Cumulative improvements in iterated problem solving

Pierce Edmiston, Maxime Derex, Gary Lupyan

pedmiston@wisc.edu

osf.io/vf2wk

github.com/pedmiston/iterated-problem-solving

The cultural inheritance of problem solving knowledge

Why are humans effective problem solvers?

– We evolved specialized intelligences (Pinker, 2010).
– We developed systems of cultural inheritance (Boyd et al., 2011).

Lost European explorer experiments[1]

"Starvation on nardoo is by no means unpleasant, but for the weakness one feels, and the utter inability to move oneself, for as the appetite is concerned, it gives me the greatest satisfaction."

Figure 1: The nardoo acquatic fern, native to Australia.

[1](Boyd, 2018; Boyd, Richerson, & Henrich, 2011; Henrich, 2015)

The adaptiveness of cultural inheritance[2]

Cultural inheritance is adaptive because **even smart people die.** Cultural inheritance enables problem solving to extend far longer than any lifetime.



[2](Boyd & Richerson, 1985; Richerson & Boyd, 2005)

Variable acquisition costs[3]



[3](Fig. 2A, Mesoudi, 2011)

How much of a head start can be given to future generations?



Experimental models of cumulative culture:

- building paper airplanes
- knapping stone tools
- constructing baskets
- solving puzzles on a computer

The Totem Game (Derex & Boyd, 2015)



Solution landscape



Methods



- 42 complete chains (N=168 participants).
- Each participant played the Totem game for 25 minutes.
- Participants in Gen. 2-4 inherited **recipes** from their ancestor.

Research questions

1. How likely are future generations to exceed their ancestors?
2. Does inheritance have an impact on new tool discovery?

Tools by generation



Tools by inheritance size





Learning times



Discovery rates



Guesses per tool



Summary

1. How likely were participants able to exceed their ancestors?

– Participants were able to solve more problems in 25 minutes than their ancestors.

2. Does inheritance have an impact on new tool discovery?

– No effect on the rate of new tool discovery.
– No effect on the number of guesses required for new tools.

Limitations and future directions

How does iterated problem solving compare to alternative strategies?

- Is it better to pass on to the next generation or continue on individually?
- Is it better to work in sequence or in parallel?



Cumulative improvements in iterated problem solving

Pierce Edmiston, Max Derex, Gary Lupyan
pedmiston@wisc.edu
osf.io/vf2wk
github.com/pedmiston/iterated-problem-solving

References i

Boyd, R. (2018). *A Different Kind of Animal*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Boyd, R., & Richerson, P. J. (1985). *Culture and the evolutionary process*. University of Chicago Press.

Boyd, R., Richerson, P. J., & Henrich, J. (2011). The cultural niche: Why social learning is essential for human adaptation. In *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the united states of america* (pp. 10918–10925). University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, United States.

Derex, M., & Boyd, R. (2015). The foundations of the human cultural niche. *Nature Communications*, *6*(1), 1–7.

Henrich, J. (2015). *The secret of our success: how culture is driving human evolution, domesticating our species, and making us smarter*. Princeton University Press.

References ii

Mesoudi, A. (2011). Variable cultural acquisition costs constrain cumulative cultural evolution. *PloS One*, *6*(3), e18239.

Pinker, S. (2010). The cognitive niche: Coevolution of intelligence, sociality, and language. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *107*(SUPPL. 2), 8993–8999.

Richerson, P. J., & Boyd, R. (2005). *Not by genes alone: How culture transformed human evolution*. University of Chicago Press.