

Bragg Scattering with ultracold Erbium atoms

Pedro Castro Perez

Masterarbeit in Physik
angefertigt im Institut für Angewandte Physik

vorgelegt der
Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät
der
Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität
Bonn

Juni 2021

DRAFT

I hereby declare that this thesis was formulated by myself and that no sources or tools other than those cited were used.

Bonn,
Date

.....
Signature

1. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Martin Weitz
2. Gutachter: Prof. Dr.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank ...

You should probably use `\chapter*` for acknowledgements at the beginning of a thesis and `\chapter` for the end.

DRAFT

DRAFT

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Erbium Bose-Einstein condensate	3
2.1	Properties of erbium	3
2.2	Bose-Einstein Condensation	5
2.3	Experimental realization of an erbium Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC)	7
2.3.1	Oven	8
2.3.2	Transversal and Optical cooling	10
2.3.3	Zeeman slower	12
2.3.4	Magneto-optical trap	12
2.3.5	Optical dipole trap	15
2.3.6	Evaporative cooling	16
2.3.7	Absorption imaging	17
3	Bragg scattering of ultracold erbium atoms	19
	Bibliography	21
A	Useful information	23
	List of Figures	25
	List of Tables	27
	Acronyms	29

DRAFT

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

Erbium Bose-Einstein condensate

This chapter has the objective of briefly review some relevant properties of Erbium, which will clarify what makes it relevant in the study of ultra cold atoms. After this, the basic theory of Bose-Einstein condensation will be discussed, along with a brief description of the experimental phases required to create and observe an erbium Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC). This experimental realization will be the foundation on which the following chapters will be grounded when discussing further experimental achievements.

2.1 Properties of erbium

Erbium is a chemical element with an atomic number of 68, which belongs to the series of the Lanthanides. It is also part of the group called Rare-earth elements and it was first discovered by G. Monsander in 1843 [1]. The name of “Erbium” comes from the name of the Swedish village of Ytterby, the place where it was extracted. At that time, the similarity of rare-earth metals’ chemical properties made their distinction extremely difficult. For this reason, what G. Monsander thought to be pure Erbium oxide was in fact a mixture of different rare-earth metal oxides. The element is not obtained in a reasonably pure form until 1937 by W. Klemm and H. Bommer [2].

Considering some basic properties of this element, under standard conditions erbium is in a solid state. It has a silver shining surface, which oxidizes with air contact. This rare-earth metal has a melting point of 1 802 K and boiling point of 3 136 K. As a result, to be able to work with a free atomic gas of erbium requires to heat up the solid erbium metal to high temperatures [3].

The number of stable isotopes of Erbium is 6 and they can be seen in Table 2.1. All of them are bosons with nuclear spin of zero, in exception of ^{167}Er , which is a fermion with spin of $7/2$. The chosen isotope for this experiment is ^{168}Er because of its bosonic nature (see Section 2.2), high abundance and favourable scattering properties.

In addition to these properties, erbium atoms have a rather complex energetic level scheme due to its open 4f shell. This one lacks 2 electrons to be completely filled, which makes erbium to have an electronic ground state with an orbital angular momentum value of $L = 5$ and a high magnetic moment of seven Bohr magneton $7\mu_B$ [5]. This high value for the orbital angular momentum in the ground state of erbium provides several advantages for Raman-coupling processes. Leading to longer coherence times and stronger synthetic magnetic fields when comparing with other alkali metals like rubidium or cesium, commonly used in ultracold atoms experiments [6].

Isotope	Abundance [%]	Atomic Mass [u]	Nuclear Spin [\hbar]
Er ¹⁶²	0.14	161.928775	0
Er ¹⁶⁴	1.61	163.929198	0
Er ¹⁶⁶	33.60	165.930290	0
Er ¹⁶⁷	22.95	166.932046	7/2
Er ¹⁶⁸	26.80	167.932368	0
Er ¹⁷⁰	14.90	169.935461	0

Table 2.1: Table with the stable isotopes of Erbium that can be found on Nature with their respective Abundance ratio, Atomic mass and Nuclear Spin. Spectroscopy data taken from [4].

A scheme of erbium energy levels together with the atomic transitions used in this experiment can be seen in figure 2.1. The scheme shows that the electronic ground state of erbium is $[\text{Xe}] 4f^{12}6s^2$, where $[\text{Xe}]$ represents the complete electronic configuration of Xenon¹. Moreover, the used optical transitions are represented by colored arrows in the figure and its spectroscopic data is shown in table 2.2. From now on, these three transitions will respectively be referred to as the 401nm, 583nm, and 841nm transitions.

Finally, it must be noted that aside from the discussed application in ultracold atoms, erbium is used in multiple commercial applications. One prominent example is the use of erbium as a fiber amplifier in doped silicon fibers [7]. Furthermore, in nuclear physics it is used as neutron absorbing control rods [8].

Parameters			Transitions		
Name	Symbol	Unit	401nm	583nm	841nm
Wavelength in vacuum	λ	nm	400.91	582.84	841.22
Lifetime	τ	μs	0.045	0.857	20
Natural linewidth	$\Delta\nu_0$	kHz	33 370	185.71	7.96
Decay rate	γ	s^{-1}	2.22×10^8	1.17×10^6	5.00×10^4
Saturation intensity	I_S	mW cm^{-1}	71.80	0.12	1.74
Doppler temperature	T_D	μK	848.69	4.46	0.19
Doppler velocity	v_D	cm s^{-1}	21.5	1.49	0.31
Recoil temperature	T_R	nK	354.95	167.94	80.43
Recoil velocity	v_R	mm s^{-1}	5.93	4.08	2.82

Table 2.2: Spectroscopic data for the optical transitions of Erbium used in this experiment. These transitions are called the 401nm, 583nm, and 841nm transitions and can be seen in figure 2.1. Shown spectroscopic data taken from [5, 9–12]

¹ $[\text{Xe}] = 1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 3d^{10} 4s^2 4p^6 4d^{10} 5s^2 5p^6$



Figure 2.1: Energy level scheme of Erbium represented as a function of the total angular momentum J. This scheme shows only a range of energies relevant for the experiment of up to 25000 cm⁻¹. The different arrows show us the used transitions and for which phase of the experiment they are used for. The red lines represent energy states with even parity and the black lines those with odd parity. Data taken from [13].

2.2 Bose-Einstein Condensation

A BEC is generally defined as a state of matter formed when a gas of bosons with a low density is cooled to near-zero temperatures (typically a few hundreds nanokelvins). To understand this definition and the next theoretical principles is necessary to define what is a Boson. In quantum mechanics, bosons are particles with an integer value in their spin. Because of this, also have a symmetric wave function under the interchange of two particles, which allows bosons to have the same quantum state. Unlike its counterpart fermions, which have a half odd integer spin and an anti-symmetric wave function. Leading to Pauli's exclusion principle that avoids more than one fermion to occupy the same quantum state [14].

It was the Indian physicist S. N. Bose in 1924, the first one who described in a successful way an ideal gas of non interacting free photons, behaving like mass-less bosons. His idea was initially rejected for publication in scientific journals. However, Bose sent the manuscript to A. Einstein, who recognized its importance, translated the paper to german and saw to it that was published [15]. After this, Einstein extended Bose's treatment to massive particles and predicted the occurrence of a phase transition in a gas of non-interacting atoms, what is today known as a BEC [16, 17].

These publications led to Bose-Einstein statistics, a model that explains the energetic behavior of a non-interacting gas of indistinguishable N bosons, each one with mass M . The average number of particles at a given non-degenerate state with wave vector \mathbf{k} and energy $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2 / 2M$ is given by

$$\bar{N}_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{e^{(E_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)/k_B T} - 1} \quad (2.1)$$

For an ideal gas of bosons in thermal equilibrium at a temperature T , Boltzmann constant k_B and chemical potential μ , which depends of N and T [18]. This relation can be expressed as

$$N = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{e^{(E_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)/k_B T} - 1} \quad (2.2)$$

So the chemical potential μ is determined such that Eq. (2.2) is satisfied for any given N remaining constant. Now, expanding into the thermodynamic limit where N and the occupied volume V are increased to infinite values keeping the particle density $n = V/N$ constant. The sum over \mathbf{k} appearing in Eq. (2.2) can be replaced by an integral such as

$$n = \frac{N}{V} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 k \frac{1}{e^{(E_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)/k_B T} - 1} \quad (2.3)$$

For decreasing values of T and constant n , the chemical potential increases becoming zero at a critical temperature T_C . By making $\mu = 0$, $T = T_C$ and $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 \mathbf{k}^2 / 2M$ in Eq. (2.3) results

$$n = \zeta(3/2) \left(\frac{M k_B T_C}{2\pi \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \quad (2.4)$$

Where $\zeta(3/2) \simeq 2.612$ denotes the Riemann zeta function evaluated at $3/2$. So the critical temperature of the BEC is given by

$$T_C = 3.31 \frac{\hbar^2 n^{2/3}}{k_B M} \quad (2.5)$$

A relevant case of study is when $T < T_C$ because a fraction of the N bosons remains in the ground state with an energy $E = 0$. So the ideal gas of bosons can be divided in two energetic groups $N = N_{E=0} + N_{E>0}$. It must be noted that, the replacement of a sum by an integral in Eq. (2.3) can only be take place in group of particles with an energy greater than zero $E > 0$. As a result of this, the integral of Eq. (2.3) for the case $T < T_C$ results

$$\frac{N_{E>0}}{V} = \zeta(3/2) \left(\frac{M k_B T}{2\pi \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \quad (2.6)$$

Using now equations (2.5), (2.4) and the fact that $N_{E>0} = N - N_{E=0}$ results in an expression of the

relative population of a BEC in an ideal bosons gas as a function of temperature:

$$\frac{N_{E=0}}{N} = 1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_C} \right)^{3/2} \quad (2.7)$$

An intuitive way to think about this is to imagine the bosons as wave packets with a size of the thermal de Broglie length λ_{th} . This parameter is conventionally defined [19].

$$\lambda_{th} = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2\pi M k_B T}} \quad (2.8)$$

For falling temperatures, the thermal de Broglie length begins to increase and the wave packets representing the bosons become greater in size. At $T \lesssim T_C$ the particles begin to occupy macroscopically the ground state and its wave packets start to overlap forming a macroscopic wave function, capable of describing the whole particle system. This is one of the most relevant properties of a BEC.

Now, we combine equations 2.4 and 2.8 obtaining

$$n\lambda_{th}^3 = \zeta(3/2) \simeq 2.612 \quad \text{for } T = T_C \quad (2.9)$$

The product of density with cubic de Broglie length is defined in literature as the phase-space density $\rho_{psd} \equiv n\lambda_{th}^3$. This parameter is normally used as a way of quantifying a given bosonic system. From Eq. (2.9), one can deduce that to form a BEC with an atomic (bosonic) cloud in three dimensions the phase space density must fulfill $\rho_{psd} \geq 2.612$. As a result, the required conditions to form a BEC can only be fulfilled when the atomic cloud is sufficiently cold and dense. For the case of a three dimensional gas of atoms trapped in an harmonic potential the condition over the phase space density is decreased to $\rho_{psd} \geq 1.2$ [20].

A further description about the theory of Bose-Einstein Condensation can be found in [18, 20].

2.3 Experimental realization of an erbium BEC

To create experimentally a BEC of an atomic element such as erbium requires a multiple set of phases. Each one being based on different physical principles. The main purpose of this section is to discuss briefly every one of those together with its underlying foundation. For a more detailed description of the experimental set refer to [21].

Figure 2.2 shows a scheme of the experimental setup. It must be noted that all the devices used for the experiment lay on top of three optical tables represented in the figure by gray areas. Moreover, the laser beams produced by different laser systems are represented by colored lines. The erbium atoms are at all times kept inside an ultra-high vacuum (UHV) system formed by an oven, zeeman slower (ZS) and main chamber. This UHV is maintained by an ion getter pump reaching a pressure of 10^{-8} mbar. Additionally, for the main chamber there is a titanium sublimation pump, which reduces the vacuum even further to 10^{-10} mbar. The need of an UHV comes from the fact that to reach an atomic BEC, the cooling process must be so extreme that any interaction with room temperature atoms would lead to heating and destructiveness of the cold erbium cloud.

Most of the laser systems in this experiment produce resonant light for the ^{168}Er atomic transitions. For this reason, these light sources are kept on different optical tables separated from the UHV system. Thus, unwanted atom-light interaction that could damage the cooling capabilities of the experiment is



Figure 2.2: Scheme of the experimental setup. It is divided in three optical tables represented by gray areas. The 401nm laser system is used for the transversal cooling (TC), zeeman slower (ZS) and absorption imaging (AI). It is generated by a frequency doubled diode laser locked to a spectroscopic signal of the 401nm transition of ^{168}Er . The 583nm laser system is used for the magneto-optical trap (MOT) and is frequency looked to an ultra low expansion cavity (ULE cavity). This trap uses 401nm laser beam in three spacial dimensions x , y and z . The CO_2 laser is used as main source of an optical dipole trap (ODT). The 841nm laser system is used to form the two lattice beams used for the Bragg scattering process which will be described in next Chapter.

reduced. This laser light is guided into the main table by using optical fibers that can be blocked and unblocked through the use of acousto-optical modulators (AOMs) before the fiber coupler. Allowing for a fast response time (typically a few microseconds) and better control of the experimental phases.

The different phases required to obtain an erbium BEC are chronologically very organized. There is almost no temporal overlay between phases. This allows for a very accurate description of the experiment by just explaining every procedure in a chronological order. In every cycle, the atoms start in the oven and pass through the transversal cooling (TC) and zeeman slower (ZS) to reach the main chamber, where the magneto-optical trap (MOT), optical dipole trap (ODT) and evaporative cooling take place to generate the erbium Bose-Einstein condensate.

2.3.1 Oven

The required first step to form an erbium BEC consist in transforming a solid state metal, with a high purity of erbium atoms (typically over 99%), into an atomic beam. There are multiple reasons to why this is necessary. The main one being that it reduces the interaction between atoms and allows for laser cooling and trapping techniques. This will become more clear in the next experimental phases.

In order to produce an erbium beam, an oven of the type known as Dual filament effusion cell (DFC)² is used, operating inside an UHV chamber. The left side of Figure 2.3 shows a basic scheme of this device, which is divided into two tantalum wired cavities. The first one is called Effusion cell (EC) and contains the bulk metal of erbium, which is heated up to 1 200 °C and sublimated into gas. After this, the erbium gas is transferred through a 3 cm pinhole into the second cavity of the DFC known as Hot lip (HL). This last part of the oven is heated a 100 °C higher than the EC temperature to avoid condensation of material at the pinholes. Lastly, the erbium gas leaves the oven forming an atomic beam through a second pinhole that connects the HL cavity with all the following experimental stages. In order to avoid the atomic beam from altering some of these sensitive experimental phases, the second pinhole is closed by a mechanical shutter at these moments.

The speed at which the atoms are leaving the oven can be estimated in average with a parameter called root mean squared (RMS) velocity \bar{v}_{RMS} . For the case of an ideal gas it has been obtained as [22]

$$\bar{v}_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{3k_B T}{M}} \quad (2.10)$$

This means that for a HL temperature of 1 400 K the resulting RMS velocity of the erbium beam leaving the oven is approximately 457 m s⁻¹.



Figure 2.3: Scheme of the oven, transversal cooling and zeeman slower. The atomic beam of erbium and the 401 nm laser beam are represented by gray and blue arrows respectively. A cross section of the transversal cooling can be seen inside a box. And the different coils used for the zeeman slower are also represented.

² Model DFC-40-10-WK-2B by CreaTec Fischer & Co. GmbH

2.3.2 Transversal and Optical cooling

An atomic beam produced by the DFC oven presents two main problems: a poor collimation of the beam and a high speed of the erbium atoms forming the beam. These obstacles must be fixed before being able to trap the atoms into an atomic ensemble and form an erbium BEC. The main purpose of this Transversal cooling (TC) phase is to solve the problem due to poor beam collimation. While the high atomic speed problem will be dealt with in the following Zeeman slower section. However, these two phases are based in the same underlying physical principle, commonly known as Optical or Laser cooling.

Optical cooling

This technique relies on the force applied on particles by red-detuned near resonant photons. From Equation (2.10), it can be seen that the temperature of an ideal gas is proportional to its velocity. So this light-produced force, known as radiation pressure, can be used as a way to lower the temperature of an atomic gas simply by reducing its overall speed. To gain an insight into the physical model of this force, it is necessary to analyse a two-level atomic system [23].

Due to this, an two level atom must be considered, which has a ground state $|g\rangle$, an excited state $|e\rangle$ and an energy difference between both states of $\Delta E = \hbar\omega_0$, here ω_0 represents the atomic resonance frequency. The atom at rest can absorb a photon with resonant frequency ω_0 . Thus, transferring its energy $\hbar\omega_0$ and momentum $\vec{p} = \hbar\vec{k}$ to the atom. Where \vec{k} represents the photon wave vector, such as for light with wavelength λ , the wave number results $|\vec{k}| = 2\pi/\lambda$. According to which was the initial state of the atom before receiving the photon, it can relax into the ground state by spontaneous or by stimulated emission. The first case is produced when the atom was initially at the state $|e\rangle$, which makes the incoming photon trigger an relaxation into $|g\rangle$ of the atom. Resulting in the production of a second identical photon with the same frequency and direction than the triggering one. Because of this, the momentum transfer of the initial photon gets cancelled by the second one and therefore the effective momentum transferred to the atom adds up to zero. However, the second case of spontaneous emission results in a non-zero momentum transfer. Because in this case, the atom is initially in the ground state, allowing it to absorb the initial photon to get in the excited state. After a decay time τ the atom decays back to $|g\rangle$ and re-emits a second photon in a random direction. Due to the fact, that this photon is at average emitted isotropically in all directions, the momentum transfer produced by the second photon adds up to zero. Resulting in an effective momentum transfer of the atom equal to the initial momentum of the first photon.

In order to obtain an analytical analysis, the Bloch equations must be solved for a two level system. Resulting in the stationary solution for an occupation probability of the excited given by

$$\rho_{ee} = \frac{s_0/2}{1 + s_0 + \left(\frac{2\delta}{\gamma}\right)^2} \quad (2.11)$$

Where $\gamma = 1/\tau$ is the decay rate, $\delta = \omega - \omega_0$ the detuning between laser light and atomic resonance frequency and $s_0 = I/I_s$ the saturation parameter defined as the ratio of laser light intensity I and saturation intensity $I_s = 2\pi^2\hbar c/3\lambda^2\tau$, with λ the light wavelength and c the speed of light. The radiation pressure force can be calculated using Equation (2.11) as

$$\vec{F} = \hbar \vec{k} \gamma \rho_{ee} = \hbar \vec{k} \gamma \frac{s_0/2}{1 + s_0 + \left(\frac{2\delta}{\gamma}\right)^2} \quad (2.12)$$

However, this equation is only valid for a system of coordinates at rest with respect to the atom. This means that for an atom moving at a velocity \vec{v} , the light frequency received by the atom will be Doppler shifted an amount $\Delta\omega_D = -\vec{k}\vec{v}$. By adapting Equation (2.12) to the Doppler shift results

$$\vec{F} = \frac{\hbar \vec{k} \gamma}{2} \frac{s_0}{1 + s_0 + \left(\frac{2(\delta - \vec{k}\vec{v})}{\gamma}\right)^2} \quad (2.13)$$

This equation for the radiation pressure suggests that a beam of atoms can be slowed down by a red-detuned ($\delta < 0$) counter-propagating optical beam. And therefore, the atomic beam temperature can decrease by following Equation (2.10). However, this cooling process presents some limitations because of the spontaneous emission of the second photon. As already said, this spontaneous emission process has a vanishing momentum transfer. But its mean squared value does not vanish, thus heating up the atoms. For a limiting case in which this heating effect equals the optical cooling, an equilibrium temperature is reached known as Doppler limit or Doppler temperature, T_D .

$$T_D = \frac{\hbar \gamma}{2k_B} \quad (2.14)$$

Which is a theoretical temperature limit for an ideal two levels atom [23]. It can be seen from Equations (2.13) and (2.14), that there must be a trade off in the experimental value for decay rate of the excited level γ . In order to achieve a strong enough value for the radiation pressure at the same time that a low enough Doppler temperature. There is however, the capability of cooling down further than the Doppler limit with the use of more refined techniques for multilevel atoms, such as the polarization gradient cooling approach [24]. Nonetheless, for the case of complex multilevel elements like erbium, excited atoms may decay into intermediate states. This limits the cooling process and can suppress it almost completely, due to possible selection rules or high decay times in these intermediate states. If this is our case, the problematic state is commonly called Dark state. To solve this issue, additional pumping lasers or effectively closed transitions must be considered.

Transversal cooling

Through the use of Optical cooling physical principles this experimental phase is used to collimate the atomic beam produced by the DFC oven. In Figure 2.3, a scheme and cross section of the TC can be seen. The main objective is to increase the flux of atoms passing from DFC to the initial part of the ZS aperture. To achieve this, a crossed optical beam of the red-detuned 401 nm transition, transversal to the atomic beam, is used. As seen in the cross section scheme, the optical cross beam is overlaid with an ingoing and outgoing part. This results in four optical beams traversing the atomic beam in four perpendicular directions. This scheme together with the optical force described Equation 2.13 leads to radiation pressure acting towards the atomic beam centre, collimating it. Moreover, the high value for the decay rate of $\gamma = 2.22 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the 401 nm transition (see Table 2.2) makes the radiation pressure force considerably strong. In order to enhance the interaction between atomic and light beam, this last one has its shape widened elliptically with the mayor axis parallel to the atomic beam.

2.3.3 Zeeman slower

As it has already been discussed in the previous section, the second problem that arises is the high speed at which the atomic beam is travelling. At the end of Section 2.3.1, a calculation of the average speed of atoms leaving the oven resulted in approximately 457 m s^{-1} . However, it has been estimated that the maximal trapping velocity is a few meters per second, for the specific magneto-optical trap used in this experiment [21]. The main objective of this experimental phase is to reduce the average atomic speed so that it is feasible to trap the atoms in an atomic ensemble. This requires another red-detuned laser beam from the 401 nm transition moving in the opposite direction of the atomic beam. A counter-propagating optical beam transmits radiative pressure to the atomic beam as seen in Equation (2.13). However, as the atomic beam begins to be slowed down, the average velocity of the atoms \vec{v} is reduced and the Doppler shift value $\Delta\omega_D = -\vec{k}\vec{v}$ in Equation (2.13) also decreases. This results in a change of the resonance condition and suppression of the radiative pressure, which reduces the slowing effect of the atomic beam. In order to avoid this, the inner splitting of the atomic energy levels must be changed with the use of an spatially varying magnetic field. Thus, at the same time that the beam is being slowed down, the effective atomic resonance is being changed to match a reduction of the Doppler shift $\Delta\omega_D$. The atomic energy shift is affected by the position-dependent magnetic field \vec{B} , where the dominant effect is called Zeeman splitting $\Delta\omega_Z$, given by [25]

$$\Delta\omega_Z = \frac{\mu_{\text{eff}}}{\hbar} |\vec{B}| \quad (2.15)$$

Where μ_{eff} represents the effective magnetic moment [23]. By considering the desired case in which the Zeeman and Doppler shifts compensate each other $\omega_Z = -\omega_D$, and using also some basic kinematic theory. The required magnetic field can be obtained as a function of position z at the axis in which the atomic beam is moving:

$$|\vec{B}(z)| = \frac{\hbar k}{\mu_{\text{eff}}} \sqrt{v_0^2 + 2a(z - z_0)} \quad (2.16)$$

With a being the deceleration parameter, v_0 the maximal velocity at which the atoms can be slowed down and z_0 the spacial point where the atom deceleration begins. These types of magnetic fields can be shaped using coils with a spatial dependent number of wires, which is the case for a common zeeman slower (ZS) like the one used in this experiment. Figure 2.3 shows a scheme of the ZS with a counter propagating beam of 401 nm transition providing the radiation pressure to the atomic beam and a group of five independent coils providing the magnetic field described by Equation (2.16). For more details on the ZS experimental set-up and the description of these coils refer to [26].

2.3.4 Magneto-optical trap

Once the atomic beam has passed the ZS and has been slowed down to a few meters per second, the erbium atoms enter the main UHV chamber shown in Figure 2.4. The next step requires trapping the atoms in a local region of the main chamber with the use of a Magneto-optical trap (MOT). Thus, the atomic beam forms an ensemble of atoms with an even lower average velocity, which means a reduction in the erbium atoms temperature within the ensemble.

In this case, the MOT used consists of three pairs of counter-propagating laser beams red-detuned to the 583 nm transition. Each of these beam pairs is perpendicular to the other two, forming three spatial axes inside the main chamber (x , y and z) with an overlay region where the atomic ensemble will be made. In addition to this, two water cooled coils in an anti-Helmholtz configuration are required to create a quadrupole magnetic field, which is zero inside the overlaying region of the six laser beams. The result of this magneto-optical set up is the generation of a three dimensional spatially dependent restoring force, which binds the erbium atoms to remain inside the overlaying region. To have a physical interpretation of why this is happening, it is necessary to consider a two level atom located inside this region. The atom has a ground state g with a total angular momentum quantum number of $J = 0$ and an excited state e with $J' = 1$. Due to spatial symmetry and to simplify things even further, just effects in the z axis will be considered. A scheme of this model can be observed in Figure 2.5. Because of Zeeman splitting, the magnetic field $B_z = Az$ produced by the anti-Helmholtz coils at the z direction, splits up the atomic states' degeneracies. Thus, for the ground state $m_g = 0$ because $J = 0$ and there is no splitting, but for the excited state $m_e = [-1, 0, 1]$ because $J' = 1$ splitting this state into three. The resulting force $\vec{F} = F\vec{e}_z$ being applied to an atom at a position z' and a speed $\vec{v} = v\vec{e}_z$ comes from Equation (2.13) applied in the two axial directions:

$$\vec{F} = \frac{\hbar\vec{k}\gamma}{2} \frac{s_0}{1 + s_0 + \left(\frac{2\delta_+}{\gamma}\right)^2} - \frac{\hbar\vec{k}\gamma}{2} \frac{s_0}{1 + s_0 + \left(\frac{2\delta_-}{\gamma}\right)^2} \quad (2.17)$$

Where:

$$\delta_{\pm} = \delta \mp \vec{k}\vec{v} \pm \frac{\mu_{\text{eff}}B_{z'}}{\hbar} \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mu_{\text{eff}} = \mu_B(g_e m_e - g_g m_g) \quad (2.19)$$

With μ_B the Bohr magneton, $g_{g/e}$ the Landé factor and $\pm\vec{k} = \pm|k|\vec{e}_z$ the wave vector of both beams. As a result of this, the atom located in z' will interact most likely with the light beam for which the detuning δ_+ or δ_- is smaller. This means that an atom moving in any direction away from the MOT will absorb only photons from the optical beams that can push it towards the MOT's centre. Because of the fact that erbium's 583 nm transition has a narrow line-width of 185.71 kHz (see Table 2.2), the type of MOT used here is commonly known as a narrow-line MOT, which allows to achieve lower temperatures due to Equation (2.14). The experimental phase of the MOT can be divided into two main parts. The first one being known as the Loading phase, where the erbium atoms are collected from the ZS. And lastly, the Compressing phase, where the ensemble of atoms inside the MOT is compressed by detuning the lasers and magnetic fields. The result of this is a denser and more confined atomic cloud, which is useful for the ODT phase. Some typical temperatures achieved for erbium clouds trapped in the used MOT are in the μK regime. For a more in deep description of the underlying principles of Magneto-optical traps, or a characterization of the used set up, refer to [21, 23, 27].



Figure 2.4: Technical drawing of the main chamber. It has 17 viewports with different diameters and each one with anti-reflection coatings for the used laser transitions. The chamber also counts with a configuration of water cooled coils inside it, and some micrometer screws connected to lenses for the collimation of different laser beams passing through the ports.



Figure 2.5: Atomic scheme of energies inside the MOT for a $J = 0 \rightarrow J' = 1$ transition in the z axis. It shows the Zeeman splitting of the excited state with $J' = 1$ into three different states with $m_e = -1, 0, 1$, due to the quadrupole magnetic field produced by two coils in anti-Helmholtz configuration. A counter-propagating pair of circularly polarized laser beams is represented by two yellow arrows. They have a frequency ω_l illustrated in the energetic scheme by a red dashed line. These optical beams are red-detuned by $\delta = \omega_0 - \omega_l$, where ω_0 is the erbium frequency of the 583 nm transition. Figure modified from original in [23].

2.3.5 Optical dipole trap

As it has already been mentioned, the lowest possible temperature for the atoms trapped inside the MOT is just a few μK , which is not low enough to achieve the required critical temperature T_C given by equation (2.5). This prevents us to form an erbium Bose-Einstein condensate with the use of only a magneto-optical trap. Therefore, lower temperatures of the erbium ensemble must be reached by using an additional experimental phase known as Optical dipole trap (ODT). This type of trap is achieved with the use of a CO_2 laser beam at a wavelength of $10.6\ \mu\text{m}$ and a power of approximately $60\ \text{W}$, which is focused in a focal point with $25\ \mu\text{m}$ of radius. This laser beam is aligned in a way that its focal point overlays with the region where atomic erbium is trapped inside the MOT. This way, the transference of atoms from MOT to ODT can be performed with the least possible losses in the total number of trapped atoms.

In the different types of ODTs, the one used has the peculiarity that its CO_2 beam wavelength is far detuned for any erbium atomic transition. This type of ODT is commonly known as Quasi-electrostatic trap (QUEST) and were first proposed by T. Takekoshi et al. in 1994 [28]. Due to the far detuning between trap and atomic transitions, the trap can be approximated as a quasi-electrostatic field, which polarizes the atom and induces an atomic dipole moment [29]. The interaction between this induced dipole \vec{p} and the electric field $\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ from the CO_2 laser, is described by an interaction potential U_{dip} given by

$$U_{\text{dip}} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \vec{p} \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \rangle = \frac{\text{Re}(\alpha) I(\vec{r})}{2\epsilon_0 c} \quad (2.20)$$

Here, $\langle \rangle$ denotes time average over the rapid oscillating terms, $I(\vec{r}) = 2\epsilon_0 c |\vec{E}(\vec{r})|^2$ is the laser intensity, and α the complex polarizability, such as $\vec{p} = \alpha(\omega_l) \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{r})$ with ω_l being the laser frequency. A good approximation can be obtained when considering the intensity distribution of the CO_2 laser beam as Gaussian:

$$I(\vec{r}, z) = \frac{2P}{\pi w^2(z)} e^{-2r^2/w^2(z)} \quad (2.21)$$

The distribution is represented in cylindrical coordinates with z being the propagation direction and \vec{r} the radial position. Additionally, $w(z)$ represents the beam radius and P the optical power. Using now Equations (2.20) and (2.21), the spatial behaviour of the interaction potential can be obtained as

$$U_{\text{dip}}(\vec{r}, z) = -U_0 e^{-2r^2/w^2(z)} \quad (2.22)$$

Where $U_0 = \frac{\text{Re}(\alpha)P}{\epsilon_0 c \pi w_0^2}$ is the trap depth at the focal point of the trap beam (i.e. for $\vec{r} = 0$ and $z = 0$), and $w_0 = w(0)$ the beam waist at the focal point. Considering now, the atoms trapped inside the ODT with an energy lower than the maximal trap depth. The radial and longitudinal expansions would be considerably smaller than the trap scales i.e. the beam waist w_0 and Rayleigh length $z_R = \pi w_0^2/\lambda$. This way, a Taylor expansion of $U_{\text{dip}}(\vec{r}, z)$ around the beam focal point can be performed.

$$U_{\text{dip}}(\vec{r}, z) \simeq -U_0 \left[1 - 2 \left(\frac{r}{w_0} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{z}{z_R} \right)^2 \right] \quad (2.23)$$

This is equivalent to the expression for an harmonic oscillator inside a cylindrical potential. By

using Equation (2.23), the generated dipole force \vec{F}_{dip} affecting the neutral erbium atoms can be obtained as

$$\vec{F}_{\text{dip}}(\vec{r}, z) = -\vec{\nabla} U_{\text{dip}}(\vec{r}, z) = -2U_0 \left(\frac{2r}{w_0^2} \hat{e}_r + \frac{z}{z_R^2} \hat{e}_z \right) \quad (2.24)$$

Where \hat{e}_r is the radial and \hat{e}_z the longitudinal unitary vectors in cylindrical coordinates. This final expression shows that for atoms close enough to the trap, there is a dipole force pushing them linearly towards its centre.

2.3.6 Evaporative cooling

Once atomic erbium is trapped in an ODT, the required temperatures for achieving a BEC are still not reachable. Moreover, the photon scattering from the CO₂ beam, can even heat up the atomic ensemble. However, in the experiment this heating is reduced due to the type of ODT used, a far-detuned from atomic resonance QUEST. To reach an erbium BEC, optical dipole traps enable the use of another cooling technique commonly known as Evaporative cooling, which allows for the reaching of temperatures lower than T_C from Equation (2.5) [30]. See Figure 2.6, the physical idea behind this phase is based on the fact that a group of trapped atoms have velocities, which follow a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution with temperature T_0 and average velocity \bar{v} . Because of this, the temperature of a trapped atom ensemble, just requires letting the atoms with more energy leave the trap, while keeping the less energetic ones inside. This can be achieved by simply decreasing the laser intensity of the ODT's beam, allowing for the atoms with higher energy to leave the trap. After this, the low energetic atoms inside the trap will interact via collisions with each other, restabilising the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution and decreasing its temperature in a process called Re-thermalization. For a more effective cooling, this is performed in a continuous way and the speed at which the intensity is decreased becomes very important. Too fast would prevent the atoms from re-thermalizing and too slow would lead to problems with the maximum holding time of the trap. Any of both cases results in is inefficiency of the cooling process and the lost of too many atoms required to form a BEC. For more details on evaporative cooling refer to [21, 23, 27]. The typical value reached for an erbium BEC are a number of around 30 000-60 000 atoms at temperature below 200 nK.



Figure 2.6: Evaporative cooling scheme. A reduction in the beam intensity translates into a decrease of the potential. Thus, the more energetic atoms leave the trap and after some re-thermalization time, the average velocity of the system decreases causing a temperature drop. Figure taken from [26].

2.3.7 Absorption imaging

Once an erbium BEC is obtained, it becomes necessary to have a process which allows to take images of the atomic cloud. This would permit a monitoring of its behaviour along multiple experimental cycles, this phase is known as Absorption imaging (AI) with its scheme shown in Figure 2.7. To achieve this phase, first we turn off all the lasers and magnetic fields to shoot the atomic ensemble with a resonant light pulse of the 401 nm transition. As a result, the atoms would absorb the photons and re-emit them in all directions, reducing the intensity in different regions of the laser beam. This shadowed light beam is then received by a CCD camera, which takes an image. To obtain an optical density profile of the cloud, it is required to take two more images. The second one is taken a few μs after the first, and receives the light from a second identical light pulse but once the atomic cloud is gone. Finally, the third one is taken without laser light entering the CCD sensor, and is simply used to quantify any background noise. This three images allow the calculation of a density profile $D(x, y)$ for any pixel located in the image with coordinates x and y by using the Beer-Lambert law [21].

$$D(x, y) = -\ln\left(\frac{I(x, y) - I_D(x, y)}{I_0(x, y) - I_D(x, y)}\right) \quad (2.25)$$

Where $I(x, y)$ represents the light intensity measured in the pixel (x, y) for the first image, $I_0(x, y)$ intensity from the second image and $I_D(x, y)$ from the third image. From this density profile, multiple parameters of the cloud can be estimated. One of those is the atom number, which can be obtained by integration of $D(x, y)$ and product with the inverted scattering cross-section of erbium. Other parameters are the position and radius of the atomic cloud, which allow relevant calculations such as the cloud temperature. For more information on this process, calculation of parameters or any procedure related with the formation of an erbium BEC refer to [21, 27].



Figure 2.7: Scheme of the absorption imaging process. The atomic ensemble is illuminated with resonant light from the 401 nm transition, and the shadow image is received by the CCD camera. After some few μs , a second picture is taken with an exactly equal light pulse. And finally, a third picture is taken with no light pulse. These three images allow to generate a density image of the atomic cloud. Figure taken from [31]

Bragg scattering of ultracold erbium atoms

Bibliography

- [1] C. Mosander, XXX. *On the new metals, lanthanum and didymium, which are associated with cerium; and on erbium and terbium, new metals associated with yttria*, *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* **23** (1843) 241 (cit. on p. 3).
- [2] W. Klemm and H. Bommer, *Zur Kenntnis der Metalle der seltenen Erden*, *Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie* **231** (1937) 138 (cit. on p. 3).
- [3] J. Emsley, *The Elements (Oxford Chemistry Guides)*, 3rd ed., Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press, 1998, ISBN: 978-0198558194 (cit. on p. 3).
- [4] J. E. Sansonetti and W. C. Martin, *Handbook of Basic Atomic Spectroscopic Data*, *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* **34** (2005) 1559 (cit. on p. 4).
- [5] H. Y. Ban et al., *Laser cooling transitions in atomic erbium*, *Opt. Express* **13** (2005) 3185 (cit. on pp. 3, 4).
- [6] X. Cui et al., *Synthetic gauge field with highly magnetic lanthanide atoms*, *Phys. Rev. A* **88** (1 2013) 011601 (cit. on p. 3).
- [7] R. Mears et al., *Low-noise erbium-doped fibre amplifier operating at 1.54 μ m*, English, *Electronics Letters* **23** (19 1987) 1026, ISSN: 0013-5194 (cit. on p. 4).
- [8] J. Emsley, *Nature's building blocks: an AZ guide to the elements*, Oxford University Press, 2011 (cit. on p. 4).
- [9] J. J. McClelland, *Natural linewidth of the 401-nm laser-cooling transition in Er I*, *Phys. Rev. A* **73** (6 2006) 064502 (cit. on p. 4).
- [10] J. E. Lawler, J.-F. Wyart and E. A. D. Hartog, *Atomic transition probabilities of Er I*, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **43** (2010) 235001 (cit. on p. 4).
- [11] E. A. D. Hartog, J. P. Chisholm and J. E. Lawler, *Radiative lifetimes of neutral erbium*, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **43** (2010) 155004 (cit. on p. 4).
- [12] R. J. Lipert and S. C. Lee, *Isotope shifts and hyperfine structure of erbium, dysprosium, and gadolinium by atomic-beam diode-laser spectroscopy*, *Applied Physics B* **57** (1993) 373, ISSN: 1432-0649 (cit. on p. 4).
- [13] A. Kramida, Y. Ralchenko and J. Reader, *NIST Atomic Spectra Database (version 5.8)*, 2020, URL: <https://physics.nist.gov/asd> (cit. on p. 5).
- [14] W. Pauli, *Über den Zusammenhang des Abschlusses der Elektronengruppen im Atom mit der Komplexstruktur der Spektren*, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **31** (1925) 765, ISSN: 0044-3328 (cit. on p. 5).

- [15] Bose, *Plancks Gesetz und Lichtquantenhypothese*, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **26** (1924) 178, ISSN: 0044-3328 (cit. on p. 6).
- [16] A. Einstein, *Quantentheorie des einatomigen idealen Gases*, *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* **22** (1924) 261 (cit. on p. 6).
- [17] A. Einstein, *Quantentheorie des einatomigen idealen Gases. Zweite Abhandlung*, *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* **50** (1925) 3 (cit. on p. 6).
- [18] M. Ueda, *Fundamentals and New Frontiers of Bose-Einstein Condensation*, WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2010 (cit. on pp. 6, 7).
- [19] L. de Broglie, *The reinterpretation of wave mechanics*, *Foundations of Physics* **1** (1970) 5, ISSN: 1572-9516 (cit. on p. 7).
- [20] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose–Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2008 (cit. on p. 7).
- [21] J. Ullrich, *Erzeugung eines Bose-Einstein-Kondensats aus Erbiumatomen in einer quasi-elektrostatischen Dipolfalle*, PhD thesis: Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2016 (cit. on pp. 7, 12, 13, 16, 17).
- [22] T. Hänsch and A. Schawlow, *Cooling of gases by laser radiation*, *Optics Communications* **13** (1975) 68, ISSN: 0030-4018 (cit. on p. 9).
- [23] H. J. Metcalf and P. van der Straten, *Laser Cooling and Trapping*, 1st ed., Springer, New York, 1999, ISBN: 978-1-4612-1470-0 (cit. on pp. 10–14, 16).
- [24] J. Dalibard and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, *Laser cooling below the Doppler limit by polarization gradients: simple theoretical models*, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **6** (1989) 2023 (cit. on p. 11).
- [25] P. Zeeman, *The Effect of Magnetisation on the Nature of Light Emitted by a Substance*, *Nature* **55** (1897) 347, ISSN: 1476-4687 (cit. on p. 12).
- [26] M. Rehberger, *Ultracold Erbium Atoms in Far-Detuned Optical Traps - Construction of a Zeeman-Slower*, MA thesis: Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2013 (cit. on pp. 12, 16).
- [27] R. V. Röhl, *Ultracold erbium atoms in a quasi-electrostatic optical dipole trap*, MA thesis: Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2016 (cit. on pp. 13, 16, 17).
- [28] T. Takekoshi, J. Yeh and R. Knize, *Quasi-electrostatic trap for neutral atoms*, *Optics Communications* **114** (1995) 421, ISSN: 0030-4018 (cit. on p. 15).
- [29] R. Grimm, M. Weidemüller and Y. B. Ovchinnikov, *Optical Dipole Traps for Neutral Atoms*, *Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics* **42** (2000) 95, ed. by B. Bederson and H. Walther, ISSN: 1049-250X (cit. on p. 15).
- [30] N. Masuhara et al., *Evaporative Cooling of Spin-Polarized Atomic Hydrogen*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (8 1988) 935 (cit. on p. 16).
- [31] H. Brammer, *Ultrakalte Erbiumatome in einer CO₂-Laser Dipolfalle*, PhD thesis: Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2016 (cit. on p. 17).

APPENDIX **A**

Useful information

In the appendix you usually include extra information that should be documented in your thesis, but not interrupt the flow.

List of Figures

2.1	Erbium energy scheme	5
2.2	Scheme of the experimental setup	8
2.3	Oven, transversal cooling and zeeman slower schemes	9
2.4	Technical drawing of the main chamber	14
2.5	Atomic scheme of energies inside the MOT for a $J = 0 \rightarrow J' = 1$ transition in the z axis	14
2.6	Evaporative cooling scheme	16
2.7	Scheme of the absorption imaging process	17

List of Tables

2.1	Table with the stable isotopes of Erbium	4
2.2	Spectroscopic data for the optical transitions of Erbium	4

Acronyms

AI	absorption imaging
AOM	acousto-optical modulator
BEC	Bose-Einstein condensate
DFC	dual filament effusion cell
EC	effusion cell
HL	hot lip
MOT	magneto-optical trap
ODT	optical dipole trap
QUEST	Quasi-electrostatic trap
RMS	root mean squared
TC	transversal cooling
UHV	ultra-high vacuum
ZS	zeeman slower