

Seesaw Principle and Theorem of the Cube

Remarks on Section I.5 of Milne's *Abelian Varieties*

University of Freiburg

26th May 2020

Seesaw Principle — Idea

Theorem (Weil)

A limit of trivial line bundles on a complete variety is again trivial.

Seesaw Principle — Idea

Theorem (Weil)

A limit of trivial line bundles on a complete variety is again trivial.



making this idea more precise

Theorem (Statement for varieties over $k = \bar{k}$)

V complete, T any (“parameter space”), $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(V \times T)$. Then

$$Z := \{t \in T \mid \mathcal{L}_t \text{ is trivial}\} \hookrightarrow T$$

is closed and $\mathcal{L}|_{V \times Z}$ is the pullback of a line bundle on Z .

Seesaw Principle — Idea

Theorem (Weil)

A limit of trivial line bundles on a complete variety is again trivial.

↓ making this idea more precise

Theorem (Statement for varieties over $k = \bar{k}$)

V complete, T any (“parameter space”), $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(V \times T)$. Then

$$Z := \{t \in T \mid \mathcal{L}_t \text{ is trivial}\} \hookrightarrow T$$

is closed and $\mathcal{L}|_{V \times Z}$ is the pullback of a line bundle on Z .

This is the conclusion of the subsection on the seesaw principle in [Mil08], which can be read independently. This statement will be used to **reduce** the Theorem of the Cube to an easier case.

Seesaw Principle — Generalisation

Theorem ([Bha17, Theorem 6.3] or [Fra18, Theorem 5])

Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper flat morphism of (locally) noetherian schemes with geometrically integral fibres and let $\mathcal{L} \in X$. Then

$$Z := \{s \in S \mid \mathcal{L}_s \text{ is trivial}\} \hookrightarrow S$$

and $\mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(Z_{\text{red}})} = f^ \mathcal{M}_0$ for some $\mathcal{M}_0 \in \text{Pic}(Z_{\text{red}})$. Moreover, there exists a (unique) closed subscheme structure on Z such that $\mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(Z)} = f^* \mathcal{M}$ for some $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(Z)$ and Z is universal amongst all (locally) noetherian S -schemes with this property.*

Seesaw Principle — Generalisation

Theorem ([Bha17, Theorem 6.3] or [Fra18, Theorem 5])


Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper flat morphism of (locally) noetherian schemes with geometrically integral fibres and let $\mathcal{L} \in X$. Then

$$Z := \{s \in S \mid \mathcal{L}_s \text{ is trivial}\} \hookrightarrow S$$


and $\mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(Z_{\text{red}})} = f^ \mathcal{M}_0$ for some $\mathcal{M}_0 \in \text{Pic}(Z_{\text{red}})$. Moreover, there exists a (unique) closed subscheme structure on Z such that $\mathcal{L}|_{f^{-1}(Z)} = f^* \mathcal{M}$ for some $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(Z)$ and Z is universal amongst all (locally) noetherian S -schemes with this property.*

Brian Conrad proves this using existence and separatedness of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ [Con15, Theorem 3.1.1]: \mathcal{O}_X gives us $[\mathcal{O}_X]: S \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}$, whose image we identify with S , and then $Z = [\mathcal{L}](S) \cap [\mathcal{O}_X](S)$. Conversely, assuming $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ exists, the seesaw theorem implies that it is separated [Fra18, Remark 2.4.2] (automatic if $S = k$).

Seesaw Principle — Particular case in a picture




Seesaw Principle — Particular case in a picture



We have some $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X \times Y)$. We can think of \mathcal{L} as a weight distribution on the two extremes X and Y of this seesaw: the more points $x \in X$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{\{x\} \times Y}$ is trivial, the heavier \mathcal{L} sits on X .

Seesaw Principle — Particular case in a picture



We have some $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X \times Y)$. We can think of \mathcal{L} as a weight distribution on the two extremes X and Y of this seesaw: the more points $x \in X$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{\{x\} \times Y}$ is trivial, the heavier \mathcal{L} sits on X . In this case, let's say, we have $\mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{y\}}$ trivial for all $y \in Y$. Then \mathcal{L} sits with full weight on Y , so the seesaw principle tells us that there must be some line bundle on Y from which \mathcal{L} is the pullback.


Theorem of the Cube — Statement

Theorem (Statement for varieties over $k = \bar{k}$)

X, Y complete, Z any and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X \times Y \times Z)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}|_{X \times Y \times \{z_0\}}, \mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{y_0\} \times Z} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}|_{\{x_0\} \times Y \times Z}$$

are trivial. Then \mathcal{L} is trivial.



If the restriction of $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\text{Cube})$ to each face XY , YZ and XZ is trivial, then \mathcal{L} is trivial.

Theorem of the Cube — Generalisation

As with the Seesaw principle, it is possible to generalise the Theorem of the Cube to the relative setting, see for example:

- [Bha17, Corollary 6.8] for proper flat schemes with geometrically integral fibres over a noetherian base.
- [Fra18, Theorem 9] for an abelian scheme over a locally noetherian base.

Theorem of the Cube — An application

One application deserving its own name is [Mil08, Theorem I.5.5]:

Theorem (Theorem of the Square for varieties over $k = \bar{k}$)

Let A be an abelian variety and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(A)$. For all $a \in A$ denote $t_a: A \rightarrow A$ the translation by a . Then for all $a, b \in A$ we have

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes t_{a+b}^* \mathcal{L} \cong t_a^* \mathcal{L} \otimes t_b^* \mathcal{L}.$$

Theorem of the Cube — An application

One application deserving its own name is [Mil08, Theorem I.5.5]:

Theorem (Theorem of the Square for varieties over $k = \bar{k}$)

Let A be an abelian variety and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(A)$. For all $a \in A$ denote $t_a: A \rightarrow A$ the translation by a . Then for all $a, b \in A$ we have

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes t_{a+b}^* \mathcal{L} \cong t_a^* \mathcal{L} \otimes t_b^* \mathcal{L}.$$

In the relative setting, LHS and RHS will differ by the pullback of a line bundle on the base scheme, so if $\text{Pic}(S) = 0$ we still have such an isomorphism but it is not canonical. On the other hand, the isomorphisms in [Mil08, Corollaries I.5.2–I.5.4] are canonical even in the relative setting, cf. [Fra18, Corollaries to Theorem 9].



References



Bhargav Bhatt.

Math 731: Topics in Algebraic Geometry I – Abelian Varieties, 2017.

Lecture notes by Matt Stevenson, available at umich.edu/~stevmatt.



Brian Conrad.

Abelian Varieties, 2015.

Lecture notes by Tony Feng, available at math.stanford.edu/~conrad.



Jens Franke.

Jacobians of Curves, 2018.

Lecture notes by Ferdinand Wagner, available at github.com/Nicholas42/AlgebraFranke.



James S. Milne.

Abelian Varieties (v2.00), 2008.

Available at jmilne.org/math.