

The Brownian Split method of Sampling Zero-free Intervals of a Brownian Bridge

Peter E. Creasey
ORCID:0000-0002-4049-4928

November 2024, last update Apr. 2025

1 Introduction

The zero-crossings of Brownian motion have long been of interest as a proxy for the time distribution of real-world events. Since the zeros are not isolated, however, direct simulation is usually only performed for a first hitting time (e.g. a Lévy distribution), or the last zero in an interval (an arcsine law).

Here we describe the Brownian “split”, which trisects a Brownian bridge into a bridge, excursion and bridge via sampling the last zero before the midpoint, and the first zero after. Such a procedure can be recursively applied until the intervals containing zeros (the bridges) have been trimmed to any desired length.

W.l.o.g. let us consider the standard bridge on the unit interval $W_t, t \in [0, 1]$ whose endpoints are constrained to be $W_0 = W_1 = 0$ and define τ_+, τ_- to be the first zero after the midpoint and the last zero before, respectively. The main result here is that a method of jointly sampling τ_+, τ_- is given by the arcsine-like law,

$$\tau_+ = \frac{1}{1 + \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}U_1\right)} \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \quad (1)$$

$$\tau_- = \frac{U_2^2 \tau_+}{2\tau_+ + U_2^2 - 1} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (2)$$

where U_1, U_2 are uniformly distributed random variables over $(0, 1)$.



Figure 2: Example distributions of zeros (intervals recursively split until they are $< 0.001\%$ of the original interval) using the sampling method in Eqns (1-2)




Figure 1: Illustration of finding the last zero crossing (τ_-) before the midpoint, and the first crossing after (τ_+)

Some points of note are

- By construction W_t forms a Brownian bridge over $[0, \tau_-]$, a positive/negative Brownian excursion over $[\tau_-, \tau_+]$, and then a Brownian bridge over $[\tau_+, 1]$.
- The crossings τ_- and $1 - \tau_+$ are identically distributed (but not independent). The median τ_+ is $2/3$, and the mean is $\sqrt{1/2}$.
- The Pearson correlation between τ_- and τ_+ is $-4/3 + \sqrt{8/9} \approx -0.39052$. This negative correlation can be intuitively understood by the clustering of zeros: a zero shortly before the midpoint (i.e. a large τ_-) significantly increases the chances of a zero shortly after the midpoint (a small τ_+), and vice-versa.

1.1 Brownian Split derivation

We derive the above results, with a more general splitting point $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The approach here is to introduce the auxiliary variable $w = W_\alpha$, and then integrate over all w .

Let us define τ_+ to be the first crossing of zero after α . The barrier-hitting probability density of a walk starting at $W_\alpha = w$ *without* the constraint at $W_1 = 0$ is given by the standard

$$\rho(\tau_+ | W_\alpha = w) = \frac{|w|}{\tau_+ - \alpha} \phi(w; \tau_+ - \alpha) . \quad (3)$$

where $\phi(x; \sigma^2)$ is the density of the normal distribution of zero mean and variance σ^2 . The density of arrival at $W_1 = 0$ is given by $\rho(W_1 = 0 | W_\alpha = w) = \phi(w; 1 - \alpha)$, and similarly $\rho(W_1 = 0 | \tau_+, W_\alpha = w) = \phi(0; 1 - \tau_+)$ (using the Markov property), and so by Bayes' theorem for densities we have

$$\rho(\tau_+ | W_\alpha = w, W_1 = 0) = \frac{\rho(W_1 = 0 | \tau_+, W_\alpha = w) \rho(\tau_+ | W_\alpha = w)}{\rho(W_1 = 0 | W_\alpha = w)} \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{|w|(1 - \alpha)}{(\tau_+ - \alpha)(1 - \tau_+)} \phi\left(w; \frac{(1 - \alpha)(\tau_+ - \alpha)}{1 - \tau_+}\right) . \quad (5)$$

Noting that the probability density of w is given by

$$\rho(w | W_1 = 0) = \phi(w; \alpha(1 - \alpha)) \quad (6)$$

(note we assume the condition $W_0 = 0$ for brevity) we can combine with (5) to find the joint probability density of τ_+, w as

$$\rho(\tau_+, w | W_1 = 0) = \frac{|w|}{\tau_+ - \alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1 - \tau_+)\tau_+}} \phi\left(w; \frac{(\tau_+ - \alpha)\alpha}{\tau_+}\right) \quad (7)$$

and we can integrate over w as

$$\rho(\tau_+ | W_1 = 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dw \rho(\tau_+, w | W_1 = 0) \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi\tau_+} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{(1 - \tau_+)(\tau_+ - \alpha)}} . \quad (9)$$

(As an exercise for the reader, if we remove the Brownian bridge constraint $W_1 = 0$ and repeat this process we recover a Lévy arcsine law [1]). Integrating we can find the CDF

$$\text{CDF}(\tau_+ | W_1 = 0) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_+ - \alpha}{(1 - \alpha)\tau_+}} \quad (10)$$

which can be inverted to find a sampling formula

$$\tau_+ = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + (1 - \alpha) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} U_1\right)} \in (\alpha, 1) \quad (11)$$

with U_1 a uniformly distributed random variable over $(0, 1)$. The mean, variance and median are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_+] = \sqrt{\alpha} \quad (12)$$

$$\text{Var}[\tau_+] = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\alpha} (1 - \sqrt{\alpha})^2 \quad (13)$$

$$\text{Med}[\tau_+] = \frac{2\alpha}{1 + \alpha} . \quad (14)$$

Now by symmetry w.r.t. time we find for the distribution of τ_- of the last zero before α ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_-] = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \quad (15)$$

$$\text{Var}[\tau_-] = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \alpha} (1 - \sqrt{1 - \alpha})^2 \quad (16)$$

$$\text{Med}[\tau_-] = \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha}. \quad (17)$$

and with (5) we can find

$$\rho(\tau_- | W_\alpha = w) = \frac{|w|\alpha}{\tau_-(\alpha - \tau_-)} \phi\left(w; \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \tau_-)}{\tau_-}\right). \quad (18)$$

and combine with (7) to give the joint density

$$\rho(\tau_-, w, \tau_+) = \frac{w^2}{2\pi} \frac{\phi\left(w; \frac{(\alpha - \tau_-)(\tau_+ - \alpha)}{\tau_+ - \tau_-}\right)}{(\alpha - \tau_-)(\tau_+ - \alpha)\sqrt{\tau_-(1 - \tau_+)(\tau_+ - \tau_-)}} \quad (19)$$

(we omit the condition $W_1 = 0$ for brevity) and integrating over w

$$\rho(\tau_-, \tau_+) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\tau_-(1 - \tau_+)(\tau_+ - \tau_-)^3}} \quad (20)$$

then by Bayes (and the Markov property)

$$\rho(\tau_- | \tau_+) = \frac{\rho(\tau_-, \tau_+)}{\rho(\tau_+ | W_1 = 0)} = \frac{\tau_+}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_+ - \alpha}{\alpha(\tau_+ - \tau_-)^3 \tau_-}} \quad (21)$$

and integrate to the c.d.f.

$$\text{CDF}(\tau_- | \tau_+) = \sqrt{\frac{(\tau_+ - \alpha)\tau_-}{\alpha(\tau_+ - \tau_-)}} \quad (22)$$

to give a (conditional) sampling formula

$$\tau_- = \frac{\alpha U_2^2 \tau_+}{\alpha U_2^2 + \tau_+ - \alpha} \in (0, \alpha). \quad (23)$$

For the correlation we must perform a (fairly unpleasant) integral to find the moment

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_- \tau_+] = \frac{1}{3} \left(2 + \alpha^{3/2} - (\alpha + 2) \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \right) \quad (24)$$

which gives Pearson correlation coefficient

$$\text{Corr}[\tau_-, \tau_+] = \frac{2}{3} \frac{(1 - \sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{1 - \alpha})}{\alpha^{1/4} (1 - \alpha)^{1/4}} \quad (25)$$

By splitting at the midpoint $\alpha = 1/2$ into Eqs. (11),(23) we recover the formulae in Eqs. (1-2).

2 Longest interval between zeros

An interesting application of (20) is in terms of the longest interval between zeros of the Brownian bridge, a problem studied by [2, 3]. Denoting the longest the longest interval l , then if $l > 1/2$ then it is straightforward from the above analysis that this can only occur iff $\tau_+ - \tau_- > 1/2$, and hence the cumulative probability is given by

$$\mathbb{P}(l < r) = 2 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad r \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \quad (26)$$

a result known to Rosén (via [2]).

For smaller values of r the cumulative probability is only piecewise analytic [3], on the intervals $r^{-1} \in (n, n+1]$. Defining

$$F_n(r) = \mathbb{P}(l < r), \quad r^{-1} \in (n, n+1] \quad (27)$$

then the F_n can be written in terms of Wendel's factorial moments M_n^* ,

$$F_n(r) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k M_k^*(r). \quad (28)$$

where $M_n^*(r)$ is the expectation of $\binom{N(r)}{n}$, where $N(r)$ is the number of zero free intervals of length $> r$. The first of these is given by $M_0^*(r) = 1$ and recurse to larger n via Laplace transform,

$$M_{n+1}^*(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{nr}^{1-r} \frac{dx}{1-x} M_n^*\left(\frac{r}{x}\right) \sqrt{\frac{1-r-x}{rx}}, & 0 < r < \frac{1}{n+1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

(note the lower limit in [2] is given as zero rather than nr , however $M_n^*(r)$ zero for $nr < 1$, so this is equivalent).

Application of this recurrence gives us straightforward expressions for

$$M_1^*(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} - 1 \quad (30)$$

$$M_2^*(r) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\frac{\sqrt{1-2r}}{r} + \cos^{-1} \frac{r}{1-r} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{r}} \cos^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{r}{1-r}} \right] \quad (31)$$

after which the integrals are problematic.

An alternative approach to finding the cumulative probability of the longest interval is to recursively subdivide Brownian bridges via Eqns. (20). Taking l_- to be the longest interval in $[0, \tau_-]$, and l_+ to be the longest interval in $[\tau_+, 1]$, we may write

$$F_n(r) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}-r}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau_- \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau_-+r} d\tau_+ \mathbb{P}\left(l_- < \frac{r}{\tau_-}\right) \mathbb{P}\left(l_+ < \frac{r}{1-\tau_+}\right) \rho(\tau_-, \tau_+) \quad (32)$$

where the integration domain is restricted, since $\tau_+ - \tau_- > r$ excludes the longest interval being shorter than r .

Eqn. (32) may be decomposed as in the diagrams in Fig. 3 for even $2n$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2n}(r) = & 2 \int_{nr}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau_- \int_{1-nr}^{\tau_-+r} d\tau_+ F_n\left(\frac{r}{\tau_-}\right) F_{n-1}\left(\frac{r}{1-\tau_+}\right) \rho(\tau_-, \tau_+) + \\ & \int_{1-(n+1)r}^{nr} d\tau_- \int_{1-nr}^{\tau_-+r} d\tau_+ F_{n-1}\left(\frac{r}{\tau_-}\right) F_n\left(\frac{r}{1-\tau_+}\right) \rho(\tau_-, \tau_+) + \\ & \int_{nr}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau_- \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1-nr} d\tau_+ F_n\left(\frac{r}{\tau_-}\right) F_n\left(\frac{r}{1-\tau_+}\right) \rho(\tau_-, \tau_+) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$




Figure 3: Diagrams of the decomposition of the integrand in Eqn. (32) as a function of $1 - \tau_-$ and τ_+ . *Left* is the even recurrence in Eqn. (33), *right* the odd recurrences in Eqn. (34).

where we take the “natural” convention $F_0(r) = 1$. Similarly for odd $2n + 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{2n+1}(r) &= \int_{nr}^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau_- \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\min(\tau_-+r, 1-nr)} d\tau_+ F_n\left(\frac{r}{\tau_-}\right) F_n\left(\frac{r}{1-\tau_+}\right) \rho(\tau_-, \tau_+) + \\
 &\quad 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}-r}^{nr} d\tau_- \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau_-+r} d\tau_+ F_{n-1}\left(\frac{r}{\tau_-}\right) F_n\left(\frac{r}{1-\tau_+}\right) \rho(\tau_-, \tau_+)
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

These integrals allow us to go slightly further than the Laplace transform approach, giving

$$F_1(r) = 2 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{r}} \tag{35}$$

$$F_2(r) = 2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} + \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{r} \sqrt{1-2r} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{r}} \cos^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{r}{1-r}} + \cos^{-1} \frac{r}{1-r} \right] \tag{36}$$

$$F_3(r) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{r}} + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{8}{r} \sqrt{1-2r} - \frac{3r+1}{r\sqrt{r}} + 8 \cos^{-1} \frac{r}{1-r} - \frac{16}{\sqrt{r}} \cos^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{r}{1-r}} \right] \tag{37}$$

before the we can integrate no further. Interestingly the correspondence implies the 3rd factorial moment will be (via Eqn. 28)

$$M_3^*(r) = F_2(r) - F_3(r) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{r}} - 1 + \frac{3r+1}{\pi r^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{6}{\pi} \left[\frac{\sqrt{1-2r}}{r} - \sin^{-1} \frac{r}{1-r} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{r}} \sin^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{r}{1-r}} \right]. \tag{38}$$

References

- [1] Lévy, P 1940 *Compositio Mathematica* **7**

- [2] Wendel J G 1964 *Math. Scand.* **14** 21
- [3] Godréche, C 2017 *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **50** 19