Gradual Intersection Types

Pedro Ângelo, Mário Florido

February 8, 2018

1 Language Definition

Syntax

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\textit{Types} & I ::= \ \textit{Int} \mid \textit{Bool} \mid \textit{Dyn} \mid I \to T \mid I \cap \ldots \cap I \\
& T ::= \ \textit{Int} \mid \textit{Bool} \mid \textit{Dyn} \mid T \to T \\
\textit{Ground Types} & G \ ::= \ \textit{Int} \mid \textit{Bool} \mid \textit{Dyn} \to \textit{Dyn} \\
\textit{Casts} & c \ ::= c : T \Rightarrow^{l} T \ ^{cl} \mid \textit{blame}\ T\ T\ l\ ^{cl} \mid \varnothing\ T\ ^{cl} \\
\textit{Expressions} & e \ ::= x \mid \lambda x : I \ .\ e \mid e\ e \mid n \mid \textit{true} \mid \textit{false} \\
& \qquad \mid e : c \cap \ldots \cap c \mid \textit{blame}_I\ l \\
\textit{Cast Values} & cv \ ::= cv1 \mid cv2 \\
& cv1 \ ::= \varnothing\ T\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} \textit{Dyn}\ ^{cl} \\
& \qquad \mid \varnothing\ T\ ^{cl} : T_1 \to T_2 \Rightarrow^{l} T_3 \to T_4\ ^{cl} \\
& \qquad \mid cv1 : G \Rightarrow^{l} \textit{Dyn}\ ^{cl} \\
& \qquad \mid cv1 : T_1 \to T_2 \Rightarrow^{l} T_3 \to T_4\ ^{cl} \\
& cv2 \ ::= \textit{blame}\ T\ T\ l\ ^{cl} \\
& \qquad \mid \varnothing\ T\ ^{cl} \\
\textit{Values} & v \ ::= x \mid \lambda x : I \ .\ e \mid n \mid \textit{true} \mid \textit{false} \mid \textit{blame}_I\ l \\
& \qquad \mid v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n \ \textit{such that} \\
& \qquad \neg(\forall_{i \in 1..n} \ .\ cv_i = \textit{blame}\ T\ T\ l\ ^{cl}) \wedge \\
& \qquad \neg(\forall_{i \in 1..n} \ .\ cv_i = \varnothing\ T\ ^{cl})
\end{array}
$$

Figure 1: Gradual Intersection System

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T}$ Typing

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T}\ \text{T-Var} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T}\ \text{T-Abs}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e : T_i \to T}\ \text{T-Abs'}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : PM \qquad PM \rhd T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \qquad T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \sim T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1\ e_2 : T}\ \text{T-App}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \ \ldots\ \Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n}\ \text{T-Gen} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_i}\ \text{T-Inst}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int}\ \text{T-Int} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool}\ \text{T-True} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool}\ \text{T-False}$$

$\boxed{T \sim T}$ Consistency

$$B \sim B \qquad T \sim Dyn \qquad Dyn \sim T \qquad \frac{T_1 \sim T_3 \qquad T_2 \sim T_4}{T_1 \to T_2 \sim T_3 \to T_4} \qquad \frac{T_1 \sim T'_1 \ \ldots\ T_n \sim T'_n}{T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \sim T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n}$$

$$\frac{T \sim T_1 \ \ldots\ T \sim T_n}{T \sim T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n} \qquad \frac{T_1 \sim T \ \ldots\ T_n \sim T}{T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \sim T}$$

$\boxed{T \rhd T}$ Pattern Matching

$$T_1 \to T_2 \rhd T_1 \to T_2 \qquad Dyn \rhd Dyn \to Dyn$$

$\boxed{T \sqsubseteq T}$ Type Precision

$$Dyn \sqsubseteq T \qquad B \sqsubseteq B \qquad \frac{T_1 \sqsubseteq T_3 \qquad T_2 \sqsubseteq T_4}{T_1 \to T_2 \sqsubseteq T_3 \to T_4} \qquad \frac{T_1 \sqsubseteq T'_1 \ \ldots\ T_n \sqsubseteq T'_n}{T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \sqsubseteq T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n}$$

$$\frac{T \sqsubseteq T_1 \ \ldots\ T \sqsubseteq T_n}{T \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n} \qquad \frac{T_1 \sqsubseteq T \ \ldots\ T_n \sqsubseteq T}{T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \sqsubseteq T}$$

$\boxed{e \sqsubseteq e}$ Term Precision

$$x \sqsubseteq x \qquad \frac{T \sqsubseteq T' \qquad e \sqsubseteq e'}{\lambda x : T \,.\, e \sqsubseteq \lambda x : T' \,.\, e'} \qquad \frac{e_1 \sqsubseteq e'_1 \qquad e_2 \sqsubseteq e'_2}{e_1\ e_2 \sqsubseteq e'_1\ e'_2}$$

Figure 2: Gradual Intersection Type System ($\vdash_{\cap G}$)

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T}$ Typing

static type system $(\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T)$ *rules and*

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 : T_{11} \to T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1} \to T_{n2} \qquad \Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2 : T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n2}}\ \text{T-App'}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T \qquad \vdash_{\cap IC} c_1 : T_1\ \ \ldots\ \vdash_{\cap IC} c_n : T_n \\ initialType(c_1) \cap \ldots \cap initialType(c_n) = T\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n}\ \text{T-IntersectionCast}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} blame_T\ l : T}\ \text{T-Blame}$$

$\boxed{\text{initialType(c)} = \text{T}}$

$$initialType(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^{cl}) = initialType(c)$$

$$initialType(\varnothing\ T\ ^{cl}) = T$$

$$initialType(blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl}) = T_I$$

$\boxed{\text{finalType(c)} = \text{T}}$

$$finalType(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^{cl}) = T_2$$

$$finalType(\varnothing\ T\ ^{cl}) = T$$

$$finalType(blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl}) = T_F$$

Figure 3: Intersection Cast Calculus ($\vdash_{\cap CC}$)

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e : T}$ Compilation

$$\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} x \rightsquigarrow x : T}\ \text{C-Var}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e) \rightsquigarrow (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e') : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T}\ \text{C-Abs}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e) \rightsquigarrow (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e') : T_i \to T}\ \text{C-Abs'}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_1' : PM \qquad PM \rhd T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_2' : T_1' \cap \ldots \cap T_n' \qquad T_1' \cap \ldots \cap T_n' \sim T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \qquad instances(PM) = S_1 \\ instances(T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T) = S_2 \qquad instances(T_1' \cap \ldots \cap T_n') = S_3 \\ instances(T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n) = S_4 \qquad S_1,\ S_2,\ e_1' \hookrightarrow e_1'' \qquad S_3,\ S_4,\ e_2' \hookrightarrow e_2''\end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_1''\ e_2'' : T}\ \text{C-App}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1\ \ldots\ \Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n}\ \text{C-Gen} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_i}\ \text{C-Inst}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} n \rightsquigarrow n : Int}\ \text{C-Int} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} true \rightsquigarrow true : Bool}\ \text{C-True}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} false \rightsquigarrow false : Bool}\ \text{C-False}$$

$\boxed{\text{instances(T)} = \{\text{T}\}}$

$$instances(Int) = \{Int\}$$

$$instances(Bool) = \{Bool\}$$

$$instances(Dyn) = \{Dyn\}$$

$$\frac{instances(T_1) = \{T_{11}, \ldots, T_{1n}\}}{instances(T_1 \to T_2) = \{T_{11} \to T_2, \ldots, T_{1n} \to T_2\}}$$

$$\frac{instances(T_1) = \{T_{11}, \ldots, T_{1m}\} \ldots instances(T_n) = \{T_{n1}, \ldots, T_{nj}\}}{instances(T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n) = \{T_{11}, \ldots, T_{1m}, \ldots, T_{n1}, \ldots, T_{nj}\}}$$

$\boxed{S,\ S,\ e \hookrightarrow e}$

$$\{T_1\},\ \{T_2\},\ e \hookrightarrow e : (\varnothing\ T_1\ ^0 : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^0)$$

$$\{T_{11}, \ldots, T_{1n}\},\ \{T_{21}, \ldots, T_{2n}\},\ e \hookrightarrow e : (\varnothing\ T_{11}\ ^0 : T_{11} \Rightarrow^{l_1} T_{21}\ ^0) \cap \ldots \cap (\varnothing\ T_{1n}\ ^0 : T_{1n} \Rightarrow^{l_n} T_{2n}\ ^0)$$

$$\{T_{11}, \ldots, T_{1n}\},\ \{T_2\},\ e \hookrightarrow e : (\varnothing\ T_{11}\ ^0 : T_{11} \Rightarrow^{l_1} T_2\ ^0) \cap \ldots \cap (\varnothing\ T_{1n}\ ^0 : T_{1n} \Rightarrow^{l_n} T_2\ ^0)$$

$$\{T_1\},\ \{T_{21}, \ldots, T_{2n}\},\ e \hookrightarrow e : (\varnothing\ T_1\ ^0 : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} T_{21}\ ^0) \cap \ldots \cap (\varnothing\ T_1\ ^0 : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_n} T_{2n}\ ^0)$$

Figure 4: Compilation to the Cast Calculus

$\boxed{e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e}$ Evaluation

$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'}{e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC}\ e_1'\ e_2}\ \text{E-App1} \qquad \frac{e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_2'}{v_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC}\ v_1\ e_2'}\ \text{E-App2}$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e)\ v \longrightarrow_{\cap CC}\ [x \mapsto v]e}\ \text{E-AppAbs}$$

$$\frac{e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'}{e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e' : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n}\ \text{E-Evaluate}$$

Simulate casts on data types

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\textit{is value}\ (v_1 : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n) \qquad \exists i \in 1..n\ .\ \textit{isArrowCompatible}(cv_i) \\ ((c_{11}, c_{12}, c_1^s), \ldots, (c_{m1}, c_{m2}, c_m^s)) = \textit{simulateArrow}(cv_1, \ldots, cv_n)\end{array}}{\begin{array}{c}(v_1 : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n)\ v_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} \\ (v_1 : c_1^s \cap \ldots \cap c_m^s)\ (v_2 : c_{11} \cap \ldots \cap c_{m1}) : c_{12} \cap \ldots \cap c_{m2}\end{array}}\ \text{E-SimulateArrow}$$

Merge casts

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}\textit{is value}\ (v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n) \\ v : c_1'' \cap \ldots \cap c_j'' = \textit{mergeCasts}(v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n : c_1' \cap \ldots \cap c_m')\end{array}}{v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n : c_1' \cap \ldots \cap c_m' \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v : c_1'' \cap \ldots \cap c_j''}\ \text{E-MergeCasts}$$

Evaluate intersection casts

$$\frac{\neg(\forall i \in 1..n\ .\ \textit{is cast value}\ c_i) \qquad c_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} cv_1\ \ldots\ c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} cv_n}{v : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n}\ \text{E-EvaluateCasts}$$

Transition from cast values to values

$$\frac{}{v : \textit{blame}\ I_1\ F_1\ l_1\ ^{cl_1} \cap \ldots \cap \textit{blame}\ I_n\ F_n\ l_n\ ^{cl_n} \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} \textit{blame}_{(F_1 \cap \ldots \cap F_n)}\ l_1}\ \text{E-PropagateBlame}$$

$$\frac{}{v : \varnothing\ T_1\ ^{cl_1} \cap \ldots \cap \varnothing\ T_n\ ^{cl_n} \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v}\ \text{E-RemoveEmpty}$$

Figure 5: Cast Calculus Semantics ($\longrightarrow_{\cap CC}$)

$\boxed{\langle c \rangle^{cl} = \text{c}}$

$$\langle c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2 \ ^{cl} \rangle^{cl'} = \langle c \rangle^{cl'} : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2 \ ^{cl'}$$

$$\langle blame \ T_I \ T_F \ l \ ^{cl'} \rangle^{cl} = blame \ T_I \ T_F \ l \ ^{cl}$$

$$\langle \varnothing \ T \ ^{cl'} \rangle^{cl} = \varnothing \ T \ ^{cl}$$

$\boxed{\text{isArrowCompatible(c)} = \text{Bool}}$

$$isArrowCompatible(c : T_{11} \to T_{12} \Rightarrow^l T_{21} \to T_{22} \ ^{cl}) = isArrowCompatible(c)$$

$$isArrowCompatible(\varnothing \ (T_1 \to T_2) \ ^{cl}) = True$$

$\boxed{separateIntersectionCast(c) = (\text{c, c})}$

$$separateIntersectionCast(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2 \ ^{cl}) = (\varnothing \ T_1 \ ^{cl} : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2 \ ^{cl}, c)$$

$$separateIntersectionCast(\varnothing \ T \ ^{cl}) = (\varnothing \ T \ ^{cl}, \varnothing \ T \ ^{cl})$$

$\boxed{breakdownArrowType(c) = (\text{c, c})}$

$$breakdownArrowType(\varnothing \ T_{11} \to T_{12} \ ^{cl} : T_{11} \to T_{12} \Rightarrow^l T_{21} \to T_{22} \ ^{cl}) = (\varnothing \ T_{21} \ ^{cl} : T_{21} \Rightarrow^l T_{11} \ ^{cl}, \varnothing \ T_{12} \ ^{cl} : T_{12} \Rightarrow^l T_{22} \ ^{cl})$$

$$breakdownArrowType(\varnothing \ T_1 \to T_2 \ ^{cl}) = (\varnothing \ T_1 \ ^{cl}, \varnothing \ T_2 \ ^{cl} \ )$$

$\boxed{\text{simulateArrow}(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = ((c_{11}, c_{12}, c_1^s), \ldots, (c_{m1}, c_{m2}, c_m^s))}$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c}(c_1', \ldots, c_m') = filter \ isArrowCompatible \ (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \\ ((c_1^f, c_1^s), \ldots, (c_m^f, c_m^s)) = map \ separateIntersectionCast \ (\langle c_1' \rangle^0, \ldots, \langle c_m' \rangle^0) \\ ((c_{11}, c_{12}), \ldots, (c_{m1}, c_{m2})) = map \ breakdownArrowType \ (\langle c_1^f \rangle^1, \ldots, \langle c_m^f \rangle^m)\end{array}}{simulateArrow(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = ((c_{11}, c_{12}, c_1^s), \ldots, (c_{m1}, c_{m2}, c_m^s))}$$

Figure 6: Definitions for auxiliary semantic functions

getCastLabel(c) = cl

$$getCastLabel(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}) = cl$$

$$getCastLabel(blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl}) = cl$$

$$getCastLabel(\varnothing\ T\ ^{cl}) = cl$$

sameCastLabel(c, c) = Bool

$$sameCastLabel(c_1, c_2) = getCastLabel(c_1) == 0$$

$$sameCastLabel(c_1, c_2) = getCastLabel(c_2) == 0$$

$$sameCastLabel(c_1, c_2) = getCastLabel(c_1) == getCastLabel(c_2)$$

joinCasts(c, c) = c

$$joinCasts(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}, c') = joinCasts(c, c') : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}$$

$$joinCasts(blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl}, c) = blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl}$$

$$getCastLabel(\varnothing\ T\ ^{cl}, c) = \langle c \rangle^{cl}$$

$mergeCasts(e) = e$

$$\frac{(c'_1, \ldots, c'_o) = [joinCast\ y\ x \mid x \leftarrow (c_{11}, \ldots, c_{1m}),\ y \leftarrow (c_{21}, \ldots, c_{2n}),\ sameCastLabel\ y\ x\ \&\&\ initialType(y) == finalType(x)]}{mergeCasts(e : c_{11} \cap \ldots \cap c_{1m} : c_{21} \cap \ldots \cap c_{2n}) = e : c'_1 \cap \ldots \cap c'_o}$$

Figure 7: Definitions for auxiliary semantic functions

$\boxed{\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T}$ Typing

$$\frac{\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1 \qquad T_1 \sim T_2}{\vdash_{\cap IC} (c : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}) : T_2}\ \text{T-SingleIC} \qquad \frac{}{\vdash_{\cap IC} \mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl} : T_F}\ \text{T-BlameIC}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash_{\cap IC} \varnothing\ T\ ^{cl} : T}\ \text{T-EmptyIC}$$

Figure 8: Intersection Casts Type System ($\vdash_{\cap IC}$)

$\boxed{c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c}$ Evaluation

Push blame to top level

$$\frac{}{\mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_F\ l_1\ ^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} \mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_2\ l_1\ ^{cl_1}}\ \text{E-PushBlameIC}$$

Evaluate inside casts

$$\frac{\neg(\mathit{is\ cast\ value}\ c) \qquad c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'}{c : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}}\ \text{E-EvaluateIC}$$

Detect success or failure of casts

$$\frac{\mathit{is\ cast\ value}\ 1\ c \vee \mathit{is\ empty\ cast}\ c}{c : T \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c}\ \text{E-IdentityIC}$$

$$\frac{\mathit{is\ cast\ value}\ 1\ c \vee \mathit{is\ empty\ cast}\ c}{c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl_1} : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c}\ \text{E-SucceedIC}$$

$$\frac{\mathit{is\ cast\ value}\ 1\ c \vee \mathit{is\ empty\ cast}\ c \qquad \neg(\mathit{same\ ground}\ G_1\ G_2) \qquad \mathit{initialType}(c) = T_I}{c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl_1} : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} \mathit{blame}\ T_I\ G_2\ l_2\ ^{cl_1}}\ \text{E-FailIC}$$

Mediate the transition between the two disciplines

$$\frac{\mathit{is\ cast\ value}\ 1\ c \vee \mathit{is\ empty\ cast}\ c \qquad G\ \mathit{is\ ground\ type\ of}\ T \qquad \neg(\mathit{ground}\ T)}{c : T \Rightarrow^{l} \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl}}\ \text{E-GroundIC}$$

$$\frac{\mathit{is\ cast\ value}\ 1\ c \vee \mathit{is\ empty\ cast}\ c \qquad G\ \mathit{is\ ground\ type\ of}\ T \qquad \neg(\mathit{ground}\ T)}{c : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl}}\ \text{E-ExpandIC}$$

Figure 9: Intersection Casts Semantics ($\longrightarrow_{\cap IC}$)

$\boxed{[e]_e = \text{e}}$ Erase identity casts

$$[x]_e = x$$

$$[\lambda x : T \;.\; e]_e = \lambda x : T \;.\; [e]_e$$

$$[e_1 \; e_2]_e = [e_1]_e \; [e_2]_e$$

$$[n]_e = n$$

$$[true]_e = true$$

$$[false]_e = false$$

$$\frac{[c_1]_c = \varnothing \; T_1 \; ^{cl_1} \;\; \ldots \;\; [c_n]_c = \varnothing \; T_n \; ^{cl_n}}{[e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n]_e = [e]_e}$$

$$\frac{[c_1]_c = c_1' \;\; \ldots \;\; [c_n]_c = c_n'}{[e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n]_e = [e]_e : c_1' \cap \ldots \cap c_n'}$$

$\boxed{[c]_c = \text{c}}$ Erase identity casts

$$[c : T \Rightarrow^l T \; ^{cl}]_c = [c]_c$$

$$[c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2 \; ^{cl}]_c = [c]_c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2 \; ^{cl}$$

$$[blame \; T_I \; T_F \; l \; ^{cl}]_c = blame \; T_I \; T_F \; l \; ^{cl}$$

$$[\varnothing \; T \; ^{cl}]_c = \varnothing \; T \; ^{cl}$$

Figure 10: Identity Cast Erasure

2 Proofs

Lemma 1 (Consistency reduces to equality when comparing static types)**.** *If T_1 and T_2 are static types then $T_1 = T_2 \iff T_1 \sim T_2$.*

Proof. We proceed by structural induction on T.

Base cases:

- $T_1 = Int$.
  - If $Int = Int$ then, by the definition of $\sim$, $Int \sim Int$.
  - If $Int \sim Int$, then $Int = Int$.
- $T_1 = Bool$.
  - If $Bool = Bool$ then, by the definition of $\sim$, $Bool \sim Bool$.
  - If $Bool \sim Bool$, then $Bool = Bool$.

Induction step:

- $T_1 = T_{11} \to T_{12}$.
  - If $T_{11} \to T_{12} = T_{21} \to T_{22}$, for some T_{21} and T_{22}, then $T_{11} = T_{21}$ and $T_{12} = T_{22}$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} \sim T_{21}$ and $T_{12} \sim T_{22}$. Therefore, by the definition of $\sim$, $T_{11} \to T_{12} \sim T_{21} \to T_{22}$.
  - If $T_{11} \to T_{12} \sim T_2$, then by the definition of $\sim$, $T_2 = T_{21} \to T_{22}$ and $T_{11} \sim T_{21}$ and $T_{12} \sim T_{22}$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} = T_{21}$ and $T_{12} = T_{22}$. Therefore, $T_{11} \to T_{12} = T_{21} \to T_{22}$.
- $T_1 = T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n}$.
  - If $T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n} = T_2$, then $\exists T_{21} \ldots T_{2n} \,.\, T_2 = T_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T_{2n}$ and $T_{11} = T_{21}$ and ... and $T_{1n} = T_{2n}$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} \sim T_{21}$ and ... and $T_{1n} \sim T_{2n}$. Therefore, by the definition of $\sim$, $T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n} \sim T_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T_{2n}$.
  - If $T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n} \sim T_2$, then either:
    - $\exists T_{21} \ldots T_{2n} \,.\, T_2 = T_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T_{2n}$ and $T_{11} \sim T_{21}$ and ... and $T_{1n} \sim T_{2n}$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} = T_{21}$ and ... and $T_{1n} = T_{2n}$. Therefore, $T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n} = T_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T_{2n}$.
    - $T_{11} \sim T_2$ and ... and $T_{1n} \sim T_2$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} = T_2$ and ... and $T_{1n} = T_2$. As $T_2 \cap \ldots \cap T_2 = T_2$, then $T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n} = T_2$.

□

Theorem 1 (Conservative Extension)**.** *Depends on Lemma 1. If e is fully static and T is a static type, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T \iff \Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\vdash_{\cap S}$ and $\vdash_{\cap G}$ for the right and left direction of the implication, respectively.

Base case:

- Rule T-Var.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} x : T$, then $x : T \in \Gamma$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$, then $x : T \in \Gamma$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$.
- Rule T-Int.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} n : Int$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} n : Int$.
- Rule T-True.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} true : Bool$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} true : Bool$.
- Rule T-False.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} false : Bool$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} false : Bool$.

Induction step:

- Rule T-Abs.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} \lambda x\ .\ T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$, then $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x\ .\ T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x\ .\ T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$, then $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} \lambda x\ .\ T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$.
- Rule T-Abs'.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} \lambda x\ .\ T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_i \to T$, then $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x\ .\ T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_i \to T$.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x\ .\ T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_i \to T$, then $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} \lambda x\ .\ T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_i \to T$.
- Rule T-App.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_1\ e_2 : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_1 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_2 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By the definition of $\rhd$, $T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T \rhd T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$. By the definition of consistency ($T \sim T$), $T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \sim T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1\ e_2 : T$.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1\ e_2 : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : PM$, $PM \rhd T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n$ and $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \sim T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By the definition of $\rhd$, $PM = T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$, therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$. By Lemma 1, $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n = T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, and therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_1 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_2 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_1\ e_2 : T$.
- Rule T-Gen.

- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_n$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$.

- Rule T-Inst.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_i$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, such that $T_i \in \{T_1, ..., T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. As $T_i \in \{T_1, ..., T_n\}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_i$.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_i$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, such that $T_i \in \{T_1, ..., T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. As $T_i \in \{T_1, ..., T_n\}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_i$.

□

Theorem 2 (Monotonicity w.r.t. precision)**.** *If* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$ *and* $e' \sqsubseteq e$ *then* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'$ *and* $T' \sqsubseteq T$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$.

Base case:

- Rule T-Var. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$ and $x \sqsubseteq x$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$ and $T \sqsubseteq T$.
- Rule T-Int. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$ and $n \sqsubseteq n$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$ and $Int \sqsubseteq Int$.
- Rule T-True. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$ and $true \sqsubseteq true$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$ and $Bool \sqsubseteq Bool$.
- Rule T-False. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$ and $false \sqsubseteq false$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$ and $Bool \sqsubseteq Bool$.

Induction step:

- Rule T-Abs. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \;.\; e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\lambda x : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \;.\; e' \sqsubseteq \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \;.\; e$, then $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$, $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'$ and $T' \sqsubseteq T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \;.\; e' : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \to T'$, and by the definition of $\sqsubseteq$, $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \to T' \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$, then it is proved.
- Rule T-Abs'. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \;.\; e : T_i \to T$ and $\lambda x : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \;.\; e' \sqsubseteq \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \;.\; e$, then $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$, $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T'_i \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'$ and $T' \sqsubseteq T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \;.\; e' : T'_i \to T'$, and by the definition of $\sqsubseteq$, $T'_i \to T' \sqsubseteq T_i \to T$, then it is proved.
- Rule T-App. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1\ e_2 : T$ and $e'_1\ e'_2 \sqsubseteq e_1\ e_2$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : PM$, $PM \rhd T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n} \to T$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T_{2n}$, and $T_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T_{2n} \sim T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n}$, $e'_1 \sqsubseteq e_1$ and $e'_2 \sqsubseteq e_2$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e'_1 : PM'$ *and* $PM' \sqsubseteq PM$ *and* $PM' \rhd T'_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{1n} \to T'$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e'_2 : T'_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{2n}$ *and* $T'_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{2n} \sqsubseteq T_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T_{2n}$ *and* $T'_{21} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{2n} \sim T'_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{1n}$. By the definition of $\sqsubseteq$ and $\rhd$, $T'_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{1n} \to T' \sqsubseteq T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{1n} \to T$, and therefore, $T' \sqsubseteq T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e'_1\ e'_2 : T'$, it is proved.
- Rule T-Gen. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'_1$ *and* $T'_1 \sqsubseteq T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'_n$ *and* $T'_n \sqsubseteq T_n$. Then, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n$ and by the definition of $\sqsubseteq$, $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, then it is proved.

- Rule T-Inst. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_i$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ such that $T_i \in \{T_1, ..., T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n$ and $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'_i$ and by the definition of $\sqsubseteq$, $T'_i \sqsubseteq T_i$, then it is proved.

□

Theorem 3 (Type preservation of cast insertion)**.** *If* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$ *then* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T$ *and* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$.

Base case:

- Rule T-Var. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$ then $x : T \in \Gamma$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} x \rightsquigarrow x : T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} x : T$, it is proved.
- Rule T-Int. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} n \rightsquigarrow n : Int$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} n : Int$, it is proved.
- Rule T-True. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} true \rightsquigarrow true : Bool$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} true : Bool$, it is proved.
- Rule T-False. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} false \rightsquigarrow false : Bool$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} false : Bool$, it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule T-Abs. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ then $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T$ and $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e \rightsquigarrow \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$, it is proved.
- Rule T-Abs'. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e : T_i \to T$ then $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T$ and $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e \rightsquigarrow \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e' : T_i \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e' : T_i \to T$, it is proved.
- Rule T-App. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1\ e_2 : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : PM$, $PM \rhd T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n$ and $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n \sim T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 \rightsquigarrow e'_1 : PM$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e'_1 : PM$, and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 \rightsquigarrow e'_2 : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e'_2 : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2 \rightsquigarrow e''_1\ e''_2 : T$. By the definition of *instances* and S, $S,\ e \hookrightarrow e$, we have $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e''_1 : T_1 \to T \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e''_2 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e''_1\ e''_2 : T \cap \ldots \cap T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e''_1\ e''_2 : T$.
- Rule T-Gen. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_n$, and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$.
- Rule T-Inst. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_i$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_i$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T_i$.

□

Theorem 4 (Monotonicity of cast insertion)**.** *If* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_1' : T$ *and* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_2' : T$ *and* $e_1 \sqsubseteq e_2$ *then* $e_1' \sqsubseteq e_2'$.

Theorem 5 (Conservative Extension)**.** *If* e *is fully static, then* $e \longrightarrow_{\cap S} e' \iff e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\longrightarrow_{\cap S}$ and $\longrightarrow_{\cap CC}$ for the right and left direction of the implication, respectively. Base case:

- Rule E-AppAbs. If $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e)\ v \longrightarrow_{\cap S} [x \mapsto v]e$ and $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \,.\, e)\ v \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto v]e$, then it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule E-App1.
  - If $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap S} e_1'\ e_2$ then $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap S} e_1'$. By the induction hypothesis, $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'$. Therefore, $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'\ e_2$
  - If $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'\ e_2$ then $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'$. By the induction hypothesis, $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap S} e_1'$. Therefore, $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap S} e_1'\ e_2$
- Rule E-App2.
  - If $v_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap S} v_1\ e_2'$ then $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap S} e_2'$. By the induction hypothesis, $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_2'$. Therefore, $v_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v_1\ e_2'$
  - If $v_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v_1\ e_2'$ then $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_2'$. By the induction hypothesis, $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap S} e_2'$. Therefore, $v_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap S} v_1\ e_2'$

□

Lemma 2 (Type preservation of $\longrightarrow_{\cap IC}$)**.** *If* $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$ *and*

- $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$ *then* $\vdash_{\cap IC} c' : T$.
- $initialType(c) = T$ *then* $initialType(c') = T$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\longrightarrow_{\cap IC}$.

Base cases:

- Rule E-PushBlameIC.
  - $\vdash_{\cap IC} blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l_1\ ^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2\ ^{cl_2} : T_2$ and by rule E-PushBlameIC, $blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l_1\ ^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} blame\ T_I\ T_2\ l_1\ ^{cl_1}$. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} blame\ T_I\ T_2\ l_1\ ^{cl_1} : T_2$, then it is proved.
  - By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l_1\ ^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2\ ^{cl_2}) = T_I$. By rule E-PushBlameIC, $blame\ T_I\ T_F\ l_1\ ^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} blame\ T_I\ T_2\ l_1\ ^{cl_1}$. Since $initialType(blame\ T_I\ T_2\ l_1\ ^{cl_1}) = T_I$, it is proved.
- Rule E-IdentityIC.
  - If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} : T$, then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$. By rule E-IdentityIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$. Therefore it is proved.
  - By the definitions of *initialType*, $initialType(c : T \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl}) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-IdentityIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$. Therefore it is proved.

- Rule E-SucceedIC.
  - If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn\ ^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G\ ^{cl_2} : G$, then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : G$. By rule E-SucceedIC, $c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn\ ^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$. Therefore it is proved.
  - Rule E-SucceedIC. By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn\ ^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G\ ^{cl_2}) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-SucceedIC, $c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn\ ^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$. Therefore it is proved.
- Rule E-FailIC.
  - If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn\ ^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2\ ^{cl_2} : G_2$, and by rule E-FailIC, $c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn\ ^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} blame\ T_I\ G_2\ l_2\ ^{cl_1}$ and $\vdash_{\cap IC} blame\ T_I\ G_2\ l_2\ ^{cl_1} : G_2$, it is proved.
  - By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn\ ^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2\ ^{cl_2}) = T_I$. By rule E-FailIC, $c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn\ ^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} blame\ T_I\ G_2\ l_2\ ^{cl_1}$. Since $initialType(blame\ T_I\ G_2\ l_2\ ^{cl_1}) = T_I$, it is proved.
- Rule E-GroundIC.
  - If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^{l} Dyn\ ^{cl} : Dyn$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$. By rule E-GroundIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^{l} Dyn\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} Dyn\ ^{cl}$. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} Dyn\ ^{cl} : Dyn$, it is proved.
  - By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : T \Rightarrow^{l} Dyn\ ^{cl}) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-GroundIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^{l} Dyn\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} Dyn\ ^{cl}$. Since $initialType(c : T \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} Dyn\ ^{cl}) = initialType(c)$, it is proved.
- Rule E-ExpandIC.
  - If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} : T$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : Dyn$. By rule E-ExpandIC, $c : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl}$. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} : T$, it is proved.
  - By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl}) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-ExpandIC, $c : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl}$. Since $initialType(c : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l} G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^{l} T\ ^{cl}) = initialType(c)$, it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule E-EvaluateIC.
  - If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl} : T_2$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1$. By rule E-EvaluateIC, $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$. By the induction hypothesis, $\vdash_{\cap IC} c' : T_1$. By rule E-EvaluateIC, $c : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}$. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl} : T_2$ it is proved.
  - By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-EvaluateIC, $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$. By the induction hypothesis, $initialType(c') = initialType(c)$. By rule E-EvaluateIC, $c : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}$. Since $initialType(c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l} T_2\ ^{cl}) = initialType(c')$, it is proved.

□

Lemma 3 (Progress of $\longrightarrow_{\cap IC}$)**.** *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$ and $initialType(c) = T_I$ then either c is a cast value or there exists a c' such that $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$.

Base case:

- Rule T-BlameIC. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} \mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl} : T_F$, $\mathit{initialType}(\mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl}) = T_I$ and $\mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl}$ is a cast value, it is proved.
- Rule T-EmptyIC. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} \varnothing\ T\ ^{cl} : T$, $\mathit{initialType}(\varnothing\ T\ ^{cl}) = T$ and $\varnothing\ T\ ^{cl}$ is a cast value, it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule T-SingleIC. If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^c l : T_2$ and $\mathit{initialType}(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^c l) = T_I$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1$ and $\mathit{initialType}(c) = T_I$. By the induction hypothesis, either c is a cast value or there is a c' such that $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$. If c is a cast value, then c can either be of the form $\mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl}$, in which case by rule E-PushBlameIC, $\mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_F\ l\ ^{cl} : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^c l \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} \mathit{blame}\ T_I\ T_2\ l\ ^{cl}$ or c is a cast value 1 or is an empty cast. If c is a cast value 1 or is an empty cast then $c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^c l$ can be of one of the folowing forms:
  - $c : T \Rightarrow^l T\ ^{cl}$. Then by rule E-IdentityIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^l T\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$.
  - $c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl_1} : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G\ ^{cl_2}$. Then by rule E-SucceedIC, $c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl_1} : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$.
  - $c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl_1} : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2\ ^{cl_2}$. Then by rule E-FailIC, $c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl_1} : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2\ ^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} \mathit{blame}\ T_I\ G_2\ l_2\ ^{cl_1}$.
  - $c : T \Rightarrow^l \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl}$. Then by rule E-GroundIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^l \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^l G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l \mathit{Dyn}\ ^{cl}$.
  - $c : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^l T\ ^{cl}$. Then by rule E-ExpandIC, $c : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^l T\ ^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : \mathit{Dyn} \Rightarrow^l G\ ^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l T\ ^{cl}$.

  If there is a c' such that $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$, then by rule E-EvaluateIC, $c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^c l \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2\ ^c l$.

□

Lemma 4 (Type preservation of $\longrightarrow_{\cap CC}$)**.** *Depends on Lemmas 2 and 3. If* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$ *and* $e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$ *then* $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\longrightarrow_{\cap CC}$.

Base case:

- Rule E-AppAbs. There exists a type $T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ such that we can deduce $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e)\ v : T$ from $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ (x does not occur in Γ). Moreover, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ only if $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$. By rule E-AppAbs, $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e)\ v \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto v]e$. To obtain $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto v]e : T$, it is sufficient to replace, in the proof of $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$, the statements $x : T_i$ (introduzed by the rules T-Var and T-Inst) by the deductions of $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : T_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. (Proof adapted from [1])

- Rule E-SimulateArrow. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (v_1 : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n)\ v_2 : T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n2}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1 : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ with $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_1 : T_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_n : T_n$, such that $\exists i \in 1..n\ .\ T_i = T_{i1} \to T_{i2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1 : T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_l$ and $I_1 = initialType(cv_1)$ and ... and $I_n = initialType(cv_n)$ such that either $T'_1 \cap \ldots \cap T'_l = I_1 \cap \ldots \cap I_n$ or $\{I_1, \ldots, I_n\} \subset \{T'_1, \ldots, T'_l\}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_2 : T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1}$. For the sake of simplicity lets elide cast labels and blame labels. By the definition of SimulateArrow, we have that $c'_1 = c''_1 : T'_{11} \to T'_{12} \Rightarrow T_{11} \to T_{12}$ and ... and $c'_m = c''_m : T'_{m1} \to T'_{m2} \Rightarrow T_{m1} \to T_{m2}$. Also, $c_{11} = \varnothing\ T_{11}\ : T_{11} \Rightarrow T'_{11}$ and ... and $c_{m1} = \varnothing\ T_{m1}\ : T_{m1} \Rightarrow T'_{m1}$ and $c_{12} : \varnothing\ T'_{12}\ : T'_{12} \Rightarrow T_{12}$ and ... and $c_{m2} = \varnothing\ T'_{m2}\ : T'_{m2} \Rightarrow T_{m2}$ and $initialType(c^s_1) = I_1$ and ... and $initialType(c^s_m) = I_m$ and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c^s_1 : T'_{11} \to T'_{12}$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c^s_m : T'_{m1} \to T'_{m2}$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1 : c^s_1 \cap \ldots \cap c^s_m : T'_{11} \to T'_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{m1} \to T'_{m2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_2 : c_{11} \cap \ldots \cap c_{m1} : T'_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{m1}$ and therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (v_1 : c^s_1 \cap \ldots \cap cc^s_m)\ (v_2 : c_{11} \cap \ldots \cap c_{m1}) : T'_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T'_{m2}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (v_1 : c^s_1 \cap \ldots \cap c^s_m)\ (v_2 : c_{11} \cap \ldots \cap c_{m1}) : c_{12} \cap \ldots \cap c_{m2} : T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{m2}$, such that $\{T_{12}, \ldots, T_{m2}\} \subset \{T_{12}, \ldots, T_{n2}\}$. By rule E-SimulateArrow, $(v_1 : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n)\ v_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} (v_1 : c^s_1 \cap \ldots \cap c^s_m)\ (v_2 : c_{11} \cap \ldots \cap c_{m1}) : c_{12} \cap \ldots \cap c_{m2}$, therefore it is proved.

- Rule E-MergeCasts. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n : c'_1 \cap \ldots \cap c'_m : F'_1 \cap \ldots \cap F'_m$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c'_1 : F'_1$ and $initialType(c'_1) = I'_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c'_m : F'_m$ and $initialType(c'_m) = I'_m$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n : F_1 \cap \ldots \cap F_n$ and $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_1 : F_1$ and $initialType(cv_1) = I_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_n : F_n$ and $initialType(cv_n) = I_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_l$ such that either $T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_l = I_1 \cap \ldots \cap I_n$ or $\{I_1, \ldots, I_n\} \subset \{T_1, \ldots, T_l\}$. There are two possibilities:
  - $F_1 \cap \ldots \cap F_n = I'_1 \cap \ldots \cap I'_m$. By the definition of mergeCasts, $\vdash_{\cap IC} c''_1 : F''_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c''_j : F''_j$ such that $F''_1 \cap \ldots \cap F''_j = F'_1 \cap \ldots \cap F'_m$ and $initialType(c''_1) = I''_1$ and ... and $initialType(c''_j) = I''_j$ such that $I''_1 \cap \ldots \cap I''_j = I_1 \cap \ldots \cap I_n$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : c''_1 \cap \ldots \cap c''_j : F''_1 \cap \ldots \cap F''_j$. By rule E-MergeCasts, $v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n : c'_1 \cap \ldots \cap c'_m \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v : c''_1 \cap \ldots \cap c''_j$. Therefore it is proved.
  - $\{I'_1, \ldots, I'_m\} \subset \{F_1, \ldots, F_n\}$. By the definition of mergeCasts, $\vdash_{\cap IC} c''_1 : F''_1$ and $initialType(c''_1) = I''_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c''_j : F''_j$ and $initialType(c''_j) = I''_j$ such that $\{I''_1, \ldots, I''_j\} \subset \{I_1, \ldots, I_n\}$ and $\{F''_1, \ldots, F''_j\} \subset \{F'_1, \ldots, F'_m\}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : c''_1 \cap \ldots \cap c''_j : F''_1 \cap \ldots \cap F''_j$. By rule E-MergeCasts, $v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n : c'_1 \cap \ldots \cap c'_m \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v : c''_1 \cap \ldots \cap c''_j$. Therefore, it is proved.

- Rule E-EvaluateCasts. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c_1 : T_1$ and $I_1 = initialType(c_1)$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c_n : T_n$ and $I_n = initialType(c_n)$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : I_1 \cap \ldots \cap I_n$. By rule E-EvaluateCasts, $c_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} cv_1$ and ... and $c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} cv_n$. By Lemmas 2 and 3, $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_1 : T_1$ and $initialType(cv_1) = I_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_n : T_n$ and $initialType(cv_n) = I_n$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By rule E-EvaluateCasts, $v : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n$, then it is proved.

- Rule E-PropagateBlame. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : blame\ T'_1\ T_1\ l_1\ ^{m_1} \cap \ldots \cap blame\ T'_n\ T_n\ l_n\ ^{m_n} : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ and by rule E-PropagateBlame $v : blame\ T'_1\ T_1\ l_1\ ^{m_1} \cap \ldots \cap blame\ T'_n\ T_n\ l_n\ ^{m_n} \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} blame_{(T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n)}\ l_1$, and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} blame_{(T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n)}\ l_1 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, then it is proved.

- Rule E-RemoveEmpty. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : \varnothing\ T_1\ ^{m_1} \cap \ldots \cap \varnothing\ T_n\ ^{m_n} : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, then $\vdash_{\cap IC} \varnothing\ T_1\ ^{m_1} : T_1$ and $initialType(\varnothing\ T_1\ ^{m_1}) = T_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} \varnothing\ T_n\ ^{m_n} : T_n$ and $initialType(\varnothing\ T_n\ ^{m_n}) = T_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By rule E-RemoveEmpty, $v : \varnothing\ T_1\ ^{m_1} \cap \ldots \cap \varnothing\ T_n\ ^{m_n} \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v$, therefore it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule E-App1. There are two possibilities:
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2 : T$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By rule E-App1, $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e_1'$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1'\ e_2 : T$. As by rule E-App1, $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e_1'\ e_2$, it is proved.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2 : T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n2}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 : T_{11} \to T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1} \to T_{n2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1}$. By rule E-App1, $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e_1'$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1' : T_{11} \to T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1} \to T_{n2}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1'\ e_2 : T_{12} \cap \cdots \cap T_{n2}$. As by rule E-App1, $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e_1'\ e_2$, it is proved.
- Rule E-App2. There are two possibilities:
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1\ e_2 : T$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By rule E-App2, $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e_2'$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2' : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1\ e_2' : T$. As by rule E-App2, $v_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} v_1\ e_2'$, it is proved.
  - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1\ e_2 : T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n2}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1 : T_{11} \to T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1} \to T_{n2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1}$. By rule E-App2, $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e_2'$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2' : T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1\ e_2' : T_{12} \cap \cdots \cap T_{n2}$. As by rule E-App1, $v_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} v_1\ e_2'$, it is proved.
- Rule E-Evaluate. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$, $\vdash_{\cap IC} c_1 : T_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c_n : T_n$ and $initialType(c_1) \cap \ldots \cap initialType(c_n) = T$. By rule E-Evaluate, $e \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e'$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. As by rule E-Evaluate, $e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e' : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n$, it is proved.

□

Lemma 5 (Progress of $\longrightarrow_{\cap CC}$)**.** *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$ then either e is a value or there exists an e' such that $e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$.

Base case:

- Rule T-Var. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} x : T$, then $x : T \in \Gamma$. As x is a value, it is proved.
- Rule T-Int. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} n : Int$ and n is a value, it is proved.
- Rule T-True. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} true : Bool$ and $true$ is a value, it is proved.
- Rule T-False. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} false : Bool$ and $false$ is a value, it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule T-Abs. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e$ is a value, it is proved.
- Rule T-Abs'. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e : T_i \to T$ such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$ and $\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e$ is a value, it is proved.

- Rule T-App. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2 : T$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n \to T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, e_1 is either a value or there is a e_1' such that $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'$ and e_2 is either a value or there is a e_2' such that $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_2'$. If e_1 is not a value, then by rule E-App1, $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'\ e_2$. If e_1 is a value and e_2 is not a value, then by rule E-App2, $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2'$. If both e_1 and e_2 are values then e_1 must be an abstraction $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e)$, and by rule E-AppAbs $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n\ .\ e)\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto e_2]e$.

- Rule T-Gen. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, either e is a value or there exists an e' such that $e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$.

- Rule T-Inst. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T_i$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$, such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \ldots, T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, either e is a value or there exists an e' such that $e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$.

- Rule T-App'. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2 : T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n2}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 : T_{11} \to T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1} \to T_{n2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_{11} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1}$. By the induction hypothesis, e_1 is either a value or there is a e_1' such that $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'$ and e_2 is either a value or there is a e_2' such that $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_2'$. If e_1 is not a value, then by rule E-App1, $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'\ e_2$. If e_1 is a value and e_2 is not a value, then by rule E-App2, $e_1\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1\ e_2'$. If both e_1 and e_2 are values then e_1 must be an abstraction $(\lambda x : T_{11} \to T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1} \to T_{n2}.\ e)$, and by rule E-AppAbs $(\lambda x : T_{11} \to T_{12} \cap \ldots \cap T_{n1} \to T_{n2}\ .\ e)\ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto e_2]e$.

- Rule T-IntersectionCast. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \ldots \cap T_n$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, e is either a value, or there is an e' such that $e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$. If e is a value, then by rule E-EvaluateCasts, $e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e : cv_1 \cap \ldots \cap cv_n$. If there is an e' such that $e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$, then by rule E-Evaluate, $e : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e' : c_1 \cap \ldots \cap c_n$.

- Rule T-Blame. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} blame_T\ l : T$ and $blame_T\ l$ is a value, it is proved.

□

Theorem 6 (Type Safety)**.** *Depends on Lemmas 4 and 5. Both Type Preservation and Progress hold.*

Proof. By Lemma 4 we have Type Preservation. By Lemma 5 we have Progress. □

Theorem 7 (Blame Theorem)**.** *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$ and $e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} blame_T\ l$ then l is not a safe cast of e.*

Theorem 8 (Gradual Guarantee)**.** *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 : T_1$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_2$ and $e_1 \sqsubseteq e_2$ then:*

1. *if $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_2'$ then $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} e_1'$ and $e_1' \sqsubseteq e_2'$.*
2. *if $e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_1'$ then either $e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e_2'$ and $e_1' \sqsubseteq e_2'$ or $e_2' \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} blame_T\ l$.*

References

[1] Mario Coppo, Mariangiola Dezani-Ciancaglini, et al. An extension of the basic functionality theory for the λ-calculus. *Notre Dame journal of formal logic*, 21(4):685–693, 1980.