# Project plan + study diary Jungle Hunt version 1.5

TUT	Pervasive Computing	TIE-21106 Software Engineering Methodology
Author: Markus Ylisiurunen		Printed: 11.03.2018 15:33
Distribution:		
Markus	Ylisiurunen	
Tuomas	Pekkanen	
Jere Me	tsäranta	
Pedram	Ghazi	
Docume	ent status: draft	Modified: 11.03.2018 15:33

# **VERSION HISTORY**

Version	Date	Authors	Explanation (modifications)
1.0	28.01.2014	Marko L.	Initial version
1.1	11.02.2014	Marko L.	Deleted finnish text
1.2	18.01.2015	Tensu	Sections 1.4.x, cosmetic tuning
1.3	26.1.2015	Marko L.	Final toucher
1.4	16.01.2017	Kari S.	Adaptation for 2017 needs
1.5	08.01.2018	Farshad A.	Adaptation for 2018 needs

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	PROJE	ECT RESOURCES	.3
	1.1 PERS	SONNEL	.3
	1.2 Prod	CESS DESCRIPTION	.4
	1.3 Too	LS AND TECHNOLOGIES	.4
2.	STUDY	Z DIARY	.5
	2.1 Spri	NT 1	.5
	2.1.1	What went well	. 5
	2.1.2	What difficulties you had	. 5
	2.1.3	What were the main learnings	.6
	2.1.4	What did you decide to change for the next sprint	.6
	2.2 Spri	NT 2	.6
	2.2.1	What went well	.6
	2.2.2	What difficulties you had	.6
	2.2.3	What were the main learnings	.7
	2.2.4	What did you decide to change for the next sprint	. 7
3.	RISK I	MANAGEMENT PLAN	.7
	3.1 PERS	SONNEL RISKS	.7
	3.1.1	PE1: Getting sick	. 8
	3.1.2	PE1: Busy with other work	.8
	3.2 Cus	TOMER RISKS	.9
	3.2.1	CU1: Project requirements change	.9
	3.3 TECI	HNOLOGY RISKS	.9
	3.3.1	TE1: New technologies take time to learn	.9

3.4	PROJ	ECT MANAGEMENT RISKS	. 10
3.	4.1	PR1: Scheduling issues	. 10
3.	4.2	PR2: Not starting early enough	. 10

## 1. PROJECT RESOURCES

This chapter holds the project resources.

#### 1.1 Personnel

## Tuomas Pekkanen, Scrum Master

Has prior experience in Unity, C# and C++ in small scale projects and from a summer job. Interested in developing games, optimizing code and technology in general.

Estimated contribution: 35 h

Absences: None

Contact information:

Email: tuomas.pekkanen@student.tut.fi

## Markus Ylisiurunen, Product Owner

Is familiar with C++, Python, JavaScript, PHP, Ruby. Has made a few side projects on his free time. Works as a software developer. Interested in the web as a whole.

Estimated contribution: 35 h

Absences: None

Contact information:

Email: markus.ylisiurunen@student.tut.fi

## Jere Metsäranta, Team Member

Prior experience limited to C++ and Python. Interested in learning new stuff, also majors in programming. Has one website project going on free time.

Estimated contribution: 35 h

Absences: None

Contact information:

Email: jere.metsaranta@student.tut.fi

#### Pedram Ghazi, Team Member

Preferred language for coding is Python but also knows C++, Matlab and PHP. Is familiar with JavaScript. Right now, is working part-time

as an RA and field of his work is machine learning. Unfortunately, he does not have prior experience in C# or Unity. He is also interested in AI and web development.

Estimated contribution: 35 h

Absences: None

Contact information:

Email: pedram.ghazi@student.tut.fi

## 1.2 Process description

We had our first meeting at 16.1.2018 and we decided to create a Slack team where our group can communicate, ask questions and manage the project. We plan on relying on online communication for the majority of our communication needs. Whenever the need arises, we can meet face to face and discuss the current issues as a group. We have agreed to have a weekly online meeting at 18:00 every Tuesday.

At the very beginning of each sprint we will decide new roles for every person and which features belong to the next sprint as a group. By rotating the roles, we hope that everyone will get the most out of this project. After that we'll assign those tasks equally to each member and everyone can work on their own time. This hopefully ensures that everyone will find the best time to work and it won't have too big of an impact on other things. Since we are using Slack as our communication method, everyone can ask questions at any given time.

Our goal is to split the tasks and work hours equally on each sprint and finishing sprints on the anticipated time. We want to maintain high quality code which has went through a code review. We also want to have a high visibility of the changes so that every group member has a good idea of what is currently being implemented and what is yet to be done. We also want to adapt ourselves to the found issues and make changes to our workflow as the project advances.

Our project goal is finishing on time and getting a high grade which also means that we are aiming for a good workflow, communication and high-quality code. We will meet this goal by planning in advance, having good communication and clear roles in the project.

## 1.3 Tools and technologies

We've decided to build the game in Unity. We are going to use the latest version (2017.3) and stay with that to prevent any issues from using different versions. Tuomas Pekkanen has previous experience in Unity

and he'll be the person other group members can ask Unity related questions from.

We are using a repository hosted in GitLab to store our project. Each group member has access and can push new code. We'll utilize GitLab Merge Requests for code review. Each new feature/bug fix will go through a code review where at least one other group member will review the changes before merging to master. This way everyone can keep up with the changes and we hope to achieve better code quality.

Here are the relevant resources related to our project.

Repository: <a href="https://course-gitlab.tut.fi/sweng\_2018/g06---ylo">https://course-gitlab.tut.fi/sweng\_2018/g06---ylo</a>
AgileFant: <a href="https://app.agilefant.com/TTY-TIE/product/376284/tree">https://app.agilefant.com/TTY-TIE/product/376284/tree</a>

<i>Table 1.1: Tools used in the projection</i>
------------------------------------------------

Purpose	Tool	<b>Contact person</b>	Version
Communication	Slack	J. M.	-
	https://slack.com/		
Version management	Gitlab	M. Y.	_
	https://gitlab.com/		
Development	Unity	T. P.	2017.3.1
	https://unity3d.com/		
Project management	Agilefant	P. G.	
	https://www.agilefant.com/		

## 2. STUDY DIARY

In this chapter, we review each sprint and write about our learnings during the sprint.

## 2.1 Sprint 1

#### 2.1.1 What went well

We started to communicate early on and we got everyone involved and set up without major issues. We also discussed and tried to decide how we want to work and we decided the roles. Overall, we think the planning process was good.

#### 2.1.2 What difficulties you had

One of the biggest resistance in getting the project started was the fact that we were using a completely new toolset. Only one member of our

Modified: 11.03.2018 15:33

group had used Unity or C# before which made it quite hard to start to contribute to the project.

We didn't meet too often in sprint 1 since we thought that it'd be more useful for everyone to dig into the new tools by themselves and ask questions in Slack. That worked but it might have slowed down our progress a little bit.

## 2.1.3 What were the main learnings

We noticed that we should set more specific deadlines for ourselves so that we keep working during the whole sprint and not leave everything to the last week. We also noticed that keeping Agilefant up to date would help us during the whole sprint.

## 2.1.4 What did you decide to change for the next sprint

We decided that we want to meet face-to-face more often for short periods of time to discuss project related topics. This includes defining tasks, planning the workload and talking about possible issues we are facing.

## 2.2 Sprint 2

#### 2.2.1 What went well

We met more often and communicated more in Slack. We also used Agilefant more and everyone got started with Unity and C#. We discussed problems and tried to help each other.

Our planning was also better than in sprint 1. This time we defined the tasks better in Agilefant and members started contributing to those.

## 2.2.2 What difficulties you had

We still started a little too late. We also had quite a lot of issues with Unity and Git which slowed us down. The issues were related to how Unity generates meta files.

We also had issues in general related to Unity and the development of the game, but we think that this will get better once we get better with Unity.

## 2.2.3 What were the main learnings

We learned to use Git branches better and the workflow got a lot better than in sprint 1. We also noticed how short meetings can help us to push the project forward and clear issues efficiently.

## 2.2.4 What did you decide to change for the next sprint

We decided that the most important thing to do better is to start early and get all the sprint related things planned right at the beginning. This way everyone has the time to do their part and we'll get more done.

#### 3. RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN

In this section, we go through the most probable risks that might affect us during the development of this project. Each risk will be rated based on probability and severity.

We will also analyze each risk and try to find a way how we can prepare for it. This way we hope that realized risks won't affect us much and we can keep working and making progress even if something comes up.

The following table collects all risks we have identified into one place. They will be analyzed separately in the following sections.

Table 3.1: Identified project risks.

ID	Description	Probability	Impact
PE1	Getting sick	2	2
PE2	Busy with other work	3	3
CU1	Project requirements change	2	1
TE1	New technologies take time to learn	3	3
PR1	Scheduling issues	1	2
PR2	Not starting early enough	2	2

#### 3.1 Personnel risks

This section goes through the risks related to individual group members.

Modified: 11.03.2018 15:33

## 3.1.1 PE1: Getting sick

It is quite likely that at least one group member will get sick during the project. This will decrease the time that the person can allocate to the project work.

Since we are working with software, it is not required to be present at some place at a specific time. Getting sick will most likely mean fever which will last only a few days. After the few days of sickness, the person can easily communicate via Slack and maybe even do some project related work.

We will try to avoid this from spreading to other group members by not having meetings where the sick person is present. We can inform that person via Slack and keep him up to date.

**Root cause:** A person gets sick.

**Importance:** 4

**Avoidance:** We will not be having meetings where the sick person is present.

**Prevention:** Depends on how serious the sickness is. We can discuss whether we need to redistribute the work assigned to the sick person if that's needed.

**Recovery:** Keep an eye on the incomplete tasks and other group members will take over if needed.

## 3.1.2 PE1: Busy with other work

We are all doing other course at the same time as this one. We might have other deadlines from other courses during our sprints and those might take a lot of members' time.

Three out of four members in our group are doing almost the same course with the same assignments which means that when there is going to be a deadline, it's going to affect the majority of our group.

We will try to communicate these deadlines early on so that we can prepare and do the work when we have the time. This will hopefully help us plan our workload better.

**Root cause:** Group member(s) have other assignments and have to spend time on finishing those.

**Importance:** 9

**Avoidance:** We can't avoid this completely, but we will try to plan our sprints with this in mind.

**Prevention:** Planning carefully and communicating the deadlines in a clear way to every member of the group.

**Recovery:** If needed, we will redistribute work or work longer days. With careful planning, we shouldn't be in this situation.

#### 3.2 Customer risks

This section goes through the risks related to customers.

## 3.2.1 CU1: Project requirements change

The project is developed based on the requirements the customer provided in the very beginning. These might change during the project and it might render some already finished changes obsolete.

We are trying to prevent this by having a review meeting after each sprint where we go through the changes and the customer can tell us about possible changes they've decided to do. This way every sprint is locked, and the requirements can't change during the sprint.

This isn't too severe for us since the customer decides what they want, and we just deliver based on those requirements. This will postpone the delivery date but it's on the customer.

**Root cause:** Customer decides to change the requirements of the project.

**Importance:** 2

**Avoidance:** We have review meetings quite often so that the changes will be noticed early.

**Prevention:** This can't really be prevented.

**Recovery:** We will notify the customer about the possible impact of the change and update our tasks based on the changes.

## 3.3 Technology risks

This section goes through the technological risks.

## 3.3.1 TE1: New technologies take time to learn

This is probably the biggest risk we will be facing during the project. Only one member of our group has previous experience with Unity or C#. The fact that everyone else has to start from the very beginning is going to slow our progress significantly.

Our group members (excluding one) hasn't been doing much game development previously and this entire area of software is very new for most of us. This means that most of our time will be spent on researching and learning rather than actually contributing to the game itself.

There is no magical trick that would make the learning progress quicker and everyone just has to keep asking questions and spending time on learning. Tuomas will also help with Unity and answer questions.

**Root cause:** Group members don't have experience with the technologies used in this project.

**Importance:** 9

**Avoidance:** Cannot be avoided. **Prevention:** Cannot be prevented.

**Recovery:** We have a group member who has previous experience and can help other members. Other than that, we just have to keep learning and spending more time experimenting.

## 3.4 Project management risks

This section goes through the risks related to project management.

## 3.4.1 PR1: Scheduling issues

All of our group members have quite busy schedules and it might be hard to find good times to work on the project together. Luckily, working at the same time isn't absolutely required and we can still make progress.

However, we want to be able to have short meetings related to planning and work distribution. We will make this happen by making the plans in the beginning of the sprint so that everyone can fit the meeting to their calendar.

**Root cause:** Hard to find fitting time for meetings.

**Importance:** 2

**Avoidance:** We will plan early in the beginning of every sprint.

**Prevention:** Everyone is committed to finding a place for the meeting. **Recovery:** We will communicate in Slack if it's impossible to meet face-to-face.

## 3.4.2 PR2: Not starting early enough

This is more of an internal risk than anything else. We have noticed that we tend to start working on projects too late and the outcome is worse than it could have been.

We will try to avoid this by having a meeting in the very beginning of every sprint where we define the tasks for the next sprint and make other related plans.

**Root cause:** Being too lazy.

**Importance:** 4

**Avoidance:** A short meeting in the beginning of every sprint. **Prevention:** Everyone's commitment to follow the plan. **Recovery:** Magic during the last 24 hours.

Modified: 11.03.2018 15:33