

Sailing the High Seas: A Christian Exploration of Piracy

Pedro J. Avalos Jiménez, Niklas Anderson

Wheaton College
April 8, 2024

1 Introduction

1.1 Background Information

[T]hat ideas should freely spread from one to another over the globe, for the moral and mutual instruction of man, and improvement of his condition, seems to have been peculiarly and benevolently designed by nature, when she made them, like fire, expansible over all space, without lessening their density at any point, and like the air in which we breathe, move, and have our physical being, incapable of confinement or exclusive appropriation. Inventions then cannot, in nature, be a subject of property. Thomas Jefferson [1]

It is generally accepted that piracy is an illegal activity, but what is often overlooked is the legitimate role that piracy plays in a variety of contexts. When it comes to subverting oppressive regimes, for instance, the tools that piracy provides are indispensable and for protecting the interests of consumers from predatory business practices, the abilities of piracy are unmatched.

Needless to say, with every unregulated system comes abuse and piracy is no exception. On top of that, piracy, on an individual level, is extremely difficult to combat because of the immaterial nature of the items that would need to be protected. Ideas flow freely between people especially when the Internet is involved, so stopping this flow becomes an exponentially more difficult problem the more people have the restricted data. The ease with which ideas propagate lead people like Thomas Jefferson to claim that they are “incapable of confinement or exclusive appropriation.” Consequently, two parties form: those that support piracy for its free and open spread of all information and those that see piracy as a threat to the further advancement of technology and ideas.

Before proceeding further into the issue of piracy, it is important to have a grasp of what piracy means insofar as how this paper interprets it. The dictionary defines piracy as “the unauthorized use of another’s production, invention, or conception especially in infringement of a copyright.” While this covers the technical definition of piracy, this paper elects to utilize a more wholistic definition. Piracy not only includes the unauthorized use of someone’s work,

but it includes the tools and techniques that are commonly used to accomplish this task such as torrent trackers, VPNs, and torrent clients.

As a Christian, how should one approach such a tool and how can it be utilized for the betterment of God's kingdom? In the paper that follows, piracy will be analyzed through a number of lenses, culminating in a Christian response to this issue. In doing so, the hope is that the reader attains a fresh, wholistic understanding of the potential value of piracy.

1.2 Thesis/Argument

Piracy should not be hastily thrown aside. Piracy is an excellent tool for disseminating information, services, content, &c. that may not have been available any other way.

2 Arguments For Piracy

As mentioned above, even though piracy is illegal, there is still merit to the tools of piracy and the values behind them. The merits of piracy can be divided into three realms: equal access to information, governance, and privacy.

2.1 Equal Access

At its core, piracy is primarily concerned with accessing information of all kinds, regardless of whether someone is authorized to access that information or not. This is what piracy is best at and, contrary to popular belief, it is not always a bad thing.

Take the restricted access to academic journal articles, for instance. Many academic journals require all readers to pay not an insignificant sum to access research articles that could contain insight that is valuable to the human race as a whole. Because of the high cost of entry, certain groups are automatically excluded from reading and building upon the research that has already been done. John Barlow puts the situation eloquently in *Selling Wine Without Bottles: The Economy of the Mind on the Global Net* when he says, "I am not comfortable with a model which will restrict inquiry to the wealthy." [1] In the case of academic journal articles, why shouldn't anyone be able to better themselves by consuming quality research articles? All humans should be allowed to continue to learn more about God's creation without being bound by their financial status. Just as how someone can go to the library and checkout any book that tickles their fancy, they should be freely able to learn from the ongoing research of our world. Of course one should recognize that not all information is safe or helpful to be freely available on the internet, but that is a topic for a later section.

A more concrete instance of where paywalls can be directly harmful to the human race is with regards to medical research. Till et al. stresses how important access to medical research is in their article *Who is pirating medical literature? A bibliometric review of 28 million Sci-Hub downloads*.

Access to the medical literature is essential for both the practice of evidence-based medicine and meaningful contribution to medical sciences. Nonetheless, only 12% of newly published papers are freely accessible online, and, as of 2014,

only 3 million of the 26.3 million articles indexed on PubMed were available on the site's repository of free materials, PubMed Central. Access to paywall-protected literature remains primarily through institutional subscriptions. Such subscriptions are costly and many struggle to afford access. The result is a disparity in access to the medical literature, particularly for those in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs). [2]

According to Till et al. the high subscription cost to access medical journal articles means that those in less advantaged countries cannot afford access to the material, which is detrimental to the furthering of medical sciences. In light of this, it should come as no surprise that "Nearly 1 million articles published by medical journals are downloaded on Sci-Hub each month." [2] Piracy enables the less fortunate countries to access valuable medical data that is essential to furthering medical research for the human race. In such a specific case as this, it should not be controversial to conclude that piracy is a good thing.

1. Piracy allows equal access to information
2. "I am not comfortable with a model which will restrict inquiry to the wealthy." [1]
3. Increased prices are an artificial barrier to access certain information. Piracy provides a circumvention to said artificial barrier. Piracy gives consumers a way to fight systems they see as unfair/censorship. Piracy is a tool of the consumer to control/balance the economy [3], [4]
4. People pirate medical content. [2] Medical information is valuable to everyone. Having medical information is crucial to advancements in medicine, but sometimes the cost is too high. The paywalls, in this case, are directly harmful to ends that are beneficial to everyone.

2.2 Governance

1. Dissemination of information through means of piracy and torrenting gives access to those within areas where Internet shutdowns are commonplace.
2. Piracy provides a way around an oppressive regime, which are on the rise. [5]
3. IP laws arbitrarily restrict free speech / invention just because someone came up with it first. [6, p. 1339] They are based on the assumption that, in doing so, they are encouraging creativity (to be first to invent/create), when, in reality, creativity doesn't always come first, but may rather be an improvement upon the established. The most creative solution is not always the first one. IP laws and restrictions block slight innovations upon the existing intellectual property.

2.3 Privacy

1. Piracy gives you access to cracked versions of software that provide more sensible privacy/freedom than what is accessible legally. [7]

3 Arguments Against Piracy

3.1 Access to Dangerous/Illegal Content

1. Piracy generally enables free/open access to information.
2. This can be a bad thing for dangerous information that can threaten lives/livelihoods.
3. Piracy would facilitate the spread of dangerous information concerning national security, with few tools to mitigate and almost none to stop it.
4. Piracy provides the means to access content that is questionable/illegal.
5. When you are pirating, you need to be extremely careful with what you are getting. Not all sources are trusted sources; software can be infected with malware.
6. Information and content can be dispersed by bad actors.

3.2 Economic

1. Businesses are losing money because of acts of piracy.
2. Piracy is theft, in this sense. It is taking away from employee benefits and potential tax revenue for the government.
3. Piracy takes money from creators and organizations behind content creation. [8]
4. “According to Gould and Gruben (1996), IPRs protection stimulates economic growth if it is accompanied by a policy of trade liberalization. By encouraging initiatives to innovate, IPRs protection may influence the economic growth of an open country. Park and Ginarte (1997) found that IPRs protection affects economic growth indirectly by stimulating the accumulation of factors of production such as physical capital and R&D capital.” [9]

Piracy discourages innovation in the capitalist marketplace.

4 Christian Response

1. Drawing distinctions: Paywall to information is different from withholding information for sake of security
2. Paywalls provide the means of living for artists, writers, &c. Providing ways to circumvent these when unnecessary may hinder their livelihoods.
3. Betterment of mankind as a whole, should not be behind a paywall
4. Piracy provides an avenue for missionary outreach; piracy provides means of secret dissemination within an oppressive regime.
5. Piracy provides the means for access to content/information without much accountability

5 Conclusion

5.1 Final Thoughts / Responses

1. In response to economic argument: Would the pirate have bought the product/service in the first place?

5.2 Applications

5.3 Further Work

References

- [1] J. P. Barlow. “Selling wine without bottles, The economy of mind on the global net.” (), [Online]. Available: <https://www.eff.org/pages/selling-wine-without-bottles-economy-mind-global-net>.
- [2] B. M. Till, N. Rudolfson, S. Saluja, *et al.*, “Who is pirating medical literature? a bibliometric review of 28 million sci-hub downloads,” eng, *The Lancet global health*, vol. 7, no. 1, e30–e31, 2019, ISSN: 2214-109X.
- [3] R. Darnton, *Pirating and publishing : the book trade in the age of Enlightenment*, eng. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2021 - 2021, ISBN: 9780195144529.
- [4] J. Bohannon, “Who’s downloading pirated papers? everyone,” *Science*, vol. 352, no. 6285, pp. 508–512, 2016. DOI: 10.1126/science.352.6285.508. eprint: <https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.352.6285.508>. [Online]. Available: <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.352.6285.508>.
- [5] “Shutdowns,” *The Current*, 4. [Online]. Available: <https://current.withgoogle.com/the-current/shutdown/>.
- [6] M. A. Lemley, “Faith-based intellectual property,” *UCLA Law Review*, vol. 62, pp. 1328–1346, 5 Jun. 2015.
- [7] R. Stallman. “The right to read.” (), [Online]. Available: <https://www.gnu.org/philosophy/right-to-read.html>.
- [8] *Pirating the American dream intellectual property theft’s impact on America’s place in the global economy and strategies for improving enforcement : hearing before the Subcommittee on Security and International Trade and Finance of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, United States Senate, One Hundred Ninth Congress, second session [i.e. One Hundred Tenth Congress, first session] ... Thursday, April 12, 2007.* (S. hrg. ; 110-912), eng. Washington: U.S. G.P.O., 2009.
- [9] F. Mrad, “The effects of intellectual property rights protection in the technology transfer context on economic growth: The case of developing countries,” *Journal of Innovation Economics & Management*, vol. 23, pp. 33–57, 2 2017. DOI: 10.3917/jie.023.0033. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cairn.info/revue-journal-of-innovation-economics-2017-2-page-33.htm>.
- [10] G. J. Michael, “Catholic thought and intellectual property, Learning from the ethics of obligation,” *Journal of Law and Religion*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 415–451, 2009, ISSN: 07480814. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20789489> (visited on 01/30/2024).
- [11] R. Bernstein, “Elsewhere in science: Pirating papers, handling harassment, and more,” eng, *Science (American Association for the Advancement of Science)*, 2016, ISSN: 0036-8075.
- [12] I. Phau, M. Teah, and M. Lwin, “Pirating pirates of the caribbean: The curse of cyberspace,” eng, *Journal of marketing management*, vol. 30, no. 3-4, pp. 312–333, 2014, ISSN: 0267-257X.

- [13] I. Dombrovsky, “Pirating modernism: Without copyrights by robert spoo,” eng, *Journal of Modern Literature*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 171–179, 2016, issn: 0022-281X.
- [14] C. Zeller, “From the gene to the globe: Extracting rents based on intellectual property monopolies,” eng, *Review of international political economy : RIPE*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 86–115, 2008, issn: 0969-2290.
- [15] E. Colombatto, *The Elgar companion to the economics of property rights*, eng. Cheltenham, UK ; E. Elgar, 2004, isbn: 1840649941.
- [16] R. F. Cochran and Z. R. Calo, *Agape, justice, and law : how might Christian love shape law?* (Law and Christianity), eng. Cambridge, United Kingdom ; Cambridge University Press, 2017 - 2017, isbn: 9781107175280.
- [17] C. Kiedaisch, “Growth and welfare effects of intellectual property rights when consumers differ in income,” eng, *Economic theory*, vol. 72, no. 4, pp. 1121–1170, 2021, issn: 0938-2259.