Seminar on Condensed Mathematics Talk 2: Condensed Abelian Groups

Pedro Núñez

17th October 2019

Contents

1 The category of condensed abelian groups

1

1 The category of condensed abelian groups

Recall from the previous talk:

Definition 1.1. The *pro-étale site* of a point, denoted $*_{prot}$, consists of:

- The category whose objects are profinite sets S (topological spaces homeomorphic to an inverse limit of finite discrete topological spaces, a.k.a. totally disconnected compact Hausdorff spaces) and whose morphisms are continuous maps.
- The coverings of a profinite set S are all finite families of jointly surjective maps, i.e. all families of morphisms $\{S_i \to S\}_{i \in I}$ indexed by finite sets J such that $\sqcup_{i \in I} S_i \to S$ is surjective.

It is easy to check that the axioms of a covering family are satisfied (see [Sta19, Tag 00VH]), so we have a well-defined site. Let us start by characterizing sheaves on this site:

Lemma 1.2. A contravariant functor T from $*_{pro\acute{e}t}$ to the category of abelian groups Ab is a sheaf if and only if the following three conditions hold:

- a) $T(\emptyset) = 0$.
- b) For all profinite sets S_1 and S_2 , the inclusions $i_1: S_1 \to S_1 \sqcup S_2$ and $i_2: S_2 \to S_1 \sqcup S_2$ induce a group isomorphism

$$T(S_1 \sqcup S_2) \xrightarrow{T(i_1) \times T(i_2)} T(S_1) \oplus T(S_2).$$

c) Every surjection $f: S' \to S$ induces a group isomorphism

$$T(S) \xrightarrow{T(f)} \{g \in T(S') \mid T(p_1)(g) = T(p_2)(g) \in T(S' \times_S S')\},\$$

where $p_1, p_2 : S' \times_S S' \to S'$ denote the projections.

Proof. By definition, a functor T is a sheaf on $*_{pro\acute{e}t}$ if and only if for every finite family $\{S_i \to S\}_{i \in I}$ of jointly surjective morphisms the diagram

$$T(S) \to \prod_{i \in I} T(S_i) \stackrel{p_1^*}{\underset{p_2^*}{\Longrightarrow}} \prod_{(i,j) \in I^2} T(S_i \times_S S_j)$$

is exact, meaning that the left arrow is an equalizer of the two arrows on the right, which are explicitly described below. Since we are in $\mathcal{A}b$ and I is a finite set, we can reformulate this as the sequence

$$0 \to T(S) \to \bigoplus_{i \in I} T(S_i) \xrightarrow{p_1^* - p_2^*} \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in I^2} T(S_i \times_S S_j)$$
 (1)

being exact.

So assume first that 1 is exact. The empty set is covered by the empty family, so the $T(\varnothing)$ must be a subgroup of 0, hence 0 itself. The natural inclusions $S_i \to S_1 \sqcup S_2 = S$ cover S for $i \in I = \{1, 2\}$, so it suffices to show that $T(p_1) = T(p_2)$ to verify b). Since $i_1(S_1) \cap i_2(S_2) = \varnothing$, we have $S_1 \times_S S_2 = S_2 \times_S S_1 = \varnothing$. So if $(g, h) \in T(S_1) \oplus T(S_2)$, then

$$\begin{split} p_1^*(g,h) &= (T(p_1^{S_1 \times_S S_1})(g), T(p_1^{S_1 \times_S S_2})(g), T(p_1^{S_2 \times_S S_1})(h), T(p_1^{S_2 \times_S S_2})(h)) \\ &= (T(p_1^{S_1 \times_S S_1})(g), 0, 0, T(p_1^{S_2 \times_S S_2})(h)) \\ &= (T(p_2^{S_1 \times_S S_1})(g), T(p_2^{S_1 \times_S S_2})(h), T(p_2^{S_2 \times_S S_1})(g), T(p_2^{S_2 \times_S S_2})(h)) = p_2^*(g,h). \end{split}$$

To show c), note that S' B is already a cover, so the exactness of 1 immediately implies the result.

For the converse, suppose that $\{f_j\colon S_j\to S\}_{j\in J}$ is a collection of jointly surjective morphisms indexed by $J=\{1,\ldots,m\}$. We have then a surjection $f\colon S\twoheadrightarrow S$, where $S':=\sqcup_{j=1}^m S_j$ and $f:=\sqcup_{j=1}^m f_j$. By c) we can write $T(S)=\{g\in T(S')\mid T(p_1^{S'\times_S S'})(g)=T(p_2^{S'\times_S S'})(g)\}$. But $S'\times_S S'=\sqcup_{(a,b)\in J^2}S_a\times_S S_b$ and $p_\varepsilon^{S'\times_S S'}=\sqcup_{(a,b)\in J^2}i_a\circ p_\varepsilon^{S_a\times_S S_b}$ for $\varepsilon\in\{1,2\}$ and for $i_a\colon S_a\to S'$ the inclusions, so condition b) allows us to rewrite this as

$$T(S) = \bigcap_{(a,b)\in J^2} \{ (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in T(S_1) \oplus \dots \oplus T(S_m) \mid P_{a,b}(g_a, g_b) \}$$

with $P_{a,b}(g_a,g_b) :\equiv T(p_1^{S_a \times_S S_b})(g_a) = T(p_2^{S_a \times_S S_b})(g_b)$, which is what we wanted.

Definition 1.3. A condensed abelian group is a sheaf of abelian groups on $*_{pro\acute{e}t}$. We denote the category of condensed abelian groups by Cond(Ab).

From lemma 1.2 we deduce:

Example 1.4. Let G be a topological abelian group. Then the functor $G = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{T}op}(-,G) \colon *_{pro\acute{e}t} \to \mathcal{A}b$ is a condensed abelian group. Conditions a) and b) in lemma 1.2 are clear. For condition c), let $f \colon S' \to S$ be a surjection. We want to show that $f^* \colon \underline{G}(S) \to \underline{G}(S')$ induces an isomorphism between the set of continuous maps $g \colon S \to G$ and the set of continuous maps $h \colon S' \to G$ such that $h \circ p_1 = h \circ p_2$. The image of f^* is indeed contained in the set of all such maps, because $f \circ p_1 = f \circ p_2$. Moreover, since f is an epimorphism, f^* is injective. So it only remains to show surjectivity. Let $h \colon S' \to G$ be a map such that $h \circ p_1 = h \circ p_2$. For each $s \in S$, let g(s) := h(s') for some $s' \in f^{-1}(\{s\})$. This is well-defined in Set, because if f(s') = f(s'') = s, then $(s', s'') \in S' \times_S S'$, and thus we can write

$$g(s) = h(s') = h \circ p_1(s', s'') = h \circ p_2(s', s'') = h(s'').$$

But in fact it is a morphism in $\mathcal{T}op$. Indeed, S carries the quotient topology induced by f, so $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = h^{-1}(U)$ being open in S' for all U open in G implies that $g^{-1}(U)$ is open in S for all U open in G.

Recall that a category \mathcal{C} is called *abelian* if it satisfies the following properties:

- i) There exists a zero object $0 \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$.
- ii) For all $A, B \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, their product $A \stackrel{p_A}{\longleftarrow} A \times B \xrightarrow{p_B} B$ and their coproduct $A \stackrel{i_A}{\longrightarrow} A \sqcup B \stackrel{i_B}{\longleftarrow} B$ exist in \mathcal{C} and the canonical morphism $A \sqcup B \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_A \times 0) \sqcup (0 \times \text{id}_B)} A \times B$ is an isomorphism¹ in \mathcal{C} .
- iii) Every morphisms has a kernel and a cokernel in C.
- iv) Every monomorphism in C is a kernel and every epimorphism in C is a cokernel.

¹We identify $A \sqcup B$ with $A \times B$ via this isomorphism and call the result the *direct sum* of A and B, denoted $A \oplus B$.

In particular, $\mathcal C$ is naturally an additive category and all finite limits and colimits exist in $\mathcal C$.

Recall also Grothendieck's axioms:

(AB3) All colimits exist.

(AB3*) All limits exist.

(AB4) (AB3) holds and all coproducts are exact.

(AB4*) (AB3*) holds and all products are exact.

(AB5) (AB3) holds and filtered colimits are exact.

(AB6) (AB3) holds and for any family $\{I_j\}_{j\in J}$ of filtered categories indexed by a set J with functors $F_j\colon I_j\to \mathfrak{C}$ the canonical morphism

$$\varinjlim_{(i_j \in \overrightarrow{I_j})_j} \prod_{j \in J} F_j(i_j) \to \prod_{j \in J} \varinjlim_{i_j \in \overrightarrow{I_j}} F_j(i_j)$$

is an isomorphism in C.

Remark 1.5. To check (AB3) on an abelian category it suffices to show that arbitrary coproducts exist, since we can build any colimit from coproducts and coequalizers, and similarly for the dual statement (AB3*). Colimits preserve colimits because the colimit functor is left adjoint to the diagonal functor. In particular coproducts are always right exact, so to check (AB4) on an abelian category satisfying (AB3) it suffices to check that coproducts preserve monomorphisms, and similarly for the dual statement (AB4*).

The main goal of this talk is to show that the category Cond(Ab) is an abelian category which verifies all the previous axioms. Except for axioms (AB4*) and (AB6), this is a general fact which holds on any category of sheaves of abelian groups on a site, but we will still give an explicit proof in our situation. This will be much easier after we express Cond(Ab) as the category of sheaves of abelian groups on a simpler site.

Definition 1.6. A compact Hausdorff² topological space is called *extremally disconnected* if the closure of every open set is again open.

Equivalently, a compact Hausdorff topological space is extremally disconnected if the closures of every pair of disjoint open sets are also disjoint. Therefore extremally disconnected spaces are totally disconnected compact Hausdorff spaces, hence profinite spaces. The converse is not true:

²If we do not require Hausdorffness, an extremally disconnected space could be very connected, e.g. any irreducible topological space.

Example 1.7. In an extremally disconnected space every convergent sequence is eventually constant (see [Gle58, Theorem 1.3]), so the p-adic integers are profinite but not extremally disconnected, because $(p^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to 0.

Extremally disconnected spaces are precisely the projective objects in the category $\mathcal{CH}aus$ of compact Hausdorff spaces (see [Gle58, Theorem 2.5]). Since pullbacks exist and preserve epimorphisms (i.e. surjective continuous functions³) in $\mathcal{CH}aus$, a compact Hausdorff space S is extremally disconnected if and only if any surjection $S' \to S$ from a compact Hausdorff space admits a section:



The inclusion functor $U \colon \mathfrak{CH}aus \to \mathfrak{T}op$ has a left adjoint $\beta \colon \mathfrak{T}op \to \mathfrak{CH}aus$ called the Stone- $\check{C}ech$ compactification. If X is a discrete topological space, then βX is extremally disconnected, because if $S \twoheadrightarrow \beta X$ is a continuous surjection from a compact Hausdorff topological space we may first lift the canonical map $X \to \beta X$ to S and then extend it to a section from βX by its universal property. In particular, every compact Hausdorff space admits a surjection $\beta(X_{disc}) \twoheadrightarrow X$ from an extremally disconnected space. This has the following consequence:

Proposition 1.8. Cond(Ab) is equivalent to the category of sheaves of abelian groups on the site of extremally disconnected spaces via the restriction from profinite sets.

References

- [Gle58] Andrew M. Gleason. Projective topological spaces. *Illinois J. Math.*, 2(4A):482–489, 11 1958.
- [Sta19] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. https://stacks.math.columbia.edu, 2019.

³Urysohn's lemma implies that epimorphisms in the category of compact Hausdorff spaces are precisely surjective continuous functions, which is not true in the whole category of Hausdorff spaces (e.g. the inclusion of the complement of a point in the real line).