULATING METH PRODUCTION

Relatively few parts, all written in Scala

`World` target meth consumption rate, pseudo cost

`Supplier, Retailer, Wholesaler` margins and purchase or delivery efficiencies

`Middleman` margin, transaction efficiency

`Cook` margin, pseudo conversion efficiency

SIMPLE ECONOMIC FORCES ONLY

Agents try to net their margin per iteration. Demand for meth inelastic. No economies of scale. No competition in roles.

PARAMETER ESTIMATES[2][3][4][1]

Use kgs, AUS \$ and months as reference units

Meth produced per Pseudo 0.9

Meth Conversion Efficiency 0.5 - 1.0

Meth Consumption 0.02 mass per 1000 people per time
thing margins and purchase or delivery efficiencies

STEADY STATE RESULTS

Street Price X per dose vs observed Y per dose

Gang Takehome X per month vs observed Y per month

STEADY STATE RESULTS

Street Price X per dose vs observed Y per dose

Gang Takehome X per month vs observed Y per month

PERTURBATIONS

TODO series of background plots

Increase Pseudo Cost at time T

Increase Demand at time T

Increase Margins at time T

Decrease efficiencies at time T

TODO

Next Steps

ion Model translate simulate outputs via filter to observations

Dynamics intra- and intergroup competition, turnover of employees, customers

Outcomes single gang interventions, evolution of competing gangs

QUESTIONS?

talk and simulation source available at

<https://github.com/pearsonca/sunbelt-2014>

<https://github.com/pearsonca/scala-commsim>

REFERENCES



Australian Crime Commission et al.

Australian Crime Commission Illicit Drug Data Report, 2006-07.

Australian Crime Commission, 2008.



Wendy Gong, Alison Ritter, David Bright, and Chris Doran.

How profitable is methamphetamine dealing in australia?

Drug and alcohol dependence, 122(3):208–212, 2012.



Rebecca McKetin, Jennifer McLaren, Erin Kelly, Wayne Hall, and M Hickman.

Estimating the number of regular and dependent methamphetamine users in Australia.

National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre, 2005.



Alison Ritter, David Bright, and Wendy Gong.

Evaluating drug law enforcement interventions directed towards methamphetamine in Australia.

National Drug Law Enforcement Research Fund (NDLERF), 2012.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Meth Consumption

100 mg per dose; per capita: roughly 10 “regular” users (between weekly and monthly dose), roughly 10 “dependent” users

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

World Offers

historical bought / historical paid = expected price per unit

offer = sought drug quantity / expected price per unit

SUPPORTING MATERIAL

Retail Sale

historical bought / historical paid = average price per unit

target revenue per unit = average price per unit (1 + margin)

offer = sought drug quantity / expected price per unit