TALK ON SITES AND TOPOLOGIES

PEDRO NÚÑEZ

ABSTRACT. Notes for a talk on Sites and Topologies as part of the seminar on Topos theory and Logic organized by Luca Terenzi at the University of Freiburg during the Winter Term 2021/2022.

CONTENTS

The main references for this talk are [SGA4] and [Sta21].

0. NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

We will use the font **C**, **D**, ... for categories and the font $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$, ... for presheaves. We will use the font F, G, ... for functors which are not presheaves or which we are not interested in regarding as such. We will use greek letters for natural transformations. We will ignore most of the set theoretic issues.

We will sometimes depict categories as follows: objects are bullet points, morphisms are arrows and identities are not depicted at all. So for example

represents a category with three objects, three identity morphisms and two morphisms from two of the objects to the third object. This determines the category up to isomorphism of categories, so we will sometimes talk about *the* category represented by such a picture.

Caveat 1. Such a picture does not need to be a commutative diagram. More generally, a diagram will only be assumed to be commutative if we explicitly say so.

Here is a list with some categories that we might use:
- The category **Set** of sets.
- The category $\mathbf{Set}_*$ of pointed sets.
- The category **Cat** of categories and functors.
- The category **Grp** of groups.
- The category **Ab** of abelian groups.
- The category **Ring** of commutative rings with one and ring homomorphisms sending one to one.
- If R is a commutative ring with one, then we consider the categories $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ and $R\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ of R-modules and finitely generated R-modules respectively.
- The category **Top** of topological spaces.
- The category $\mathbf{Top}_*$ of pointed topological spaces.
- The category **Sch** of schemes and its full subcategory **Aff** of affine schemes.
- If $\mathbf{C}$ is a category and X is an object in $\mathbf{C}$, then $\mathbf{C}_X$ is the category of objects in $\mathbf{C}$ over X, whose objects are morhpisms $Y \to X$ in $\mathbf{C}$ and whose morphisms are morphisms $Y \to Y'$ in $\mathbf{C}$ making the corresponding triangle commute.
- If $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are two categories, then $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$ is the category of functors between them, with morphisms given by natural transformations.
- If $\mathbf{C}$ is a category, then $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ denotes its opposite category.
- If $\mathbf{C}$ is a category, then $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C}) := \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Set})$ is the category of presheaves on $\mathbf{C}$.
- If X is a topological space, then $\mathbf{Op}(X)$ denotes the category corresponding to the partially ordered set of open subsets of X and $\mathbf{PSh}(X) := \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Op}(X))$ denotes the corresponding category of presheaves.

1. Recollections from previous talks

1.1. Limits. The limit construction takes as input a bunch of objects and morphisms between them in some category $\mathbf{C}$ and gives as an output a new object together with compatible morphisms to each of the previous objects having a nice universal property, which we can think of as this object being the largest possible object with such properties. We need to make a few things precise here:

- Let us start by making the sentence "a bunch of objects and morphisms between them in some category $\mathbf{C}$" precise. We want to consider something like

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
& & B \\
& & \downarrow \\
C & \longrightarrow & A
\end{array}
$$

or maybe something without any morphisms like

$$A \qquad B$$

or maybe even something without anything at all. We can make this notion precise using set theoretic language, e.g., a set of objects in $\mathbf{C}$ and a set of objects and a set of morphisms between them. But we want to make this notion precise using categorical language instead. A neat way to do this is by considering such a bunch of objects and morphisms in $\mathbf{C}$ as the image of a functor from some other category. This leads to the notion of a *diagram* in $\mathbf{C}$, which is simply a functor $F\colon \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{C}$. The previous three examples would correspond to taking $\mathbf{I}$ as the category

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \bullet \\
& & \downarrow \\
\bullet & \longrightarrow & \bullet
\end{array}
$$

or the category ($\bullet \quad \bullet$) or the empty category respectively.

So the input of the limit construction is a diagram, i.e., a functor.

- Let us discuss the sentence "a new object together with compatible morphisms to each of the previous objects" now. Given a diagram $F\colon \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{C}$ in $\mathbf{C}$, we want its limit to be an object in $\mathbf{C}$ together with morphisms to each object in the diagram making this new diagram commute when starting from this object. Again, we could make this precise using set theory, but it is more natural to continue using categorical language instead. A way to phrase this in categorical terms is using the notion of a *cone* over the diagram F. We first define the auxiliary category $C(\mathbf{I})$ as the category obtained from adding a new object to $\mathbf{I}$ (together with the corresponding identity morphism) and adding a single morphism from this new object to every object in the old category $\mathbf{I}$. We can compose these new morphisms with the old ones, but since we have only added a single morphism from the new object to each old object, every composition starting from the new object and finishing in some other object has to agree and be equal to the single morphism that we added. A *cone* over F is then a diagram $C\colon C(\mathbf{I}) \to \mathbf{C}$ that restricts to F over the full subcategory $\mathbf{I} \subseteq C(\mathbf{I})$. Explicitly, such a cone consists of a new diagram obtained from the old one by adding a new object V and a new morphism from V to every object in the old diagram in

such a way that this new diagram commutes when starting from V. We can picture this by putting the old diagram in a horizontal plane (this would be the base of the cone) and placing V above it (this would be the vertex of the cone):

We stress again that this diagram is only supposed to commute when starting from V; for example, the two arrows $C \to D$ need not be equal.

So the output of the limit construction is a cone over the input diagram, i.e., a new diagram which we can picture as a cone having the old diagram as a base.

• Finally, let us make the sentence "the largest possible object with such properties" precise. This is arguably the most imprecise sentence in our initial description and yet the most important part of the concept of limit, so it would be a good time to say last but not least. Cones over F form a category, namely, the subcategory of the category of functors $\mathbf{Fun}(C(\mathbf{I}), \mathbf{C})$ whose objects are functors that restrict to F over $\mathbf{I} \subseteq C(\mathbf{I})$ and whose morphisms are the natural transformations that restrict to the identity on F, meaning that the component at each object of $\mathbf{I} \subseteq C(\mathbf{I})$ is the identity on the image of the corresponding object under F. We can picture this by drawing the common base of the two cones as a single base, as shown in the following picture:

Again, this diagram is only supposed to commute starting from V and starting from V'.

So we have a category $\mathbf{Cones}(F)$ of cones over our diagram F. The limit of F, if it exists, is precisely a terminal cone, i.e., a terminal object in the category $\mathbf{Cones}(F)$. The reason to have used the word "largest" in our informal description is two-fold. On the one hand, we can think of a partially ordered set as a category having the same

set of objects, in which there is a morphism $x \to y$ if and only if $x \leq y$. If this poset has a largest element, then this element is a terminal object in the corresponding category. More generally, the categorical product (which is a particular case of limit) of a subset of this poset, if it exists, corresponds to the infimum of this subset, which is the largest lower bound of all the elements in the subset. On the other hand, one can intuitively think of limits as subobjects and colimits as quotient object, because this is indeed a possible way to construct limits and colimits in many familiar categories, e.g., in the category of sets, in which the limit can be constructed as a suitable subset of the product of all sets in the diagram.

Remark 1. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category in which all limits exist, meaning that for all $\mathbf{I}$ and all $F \colon \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{C}$ the limit $\lim(F)$ exists. Then, for every category $\mathbf{I}$, we have a functor

$$\varprojlim_{\mathbf{I}} \colon \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}) \to \mathbf{C}.$$

This functor is right adjoint to the functor

$$\mathrm{const}_{(-)} \colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C})$$

sending an object X in $\mathbf{C}$ to the functor sending every object in $\mathbf{I}$ to X and every morphism in $\mathbf{I}$ to the identity on X. In particular, the functor $\lim_{\mathbf{I}}$ preserves limits.

Example 2 (Terminal objects). Let $\mathbf{C}$ a category and let $\mathbf{I} = \varnothing$ be the empty category and $\varnothing \colon \varnothing \to \mathbf{C}$ the empty functor. Then $\lim(\varnothing)$ is a terminal object in $\mathbf{C}$. Examples of terminal objects include:

(1) A singleton $\{*\}$ in the categories $\mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Set}_*$, $\mathbf{Cat}$, $\mathbf{Top}$, $\mathbf{Top}_*$, $\mathbf{Grp}$, $\mathbf{Ab}$, $\mathbf{Ring}$, $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$, $R\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$, ... (with the corresponding structure on $\{*\}$ omitted in each case).
(2) $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathbf{Sch}$ and in $\mathbf{Aff}$.
(3) X in $\mathbf{C}_X$.
(4) X in $\mathbf{Op}(X)$.
(5) $\varnothing$ in $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathrm{op}}$.
(6) The constant presheaf with value $\{*\}$ in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$.

Some categories in which terminal objects to do not exist include:

(1) The subcategory of $\mathbf{Set}$ consisting of infinite sets.
(2) The category $\mathbf{Aff}_S$ of affine schemes over a non-affine scheme S.
(3) Any set with more than one element regarded as a category with only the identities as morphisms.
(4) The partially ordered set of finite subsets of an infinite set.
(5) The totally ordered set $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$.

Example 3 (Binary products). Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let $\mathbf{I}$ be the category $(\bullet \quad \bullet)$. We consider a diagram $F \colon \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{C}$, which then consists of two objects A and B in $\mathbf{C}$ without any morphisms between them. Then $\lim(F)$ is a product $A \times B$ in $\mathbf{C}$, that is, an ojbect $A \times B$ in $\mathbf{C}$ with morphisms

$A \times B \to A$ and $A \times B \to B$ such that for every other object T in $\mathbf{C}$ admitting morphisms to A and to B in $\mathbf{C}$ there exists a unique morphism $T \to A \times B$ making the corresponding diagram commute. In a picture:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T & \\
 & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \exists !} & \\
 & A \times B & \\
A & & B
\end{array}
$$

Examples of binary products include:

(1) The cartesian product $A \times B$ (with the corresponding projections) in $\mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Set}_*$, $\mathbf{Cat}$, $\mathbf{Top}$, $\mathbf{Top}_*$, $\mathbf{Grp}$, $\mathbf{Ab}$, $\mathbf{Ring}$, $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$, $R\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$, ... (again omitting the induced structure on $A \times B$ in each case).
(2) The intersection $A \cap B$ in $\mathbf{Op}(X)$.
(3) The infimum $\inf\{A, B\}$ in a partially ordered set (if it exists).
(4) The disjoint union $A \sqcup B$ in $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathrm{op}}$.
(5) The point-wise product

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \times \mathcal{G}(U)$$

in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$.
(6) The tensor product $A \otimes B$ (over $\mathbb{Z}$) in $\mathbf{Ring}^{\mathrm{op}}$.
(7) The spectrum of the tensor product of coordinate rings in $\mathbf{Aff}$, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Spec}(A) \times \mathrm{Spec}(B) = \mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes B).$$

(8) The scheme $X \times Y$ obtained from X and Y by gluing the product of affine open subschemes.

Some categories in which binary products do not always exist include:

(1) The binary product of two sets with 5 elements in the category of sets with at most 5 elements does not exist.
(2) Any set with more than one element regarded as a category with only the identities as morhpisms does not have any binary products except the product of each object with itself.

Example 4 (Products). Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let I now be a set regarded as a category with only the identities as morphisms. The limit of a diagram $F \colon I \to \mathbf{C}$ is then a product indexed by I; this time we don't spell out the universal property and jump straight to the examples. Examples of products include:

(1) The cartesian product $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ in $\mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Set}_*$, $\mathbf{Cat}$, $\mathbf{Top}$, $\mathbf{Top}_*$, $\mathbf{Grp}$, $\mathbf{Ab}$, $\mathbf{Ring}$, $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$, ... (again, we don't make precise the induced structure in each case).
(2) The point-wise product

$$U \mapsto \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i(U)$$

in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$.

(3) The infimum $\inf_{i \in I}\{a_i\}$ of a bounded-below collection of numbers in $(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$.

Some examples of situations in which products do not exist include:

(1) The product of infinitely many sets each containing more than one element does not exist in the category of finite sets.
(2) The product of infinitely many positive dimensional k-vector spaces does not exist in k-**mod**.
(3) The product of the diagram $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbf{Op}(\mathbb{R}), n \mapsto (-1/n, 1/n)$ does not exist in $\mathbf{Op}(\mathbb{R})$.
(4) Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing sequence of rational numbers converging to $\pi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the product $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\{a_n\}$ does not exist in $(\mathbb{Q}, \leq)$.

Example 5 (Equalizers)**.** Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let $\mathbf{I}$ be the category $(\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet)$. A diagram $F \colon \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{C}$ corresponds to the data of two parallel morphisms $f \colon A \to B$ and $g \colon A \to B$, and its limit is called the equalizer of f and g, denoted $\mathrm{Eq}(f, g)$. The universal property of the equalizer can be pictured as follows:

$$
\exists! \quad T \\
\mathrm{Eq}(f,g) \longrightarrow A \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} B
$$

Examples of equalizers include:

(1) The subset $\{a \in A \mid f(a) = g(b)\}$ (with the corresponding inclusion) in $\mathbf{Set}$, $\mathbf{Set}_*$, $\mathbf{Cat}$, $\mathbf{Top}$, $\mathbf{Top}_*$, $\mathbf{Grp}$, $\mathbf{Ab}$, $\mathbf{Ring}$, R-$\mathbf{Mod}$, R-$\mathbf{mod}$, ... (as usual we don't make precise the induced structure in each case).
(2) We have $\mathrm{Eq}(0, f) = \mathrm{Ker}(f)$ in $\mathbf{Grp}$, $\mathbf{Ab}$, R-$\mathbf{Mod}$ and R-$\mathbf{mod}$.
(3) The point-wise equalizer

$$U \mapsto \{s \in \mathcal{F}(U) \mid f_U(s) = g_U(s)\}$$

in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$.

Some examples in which equalizers do not exist include:

(1) The equalizer of $(\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet)$ does not exist in $\mathbf{I}$ itself.
(2) The equalizer of two morphisms $f, g \colon X \to Y$ which agree only on a finite subset of X does not exist in the category of infinite sets.

Exercise 6. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let $f, g \colon A \rightrightarrows B$ be parallel arrows in $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Eq}(f, g)$ exists in $\mathbf{C}$. Show that the morphism $\mathrm{Eq}(f, g) \to A$ is a monomorhpism in $\mathbf{C}$.

1.2. **Fiber products.** These are particular cases of limits, but they will be so relevant later on that they deserve their own subsection. We take $\mathbf{I}$ to be the category

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \end{array}$$

The limit of a diagram $F\colon \mathbf{I} \to \mathbf{C}$ is called a *fiber product* in $\mathbf{C}$. Before looking at particular examples, let us introduce some notation and terminology around fiber products. Consider two morphisms $f\colon B \to A$ and $g\colon C \to A$ in $\mathbf{C}$. Their fiber product is denoted by $B \times_A C$. If we need to be precise about the morphisms f and g, we can also use the notation $B \times_{f,A,g} C$. By definition of the limit, the fiber product $B \times_A C$ comes with two projections $p_1\colon B \times_A C \to B$ and $p_2\colon B \times_A C \to C$ which fit into a commutative diagram as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \times_A C & \xrightarrow{p_2} & C \\ {\scriptstyle p_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ B & \xrightarrow{f} & A \end{array}$$

Such a diagram is sometimes called a *cartesian square*, and it satisfies a universal property which can be pictured as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & & \\ & \exists! & \\ & B \times_A C & \xrightarrow{p_2} & C \\ & {\scriptstyle p_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ & B & \xrightarrow{f} & A \end{array}$$

Spelled out, this means that if the outer (deformed) square commutes, then there exists a unique arrow (the dashed arrow) making the whole diagram commute.

Remark 7. If we say that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{q_2} & C \\ {\scriptstyle q_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ B & \xrightarrow{f} & A \end{array}$$

is cartesian, we mean that it commutes and it has the previously discussed universal property, which in turn implies that there exists an isomorhpism $h\colon D \to B \times_A C$ such that $q_1 = p_1 \circ h$ and $q_2 = p_2 \circ h$. Limits are only unique up to isomorphism anyway, so we are running around a bit in circles here; but in practice we usually have an explicit construction of $B \times_A C$ in mind, and such a D may not be explicitly given like that.

Cartesian diagrams are sometimes marked as

$$D \xrightarrow{q_2} C$$
$$q_1 \downarrow \quad \square \quad \downarrow g$$
$$B \xrightarrow{f} A$$

or sometimes also as

$$D \xrightarrow{q_2} C$$
$$q_1 \downarrow \quad \lrcorner \quad \downarrow g$$
$$B \xrightarrow{f} A$$

A mnemonic to remember the orientation of the symbol in the middle is that the two sides of the right angle are pointing to the two morphisms which were given by the universal property of the limit. This notation avoids confusion with the dual notion of a *pushout square*, in which the two arrows created by the universal property of the colimit would be the ones pointing towards the bottom right object.

Exercise 8 (Pasting lemma)**.** Let **C** be a category. Consider a commutative diagram as follows:

$$A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C$$
$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \quad \lrcorner \quad \downarrow$$
$$D \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F$$

Then the left square is cartesian if and only if the outer rectangle is cartesian. As a corollary we have the formula

$$(X \times_Y Z) \times_Z W \cong X \times_Y W.$$

The similarity with the formula

$$(R \otimes_S S') \otimes_{S'} T \cong R \otimes_S T$$

is no coincidence, as hinted at by one of the previous examples (using the equivalence between **Aff**$^{\mathrm{op}}$ and **Ring**).

Moving on to some more terminology. There are many situations in which we are working over a given object as our base. For example, vector bundles over a topological space, schemes over another scheme, covering spaces over a topological space... If we are working over an object A in **C** and we have a morphism $f \colon B \to A$ in **C**, we are sometimes interested in using this morphism as a *base change* morphism and start working over B instead. In this situation we can say that $q_1 \colon D \to B$ is the *pull back* of $g \colon C \to A$ under f.

Let **P** be a property of morphisms in a category **C**. We say that the property **P** is *stable under pull back* if the following holds: for all cartesian squares

$$D \xrightarrow{\ q_2\ } C$$
$$q_1 \downarrow \qquad \lrcorner \qquad \downarrow g$$
$$B \xrightarrow[\ f\ ]{} A$$

in **C**, g has **P** implies that q_1 has **P**. In the last talk, Tanuj sketched the proof that "being an isomorphism" is stable under pull back. The same is true for monomorphisms, but not necessarily true for epimorphisms.

Remark 9. A comprehensive list of properties of morphisms which are stable under pull back in algebraic geometry can be found in [GW10, Appendix C].

Let us finally come to particular examples of fiber products. We want to abstract the theory of sheaves on topological spaces into a theory of sheaves on categories, so let us focus on the category **Top** for the remaining of this subsection.

Let $f\colon Y \to X$ and $g\colon Z \to X$ be two continuous maps between topological spaces. Then their fiber product can be constructed as

$$Y \times_X Z = \{(y, z) \in Y \times Z \mid f(y) = g(z)\}.$$

This set is endowed with the subspace topology and the projections $p_1\colon Y \times_X Z \to Y$ and $p_2\colon Y \times_X Z \to Z$ are the restrictions of the projections from the cartesian product.

Example 10. Let X be a topological space and let $i\colon U \to X$ be the inclusion of an open subset. Let $f\colon Y \to X$ be a continuous map. Then:

$$f^{-1}(U) \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow Y$$
$$f|_{f^{-1}(U)} \downarrow \qquad \lrcorner \qquad \downarrow f$$
$$U \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow X$$

Note that $f^{-1}(U)$ is not equal to the fiber product as described above, cf. Remark 7.

A particular example of the previous example is the following:

Example 11. Let X be a topological space and let $i\colon U \to X$ and $j\colon V \to X$ be inclusions of two open subsets. Then:

$$U \cap V \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow V$$
$$\uparrow \qquad \lrcorner \qquad \uparrow$$
$$U \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow X$$

Again, cf. Remark 7.

Example 12. Let X be a topological space and let $i\colon \{*\} \to X$ be a map, which corresponds to picking a point $i(*) \in X$. Let $f\colon Y \to X$ be a continuous map. Then:

$$f^{-1}(i(*)) \longrightarrow \{*\}$$
$$\downarrow \quad \quad \lrcorner \quad \quad \downarrow i$$
$$Y \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} X$$

Example 13. Let X be a topological space and let $p\colon E \to X$ be a vector bundle on X. Let $f\colon Y \to X$ be a continuous map. Then we define the pull back $f^*E \to Y$ of E along f as

$$f^*E \longrightarrow E$$
$$\downarrow \quad \quad \lrcorner \quad \quad \downarrow p$$
$$Y \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} X$$

The morphism $f^*E \to Y$ is a vector bundle on Y and the fiber of $f^*E \to Y$ over a point $y \in Y$ is isomorphic (I really want to say "the same" here) as the fiber of $E \to X$ over $f(y)$.

Example 14. Let X be a connected topological space and let $p\colon \tilde{X} \to X$ be a covering space. Let's say it is a 7-sheeted covering space, i.e., each fiber has exactly 7 points. Then $p^*\tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ is again a 7-sheeted covering of $\tilde{X}$, so the composition $p^*\tilde{X} \to X$ is a 49-sheeted covering of X.

Related to the previous two examples, we also have:

Exercise 15. The homotopy lifting property is stable under pull back in **Top**, i.e., the pull back of a Hurewicz fibration is again a Hurewicz fibration.

To close this subsection, let us mention a couple more facts that we may or may not need to use later on:

Example 16. Let **C** be a category with a terminal object T and let A and B be objects in **C**. Then:

$$A \times B \longrightarrow B$$
$$\downarrow \quad \quad \lrcorner \quad \quad \downarrow$$
$$A \longrightarrow T$$

This applies for instance to $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Top}$ with $T = \{*\}$.

Exercise 17. Construct the fiber product of a diagram

$$C$$
$$\downarrow$$
$$B \longrightarrow A$$

of sets using only products and equalizers. (There is nothing special about the category of sets nor about the fiber product; we've already seen in previous talks that this can be done for every kind of limit in any category. But the computations are simpler in this case.)

1.3. **The Yoneda embedding.** Let C be a category and let X be an object in C. We denote by h_X the presheaf

$$Y \mapsto h_X(Y) := \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)$$

with restriction morphisms $h_X(f) = (-) \circ f$. If $f \colon X \to Y$ is a morphism in C, then we obtain a morphism $h_f \colon h_X \to h_Y$ given by $f \circ (-)$. In this way we obtain a functor

$$h \colon \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C}),$$

and as a consequence of the Yoneda lemma we know that this is a fully faithful functor. This means that we may as well think of the object X as the presheaf h_X, and we do not lose any information by doing so.

Suppose that we have now a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & Z \\
 & & \downarrow \\
Y & \longrightarrow & X
\end{array}
$$

in C. It may well happen that the fiber product $Y \times_X Z$ does not exist in C. But we have seen in previous talks that limits of presheaves always exist and can be computed point-wise, so the fiber product $h_Y \times_{h_X} h_Z$ does exist in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$. Explicitly, this is the presheaf given on objects by

$$T \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}(T, Y) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}(T,X)} \mathrm{Hom}(T, Z).$$

The fiber product $Y \times_X Z$ exists in C if and only if this presheaf is representable, i.e., if there exists an object L in C such that $h_L \cong h_Y \times_{h_X} h_Z$. Spelling out the definitions we see that in this case such an object L is necessarily a fiber product $Y \times_X Z$.

Caveat 2. From now on we may allow ourselves a slight abuse of terminology and notation and think and talk about an object X in a category C as if it was really the same as the corresponding presheaf h_X. So we may say stuff like "the fiber product $Y \times_X Z$ is representable" instead of saying "the fiber product $Y \times_X Z$ exists". Forcing ourselves to have this flexibility may make some of the upcoming concepts more natural.

1.4. **Pretopologies.** Let X be a topological space and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf on X. Let U be an open subset of X and let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open covering of U. First define a morphism

$$\rho \colon \mathcal{F}(U) \to \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$$
$$s \mapsto (s|_{U_i})_{i \in I}$$

induced by each restriction morphism $\rho_{U_i}^U \colon \mathcal{F}(U) \to \mathcal{F}(U_i), s \mapsto s|_{U_i}$ and the universal property of the product. Next we want to restrict each family

of sections $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ to the intersections $U_i \cap U_j$. There are two ways to do this. The first one corresponds to the morphism

$$\sigma_1 \colon \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \to \prod_{(i,j) \in I \times I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

$$(s_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \left(\rho^{U_i}_{U_i \cap U_j}(s_i) \right)_{(i,j) \in I \times I},$$

and the second one corresponds to the morphism

$$\sigma_2 \colon \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \to \prod_{(i,j) \in I \times I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

$$(s_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \left(\rho^{U_j}_{U_i \cap U_j}(s_j) \right)_{(i,j) \in I \times I}.$$

The presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf if and only if for every U and every open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ the diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\ \rho\ } \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \underset{\sigma_2}{\overset{\sigma_1}{\rightrightarrows}} \prod_{(i,j) \in I \times I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is exact, meaning that the left arrow is an equalizer of the two parallel arrows on the right. We will refer to this as the *sheaf condition* with respect to the open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$.

In order to state the sheaf condition we had to use the notion of an open cover of an open subset in X and we needed to consider intersections of elements in the open cover as well. So we were looking at open covers of objects in $\mathbf{Op}(X)$ and we were considering fiber products of elements of the cover. We axiomatize the relevant properties of open covers in order to make sense of the sheaf condition in arbitrary categories other than $\mathbf{Op}(X)$ for a topological space X.

In particular, we will need to assume the existence of fiber products. So it is convenient to introduce the following definition first:

Definition 18 (Quarrable morphism)**.** Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category. A morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ in $\mathbf{C}$ is called *quarrable* if for every morphism $Z \to Y$ in $\mathbf{C}$ the fiber product $X \times_Y Z$ is representable.

We are now in a more comfortable position to introduce the following:

Definition 19 (Pretopology)**.** Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category. A *pretopology* on $\mathbf{C}$ consists of the data, for each object X in $\mathbf{C}$, of a set $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$ of sets $\{X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ of morphism in $\mathbf{C}$ with target X, subject to the following axioms:

(PT0) For every object X in $\mathbf{C}$, every $\{X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$ and every $i \in I$, the morphism $X_i \to X$ is quarrable.

(PT1) For every object X in $\mathbf{C}$, every $\{X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$ and every morphism $f \colon Y \to X$ in $\mathbf{C}$, the set $\{X_i \times_X Y \to Y\}_{i \in I}$ is in $\mathrm{Cov}(Y)$. (Stability under base change.)

(PT2) Let X be an object in $\mathbf{C}$, let $\{X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ be a set in $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$ and for each $i \in I$ let $\{X_{i,j} \to X_i\}_{j \in J_i}$ be a set in $\mathrm{Cov}(X_i)$. Then the set

of compositions $\{X_{i,j} \rightarrow X\}_{i\in I, j\in J_i}$ is in $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$. (Stability under composition.)

(PT3) The collection $\{X \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_X} X\}$ is in $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$.

We will call a collection $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$ a *covering* of X in **C** (endowed with this pretopology). We will also use $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$ to denote the collection of all coverings in a given pretopology on **C**.

Remark 20. This is the definition in [SGA4]. In [Sta21], condition (PT3) is apparently strengthened a bit to ask that every isomorphism $\{Y \xrightarrow{\cong} X\}$ is in $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$. But this follows from (PT3), (PT1) and the cartesian square

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow & \lrcorner & \parallel \\
X & = & X
\end{array}
$$

Exercise 21. Convince yourself that open coverings of an open subset of a topological space have the properties above.

Once we have endowed our category **C** with a pretopology, we can ask ourselves whether a given presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$ is a *sheaf* with respect to this pretopology. The definition is the same as it was for topological spaces. For each object X in **C** and each covering $\{X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X\}_{i\in I}$ we define the morphism $\rho\colon \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{F}(X_i)$ by applying the universal property of the product to the morphisms $\mathcal{F}(f_i)\colon \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_i)$. For each $(i,j) \in I \times I$ we have a cartesian diagram as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_i \times_X X_j & \xrightarrow{p_2^{(i,j)}} & X_j \\
{\scriptstyle p_1^{(i,j)}}\downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_j} \\
X_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & X
\end{array}
$$

The first morphism $\sigma_1\colon \prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{F}(X_i) \rightarrow \prod_{(i,j)\in I\times I} \mathcal{F}(X_i \times_X X_j)$ is the one induced by the morphisms $\mathcal{F}(p_1^{(i,j)})$, and the second morphism σ_2 is the one induced by the morphisms $\mathcal{F}(p_2^{(i,j)})$. The presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is then a sheaf if and only if for every object X in **C** and every cover $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathrm{Cov}(X)$ the diagram

$$
\mathcal{F}(X) \xrightarrow{\ \rho\ } \prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{F}(X_i) \underset{\sigma_2}{\overset{\sigma_1}{\rightrightarrows}} \prod_{(i,j)\in I\times I} \mathcal{F}(X_i \times_X X_j)
$$

is exact. We will refer to this as the *sheaf condition* with respect to the cover $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i\in I}$.

Lemma 22. *Let X be a topological space and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf on X. Let $U \subseteq X$ be an open subset and let $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ be an open cover of U. Let $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a refinement of $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$, i.e., $\{V_j\}_{j\in J}$ is still an open cover of U and for every $j \in J$ there exists some $i \in I$ such that $V_j \subseteq U_i$.*

(a) If $\mathcal{F}(U) \to \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}(V_j)$ is injective, then so is $\mathcal{F}(U) \to \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$.

(b) If $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the sheaf condition with respect to $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ and for every $i \in I$ the map $\mathcal{F}(U_i) \to \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}(V_j \cap U_i)$ is injective, then $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the sheaf condition with respect to $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Proof. Using the axiom of choice we may fix a function $\beta\colon J \to I$ such that $V_j \subseteq U_{\beta(j)}$ for all $j \in J$.

We first show (a). Suppose that $s, t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ are sections such that $s|_{U_i} = t|_{U_i}$ for all $i \in I$. Then we have

$$s|_{V_j} = (s|_{U_{\beta(j)}})|_{V_j} = (t|_{U_{\beta(j)}})|_{V_j} = t|_{V_j}$$

for all $j \in J$, so $s = t$.

Let us show (b) now. The sheaf condition for $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ tells us that for every family of sections $(s_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}(V_j)$ such that for each $(j_1, j_2) \in J \times J$ we have

$$s_{j_1}|_{V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2}} = s_{j_2}|_{V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2}}$$

there exists a unique section $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s_j = S|_{V_j}$ for all $j \in J$. To check the sheaf condition for $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$, let now $(s_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ be a family of sections such that for every $(i_1, i_2) \in I \times I$ we have

$$s_{i_1}|_{U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}} = s_{i_2}|_{U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}}.$$

We define a collection $(t_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}(V_j)$ as follows. Then we set $t_j := s_{\beta(j)}|_{V_j}$ for each $j \in J$. So we have a collection $(t_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}(V_j)$. Then we have

$$t_{j_1}|_{V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2}} = (s_{\beta(j_1)}|_{U_{\beta(j_1)} \cap U_{\beta(j_2)}})|_{V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2}} = (s_{\beta(j_2)}|_{U_{\beta(j_1)} \cap U_{\beta(j_2)}})|_{V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2}} = t_{j_2}|_{V_{j_1} \cap V_{j_2}}$$

for all $(j_1, j_2) \in J \times J$. Hence there exists a unique $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $t_j = s|_{V_j}$ for all $j \in J$. It remains to show now that $s|_{U_i} = s_i$ for all $i \in I$. By assumption, the map $\mathcal{F}(U_i) \to \prod_{j \in J} \mathcal{F}(V_j \cap U_i)$ is injective for every $i \in I$, so it suffices to show that $s|_{U_i}$ and s_i have the same image under this map. But now

$$s|_{V_j \cap U_i} = t_j|_{V_j \cap U_i} = (s_{\beta(j)}|_{U_{\beta(j)} \cap U_i})|_{V_j \cap U_i} = (s_i|_{U_{\beta(j)} \cap U_i})|_{V_j \cap U_i} = s_i|_{V_j \cap U_i}.$$

$\square$

Remark 23. Essentially the same proof works in the context of categories and pretopologies, requiring only some slightly more involved notation and some extra assumptions to ensure existence of fiber products, cf. [Sta21, Tag 0G1L].

Corollary 24. *Let* **C** *be a category. For simplicity, let us assume that all fiber products exist in* **C***. Let* $\mathrm{Cov}_1(\mathbf{C})$ *and* $\mathrm{Cov}_2(\mathbf{C})$ *be two pretopologies on* **C** *and assume that every cover* $\{U_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X\}_{i \in I}$ *in* $\mathrm{Cov}_1(\mathbf{C})$ *admits a refinement* $\{V_j \xrightarrow{g_j} X\}_{j \in J}$ *in* $\mathrm{Cov}_2(\mathbf{C})$*, meaning that there exists a function* $\beta\colon J \to I$ *and for each* $j \in J$ *a morphism* $\alpha_j\colon V_j \to U_{\beta(j)}$ *such that the diagram*

$$V_j \xrightarrow{\ \alpha_j\ } U_{\beta(j)}$$

$$g_j \searrow \quad \downarrow f_{\beta(j)}$$

$$X$$

commutes. If a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf with respect to the pretopology given by $\mathrm{Cov}_2(\mathbf{C})$, then it is also a sheaf with respect to the pretopology given by $\mathrm{Cov}_1(\mathbf{C})$.

Proof. Part (a) of Lemma 22 ensures that $\mathcal{F}$ is separated with respect to the pretopology $\mathrm{Cov}_1(\mathbf{C})$, which in turn allows us to apply part (b) of Lemma 22. $\square$

This already hints at the main motivation point for this talk: different pretopologies may give rise to the same notion of sheaf on a category. A bit like when one defines a notion of smooth function on a topological manifold; different smoothly compatible atlases may give rise to the same notion of smooth function. A way to solve this is to define a smooth structure on a topological manifold as a maximal smoothly compatible atlas. This solution has a similar flavor to the one that we will adopt in our situation; we will come back to this later on.

Example 25. Let X be a set and consider the *indiscrete topology* $\{\emptyset, X\}$ on X. If $U \subseteq X$ is an open subset, the only possible open covers of U are $\{U\}$ and $\{\emptyset, U\}$. If we want $\mathcal{F}$ to be sheaf, we need at the very least that $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = \{*\}$, because we can always take the empty covering of the empty set and an empty product of sets is a terminal object in **Set**, i.e., a singleton. But other than that, there is no restriction on $\mathcal{F}$ to be a sheaf. Indeed, assume $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = \{*\}$ and let $U \subseteq X$ be a non-empty open subset. Let us first consdier the open cover $\{U\}$ of U. Let $\Delta_U = \{(x, y) \in U \times U \mid x = y\}$, which is isomorphic to U. Then we have a cartesian square

$$\Delta_U \xrightarrow{\ p_2\ } U$$

$$p_1 \downarrow \quad \lrcorner \quad \|$$

$$U == U$$

The sheaf condition with respect to this cover translates into the diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(U) == \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow[\ p_2^*\ ]{\ p_1^*\ } \mathcal{F}(\Delta_U)$$

being exact, where we use the shorthand notation p_i^* instead of $\mathcal{F}(p_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Commtuativity of the cartesian diagram above implies that $p_1 = p_2$, hence $p_1^* = p_2^*$ and the diagram is indeed exact. Let us now consider the cover $\{\emptyset, U\}$ of U. Then we want the following diagram to be exact:

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{F}(\varnothing) \times \mathcal{F}(U)$$

$$\sigma_2 \downarrow \quad \downarrow \sigma_1$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\varnothing \times_U \varnothing) \times \mathcal{F}(\varnothing \times_U U) \times \mathcal{F}(U \times_U \varnothing) \times \mathcal{F}(U \times_U U)$$

The morphism $\rho \colon \mathcal{F}(U) \to \{*\} \times \mathcal{F}(U)$ is an isomorphism, so we need to check that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. Let $(*, s) \in \mathcal{F}(\varnothing) \times \mathcal{F}(U)$. Then we have

$$\sigma_1(*, s) = (*, *, *, p_1^*(s)) = (*, *, *, p_2^*(s)) = \sigma_2(*, s),$$

hence this second diagram is exact as well.

This whole discussion shows that the presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf if and only if $\mathcal{F}(\varnothing) = \{*\}$. Being a sheaf in the topological sense is the same as being a sheaf in the category $\mathbf{Op}(X)$ with the pretopology Cov_1 given by all possible open covers of all open subsets. Let now Cov_2 be the *indiscrete pretopology* on $\mathbf{Ob}(X)$, in which $\{U\}$ is the only cover of an open subset $U \subseteq X$. The difference here is that we are not allowing the empty covering of the empty set. We are in the assumptions of Corollary 24, because every cover of an open subset $U \subseteq X$ in the indiscrete pretopology can be refined by an open cover, i.e., by a cover in the pretoplogy Cov_1. So every presehaf $\mathcal{F}$ which is a sheaf in the topological sense, i.e., with respect to the pretopology Cov_1, is also a sheaf with respect to the indiscrete pretopology Cov_2. Indeed, in this case this is easily seen to be the case, because every presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{F}(\varnothing) = \{*\}$ is in particular a presheaf.

Example 26. Let X be a topological space and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis for the topology, i.e., a collection of open subsets of X such that

(1) The elements of $\mathcal{B}$ cover X, i.e., for every $x \in X$ there exists some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B$.
(2) Given two elements $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and given a point $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$, there exists some $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B_3$ and $B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$.

We take Cov_1 as the topological pretopology on $\mathbf{Op}(X)$, in which the coverings are just the open covers of open subsets of X; and we take Cov_2 as the pretopology on $\mathbf{Op}(X)$ in which the open covers are the open covers of open subsets of X whose elements are all in $\mathcal{B}$. Then a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is a Cov_1 sheaf if and only if it is a Cov_2 sheaf.

Indeed, we can apply Corollary 24 to deduce the claim. If $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a cover of $U \subseteq X$ in Cov_2, then it is also a cover of $U \subseteq X$ in Cov_1, because we were assuming that the elements of our basis $\mathcal{B}$ are all open. This shows that a Cov_1 sheaf is also a Cov_2 sheaf. (Note that in this case it is really on the nose, since $\mathrm{Cov}_2 \subseteq \mathrm{Cov}_1$, so we are just checking a smaller amount of conditions.) Conversely, every usual open cover of $U \subseteq X$ admits a refinement by elements of the basis $\mathcal{B}$, so every Cov_2 sheaf is a Cov_1 sheaf.

In fact, it would even suffice to define a presheaf on a basis for the topology to obtain a presheaf on $\mathbf{Op}(X)$, cf. [EGA, Chapter 0, (3.2.1)].

2. Sieves

We have seen that two pretopologies on a category $\mathbf{C}$ end up giving the same notion of sheaf if we can always refine the covers of one of the pretopologies by covers in the other pretopology and vice-versa. As pointed out earlier, this resembles a bit the situation that one runs into when trying to define smooth structures on topological manifolds naively. A solution in that case is to consider only maximal smoothly compatible atlases. A solution in our categorical setting will also be very similar to this in flavour:

Definition 27 (Sieve). Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let X in $\mathbf{C}$ be an object. A *sieve S on X* is a subfunctor $S \subseteq h_X$.

Remark 28. Recall that it is convenient to think of X as being the same as the functor h_X, so we may think of S as a subobject of X in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$. Conversely, it is also helpful to imagine that S was in fact representable by an object S in $\mathbf{C}$ and think of the map $S \to h_X$ as a "morphism from S to X", cf. [Sta21, Tag 00YW].

Let us spell out what it means for a presheaf S in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$ to be a subfunctor of h_X. Since it is a functor, we need to describe how it acts on objects and how it acts on morphisms. On an object T of $\mathbf{C}$, we want to have a subset
$$S(T) \subseteq h_X(T) = \text{Hom}(T, X).$$
Given any morphism $f \colon T \to T'$ in $\mathbf{C}$, we have an induced morphism $(-) \circ f \colon h_X(T') \to h_X(T)$. For S to be a subfunctor of h_X we need to have a commutative diagram as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S(T') & \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow & h_X(T') \\
{\scriptstyle (-)\circ f}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)\circ f} \\
S(T) & \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow & h_X(T)
\end{array}
$$

In other words, a sieve on X consists on a collection of subsets $S(T) \subseteq h_X(T)$ such that for all $f \colon T \to T'$ in $\mathbf{C}$ we have that

$$(T' \xrightarrow{g} X) \in S(T') \Rightarrow (T \xrightarrow{g \circ f} X) \in S(T). \tag{1}$$

Example 29. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let X be an object in $\mathbf{C}$. Let $S \subseteq h_X$ be a sieve such that $\text{id}_X \in S(X)$. Then $S = h_X$. Indeed, let $f \colon Y \to X$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{C}$. Then $\text{id}_X \in S(X)$ implies $f \in S(Y)$ by Equation (1).

Remark 30. It is common (at least in algebraic geometry) to denote
$$X(T) = h_X(T) = \text{Hom}(T, X).$$
These are called the T-valued points of X when T and X are schemes, because if we take for example the scheme $X = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(x^2 + y^2))$ and we consider a field extension $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$, then the K-valued points of X give us the K-valued solutions of the defining equation of X:
$$X(K) := X(\text{Spec}(K)) = \{(a, b) \in K^2 \mid a^2 + b^2 = 0\}.$$

This notation fits nicely into our usual abuse of notation and terminology in which we do not distinguish between X and h_X too much.

Some observations:

Lemma 31 (cf. [Sta21, Tag 00YZ]). *Let* C *be a category and let* X *be an object in* C.

(1) *Inclusion defines a partial ordering among sieves on* X.
(2) *The union of a colleciton of sieves on* X *is a sieve on* X.
(3) *The intersection of a collection of sieves on* X *is a sieve on* X.
(4) *The sieve* $X = h_X$ *is the maximal sieve.*
(5) *The empty subfunctor is the minimal sieve.*

We will need the following two constructions with sieves:

Definition-Lemma 32 (Generated sieve, cf. [Sta21, Tag 00Z1]). Let C be a category and let $\{f_i \colon X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of morphisms with fixed target. Then there exists a unique sieve S on X, called the *sieve generated* by the family of morphisms $\{f_i \colon X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$, which is the smallest sieve on X such that for every $i \in I$, the morphism $f_i \colon X_i \to X$ is in $S(X_i)$.

Proof. We regard every $f_i \colon X_i \to X$ as a morphism of functors $h_{f_i} \colon h_{X_i} \to h_X$ and we take S to be the union of their images. Explicitly,

$$S(T) = \{f \colon T \to X \mid \exists i \in I \text{ such that } \exists g \colon T \to X_i \text{ with } f = f_i \circ g\}.$$

We check that Equation (1) holds. Let $\alpha \colon T \to T'$ be a morphism in C and let $f \in S(T')$. Then there exists some $i \in I$ and some $g \colon T' \to X_i$ such that $f = f_i \circ g$. Since $f \circ \alpha = f_i \circ (g \circ \alpha)$, we get $f \circ \alpha \in S(T)$ and S is indeed a sieve. $\qquad\square$

Definition-Lemma 33 (Pull back sieve, cf. [Sta21, Tag 00Z2]). Let C be a category, let $f \colon Y \to X$ be a morphism in C and let S be a sieve on X. We define the *pull back* of S by f to be the sieve $S \times_X Y$ on Y defined by the rule

$$(\alpha \colon T \to Y) \in (S \times_X Y)(T) :\Leftrightarrow (f \circ \alpha \colon T \to X) \in S(T).$$

Proof. We check again that Equation (1) holds. Let $\beta \colon T \to T'$ be a morphism in C and let $\alpha \in (S \times_X Y)(T')$. We want to show that $\alpha \circ \beta \in (S \times_X Y)(T)$, i.e., we want to show that $f \circ (\alpha \circ \beta) \in S(T)$. This follows from $f \circ (\alpha \circ \beta) = (f \circ \alpha) \circ \beta$, $f \circ \alpha \in S(T')$ by definition of $S \times_X Y$ and from Equation (1) and the assumption that S is a sieve. $\qquad\square$

Remark 34. The notation suggests that the pull back sieve is related to the fiber product of presheaves in some way. But it cannot be the fiber product on the nose, because we want the pull back of a sieve S on X under a morphism $f \colon Y \to X$ to be a sieve on Y, and the fiber product $S \times_X Y = S \times_{h_X} h_Y$ is not necessarily a subfunctor of h_Y strictly speaking (the fiber product is only uniquely determined up to isomorphism anyway). So instead we look at the image of $S \times_X Y$ in Y, i.e., at the image presheaf

of the presheaf morphism appearing on the top of the following cartesian square:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S \times_X Y & \longrightarrow & Y \\
\downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\
S & \longhookrightarrow & X
\end{array}
$$

The pull back of a monomorphism is a monomorphism, so the abuse of notation of calling $S \times_X Y$ and its image in Y the same way is rather harmless.

Example 35. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let X be an object in $\mathbf{C}$. Let S be a sieve on X and let $f \colon Y \to X$ be a morphism in S. Then $S \times_X Y = h_Y$. Indeed, from Definition-Lemma 33 we know that $S \times_X Y \subseteq h_Y$ is a sieve, so let us prove the other inclusion. Let T be an object in $\mathbf{C}$ and let $\alpha \colon T \to Y$ be any morphism in $\mathbf{C}$. We want to show that $\alpha \in (S \times_X Y)(T)$, i.e., we want to show that $f \circ \alpha \in S(T)$. This follows from $f \in S(Y)$ and Equation (1). Another option is to argue using Example 29 and noting that $f \in S(Y)$ implies that $\mathrm{id}_Y \in (S \times_X Y)(Y)$ by definition.

Remark 36. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let $\{f_i \colon X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of morphisms. Let S be the sieve on X generated by this family of morphisms and let $f \colon Y \to X$ be any morphism in $\mathbf{C}$. Then the pull back sieve $S \times_X Y$ is the sieve generated by the pulled back family of morphisms $\{f^*(f_i) \colon Y \times_X X_i \to Y\}_{i \in I}$. Indeed, let T be an object in $\mathbf{C}$. The claim is then that $t \in (S \times_X Y)(T)$ if and only if there exists $i \in I$ such that there exists $g \colon T \to X_i \times_X Y$ with $t = f^*(f_i) \circ g$. By definition, $t \in (S \times_X Y)(T)$ if and only if $f \circ t \in S(T)$. Since S is the sieve generated by the family $\{f_i \colon X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$, we have in turn that $f \circ t \in S(T)$ if and only if there exists $i \in I$ such that there exists $h \colon T \to X_i$ such that $f \circ t = f_i \circ h$. Suppose first that this is the case. Then we can take $g \colon T \to X_i \times_X Y$ to be the morphism given by the universal property of the fiber product as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
T & \xrightarrow{\quad t \quad} & \\
\ \ \ \exists!g \searrow & & \searrow \\
h \downarrow & X_i \times_X Y \xrightarrow{f^*(f_i)} & Y \\
& p \downarrow & \downarrow f \\
& X_i \xrightarrow{\ f_i\ } & X
\end{array}
$$

Conversely, suppose there exists a morphism $g \colon T \to X_i \times_X Y$ such that $t = f^*(f_i) \circ g$. Then we can take $h = p \circ g$ as in the diagram above.

3. Grothendieck topologies

Definition 37 (Grothendieck topology). Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category. A *topology* on $\mathbf{C}$, also called a *Grothendieck topology* on $\mathbf{C}$, consists of the data of a set $J(X)$ of sieves on X for each object X in $\mathbf{C}$, subject to the following axioms:

(T1) Stability under pull back: for every morphism $f \colon Y \to X$ in $\mathbf{C}$ and every $S \in J(X)$ we have $S \times_X Y \in J(Y)$.

(T2) Locality condition: if S and S' are sieves on X with $S \in J(X)$ and if for all objects Y of $\mathbf{C}$ and all $f \in S(Y)$ we have $S' \times_X Y \in J(Y)$, then $S' \in J(X)$.

(T3) For every object X in $\mathbf{C}$ we have $X \in J(X)$.

The sieves in $J(X)$ are called *covering sieves* of X, and a category $\mathbf{C}$ endowed with a topology is called a *site*[1]. We will sometimes use J to denote a topology, so we may say stuff like "let $(\mathbf{C}, J)$ be a site..."

Recall that we are trying to abstract the notion of an open cover to a categorical setting in order to work with sheaves on categories. Our first attempt was endowing the category $\mathbf{C}$ with a pretopology. This is in fact good enough in practice (and even better than what we are about to do), cf. [Sta21, Tag 00YW]. But we have seen that different pretopologies may give rise to the same notion of sheaf; so this is not so satisfactory from a conceptual point of view. Therefore we have tried to rephrase our definition in terms of sieves, again, in a way somewhat parallel to how one considers a maximal smooth atlas when trying to define a notion of smooth function on a topological manifold. Let us now make the comparison more explicit:

(0) We do not need (PT0) any longer, because the pull back of a sieve always exists.

(1) We continue to require stability under pull back in (T1) as we did in (PT1). This corresponds to the fact that if we have an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of an open subset U and another open subset $V \subseteq U$, then we obtain an open cover $\{V \cap U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of V.

(2) Condition (T2) corresponds to the fact that we can check whether a collection $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of subsets of U is an open cover by checking that it restricts to an open cover on each element of an open cover of U. ("Open covers are local on the target".) In the case of a pretopology, we were considering arbitrary families of morphisms with target the object that we are trying to cover, but now we are considering sieves instead. This makes the old (PT2) obsolete, because stability under composition is baked into the definition of a sieve already.

(3) We continue to require that identities be coverings in (T3) as we did in (PT3). This corresponds to the fact that $\{U\}$ is an open cover of the open subset U itself.

Before seeing a couple of examples, we make the following observation:

Lemma 38 ([Sta21, Tag 00Z5]). *Let $(\mathbf{C}, J)$ be a site and let X be an object in $\mathbf{C}$.*

(1) Finite intersections of elements of $J(X)$ are again in $J(X)$.

(2) If $S \in J(X)$ and $S' \supseteq S$, then $S' \in J(X)$ as well.

---

[1]Mind the terminology clash with [Sta21].

In particular, the set $J(X)$ ordered with inclusions is cofiltered [Sta21, Tag 04AZ].

Proof. We begin by showing (1). Let's stretch the language more than we perhaps should and take two open covers S and S' of X, i.e., let $S, S' \in J(X)$. We check that the collection $S \cap S'$ is again an open cover of X, and we do this by arguing locally on the open cover S. That is, we want to apply (T2) to the sieve $S \cap S'$ and the covering sieve S. So let Y be an object in $\mathbf{C}$ and let $f \in S(Y)$. Then we have $(S \cap S') \times_X Y = S' \times_X Y$, because

$$(S \cap S') \times_X Y = S \times_X S' \times_X Y = S \times_X Y \times_X S' = Y \times_X S' = S' \times_X Y,$$

where in the second to last equality we have used Example 35. But (T1) tells us that $S \times_X Y$ is in $J(Y)$, so by (T2) the sieve $S \cap S'$ is again in $J(X)$.

Let us show (2) now. Let $S \in J(X)$ and let $S' \supseteq S$. We check again locally that this is an open cover of X. Let Y be an object in $\mathbf{C}$ and let $f \in S(Y)$. Again using Example 35 we have $S' \times_X Y = Y$, because $f \in S(Y) \subseteq S'(Y)$. Hence $S' \times_X Y = Y \in J(Y)$ by (T3), so $S' \in J(X)$ by (T2).

Finally, for the assertion that $J(X)$ is cofiltered, note that (T3) implies that it is non-empty and connected, because every $S \in J(X)$ admits a morphism to $X \in J(X)$. Since there is at most one morphism between two covering sieves in the partially ordered set $J(X)$, assertion (1) in this lemma already ensures that $J(X)$ is cofiltered.   □

Knowing that $J(X)$ is cofiltered makes computing limits indexed over $J(X)$ and computing colimits indexed over $J(X)^{\mathrm{op}}$ easier, cf. [Sta21, Tag 04AX].

Definition 39 (Finer and coarser topologies). Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let J and J' be two topologies on $\mathbf{C}$. We say that J is *finer* than J', or that J' is *coarser* than J, if and only if for every object X in $\mathbf{C}$ we have $J'(X) \subseteq J(X)$.

Intuitively a finer topology has more ("smaller") open subsets than a coarser topology. For example, the Euclidean topology on $\mathbb{R}$ is finer than the cofinite topology. More generally, the Zariski topology is coarser than the analytic topology.

Definition-Lemma 40 (Intersection topology). Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let $\{J_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of topologies on $\mathbf{C}$. Then we define their *intersection* $J = \cap_{i \in I} J_i$ point-wise, i.e.,

$$J(X) = \bigcap_{i \in I} J_i(X)$$

for all objects X of $\mathbf{C}$. A straightforward check shows that (T1), (T2) and (T3) are satisfied for J.

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let us define an order on topologies of $\mathbf{C}$ by declaring that $J \leq J'$ if and only if J' is finer than J, i.e., if $J(X) \subseteq J'(X)$ for all objects X in $\mathbf{C}$. We will also denote this by $J \subseteq J'$. The intersection of a family of topologies is the infimum of that collection of topologies,

and the intersection of all topologies finer than all the topologies in the family is the supremum of that collection of topologies.

Definition 41 (Covering family). Let (C, J) be a site and let $\{f_i \colon X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of morphisms with fixed target. We will say that $\{f_i \colon X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ is a *covering family* if the sieve generated by this family of morphisms is a covering sieve.

As the name suggests, covering families in the context of topologies are closely related to coverings in the context of pretopologies. See Example 45 for the precise relation between the two notions.

Definition 42 (Topology generated by families of morphisms). Let C be a category. Given a collection of families of morphisms with fixed target in C, we can now define the *topology generated by this collection of families of morphisms* as the coarsest topology J on C making all of them covering families.

In particular, we may define the topology generated by a collection $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ of sieves in C as the topology generated by the collection of families of morphisms $\{\cup_T S_i(T)\}_{i \in I}$, where T ranges over the objects in C. For each $i \in I$, the sieve generated by the family of morphisms $\cup_T S_i(T)$ is the sieve S_i itself, so the topology generated by a collection of sieves is the coarsest topology on C making all of them covering sieves.

Let us close this section with some examples.

Example 43. Let C be a category. The *indiscrete topology* on C is the topology J such that for every object X of C has $J(X) = \{X\}$. We check the three necessary conditions:

(T1) Let $f \colon Y \to X$ be a morphism in C and let $S = X \in J(X)$. Then $S \times_X Y = X \times_X Y = Y \in J(Y)$.

(T2) Let S be a sieve on an object X and let $X \in J(X)$. Suppose that for all objects Y in C and all morphisms $f \colon Y \to X$ in $X(Y)$ we have $S \times_X Y \in J(Y)$. In particular, for $f = \mathrm{id}_X$ we have $S \times_X X = S \in J(X)$.

(T3) We have $X \in J(X)$ by definition.

The name of this topology comes from the indiscrete topology on a set X, in which the open subsets are only $\varnothing$ and X.

A finer example:

Example 44. Let C be a category. The *discrete topology* on C is the topology J in which every sieve is a covering sieve. We check again the three necessary conditions:

(T1) The pull back of a sieve is again a sieve by Definition-Lemma 33.

(T2) This condition is automatically true, because all sieves are covering sieves.

(T3) For every object X of C, X is itself a sieve, so $X \in J(X)$.

The name of this topology comes from the discrete topology on a set X, in which every subset of X is open. In this context, in turn, the name discrete comes from the fact that every point of X is isolated in X, so it fits our mental picture of a discrete space.

Example 45 (cf. [Sta21, Tag 00ZD]). Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category endowed with a pretopology $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$. We define the topology J *associated* to the pretopology $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$ as the topology generated by the collection of all covering families in $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$. For every object X in $\mathbf{C}$, let us denote by $J_0(X)$ the collection of sieves S on X such that there exists a covering $\{X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ in $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$ such that S is the sieve generated by the collection of morphisms $\{X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$. Then a sieve S on X is in $J(X)$ if and only if it contains a sieve in $J_0(X)$.

Proof. For every object X in $\mathbf{C}$, let us denote by $J'(X)$ the collection of all sieves on X which contain a sieve in $J_0(X)$. By Lemma 38, we have $J'(X) \subseteq J(X)$ in any case. Hence it suffices to show that J' satisfies the axioms for a topology.

Let us start with (T1). Let $S \in J'(X)$ and let $f \colon Y \to X$ be any morphism in $\mathbf{C}$. We need to show that $S \times_X Y \in J'(Y)$, i.e., we need to show that there exists a covering of Y such that the sieve $S \times_X Y$ contains the sieve generated by this covering. Since $S \in J'(X)$, there exists a covering $\{f_i \colon X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ such that S contains the sieve S_0 generated by this covering. Let us check that $S \times_X Y$ contains the sieve generated by the family of morphisms $\{f^*(f_i) \colon Y \times_X X_i \to Y\}_{i \in I}$. By Remark 36, the sieve generated by this pulled back family of morphisms is the pull back sieve $S_0 \times_X Y$. So we need to show that $S \times_X Y$ contains $S_0 \times_X Y$. Indeed, for any $g \in (S_0 \times_X Y)(T)$ we have $f \circ g \in S_0(T) \subseteq S(T)$, hence $g \in (S \times_X Y)(T)$ as well.

We check (T2) next. Let S and S' be sieves on X such that $S \in J'(X)$, i.e., there exists a sieve $S_0 \in J_0(X)$ generated by a covering $\{f_i \colon X_i \to X\}_{i \in I}$ such that $S_0 \subseteq S$. Assume that for every object Y of $\mathbf{C}$ and every $f \in S(Y)$ we have $S' \times_X Y \in J'(Y)$. In particular, for every $i \in I$ we have $f_i \in S_0(X_i) \subseteq S(X_i)$, so $S' \times_X X_i \in J'(X_i)$. This means that for each $i \in I$ there exists a covering $\{f_{ij} \colon X_{ij} \to X_i\}_{j \in J_i}$ such that $S' \times_X X_i$ contains the sieve S'_i generated by this covering. The family of morphisms

$$\{(f_i \circ f_{ij}) \colon X_{ij} \to X\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$$

is a covering by (PT2). Let S'_0 be the sieve generated by this family, which is then a sieve in $J_0(X)$. It suffices to show then that $S'_0 \subseteq S'$, since this implies then that $S' \in J'(X)$ by definition. So let T be an object in $\mathbf{C}$ and let $g \in S'_0(T)$, i.e., there exist $i \in I$, $j \in J_i$ and $g_{ij} \colon T \to X_{ij}$ such that $g = f_i \circ f_{ij} \circ g_{ij}$. We would like to conclude that $g \in S'(T)$, which by definition of the pull back sieve is equivalent to $f_{ij} \circ g_{ij} \in (S' \times_X X_i)(T)$. Since $S'_i \subseteq S' \times_X X_i$, it suffices in turn to show that $f_{ij} \circ g_{ij} \in S'_i(T)$. But S'_i is the sieve generated by the covering $\{f_{ij} \colon X_{ij} \to X_i\}_{j \in J_i}$, so this is indeed the case.

Let us finally check (T3), i.e., that $X \in J'(X)$ as well. We need to find a covering of X such that X contains the sieve generated by this covering. We consider $\{\mathrm{id}_X\}$, which is a covering of X by (PT3). By Example 29, the sieve generated by this covering is X itself. Since $X \subseteq X$, we conclude that $X \in J'(X)$. $\square$

4. Topologies and sheaves

Let us start this section with the following definition, despite it being perhaps slightly unmotivated at this point:

Definition 46 (Sheaf on a site)**.** Let $(\mathbf{C}, J)$ be a site and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf on $\mathbf{C}$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is *separated* (resp. a *sheaf*) if for every object X in $\mathbf{C}$ and every covering sieve $R \in J(X)$ the induced map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathcal{F})$$

is injective (resp. bijective). We denote by $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C})$ or $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C}, J)$ the full subcategory of sheaves in $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$.

Example 47. In the indiscrete topology from Example 43, a sheaf is the same thing as a presheaf, cf. Example 25.

Example 48. Let $(\mathbf{C}, J)$ be a discrete site as in Example 44. For every object X in $\mathbf{C}$, the empty sieve $\varnothing$ is in $J(X)$. Note that the empty sieve is an initial object in the category $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$ of presheaves on $\mathbf{C}$. So if $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf in the discrete topology, then by Yoneda we have

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\varnothing, \mathcal{F}) = \{*\}.$$

So only the constant presheaf with value a terminal object is a sheaf in the discrete topology.

Our main goal for the rest of the talk is to check that a pretopology and the associated topology define the same notion of sheaf, and moreover, that there is an order-reversing correspondence between topologies and categories of sheaves.

Definition-Lemma 49 (Topology generated by a family of presheaves)**.** Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and let $\mathbf{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of presheaves on $\mathbf{C}$. For each object X of $\mathbf{C}$, we denote by $J_{\mathbf{F}}(X)$ the collection of sieves R on X such that for every morphism $f \colon Y \to X$ in $\mathbf{C}$ the pull back sieve $R \times_X Y$ has the property that the induced map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(Y, \mathcal{F}_i) \to \mathrm{Hom}(R \times_X Y, \mathcal{F}_i)$$

is bijective (resp. injective) for all $i \in I$. Then $J_{\mathbf{F}}$ defines a topology on $\mathbf{C}$, called the *topology generated* by the family $\mathbf{F}$ of presheaves, which is the finest topology in which all presheaves $\mathcal{F}_i$ are sheaves (resp. separated). If we do not specify, we mean the finest topology in which all presheaves $\mathcal{F}_i$ are sheaves.

Proof. A straightforward check shows that axioms (T1) and (T3) are satisfied.

TODO 4: add details!

For axiom (T2), see [SGA4, Exposé II, Proposition 2.2].

TODO 5: include proof! □

Remark 50. Note the contrast with the topology generated by a collection of morphims: the topology generated by a collection of presheaves is the *finest* topology for which all presheaves in the collection are sheaves, whereas the topology generated by a collection of morphisms is the *coarsest* topology containig all morphisms, cf. Definition 42.

We want to compare the notion of sheaf given by a pretopology on $\mathbf{C}$ with the notion of sheaf given by the associated topology on $\mathbf{C}$. The associated topology was the topology generated by the moprhisms appearing in coverings of $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$, and it is also the topology generated by the collection of sieves generated by the open coverings of $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$. This motivates the following:

Lemma 51. *Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category and for each object X of $\mathbf{C}$ let $K(X)$ be a set of sieves on X. Suppose that this collection of sieves K is stable under pull back, i.e., suppose that (T1) holds for this collection of sieves. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf on $\mathbf{C}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf (resp. separated) for the topology generated by K if and only if for every object X in $\mathbf{C}$ and every sieve $R \in K(X)$ the induced map*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathcal{F})$$

is bijective (resp. injective).

Proof. Let J_K be the topology generated by this collection of sieves and let $J_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the topology generated by $\mathcal{F}$. So we deal with the assertion involving sheaves and bijections; the other assertion is proven similarly.

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf for the topology J_K. By definition of J_K, for all objects X of $\mathbf{C}$ we have $K(X) \subseteq J_K(X)$. So the claim follows.

Conversely, suppose that for all objects X of $\mathbf{C}$ and all $R \in K(X)$ the induced map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathcal{F})$$

is bijective. Let us check that $J_K \subseteq J_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $R \in K(X)$ and let $f : Y \to X$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{C}$. By Definition-Lemma 49 we need to check that the pull back sieve $R \times_X Y$ induces a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}(Y, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(R \times_X Y, \mathcal{F}).$$

But by assumption the collection of sieves K satisfies (T1), so $R \times_X Y \in K(Y)$ and therefore the previous map is indeed a bijection. This shows that $J_K \subseteq J_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf for the topology $J_{\mathcal{F}}$, it is also a sheaf for the coarser topology J_K. □

Corollary 52. *Let* **C** *be a category endowed with a pretopology* $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$ *and let* J *be the associated topology. Then a presheaf* $\mathcal{F}$ *on* **C** *is a sheaf with respect to the pretopology* $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$ *if and only if it is a sheaf with respect to the topology* J.

Proof. We apply Lemma 51 to the collection of K of sieves generated by morphisms appearing in a covering of $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$. Axiom (PT1) implies that this collection satisfies (T1). From this we deduce that $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf for the topology generated by K, i.e., for J, if and only if for every object X in **C** and every sieve R generated by a covering $\{f_i\colon X_i \to X\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathrm{Cov}(\mathbf{C})$ the induced map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathcal{F})$$

is bijective. We check that this condition is in turn equivalent to the sheaf for $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the covering $\{f_i\colon X_i \to X\}_{i\in I}$. Suppose first that this map is bijective and consider the sheaf diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \xrightarrow{\ \rho\ } \prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{F}(X_i) \overset{\sigma_1}{\underset{\sigma_2}{\rightrightarrows}} \prod_{(i,j)\in I\times I} \mathcal{F}(X_i \times_X X_j).$$

Let $(s_i)_{i\in I}$ be a compatible family of sections in $\prod_{i\in I} \mathcal{F}(X_i)$, i.e., with our usual abuse of notation, a family of sections such that

$$s_i|_{X_i\times_X X_j} = s_j|_{X_i\times_X X_j}$$

for all $(i, j) \in I \times I$. We want to find a unique section $s \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ restricting to s_i on each X_i. By Yoneda, it suffices to find a unique morphism $X \to \mathcal{F}$, and by the sheaf condition for the J topology it suffices in turn to find a unique morphism $\varphi\colon R \to \mathcal{F}$. Let us construct such a morphism. Let T be an object of **C** and let $(\alpha\colon T \to X) \in R(T)$. By Definition-Lemma 32, this means that there exists $i \in I$ and there exists $f^i\colon T \to X_i$ such that $\alpha = f_i \circ f^i$. We set $\varphi(\alpha) := \mathcal{F}(f^i)(s_i)$. The image $\varphi(\alpha)$ being well-defined follows from the condition that $s_i|_{X_i\times_X X_j} = s_j|_{X_i\times_X X_j}$ for all $(i, j) \in I \times I$.
TODO 6: finish details!

Conversely, suppose that the above sheaf diagram is exact and let $\varphi\colon R \to \mathcal{F}$. We want to find a unique section $s \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ whose image (again using Yoneda) in $\mathrm{Hom}(R, \mathcal{F})$ is φ. For each $i \in I$ we define $s_i := \varphi(f_i) \in \mathcal{F}(X_i)$. This gives a compatible family of sections from which we can obtain the desired s.
TODO 7: finish details!

$\square$

Corollary 53. *Let* **C** *be a category. Then there is a order-reversing correspondence between topologies on* **C** *and full subcategories of* $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{C})$ *which are categories of sheaves for some topology on* **C**, *given by*

$$K \mapsto \mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C}, K)$$

and

$$\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C}, K) \mapsto J_{\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C},K)}.$$

Proof. If $K \subseteq K'$, then $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C}, K') \subseteq \mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C}, K)$. Indeed, we need to check less conditions to see that a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf with respect to K than with respect to K', because "K' has more open covers". Hence the order-reversing part of the statement.

It remains to show injectivity of $K \mapsto \mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C}, K)$, for which it suffices to show that $K = J_{\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C},K)}$. The inclusion $K \subseteq J_{\mathbf{Sh}(\mathbf{C},K)}$ holds because the right hand side is the finest topology on which all presheaves which are sheaves with respect to K are sheaves. See [Sta21, Tag 00ZP] for the other inclusion.

TODO 8: add proof?   □

This correspondence between topologies and categories of sheaves suggests that we can producte a canonical topology on any category $\mathbf{C}$ starting from a canonical collection of presheaves. This leads to the following:

Definition 54 (Canonical topology). Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a category. The *canonical topology* J_c on $\mathbf{C}$ is the finest topology on which all representable presheaves are sheaves. A topology J on $\mathbf{C}$ is called *subcanonical* if $J \subseteq J_c$.

Therefore a topology J on $\mathbf{C}$ is subcanonical if and only if all representable presheaves are sheaves. This is the case with most topologies that one encounters in practice. Non subcanonical topologies include the discrete topology in non trivial categories or Voevodsky's h-toplogy on the category of schemes over a base scheme [Sta21, Tag 0EV1]. See also [HJ14].

References

[EGA]   A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. "Éléments de géométrie algébrique." In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1960–1967).

[GW10]   Ulrich Görtz and Torsten Wedhorn. *Algebraic geometry I.* Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Schemes with examples and exercises. Vieweg + Teubner, Wiesbaden, 2010, pp. viii+615. ISBN: 978-3-8348-0676-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-8348-9722-0. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-8348-9722-0.

[HJ14]   Annette Huber and Clemens Jörder. "Differential forms in the h-topology". In: *Algebr. Geom.* 1.4 (2014), pp. 449–478. ISSN: 2313-1691. DOI: 10.14231/AG-2014-020. URL: https://doi.org/10.14231/AG-2014-020.

[SGA4]   *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 1: Théorie des topos.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 269. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de N. Bourbaki, P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, pp. xix+525.

[Sta21] The Stacks project authors. *The Stacks project.* https://stacks.math.columbia.edu. 2021.

Pedro Núñez

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Mathematisches Institut

Ernst-Zermelo-Strasse 1, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau (Germany)

Email address: pedro.nunez@math.uni-freiburg.de

Homepage: https://home.mathematik.uni-freiburg.de/nunez