# The Effects of Chronic Undernutrition Over Generations on Rat Development<sup>1</sup>

STEPHEN ZAMENHOF AND EDITH van MARTHENS Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Department of Biological Chemistry, Mental Retardation Research Center, and Brain Research Institute, UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California 90024

**ABSTRACT** Experimental rats were fed 2/3 (10 g/24 hours) of ad libitum diet throughout pregnancy and post-weaning, thus far for six generations; their brain and body development was compared with those of controls fed ad libitum (15.5 g/24 hours). As expected from previous reports, neonatal F<sub>1</sub> offspring exhibited highly significant decreases in body weight, cerebral wet weight, cerebral DNA and cerebral protein. However, neonatal decreases were not greater in F2 through F6 than in F1 indicating that there was no cumulative effect of this undernutrition on offspring's parameters over generations. Maternal body weight at mating (90 days) and percentage of females that did not litter steadily decreased over generations. The observed high mortality in F<sub>1</sub> through F<sub>6</sub> and the resulting strong natural selection in favor of best mothers and weanlings could explain these findings. The phenomena contributing to high mortality are multiple and involve maternal factors during pregnancy and before weaning, as well as offspring factors. J. Nutr. 108: 1719–1723, 1978.

INDEXING KEY WORDS chronic undernutrition undernutrition, chronic brain development undernutrition over generations

The effects of acute maternal malnutrition on body and brain development of the offspring in one generation have been by now the subject of many studies. However, the effects of maternal malnutrition over several generations did not attract the attention which this problem deserves in view of its implications for the incidence of human malnutrition. Previous publications from our laboratory (1-3) as well as the work of Cowley and Griesel (4) have demonstrated that brain underdevelopment caused by prenatal malnutrition in females of one generation  $(F_1)$ , can be transmitted to the next generation  $(F_2)$ , even in absence of postnatal malnutrition of F<sub>1</sub>. It was suggested (1-3) that this effect was due to various defects [kidneys (5), endo-crines (6)] in the F<sub>1</sub> females, caused by prenatal malnutrition; these F<sub>1</sub> females then became defective mothers for F<sub>2</sub>. With reference to *chronic* (continued) malnutrition over several generations, in a series of papers Stewart (7) has reported that the birth weight of offspring of rats fed a marginally low protein diet is not lower in subsequent generations than it is in the first generation. The values of brain parameters throughout generations were not studied.

The purpose of our work was to reassess the problem of chronic malnutrition through generations, using a somewhat different dietary regime, and studying in particular brain parameters in consecutive genera-

Received for publication January 5, 1978.

<sup>1</sup> This study was supported by Grants HD-05615, HD-08927, and AG-00162 from the National Institutes of Health, U.S. Public Health Service. A short abstract of this work has appeared (Zamenhof, S. & van Marthens, E. (1977) The effects of chronic undernutrition on rat brain development. Federation Proc. 36, 1108).

TABLE 1
Assessment of newborn rats following chronic undernutrition<sup>1</sup>

| Group   | Offspring generation      | Number <sup>2</sup> | Body<br>weight        | Cerebrum            |                           |                 |
|---------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|         |                           |                     |                       | Weight              | DNA                       | Protein         |
|         |                           |                     | g                     | g                   | mg                        | mg              |
| Control |                           | 429                 | $6.04 \pm 0.54$       | $0.177 \pm 0.013$   | $0.578 \pm 0.048$         | $8.72 \pm 1.39$ |
| Exper.  | $\mathbf{F_1}$            | 22                  | 5.05±0.5°             | 0.147±0.013°        | 0.470±0.048°              | $7.79\pm0.96$   |
|         | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{z}}$ | 18                  | $4.88 \pm 1.09^a$     | $0.148\pm0.019^{2}$ | $0.484 \pm 0.075^{\circ}$ | $7.71 \pm 1.40$ |
|         | $\mathbf{F_a}$            | 37                  | $4.85\pm0.66^{\circ}$ | $0.148\pm0.015^a$   | 0.547±0.024°              | $7.57 \pm 1.22$ |
|         | $\mathbf{F_4}$            | 36                  | $4.98\pm0.55^{\circ}$ | 0.139±0.011°        | 0.536±0.027°              | $7.88 \pm 0.79$ |
|         | $\mathbf{F}_{5}$          | 31                  | $5.54 \pm 0.68^{a}$   | 0.150±0.017°        | 0.513±0.046°              | 8.16±0.98       |
|         | F.                        | 40                  | 5.79±0.43b            | 0.160±0.013a        | 0.556±0.030°              | $8.73 \pm 0.72$ |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mean $\pm$ sp. <sup>2</sup> Number of rats examined. <sup>a</sup> Significant at P < 0.001 level with respect to control. <sup>b</sup> Significant at P < 0.01 level with respect to control.

tions. In this paper we report the studies on newborn rats; the study of older rats and of rehabilitation after chronic malnutrition will be reported at a later date.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The rats and their nutrition regimes were similar to those described in the previous work (1-3, 8, 9). The rats were Sprague-Dawley derived, and were bred in our closed colony for 38 generations. Virgin females 3 months old and weighing 200 to 260 g were mated; the presence of a vaginal plug was considered day 0 of pregnancy. The control group C was fed ad libitum (15.5 g/24 hours) a pelleted diet 2 containing 20.5% protein. The experimental rats were fed 2/3 or 10 g/24 hours of ad libitum diet, which is a rather mild protein/energy malnutrition. This feeding in experimental group was started at the time of mating of F<sub>0</sub> generation, and continued throughout pregnancy and post-weaning.3 In preliminary experiments we found that malnutrition of this kind during nursing may result in 100% mortality, and therefore the mothers and offspring were fed ad libitum during the period 0 to 60 days in F<sub>1</sub>, and 0 to 15 days in all subsequent generations. At birth or at various times thereafter the rats were weighed and decapitated; the brains were then immediately removed, weighed, frozen and subsequently used for analysis. The "brain", as dissected, was cerebrum without cerebellum and olfactory lobes.

DNA was determined by a modification of the diphenylamine colorimetric method (10, 11); protein was determined by a modification of the Lowry colorimetric method (12).

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results for newborns  $F_1$  to  $F_6$  are represented in table 1. As can be seen here, and as expected from our previous reports, even this relatively mild malnutrition produced significant decreases in neonatal body weight, cerebral weight, cerebral DNA, and cerebral protein. This decrease is highly significant even in  $F_1$  although undernutrition was started only as late as at mating. What is of interest is that there was no cumulative effect of this chronic undernutrition over six generations.

Table 2 represents postnatal body weight gains for the offspring. The experimental rats ( $F_1$  to  $F_5$ ) lag behind the controls. At 21 days,  $F_2$  to  $F_5$  show more severely decreased body weights than  $F_1$ . The mean body weights of the female rats at day 0 of pregnancy were: Controls  $219 \pm 22$  g,  $F_0$   $226 \pm 22$  g,  $F_1$   $204 \pm 24$  g,  $F_2$   $198 \pm 21$  g,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pelleted diet (2882 calories/1,000 g) was Wayne Mousebreeder Block, supplied by Allied Mills, Chicago, 111

Ill.
The weaning in our colony is at 30 days.

| TABLE 2                |                     |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| Postnatal body weights | <b>(</b> <i>g</i> ) |

|         |                           |        | Age, days <sup>1</sup>        |                          |                           |                                 |
|---------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Group   | Generation                | Number | 1                             | 7                        | 10                        | 21                              |
| Control |                           | 130    | 6.9 ±0.5 <sup>2</sup>         | 15.5±2.3                 | 21.0±4.1                  | 63.4± 8.7                       |
| Exper.  | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | 211    | $5.05\pm0.5\ (-27)^{a}$       | $12.1\pm2.8\ (-22)^a$    | 18.6±4.3<br>(-11)*        | 40.4±13.8<br>(-36) <sup>a</sup> |
|         | $\mathbf{F_2}$            | 104    | $5.9 \pm 1.0 \ (-14)^a$       | $12.4 \pm 3.1 \ (-20)^a$ | $17.6 \pm 4.0$ $(-16)^a$  | $33.2 \pm 13.1$ $(-48)^a$       |
|         | $\mathbf{F}_{3}$          | 240    | $5.6 \pm 0.5 \ (-19)^{a}$     | $14.1\pm2.4 \ (-9)^a$    | $19.8 \pm 3.8 \ (-6)^{b}$ | $35.1 \pm 7.1$ $(-45)^{\circ}$  |
|         | $\mathbf{F_4}$            | 210    | $5.0 \pm 0.6 \ (-27)^{\circ}$ | $11.9\pm2.1 \ (-23)^a$   | 17.3±3.6<br>(-18)*        | $32.3 \pm 7.2$ $(-49)^a$        |
|         | $\mathbf{F}_{5}$          | 358    | $5.7 \pm 0.6 \ (-17)^a$       | 14.2±3.6<br>(-8)°        | $21.4 \pm 4.3 \ (+2)$     | 33.0± 7.6<br>(−48) <sup>a</sup> |

¹ In parentheses: difference to control, in percent of control. ² Mean $\pm$ sp. ° Significant at P < 0.001 level with respect to control. ° Significant at P < 0.01 level with respect to control.

 $F_3$  198  $\pm$  19 g,  $F_4$  198  $\pm$  22 g,  $F_5$  225  $\pm$  25 g. Thus, in  $F_1$  to  $F_4$  the rats had a decreased energy requirement for basal metabolism since their adult body weight did not reach normal control weight. That a natural selective process is favoring rats with a better food utilization is suggested by the results in the  $F_5$  generation, in which the body weight has reached control values, in spite of five generations of chronic undernutrition.

Table 3 represents energy requirements for basal metabolism of the control and experimental mothers. Because of lower maternal body weight, the actual energy requirement was higher in  $F_0$  than in  $F_1$  and in subsequent generations (except in  $F_5$ );

this, in further generations the mother becomes less handicapped.

The change in some other parameters throughout generations is shown in table 4. The percentage of females that did not litter steadily decreased from  $F_0$  to  $F_4$ . On the other hand, litter size has decreased after maternal generation  $F_0$ , in agreement with reports on wild animal populations during prolonged periods of starvation; this results in some improvement in birth weight. The mortality before weaning as compared with the control was very high. Similar results were obtained by Stewart et al. (13). The high mortality and the resulting strong natural selection in favor of best mothers and best weanlings could par-

TABLE 3

Energy requirements for basal metabolism of control and experimental mothers

| Food intake           | Generation  | Newborns<br>per litter   | Energy <sup>1</sup> required for<br>mother and her fetuses | Total excess <sup>2</sup>  |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| kcal                  |   |  | kcal   | kcal   |
| 966<br>592 in 22 days | (47) <sup>3</sup><br>F <sub>0</sub> (44) <sup>3</sup> | $9.2\pm2.3$ $10.0\pm2.6$   | 532<br>504   | <b>434</b><br>88   |
| •                     | F <sub>1</sub> (68)<br>F <sub>2</sub> (32)            | $8.3\pm1.6 \\ 8.4\pm1.9$   | 479<br>482   | 113<br>110   |
|                       | F <sub>4</sub> (43)<br>F <sub>4</sub> (46)            | $7.9 \pm 2.3$  | 475  | 119<br>117<br>73   |
|                       | kcal<br>986   | kcal  966 (47) <sup>3</sup> 592 in 22 days  F <sub>0</sub> (44) <sup>3</sup> F <sub>1</sub> (68) F <sub>2</sub> (32) F <sub>3</sub> (43) | Food intake Generation per litter    kcal   966            | Food intake         Generation         per litter         mother and her fetuses           kcal         966 $(47)^3$ $9.2\pm2.3$ $532$ 592 in 22 days $F_0$ (44) <sup>3</sup> $10.0\pm2.6$ $504$ $F_1$ (68) $8.3\pm1.6$ $479$ $F_2$ (32) $8.4\pm1.9$ $482$ $F_2$ (43) $8.1\pm2.7$ $473$ $F_4$ (46) $7.9\pm2.3$ $475$ |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Energy requirement for basal metabolism calculated from Kleiber's formula  $70 \times (W)$ , in kcal/day, where W is body weight in Kg. <sup>2</sup> Excess of food intake over requirement, in kcal. <sup>3</sup> Numbers of litters.

TABLE 4
Outcome of pregnancy and mortality over generations following chronic undernutrition

| Group   | Generation          |                        | Mothers that      |                        |           | Mortality<br>between days |       |
|---------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|
|         | Mother <sup>1</sup> | Offspring <sup>1</sup> | did not<br>litter | Newborns per<br>female | Stillborn | 0-30                      | 30-90 |
|         | -                   |                        | %                 |                        | %         | 9                         | %     |
| Control | (60)                | (429)                  | 23                | 9.2                    | 2.6       | 18                        | 22    |
| Exper.  | $F_0$ (77)          |                        | 43                | 10.0                   |           |                           |       |
|         | F <sub>1</sub> (88) | $F_1$ (440)            | 23                | 8.3                    | 1.8       | 42                        | 26    |
|         |                     | F <sub>2</sub> (563)   |                   |                        | 2.1       | 66                        | 9     |
|         | $F_2$ (37)          | F <sub>3</sub> (270)   | 14                | 8.4                    | 2.5       | 94                        | 20    |
|         | F <sub>2</sub> (51) | F3 (210)               | 16                | 8.1                    | 2.0       | 34                        | 39    |
|         |                     | F <sub>4</sub> (346)   | •                 | 7.0                    | 4.3       | 50                        | 0     |
|         | F <sub>4</sub> (48) | F <sub>5</sub> (358)   | 4                 | 7.9                    | 4.5       | 51                        | 28    |
|         | F <sub>5</sub> (52) | - '                    | 6                 | 8.3                    |           | -                         | _0    |
|         |                     | F <sup>6</sup> (398)   |                   |                        | 10.2      | 64                        |       |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Generation and total number of rats.

tially explain some of the findings such as near normal mortality rates during age 30 to 90 days and the elimination of mothers that do not maintain pregnancy.

All these results indicate that in the offspring of undernourished mothers there is a sharp decline in the first generation, but no progressive deterioration in future generations. High mortality and the resulting

TABLE 5
Survivors in experimental groups
through generations

| Generation                  | Age      | Survivors <sup>1</sup> |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| F,                          | Newborns | 80                     |
|                             | 30 days  | 58                     |
|                             | 90 days  | 55                     |
| F <sub>2</sub>              | Newborns | 49                     |
| -                           | 30 days  | 20                     |
|                             | 90 days  | 24                     |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{a}}$   | Newborns | 24                     |
| •                           | 30 days  | 19                     |
|                             | 90 days  | 15                     |
| F.                          | Newborns | 14                     |
| _ •                         | 30 days  | 9                      |
|                             | 90 days  | 11                     |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ | Newborns | 11                     |
| •                           | 30 days  | 7                      |
|                             | 90 days  | 6                      |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  In percentage of control animals surviving to the same stage.

strong natural selection was offered as a partial explanation of this phenomenon. The other trends are: decrease in body weight of the mother, with concomitant decrease in basal metabolism requirement and saving on food requirement; and possible adaptive phenomena such as better food utilization, including better intestinal absorption and lower destruction of essential amino acids, both known to occur during starvation.

The overall phenomenon is actually quite complex, because of the multitude of factors involved. They include:

## Maternal factors

During pregnancy. Some females do not litter, presumably embryos or fetuses were resorbed; in litters carried to term there is an increased incidence of still births.

Before weaning. Unfit females die, and so do their litters; surviving females partially cannibalize their litter; surviving weak neonates do not get enough milk and die of starvation.

Offspring factors. Between days 30 and 90 young rats that are weaker or have less efficient metabolism die; undernourished rats have higher susceptibility to diseases and therefore higher mortality rates.

Our calculations are based on the actual data, such as proportions of rats that did not litter, litter size, percentage of still-

borns, neonatal mortality rates, etc.: the hypothetical losses at specific developmental stages if there had been 100 control and 100 experimental F<sub>0</sub> females mated are shown in table 5.

The data indicate that the highest losses occurred: First, in F<sub>0</sub>, because of females that did not litter (20% loss); then before weaning of F<sub>1</sub> generation (22% loss), and also before weaning of F<sub>2</sub> generation (29% loss). In F<sub>5</sub> at 90 days, the numbers of survivors in the experimental group was only 6% of that in the controls.

It appears, then, that a multitude of factors contribute to strong natural selection that is responsible for lack of progressive deterioration; nevertheless, the undernourished population can be readily distinguished from the normal, if only on the basis of its smaller size and higher mortality.

It is of interest that the effect of chronic administration of tritiated water through generations gives essentially similar picture no cumulative effect).4

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors wish to thank Dr. David L. Joftes for suggestion of the problem and for scientific discussions; they also wish to thank Facie Miles, Cathy Firestone, Juanita Garcia, and Haina Tu for expert technical assistance.

### LITERATURE CITED

1. Zamenhof, S. & van Marthens, E. (1977) The effects of chronic undernutrition on rat brain development. Federation Proc. 36, 1108.

 Zamenhof, S., van Marthens, E. & Grauel, L. (1971) DNA (cell number) in neonatal Zamenhot, S., van Marthens, E. & Grauel, L. (1971) DNA (cell number) in neonatal brain: Second generation (F<sub>2</sub>) alteration by maternal (F<sub>0</sub>) dietary protein restriction. Science 172, 850-851.
 Zamenhof, S., van Marthens, E. & Grauel, L. (1972) DNA (cell number) and protein in rat brain. Second generation (F<sub>2</sub>) alteration by maternal (F<sub>0</sub>) dietary protein restriction. Nutr. Metab. 14, 262-270.
 Cowley, I. I. & Griesel, R. D. (1966) The

4. Cowley, J. J. & Griesel, R. D. (1966) The effect on growth and behavior of rehabilitating

first and second generation low protein rats.

Anim. Behav. 14, 506-517.

5. Zeman, F. J. (1968) Effect of maternal protein restriction on the kidney of the newborn young of rats. J. Nutr. 94, 111-116.

6. Stephan, J. K., Chow, B., Frohman, L. A. & Chow, B. F. (1971) Relationship of growth hormone to the growth retardation associated with maternal dietary restriction. J. Nutr. 101. with maternal dietary restriction. J. Nutr. 101, 1453-1458.

Stewart, R. J. C. (1975) Long continued marginal protein-energy deficiency. In: Nutri-tion and Mental Functions (Serban, G., ed.),

- pp. 13-31, Plenum Press, New York.

  8. Zamenhof, S., van Marthens, E. & Margolis,
  F. L. (1968) DNA (cell number) and protein in neonatal brain: Alteration by maternal dietary protein restriction. Science 160, 322-
- Zamenhof, S., van Marthens, E. & Grauel, L. (1971) DNA (cell number) and protein in neonatal rat brain: Alteration by timing of maternal dietary protein restriction. J. Nutr. 101, 1265-1270
- 101, 1265-1270.
   Zamenhof, S., Bursztyn, H., Rich, K. & Zamenhof, P. J. (1964) The determination of deoxyribonucleic acid and of cell number in brain. J. Neurochem. 11, 505-509.
   Zamenhof, S., Grauel, L., van Marthens, E. & Stillinger, R. A. (1972) Quantitative determination of DNA in preserved brains and brain sections. J. Neurochem. 19, 61-68.

brain sections. J. Neurochem. 19, 61-68.

12. Lowry, O. M., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L. & Randall, R. J. (1951) Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol.

Chem. 193, 265-275.

Stewart, R. J. C., Preece, R. F. & Sheppard, H. G. (1975) Twelve generations of marginal protein deficiency. Br. J. Nutr. 33, 233-253.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Zamenhof, S. & van Marthens, E. (1977) Effect of chronic ingestion of tritiated water on prenatal brain development. Neuroscience Abstracts 3, 124.