

# Summary Post

[◀ Initial Post](#)

Display replies in nested form

Settings ▾



Summary Post

by [Lauren Pechey](#) - Tuesday, 16 December 2025, 6:26 PM

This discussion has highlighted how user emotions and time influence the way digital interfaces are evaluated. Gu *et al.* (2023) show that first impressions, often driven by aesthetics or novelty, can lead to biased subjective evaluations—a phenomenon called the “halo effect.” Over time, as users gain experience, their assessments become more balanced, reflecting both usability and functional performance (Minge & Thüring, 2018; Hassenzahl, 2023). Peer discussions from Ruben, Ben and Victor reinforced that capturing feedback too early may misrepresent real user experience, while delayed or longitudinal testing provides a clearer picture of satisfaction and system effectiveness (Kortum and Sorber, 2021; Tullis and Albert, 2023).

The discussion also emphasised practical implications for software development. In the SDLC, incorporating time-aware evaluation ensures that early excitement does not overshadow actual usability. Using Behavior-Driven Development (BDD) with time-phased user stories allows designers to track evolving expectations across pre-use and post-use phases, improving alignment between functionality and user needs (Wu *et al.*, 2022). Secure coding practices remain critical, as trust in a system becomes more important once initial novelty fades (Khair, 2018).

Overall, the key takeaway is that user evaluation is dynamic, not static. Emotional responses evolve, and evaluations need to capture both early impressions and longer-term experience. By combining iterative testing, delayed feedback, and longitudinal studies, designers can reduce bias, better prioritise features, and create interfaces that are both satisfying and reliable over time. Integrating these strategies supports user-centred, emotionally informed, and secure design outcomes, bridging UX research insights with practical software development.

## References:

- Gu, Q., Tang, W. and Xue, C. (2023) *The effect of time lapse on the halo effect in the subjective evaluation of digital interfaces*. Design, User Experience, and Usability, pp. 171–183.
- Hassenzahl, M. (2023) *User Experience and Experience Design*. 2nd edn. Cham: Springer.
- Khair, M.A. (2018) ‘Security-Centric Software Development: Integrating Secure Coding Practices into the Software Development Lifecycle’, *Technology & Management Review*, 3(1), pp. 12–26.
- Kortum, P. and Sorber, M. (2021) *Measuring the User Experience: Collecting, Analyzing, and Presenting UX Metrics*. 3rd edn. Cambridge, MA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Minge, M. and Thüring, M. (2018) ‘Hedonic and pragmatic halo effects at early stages of user experience’, *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 109, pp. 13–25.
- Tullis, T. and Albert, B. (2023) *Measuring the User Experience*. 3rd edn. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Wu, J., Chen, H., Li, Y. and Liu, Y. (2022) ‘A behavioral assessment model for emotional persuasion driven by agent-based decision-making’, *Expert Systems with Applications*, 204, p. 117556.

Maximum rating: -

[Permalink](#) [Reply](#)

[◀ Initial Post](#)



Chat to us!