ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY

PEDRO NÚÑEZ

CONTENTS

1.	Talk 1 — The Chow ring	1
2.	Talk 2 − Basic tools to compute Chow rings	3
References		3

Some suggestions that apply to all the talks:

- Follow 3264 and all that, by Eisenbud and Harris [EH16].
- Work only over \mathbb{C} , even if it is not necessary at many points.
- Try to avoid scheme-theoretic technical details.
- Try to draw many pictures and focus on examples.

But feel free to do otherwise if you want/need at some point!

1. Talk 1 -The Chow ring

1.1. **Algebraic varieties.** These have appeared a number of times in past iterations of the Wednesday seminar already, so hopefully we can keep this as a one line introduction/recollection. Roughly speaking, they are spaces glued from zero loci of polynomials which globally satisfy a certain Hausdorffness property. They are called *projective* if they can be embedded as a closed subset in some projective space, and *irreducible* if as a topological space they cannot be expressed as a union of two proper closed subsets. Every variety has a unique decomposition into irreducible components, so the word variety is reserved for irreducible varieties in [EH16].

1.2. The Chow group.

Definition 1.1 ([EH16, §1.2.1]). Let X be a variety. The *group of cycles* on X, denoted Z(X), is the free abelian group generated by the set of subvarieties of X.

Date: Winter Semester 2020/2021.

The author gratefully acknowledges support by the DFG-Graduiertenkolleg GK1821 "Cohomological Methods in Geometry" at the University of Freiburg.

Definition 1.2 ([EH16, §1.2.2]). Denote by $Rat(X) \subseteq Z(X)$ the subgroup generated by differences of the form

$$\Phi \cap (\{t_0\} \times X) - \Phi \cap (\{t_1\} \times X),$$

where $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ are two points and $\Phi \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ is a subvariety not contained in any fibre $\{t\} \times X$.

We say that two cycles $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Z(X)$ are *rationally equivalent* if their difference is in Rat(X). The quotient group Z(X)/Rat(X) is called the *Chow group* of X, denoted by A(X).

Remark 1.3.

- (1) It follows from Krull's principal ideal theorem that A(X) is still graded by dimension [EH16, Proposition 1.4], hence also by codimension. We denote by $A^c(X)$ the codimension c part.
- (2) One can equivalently describe Rat(X) as the subgroup generated by all divisors of rational functions on all subvarieties of X [EH16, Proposition 1.10]. In particular, linear and rational equivalence agree for divisors and

$$A^1(X) = \operatorname{Cl}(X).$$

1.3. Ring structure on the Chow group.

Remark 1.4 ([EH16, §1.2.1]). To any closed subscheme —which we can think of as a bunch of subvarieties each with some multiplicity— we can associate an obvious cycle, and the other way around. We can then use fibre products to define the scheme-theoretic intersection of two such closed subschemes, making sense of the intersection of two cycles.

Definition 1.5 ([EH16, §1.2.3]). Let X be a variety and let $A, B \subseteq X$ be subvarieties. We say that A and B intersect *transversely* at a point $p \in X$ if A, B and X are all smooth at p and

$$T_{p}A + T_{p}B = T_{p}X.$$

We say that A and B intersect *generically transversely* if they meet transversely at a general point of each irreducible component of the intersection $A \cap B$. Note that the intersection of two subvarieties need not be again irreducible, e.g. a circle and a line in the plane intersecting in two points.

We can naturally extend this definition to cycles.

Lemma 1.6 ([EH16, Theorem 1.6]). Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety.

- a) Every pair of equivalence classes $\alpha, \beta \in A(X)$ admits a pair of generically transverse representing cycles $A, B \in Z(X)$.
- b) The class $[A \cap B]$ is then independent of the choice of such representing cycles A and B.

Remark 1.7 ([EH16, p. 20]). The smoothness assumption is necessary.



Theorem 1.8 ([EH16, Theorem–Definition 1.5]). Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety. Then there is a unique product structure on A(X) such that

$$[A][B] = [A \cap B]$$

for every pair of generically transverse subvarieties $A, B \subseteq X$. Moreover, this product turns A(X) into a commutative ring graded by codimension, called the Chow ring of X.

- 1.4. Fundamental classes and Chow groups of affine spaces. The equivalence class [X] is called the *fundamental class* of X. We have $A^0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot [X]$ [EH16, Prop. 1.8], and in particular $A(X) \neq 0$. For affine space \mathbb{A}^n this is all there is: $A(\mathbb{A}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot [\mathbb{A}^n]$ [EH16, Prop. 1.13].
- 1.5. **Functoriality:** proper pushforward and flat pullback without getting into details [EH16, §1.3.6]. Degree map [EH16, Prop. 1.21]. [EH16, Thm. 1.23] without getting into the proof.
- 1.6. **Relation to other famous invariants (only if time permits).** Relation to *K*-theory, relation to singular cohomology (Hodge conjecture), motives... The Wikipedia page on Chow groups discusses all these relations in a summarised way.
 - 2. Talk 2 Basic tools to compute Chow rings
- 2.1. **Brief recollection of previous talk:** cycles and rational equivalence, functoriality.
- 2.2. **Mayer-Vietoris and excision.** in some detail [EH16, §1.3.4].
- 2.3. **Affine stratifications.** in some detail [EH16, §1.3.5].
- 2.4. Chow ring of projective space. [EH16, Thm. 2.1] and cool corollaries [EH16, Cor. 2.2 and Cor. 2.3]. State also Bézout's theorem, which is an immediate consequence of [EH16, Thm. 2.1].

REFERENCES

[EH16] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. 3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. ↑ (document), 1.1, 1.1, 1.2, 1, 2, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.4, 1.5, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4

Pedro Núñez

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Mathematisches Institut Ernst-Zermelo-Strasse 1,79104 Freiburg im Breisgau (Germany) Email address: pedro.nunez@math.uni-freiburg.de Homepage: https://home.mathematik.uni-freiburg.de/nunez