ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY

PEDRO NÚÑEZ

CONTENTS

1. Talk 1 − The Chow ring	1
References	2

1. Talk 1 -The Chow ring

1.1. **Algebraic varieties.** Roughly speaking, they are mathematical objects glued from zero loci of polynomials which globally satisfy a certain Hausdorffness property. They are called *projective* if they can be embedded as a closed subset in some projective space, and *irreducible* if as a topological space they cannot be expressed as a union of two proper closed subsets.

From now on we follow [Har77] and assume all varieties to be irreducible unless otherwise specified.

1.2. The Chow group.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a variety. The *group of cycles* on X, denoted Z(X), is the free abelian group generated by the set of subvarieties of X.

Example 1.2. If *X* is a curve, then any cycle $\xi \in Z(X)$ has the form

$$n_1P_1 + \ldots + n_rP_r + mX$$
,

where $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_1, \ldots, n_r, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $P_1, \ldots, P_r \in X$ are points.

Definition 1.3. Denote by $Rat(X) \subseteq Z(X)$ the subgroup generated by differences of the form

$$\Phi \cap (\{t_0\} \times X) - \Phi \cap (\{t_1\} \times X),$$

where $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ are two points and $\Phi \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1 \times X$ is a subvariety not contained in any fibre $\{t\} \times X$.

Date: Winter Semester 2020/2021.

The author gratefully acknowledges support by the DFG-Graduiertenkolleg GK1821 "Cohomological Methods in Geometry" at the University of Freiburg.

We say that two cycles $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in Z(X)$ are *rationally equivalent* if their difference is in Rat(X). The quotient group Z(X)/Rat(X) is called the *Chow group* of X, denoted by A(X).

Remark 1.4.

- (1) It follows from Krull's principal ideal theorem that A(X) is still graded by dimension [EH16, Proposition 1.4], hence also by codimension.
- (2) One can equivalently describe Rat(X) as the subgroup generated by all divisors of rational functions on all subvarieties of X [EH16, Proposition 1.10].
- (3) In particular, linear and rational equivalence agree for divisors and

$$A^1(X) = \operatorname{Cl}(X).$$

This explains —I think— the intuition for varying an effective divisor within its linear equivalence class as "moving it around our variety".

REFERENCES

- [EH16] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. 3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. ↑ 1, 2
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. ↑ 1.1