

Gradual Intersection Types

Pedro Ângelo, Mário Florido

February 9, 2018

1 Language Definition

Syntax

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Types } I &::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Bool} \mid \text{Dyn} \mid I \rightarrow T \mid I \cap \dots \cap I \\ T &::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Bool} \mid \text{Dyn} \mid T \rightarrow T \\ \text{Ground Types } G &::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Bool} \mid \text{Dyn} \rightarrow \text{Dyn} \\ \text{Casts } c &::= c : T \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \mid \text{blame } T \ T \ l^{cl} \mid \emptyset \ T^{cl} \\ \text{Expressions } e &::= x \mid \lambda x : I . e \mid e \ e \mid n \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \\ &\quad \mid e : c \cap \dots \cap c \mid \text{blame}_I \ l \\ \text{Cast Values } cv &::= cv1 \mid cv2 \\ cv1 &::= \emptyset \ T^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l \text{Dyn}^{cl} \\ &\quad \mid \emptyset \ T^{cl} : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \Rightarrow^l T_3 \rightarrow T_4^{cl} \\ &\quad \mid cv1 : G \Rightarrow^l \text{Dyn}^{cl} \\ &\quad \mid cv1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \Rightarrow^l T_3 \rightarrow T_4^{cl} \\ cv2 &::= \text{blame } T \ T \ l^{cl} \\ &\quad \mid \emptyset \ T^{cl} \\ \text{Values } v &::= x \mid \lambda x : I . e \mid n \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{blame}_I \ l \\ &\quad \mid v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n \text{ such that} \\ &\quad \neg(\forall_{i \in 1..n} . cv_i = \text{blame } T \ T \ l^{cl}) \wedge \\ &\quad \neg(\forall_{i \in 1..n} . cv_i = \emptyset \ T^{cl}) \end{aligned}$$

Figure 1: Gradual Intersection System

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T}$ Typing

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T} \text{T-VAR} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T} \text{T-ABS} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_i \rightarrow T} \text{T-ABS'} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : PM \quad PM \triangleright T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \quad T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \sim T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 e_2 : T} \text{T-APP} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \dots \Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n} \text{T-GEN} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_i} \text{T-INST} \\
\\
\overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int} \text{T-INT} \qquad \overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool} \text{T-TRUE} \qquad \overline{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool} \text{T-FALSE}
\end{array}$$

$\boxed{T \sim T}$ Consistency

$$\begin{array}{c}
B \sim B \quad T \sim Dyn \quad Dyn \sim T \quad \frac{T_1 \sim T_3 \quad T_2 \sim T_4}{T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \sim T_3 \rightarrow T_4} \quad \frac{T_1 \sim T'_1 \dots T_n \sim T'_n}{T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \sim T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n} \\
\\
\frac{T \sim T_1 \dots T \sim T_n}{T \sim T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n} \quad \frac{T_1 \sim T \dots T_n \sim T}{T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \sim T}
\end{array}$$

$\boxed{T \triangleright T}$ Pattern Matching

$$T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \triangleright T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \qquad Dyn \triangleright Dyn \rightarrow Dyn$$

$\boxed{T \sqsubseteq T}$ Type Precision

$$\begin{array}{c}
Dyn \sqsubseteq T \quad B \sqsubseteq B \quad \frac{T_1 \sqsubseteq T_3 \quad T_2 \sqsubseteq T_4}{T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \sqsubseteq T_3 \rightarrow T_4} \quad \frac{T_1 \sqsubseteq T'_1 \dots T_n \sqsubseteq T'_n}{T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \sqsubseteq T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n} \\
\\
\frac{T \sqsubseteq T_1 \dots T \sqsubseteq T_n}{T \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n} \quad \frac{T_1 \sqsubseteq T \dots T_n \sqsubseteq T}{T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \sqsubseteq T}
\end{array}$$

$\boxed{e \sqsubseteq e}$ Term Precision

$$\begin{array}{c}
x \sqsubseteq x \quad \frac{T \sqsubseteq T' \quad e \sqsubseteq e'}{\lambda x : T . e \sqsubseteq \lambda x : T' . e'} \quad \frac{e_1 \sqsubseteq e'_1 \quad e_2 \sqsubseteq e'_2}{e_1 e_2 \sqsubseteq e'_1 e'_2}
\end{array}$$

Figure 2: Gradual Intersection Type System ($\vdash_{\cap G}$)

$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T}$ Typing

static type system ($\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$) rules and

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1} \rightarrow T_{n2} \quad \Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 : T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 e_2 : T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n2}} \text{ T-APP},$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T \quad \vdash_{\cap IC} c_1 : T_1 \dots \vdash_{\cap IC} c_n : T_n \quad \text{initialType}(c_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{initialType}(c_n) = T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n} \text{ T-INTERSECTIONCAST}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \text{blame}_T l : T} \text{ T-BLAME}$$

$\boxed{\text{initialType}(c) = T}$

$$\text{initialType}(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}) = \text{initialType}(c)$$

$$\text{initialType}(\emptyset T^{cl}) = T$$

$$\text{initialType}(\text{blame } T_I T_F l^{cl}) = T_I$$

$\boxed{\text{finalType}(c) = T}$

$$\text{finalType}(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}) = T_2$$

$$\text{finalType}(\emptyset T^{cl}) = T$$

$$\text{finalType}(\text{blame } T_I T_F l^{cl}) = T_F$$

Figure 3: Intersection Cast Calculus ($\vdash_{\cap CC}$)

$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e : T$ Compilation

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} x \rightsquigarrow x : T} \text{C-VAR} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e) \rightsquigarrow (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e') : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T} \text{C-ABS} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e) \rightsquigarrow (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e') : T_i \rightarrow T} \text{C-ABS}' \\
\\
\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 \rightsquigarrow e'_1 : PM \quad PM \triangleright T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T \\ \Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 \rightsquigarrow e'_2 : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \quad T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \sim T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \quad \text{instances}(PM) = S_1 \\ \text{instances}(T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T) = S_2 \quad \text{instances}(T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n) = S_3 \\ \text{instances}(T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n) = S_4 \quad S_1, S_2, e'_1 \hookrightarrow e''_1 \quad S_3, S_4, e'_2 \hookrightarrow e''_2 \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 e_2 \rightsquigarrow e''_1 e''_2 : T} \text{C-APP} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \dots \Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n} \text{C-GEN} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_i} \text{C-INST} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} n \rightsquigarrow n : \text{Int}} \text{C-INT} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{true} \rightsquigarrow \text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{C-TRUE} \\
\\
\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{false} \rightsquigarrow \text{false} : \text{Bool}} \text{C-FALSE}
\end{array}$$

$\text{instances}(T) = \{T\}$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{instances}(\text{Int}) = \{\text{Int}\} \\
\\
\text{instances}(\text{Bool}) = \{\text{Bool}\} \\
\\
\text{instances}(\text{Dyn}) = \{\text{Dyn}\} \\
\\
\frac{\text{instances}(T_1) = \{T_{11}, \dots, T_{1n}\}}{\text{instances}(T_1 \rightarrow T_2) = \{T_{11} \rightarrow T_2, \dots, T_{1n} \rightarrow T_2\}} \\
\\
\frac{\text{instances}(T_1) = \{T_{11}, \dots, T_{1m}\} \dots \text{instances}(T_n) = \{T_{n1}, \dots, T_{nj}\}}{\text{instances}(T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n) = \{T_{11}, \dots, T_{1m}, \dots, T_{n1}, \dots, T_{nj}\}}
\end{array}$$

$S, S, e \hookrightarrow e$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\{T_1\}, \{T_2\}, e \hookrightarrow e : (\emptyset T_1^0 : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^0) \\
\\
\{T_{11}, \dots, T_{1n}\}, \{T_{21}, \dots, T_{2n}\}, e \hookrightarrow e : (\emptyset T_{11}^0 : T_{11} \Rightarrow^{l_1} T_{21}^0) \cap \dots \cap (\emptyset T_{1n}^0 : T_{1n} \Rightarrow^{l_n} T_{2n}^0) \\
\\
\{T_{11}, \dots, T_{1n}\}, \{T_2\}, e \hookrightarrow e : (\emptyset T_{11}^0 : T_{11} \Rightarrow^{l_1} T_2^0) \cap \dots \cap (\emptyset T_{1n}^0 : T_{1n} \Rightarrow^{l_n} T_2^0) \\
\\
\{T_1\}, \{T_{21}, \dots, T_{2n}\}, e \hookrightarrow e : (\emptyset T_1^0 : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} T_{21}^0) \cap \dots \cap (\emptyset T_1^0 : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_n} T_{2n}^0)
\end{array}$$

Figure 4: Compilation to the Cast Calculus

$e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e$ Evaluation

$$\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_1}{e_1 \ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_1 \ e_2} \text{E-APP1} \qquad \frac{e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_2}{v_1 \ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v_1 \ e'_2} \text{E-APP2}$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e) \ v \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto v]e} \text{E-APPAbs}$$

$$\frac{e \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e'}{e : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} e' : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n} \text{E-EVALUATE}$$

Simulate casts on data types

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{is value } (v_1 : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n) \quad \exists i \in 1..n . \text{isArrowCompatible}(cv_i) \\ ((c_{11}, c_{12}, c_1^s), \dots, (c_{m1}, c_{m2}, c_m^s)) = \text{simulateArrow}(cv_1, \dots, cv_n) \end{array}}{(v_1 : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n) \ v_2 \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} (v_1 : c_1^s \cap \dots \cap c_m^s) \ (v_2 : c_{11} \cap \dots \cap c_{m1}) : c_{12} \cap \dots \cap c_{m2}} \text{E-SIMULATEARROW}$$

Merge casts

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{is value } (v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n) \\ v : c_1'' \cap \dots \cap c_j'' = \text{mergeCasts}(v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n : c_1' \cap \dots \cap c_m') \end{array}}{v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n : c_1' \cap \dots \cap c_m' \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v : c_1'' \cap \dots \cap c_j''} \text{E-MERGECASTS}$$

Evaluate intersection casts

$$\frac{\neg(\forall i \in 1..n . \text{is cast value } c_i) \quad c_1 \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} cv_1 \ \dots \ c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} cv_n}{v : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n} \text{E-EVALUATECASTS}$$

Transition from cast values to values

$$\frac{}{v : \text{blame } I_1 \ F_1 \ l_1 \ ^{cl_1} \cap \dots \cap \text{blame } I_n \ F_n \ l_n \ ^{cl_n} \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} \text{blame}_{(F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_n)} l_1} \text{E-PROPAGATEBLAME}$$

$$\frac{}{v : \emptyset \ T_1 \ ^{cl_1} \cap \dots \cap \emptyset \ T_n \ ^{cl_n} \longrightarrow_{\cap CC} v} \text{E-REMOVEEMPTY}$$

Figure 5: Cast Calculus Semantics ($\longrightarrow_{\cap CC}$)

$$\langle c \rangle^{cl} = c$$

$$\langle c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} \rangle^{cl'} = \langle c \rangle^{cl'} : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl'}$$

$$\langle \text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl'} \rangle^{cl} = \text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl}$$

$$\langle \emptyset \ T^{cl'} \rangle^{cl} = \emptyset \ T^{cl}$$

$$\text{isArrowCompatible}(c) = \text{Bool}$$

$$\text{isArrowCompatible}(c : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \Rightarrow^l T_{21} \rightarrow T_{22}^{cl}) = \text{isArrowCompatible}(c)$$

$$\text{isArrowCompatible}(\emptyset \ (T_1 \rightarrow T_2)^{cl}) = \text{True}$$

$$\text{separateIntersectionCast}(c) = (c, c)$$

$$\text{separateIntersectionCast}(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}) = (\emptyset \ T_1^{cl} : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}, c)$$

$$\text{separateIntersectionCast}(\emptyset \ T^{cl}) = (\emptyset \ T^{cl}, \emptyset \ T^{cl})$$

$$\text{breakdownArrowType}(c) = (c, c)$$

$$\text{breakdownArrowType}(\emptyset \ T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}^{cl} : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \Rightarrow^l T_{21} \rightarrow T_{22}^{cl}) = (\emptyset \ T_{21}^{cl} : T_{21} \Rightarrow^l T_{11}^{cl}, \emptyset \ T_{12}^{cl} : T_{12} \Rightarrow^l T_{22}^{cl})$$

$$\text{breakdownArrowType}(\emptyset \ T_1 \rightarrow T_2^{cl}) = (\emptyset \ T_1^{cl}, \emptyset \ T_2^{cl})$$

$$\text{simulateArrow}(c_1, \dots, c_n) = ((c_{11}, c_{12}, c_1^s), \dots, (c_{m1}, c_{m2}, c_m^s))$$

$$\begin{aligned} (c'_1, \dots, c'_m) &= \text{filter } \text{isArrowCompatible} \ (c_1, \dots, c_n) \\ ((c_1^f, c_1^s), \dots, (c_m^f, c_m^s)) &= \text{map } \text{separateIntersectionCast} \ (\langle c'_1 \rangle^0, \dots, \langle c'_m \rangle^0) \\ ((c_{11}, c_{12}), \dots, (c_{m1}, c_{m2})) &= \text{map } \text{breakdownArrowType} \ (\langle c'_1 \rangle^1, \dots, \langle c'_m \rangle^m) \\ \hline \text{simulateArrow}(c_1, \dots, c_n) &= ((c_{11}, c_{12}, c_1^s), \dots, (c_{m1}, c_{m2}, c_m^s)) \end{aligned}$$

Figure 6: Definitions for auxiliary semantic functions

$$\boxed{\text{getCastLabel}(c) = cl}$$

$$\text{getCastLabel}(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}) = cl$$

$$\text{getCastLabel}(\text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl}) = cl$$

$$\text{getCastLabel}(\emptyset \ T^{cl}) = cl$$

$$\boxed{\text{sameCastLabel}(c, c) = \text{Bool}}$$

$$\text{sameCastLabel}(c_1, c_2) = \text{getCastLabel}(c_1) == 0$$

$$\text{sameCastLabel}(c_1, c_2) = \text{getCastLabel}(c_2) == 0$$

$$\text{sameCastLabel}(c_1, c_2) = \text{getCastLabel}(c_1) == \text{getCastLabel}(c_2)$$

$$\boxed{\text{joinCasts}(c, c) = c}$$

$$\text{joinCasts}(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}, c') = \text{joinCasts}(c, c') : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}$$

$$\text{joinCasts}(\text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl}, c) = \text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl}$$

$$\text{getCastLabel}(\emptyset \ T^{cl}, c) = \langle c \rangle^{cl}$$

$$\boxed{\text{mergeCasts}(e) = e}$$

$$\frac{(c'_1, \dots, c'_o) = [\text{joinCast } y \ x \mid x \leftarrow (c_{11}, \dots, c_{1m}), \ y \leftarrow (c_{21}, \dots, c_{2n}), \\ \text{sameCastLabel } y \ x \ \&\& \ \text{initialType}(y) == \text{finalType}(x)]}{\text{mergeCasts}(e : c_{11} \cap \dots \cap c_{1m} : c_{21} \cap \dots \cap c_{2n}) = e : c'_1 \cap \dots \cap c'_o}$$

Figure 7: Definitions for auxiliary semantic functions

$\boxed{\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T}$ Typing

$$\frac{\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1 \quad T_1 \sim T_2}{\vdash_{\cap IC} (c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}) : T_2} \text{T-SINGLEIC} \quad \frac{}{\vdash_{\cap IC} \text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl} : T_F} \text{T-BLAMEIC}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash_{\cap IC} \emptyset \ T^{cl} : T} \text{T-EMPTYIC}$$

Figure 8: Intersection Casts Type System ($\vdash_{\cap IC}$)

$\boxed{c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c}$ Evaluation

Push blame to top level

$$\frac{}{\text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l_1^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} \text{blame } T_I \ T_2 \ l_1^{cl_1}} \text{E-PUSHBLAMEIC}$$

Evaluate inside casts

$$\frac{\neg(\text{is cast value } c) \quad c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'}{c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}} \text{E-EVALUATEIC}$$

Detect success or failure of casts

$$\frac{\text{is cast value } 1 \ c \vee \text{is empty cast } c}{c : T \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c} \text{E-IDENTITYIC}$$

$$\frac{\text{is cast value } 1 \ c \vee \text{is empty cast } c}{c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} \text{Dyn}^{cl_1} : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c} \text{E-SUCCEEDIC}$$

$$\frac{\text{is cast value } 1 \ c \vee \text{is empty cast } c \quad \neg(\text{same ground } G_1 \ G_2) \quad \text{initialType}(c) = T_I}{c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} \text{Dyn}^{cl_1} : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} \text{blame } T_I \ G_2 \ l_2^{cl_1}} \text{E-FAILIC}$$

Mediate the transition between the two disciplines

$$\frac{\text{is cast value } 1 \ c \vee \text{is empty cast } c \quad G \text{ is ground type of } T \quad \neg(\text{ground } T)}{c : T \Rightarrow^l \text{Dyn}^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l \text{Dyn}^{cl}} \text{E-GROUNDIC}$$

$$\frac{\text{is cast value } 1 \ c \vee \text{is empty cast } c \quad G \text{ is ground type of } T \quad \neg(\text{ground } T)}{c : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l T^{cl}} \text{E-EXPANDIC}$$

Figure 9: Intersection Casts Semantics ($\longrightarrow_{\cap IC}$)

2 Proofs

Lemma 1 (Consistency reduces to equality when comparing static types). *If T_1 and T_2 are static types then $T_1 = T_2 \iff T_1 \sim T_2$.*

Proof. We proceed by structural induction on T .

Base cases:

- $T_1 = \text{Int}$.
 - If $\text{Int} = \text{Int}$ then, by the definition of \sim , $\text{Int} \sim \text{Int}$.
 - If $\text{Int} \sim \text{Int}$, then $\text{Int} = \text{Int}$.
- $T_1 = \text{Bool}$.
 - If $\text{Bool} = \text{Bool}$ then, by the definition of \sim , $\text{Bool} \sim \text{Bool}$.
 - If $\text{Bool} \sim \text{Bool}$, then $\text{Bool} = \text{Bool}$.

Induction step:

- $T_1 = T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$.
 - If $T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} = T_{21} \rightarrow T_{22}$, for some T_{21} and T_{22} , then $T_{11} = T_{21}$ and $T_{12} = T_{22}$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} \sim T_{21}$ and $T_{12} \sim T_{22}$. Therefore, by the definition of \sim , $T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \sim T_{21} \rightarrow T_{22}$.
 - If $T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \sim T_2$, then by the definition of \sim , $T_2 = T_{21} \rightarrow T_{22}$ and $T_{11} \sim T_{21}$ and $T_{12} \sim T_{22}$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} = T_{21}$ and $T_{12} = T_{22}$. Therefore, $T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} = T_{21} \rightarrow T_{22}$.
- $T_1 = T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n}$.
 - If $T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n} = T_2$, then $\exists T_{21} \dots T_{2n} . T_2 = T_{21} \cap \dots \cap T_{2n}$ and $T_{11} = T_{21}$ and ... and $T_{1n} = T_{2n}$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} \sim T_{21}$ and ... and $T_{1n} \sim T_{2n}$. Therefore, by the definition of \sim , $T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n} \sim T_{21} \cap \dots \cap T_{2n}$.
 - If $T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n} \sim T_2$, then either:
 - * $\exists T_{21} \dots T_{2n} . T_2 = T_{21} \cap \dots \cap T_{2n}$ and $T_{11} \sim T_{21}$ and ... and $T_{1n} \sim T_{2n}$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} = T_{21}$ and ... and $T_{1n} = T_{2n}$. Therefore, $T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n} = T_{21} \cap \dots \cap T_{2n}$.
 - * $T_{11} \sim T_2$ and ... and $T_{1n} \sim T_2$. By the induction hypothesis, $T_{11} = T_2$ and ... and $T_{1n} = T_2$. As $T_2 \cap \dots \cap T_2 = T_2$, then $T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n} = T_2$.

□

Theorem 1 (Conservative Extension). *Depends on Lemma 1. If e is fully static and T is a static type, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T \iff \Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\vdash_{\cap S}$ and $\vdash_{\cap G}$ for the right and left direction of the implication, respectively.

Base case:

- Rule T-Var.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} x : T$, then $x : T \in \Gamma$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$, then $x : T \in \Gamma$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$.
- Rule T-Int.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} n : Int$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} n : Int$.
- Rule T-True.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} true : Bool$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} true : Bool$.
- Rule T-False.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} false : Bool$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} false : Bool$.

Induction step:

- Rule T-Abs.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} \lambda x . T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$, then $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x . T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x . T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$, then $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} \lambda x . T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$.
- Rule T-Abs'.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} \lambda x . T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_i \rightarrow T$, then $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x . T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_i \rightarrow T$.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x . T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_i \rightarrow T$, then $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap S} e : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} \lambda x . T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_i \rightarrow T$.
- Rule T-App.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_1 e_2 : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By the definition of \triangleright , $T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T \triangleright T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$. By the definition of consistency ($T \sim T$), $T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \sim T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 e_2 : T$.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 e_2 : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : PM$, $PM \triangleright T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n$ and $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \sim T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By the definition of \triangleright , $PM = T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$, therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$. By Lemma 1, $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n = T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, and therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e_1 e_2 : T$.
- Rule T-Gen.

- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_n$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$.

- Rule T-Inst.

- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_i$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. As $T_i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_i$.
- If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_i$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. As $T_i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap S} e : T_i$.

□

Theorem 2 (Monotonicity w.r.t. precision). *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'$ and $T' \sqsubseteq T$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$.

Base case:

- Rule T-Var. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$ and $x \sqsubseteq x$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} x : T$ and $T \sqsubseteq T$.
- Rule T-Int. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$ and $n \sqsubseteq n$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} n : Int$ and $Int \sqsubseteq Int$.
- Rule T-True. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$ and $true \sqsubseteq true$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} true : Bool$ and $Bool \sqsubseteq Bool$.
- Rule T-False. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$ and $false \sqsubseteq false$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} false : Bool$ and $Bool \sqsubseteq Bool$.

Induction step:

- Rule T-Abs. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\lambda x : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n . e' \sqsubseteq \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e$, then $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$, $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'$ and $T' \sqsubseteq T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n . e' : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \rightarrow T'$, and by the definition of \sqsubseteq , $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \rightarrow T' \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$, then it is proved.
- Rule T-Abs'. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_i \rightarrow T$ and $\lambda x : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n . e' \sqsubseteq \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e$, then $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\cap G} e : T$, $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T'_i \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'$ and $T' \sqsubseteq T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} \lambda x : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n . e' : T'_i \rightarrow T'$, and by the definition of \sqsubseteq , $T'_i \rightarrow T' \sqsubseteq T_i \rightarrow T$, then it is proved.
- Rule T-App. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 e_2 : T$ and $e'_1 e'_2 \sqsubseteq e_1 e_2$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_1 : PM$, $PM \triangleright T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n} \rightarrow T$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e_2 : T_{21} \cap \dots \cap T_{2n}$, and $T_{21} \cap \dots \cap T_{2n} \sim T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n}$, $e'_1 \sqsubseteq e_1$ and $e'_2 \sqsubseteq e_2$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e'_1 : PM'$ and $PM' \sqsubseteq PM$ and $PM' \triangleright T'_{11} \cap \dots \cap T'_{1n} \rightarrow T'$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e'_2 : T'_{21} \cap \dots \cap T'_{2n}$ and $T'_{21} \cap \dots \cap T'_{2n} \sqsubseteq T_{21} \cap \dots \cap T_{2n}$ and $T'_{21} \cap \dots \cap T'_{2n} \sim T'_{11} \cap \dots \cap T'_{1n}$. By the definition of \sqsubseteq and \triangleright , $T'_{11} \cap \dots \cap T'_{1n} \rightarrow T' \sqsubseteq T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{1n} \rightarrow T$, and therefore, $T' \sqsubseteq T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e'_1 e'_2 : T'$, it is proved.
- Rule T-Gen. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'_1$ and $T'_1 \sqsubseteq T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'_n$ and $T'_n \sqsubseteq T_n$. Then, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap G} e' : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n$ and by the definition of \sqsubseteq , $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, then it is proved.

- Rule T-Inst. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T_i$ and $e' \sqsubseteq e$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e' : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n$ and $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \sqsubseteq T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e' : T'_i$ and by the definition of \sqsubseteq , $T'_i \sqsubseteq T_i$, then it is proved.

□

Theorem 3 (Type preservation of cast insertion). *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T$.

Base case:

- Rule T-Var. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} x : T$ then $x : T \in \Gamma$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} x \rightsquigarrow x : T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} x : T$, it is proved.
- Rule T-Int. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} n : \text{Int}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} n \rightsquigarrow n : \text{Int}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} n : \text{Int}$, it is proved.
- Rule T-True. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} \text{true} : \text{Bool}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{true} \rightsquigarrow \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{true} : \text{Bool}$, it is proved.
- Rule T-False. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} \text{false} : \text{Bool}$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{false} \rightsquigarrow \text{false} : \text{Bool}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{false} : \text{Bool}$, it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule T-Abs. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ then $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T$ and $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e \rightsquigarrow \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e' : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e' : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$, it is proved.
- Rule T-Abs'. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_i \rightarrow T$ then $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T$ and $\Gamma, x : T_i \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e \rightsquigarrow \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e' : T_i \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e' : T_i \rightarrow T$, it is proved.
- Rule T-App. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e_1 e_2 : T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e_1 : PM$, $PM \triangleright T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e_2 : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n$ and $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n \sim T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 \rightsquigarrow e'_1 : PM$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 : PM$, and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 \rightsquigarrow e'_2 : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_2 : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 e_2 \rightsquigarrow e'_1 e'_2 : T$. By the definition of *instances* and $S, \bar{S}, e \hookrightarrow e$, we have $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 e'_2 : T \cap \dots \cap T$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 e'_2 : T$.
- Rule T-Gen. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_n$, and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$.
- Rule T-Inst. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T_i$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NG}} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e \rightsquigarrow e' : T_i$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T_i$.

□

Theorem 4 (Monotonicity of cast insertion). *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_1 \rightsquigarrow e'_1 : T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e_2 \rightsquigarrow e'_2 : T$ and $e_1 \sqsubseteq e_2$ then $e'_1 \sqsubseteq e'_2$.*

Theorem 5 (Conservative Extension). *If e is fully static, then $e \rightarrow_{\cap S} e' \iff e \rightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\rightarrow_{\cap S}$ and $\rightarrow_{\cap CC}$ for the right and left direction of the implication, respectively. Base case:

- Rule E-AppAbs. If $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e) v \rightarrow_{\cap S} [x \mapsto v]e$ and $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e) v \rightarrow_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto v]e$, then it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule E-App1.
 - If $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap S} e'_1 e_2$ then $e_1 \rightarrow_{\cap S} e'_1$. By the induction hypothesis, $e_1 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_1$. Therefore, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_1 e_2$.
 - If $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_1 e_2$ then $e_1 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_1$. By the induction hypothesis, $e_1 \rightarrow_{\cap S} e'_1$. Therefore, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap S} e'_1 e_2$.
- Rule E-App2.
 - If $v_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap S} v_1 e'_2$ then $e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap S} e'_2$. By the induction hypothesis, $e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_2$. Therefore, $v_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} v_1 e'_2$.
 - If $v_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} v_1 e'_2$ then $e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} e'_2$. By the induction hypothesis, $e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap S} e'_2$. Therefore, $v_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\cap S} v_1 e'_2$.

□

Lemma 2 (Type preservation of $\rightarrow_{\cap IC}$). *If $c \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$ and*

- $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c' : T$.
- $initialType(c) = T$ then $initialType(c') = T$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\rightarrow_{\cap IC}$.

Base cases:

- Rule E-PushBlameIC.
 - $\vdash_{\cap IC} blame T_I T_F l_1^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2^{cl_2} : T_2$ and by rule E-PushBlameIC, $blame T_I T_F l_1^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2^{cl_2} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} blame T_I T_2 l_1^{cl_1}$. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} blame T_I T_2 l_1^{cl_1} : T_2$, then it is proved.
 - By the definition of $initialType$, $initialType(blame T_I T_F l_1^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2^{cl_2}) = T_I$. By rule E-PushBlameIC, $blame T_I T_F l_1^{cl_1} : T_1 \Rightarrow^{l_2} T_2^{cl_2} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} blame T_I T_2 l_1^{cl_1}$. Since $initialType(blame T_I T_2 l_1^{cl_1}) = T_I$, it is proved.
- Rule E-IdentityIC.
 - If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} : T$, then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$. By rule E-IdentityIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c$. Therefore it is proved.
 - By the definitions of $initialType$, $initialType(c : T \Rightarrow^l T^{cl}) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-IdentityIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c$. Therefore it is proved.

- Rule E-SucceedIC.

- If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G^{cl_2} : G$, then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : G$. By rule E-SucceedIC, $c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$. Therefore it is proved.
- Rule E-SucceedIC. By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G^{cl_2}) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-SucceedIC, $c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c$. Therefore it is proved.

- Rule E-FailIC.

- If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2^{cl_2} : G_2$, and by rule E-FailIC, $c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} blame T_I G_2 l_2^{cl_1}$ and $\vdash_{\cap IC} blame T_I G_2 l_2^{cl_1} : G_2$, it is proved.
- By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2^{cl_2}) = T_I$. By rule E-FailIC, $c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} Dyn^{cl_1} : Dyn \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2^{cl_2} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} blame T_I G_2 l_2^{cl_1}$. Since $initialType(blame T_I G_2 l_2^{cl_1}) = T_I$, it is proved.

- Rule E-GroundIC.

- If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^l Dyn^{cl} : Dyn$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$. By rule E-GroundIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^l Dyn^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l Dyn^{cl} : Dyn$. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l Dyn^{cl} : Dyn$, it is proved.
- By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : T \Rightarrow^l Dyn^{cl} : Dyn) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-GroundIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^l Dyn^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l Dyn^{cl} : Dyn$. Since $initialType(c : T \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l Dyn^{cl} : Dyn) = initialType(c)$, it is proved.

- Rule E-ExpandIC.

- If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : Dyn \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} : T$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : Dyn$. By rule E-ExpandIC, $c : Dyn \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : Dyn \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} : T$. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : Dyn \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} : T$, it is proved.
- By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : Dyn \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} : T) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-ExpandIC, $c : Dyn \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c : Dyn \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} : T$. Since $initialType(c : Dyn \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} : T) = initialType(c)$, it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule E-EvaluateIC.

- If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} : T_2$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1$. By rule E-EvaluateIC, $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$. By the induction hypothesis, $\vdash_{\cap IC} c' : T_1$. By rule E-EvaluateIC, $c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}$. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} : T_2$ it is proved.
- By the definition of *initialType*, $initialType(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} : T_2) = initialType(c)$. By rule E-EvaluateIC, $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$. By the induction hypothesis, $initialType(c') = initialType(c)$. By rule E-EvaluateIC, $c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}$. Since $initialType(c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} : T_2) = initialType(c)$, it is proved.

□

Lemma 3 (Progress of $\longrightarrow_{\cap IC}$). *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$ and $initialType(c) = T_I$ then either c is a cast value or there exists a c' such that $c \longrightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T$.

Base case:

- Rule T-BlameIC. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} \text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl} : T_F$, $\text{initialType}(\text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl}) = T_I$ and $\text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl}$ is a cast value, it is proved.
- Rule T-EmptyIC. As $\vdash_{\cap IC} \emptyset \ T^{cl} : T$, $\text{initialType}(\emptyset \ T^{cl}) = T$ and $\emptyset \ T^{cl}$ is a cast value, it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule T-SingleIC. If $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} : T_2$ and $\text{initialType}(c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}) = T_I$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c : T_I$ and $\text{initialType}(c) = T_I$. By the induction hypothesis, either c is a cast value or there is a c' such that $c \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$. If c is a cast value, then c can either be of the form $\text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl}$, in which case by rule E-PushBlameIC, $\text{blame } T_I \ T_F \ l^{cl} : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} \text{blame } T_I \ T_2 \ l^{cl}$ or c is a cast value 1 or is an empty cast. If c is a cast value 1 or is an empty cast then $c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}$ can be of one of the following forms:

- $c : T \Rightarrow^l T^{cl}$. Then by rule E-IdentityIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c$.
- $c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} \text{Dyn}^{cl_1} : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G^{cl_2}$. Then by rule E-SucceedIC, $c : G \Rightarrow^{l_1} \text{Dyn}^{cl_1} : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G^{cl_2} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c$.
- $c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} \text{Dyn}^{cl_1} : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2^{cl_2}$. Then by rule E-FailIC, $c : G_1 \Rightarrow^{l_1} \text{Dyn}^{cl_1} : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^{l_2} G_2^{cl_2} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} \text{blame } T_I \ G_2 \ l_2^{cl_1}$.
- $c : T \Rightarrow^l \text{Dyn}^{cl}$. Then by rule E-GroundIC, $c : T \Rightarrow^l \text{Dyn}^{cl} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c : T \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l \text{Dyn}^{cl}$.
- $c : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^l T^{cl}$. Then by rule E-ExpandIC, $c : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^l T^{cl} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c : \text{Dyn} \Rightarrow^l G^{cl} : G \Rightarrow^l T^{cl}$.

If there is a c' such that $c \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c'$, then by rule E-EvaluateIC, $c : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl} \rightarrow_{\cap IC} c' : T_1 \Rightarrow^l T_2^{cl}$.

□

Lemma 4 (Type preservation of $\rightarrow_{\cap CC}$). *Depends on Lemmas 2 and 3. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$ and $e \rightarrow_{\cap CC} e'$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} e' : T$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\rightarrow_{\cap CC}$.

Base case:

- Rule E-AppAbs. There exists a type $T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ such that we can deduce $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e) v : T$ from $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ (x does not occur in Γ). Moreover, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ only if $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$. By rule E-AppAbs, $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e) v \rightarrow_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto v]e$. To obtain $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} [x \mapsto v]e : T$, it is sufficient to replace, in the proof of $\Gamma, x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \vdash_{\cap CC} e : T$, the statements $x : T_i$ (introduced by the rules T-Var and T-Inst) by the deductions of $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : T_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. (Proof adapted from [1])

- Rule E-SimulateArrow. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (v_1 : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n) v_2 : T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n2}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1 : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ with $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_1 : T_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_n : T_n$, such that $\exists i \in 1..n . T_i = T_{i1} \rightarrow T_{i2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1 : T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_l$ and $I_1 = \text{initialType}(cv_1)$ and ... and $I_n = \text{initialType}(cv_n)$ such that either $T'_1 \cap \dots \cap T'_l = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$ or $\{I_1, \dots, I_n\} \subset \{T'_1, \dots, T'_l\}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_2 : T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1}$. For the sake of simplicity let's elide cast labels and blame labels. By the definition of SimulateArrow, we have that $c'_1 = c''_1 : T'_{11} \rightarrow T'_{12} \Rightarrow T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12}$ and ... and $c'_m = c''_m : T'_{m1} \rightarrow T'_{m2} \Rightarrow T_{m1} \rightarrow T_{m2}$. Also, $c_{11} = \emptyset T_{11} : T_{11} \Rightarrow T'_{11}$ and ... and $c_{m1} = \emptyset T_{m1} : T_{m1} \Rightarrow T'_{m1}$ and $c_{12} : \emptyset T'_{12} : T'_{12} \Rightarrow T_{12}$ and ... and $c_{m2} = \emptyset T'_{m2} : T'_{m2} \Rightarrow T_{m2}$ and $\text{initialType}(c'_1) = I_1$ and ... and $\text{initialType}(c'_m) = I_m$ and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c'_1 : T'_{11} \rightarrow T'_{12}$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c'_m : T'_{m1} \rightarrow T'_{m2}$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_1 : c'_1 \cap \dots \cap c'_m : T'_{11} \rightarrow T'_{12} \cap \dots \cap T'_{m1} \rightarrow T'_{m2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v_2 : c_{11} \cap \dots \cap c_{m1} : T'_{11} \cap \dots \cap T'_{m1}$ and therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (v_1 : c'_1 \cap \dots \cap c'_m) (v_2 : c_{11} \cap \dots \cap c_{m1}) : T'_{12} \cap \dots \cap T'_{m2}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} (v_1 : c'_1 \cap \dots \cap c'_m) (v_2 : c_{11} \cap \dots \cap c_{m1}) : c_{12} \cap \dots \cap c_{m2} : T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{m2}$, such that $\{T_{12}, \dots, T_{m2}\} \subset \{T_{12}, \dots, T_{n2}\}$. By rule E-SimulateArrow, $(v_1 : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n) v_2 \rightarrow_{\cap CC} (v_1 : c'_1 \cap \dots \cap c'_m) (v_2 : c_{11} \cap \dots \cap c_{m1}) : c_{12} \cap \dots \cap c_{m2}$, therefore it is proved.
- Rule E-MergeCasts. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n : c'_1 \cap \dots \cap c'_m : F'_1 \cap \dots \cap F'_m$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c'_1 : F'_1$ and $\text{initialType}(c'_1) = I'_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c'_m : F'_m$ and $\text{initialType}(c'_m) = I'_m$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n : F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_n$ and $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_1 : F_1$ and $\text{initialType}(cv_1) = I_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_n : F_n$ and $\text{initialType}(cv_n) = I_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_l$ such that either $T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_l = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$ or $\{I_1, \dots, I_n\} \subset \{T_1, \dots, T_l\}$. There are two possibilities:
 - $F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_n = I'_1 \cap \dots \cap I'_m$. By the definition of mergeCasts, $\vdash_{\cap IC} c''_1 : F''_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c''_j : F''_j$ such that $F''_1 \cap \dots \cap F''_j = F'_1 \cap \dots \cap F'_m$ and $\text{initialType}(c''_1) = I''_1$ and ... and $\text{initialType}(c''_j) = I''_j$ such that $I''_1 \cap \dots \cap I''_j = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : c''_1 \cap \dots \cap c''_j : F''_1 \cap \dots \cap F''_j$. By rule E-MergeCasts, $v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n : c'_1 \cap \dots \cap c'_m \rightarrow_{\cap CC} v : c''_1 \cap \dots \cap c''_j$. Therefore it is proved.
 - $\{I'_1, \dots, I'_m\} \subset \{F_1, \dots, F_n\}$. By the definition of mergeCasts, $\vdash_{\cap IC} c''_1 : F''_1$ and $\text{initialType}(c''_1) = I''_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c''_j : F''_j$ and $\text{initialType}(c''_j) = I''_j$ such that $\{I''_1, \dots, I''_j\} \subset \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$ and $\{F''_1, \dots, F''_j\} \subset \{F'_1, \dots, F'_m\}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : c''_1 \cap \dots \cap c''_j : F''_1 \cap \dots \cap F''_j$. By rule E-MergeCasts, $v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n : c'_1 \cap \dots \cap c'_m \rightarrow_{\cap CC} v : c''_1 \cap \dots \cap c''_j$. Therefore, it is proved.
- Rule E-EvaluateCasts. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ then $\vdash_{\cap IC} c_1 : T_1$ and $I_1 = \text{initialType}(c_1)$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} c_n : T_n$ and $I_n = \text{initialType}(c_n)$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$. By rule E-EvaluateCasts, $c_1 \rightarrow_{\cap IC} cv_1$ and ... and $c_n \rightarrow_{\cap IC} cv_n$. By Lemmas 2 and 3, $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_1 : T_1$ and $\text{initialType}(cv_1) = I_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} cv_n : T_n$ and $\text{initialType}(cv_n) = I_n$. Therefore $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By rule E-EvaluateCasts, $v : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n \rightarrow_{\cap CC} v : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n$, then it is proved.
- Rule E-PropagateBlame. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : \text{blame } T'_1 T_1 l_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \text{blame } T'_n T_n l_n^{m_n} : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ and by rule E-PropagateBlame $v : \text{blame } T'_1 T_1 l_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \text{blame } T'_n T_n l_n^{m_n} \rightarrow_{\cap CC} \text{blame}_{(T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n)} l_1$, and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} \text{blame}_{(T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n)} l_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, then it is proved.
- Rule E-RemoveEmpty. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : \emptyset T_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \emptyset T_n^{m_n} : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, then $\vdash_{\cap IC} \emptyset T_1^{m_1} : T_1$ and $\text{initialType}(\emptyset T_1^{m_1}) = T_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\cap IC} \emptyset T_n^{m_n} : T_n$ and $\text{initialType}(\emptyset T_n^{m_n}) = T_n$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\cap CC} v : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By rule E-RemoveEmpty, $v : \emptyset T_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap \emptyset T_n^{m_n} \rightarrow_{\cap CC} v$, therefore it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule E-App1. There are two possibilities:
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 e_2 : T$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By rule E-App1, $e_1 \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} e'_1$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 e_2 : T$. As by rule E-App1, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} e'_1 e_2$, it is proved.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 e_2 : T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n2}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1} \rightarrow T_{n2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 : T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1}$. By rule E-App1, $e_1 \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} e'_1$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1} \rightarrow T_{n2}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 e_2 : T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n2}$. As by rule E-App1, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} e'_1 e_2$, it is proved.
- Rule E-App2. There are two possibilities:
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} v_1 e_2 : T$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} v_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By rule E-App2, $e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} e'_2$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} v_1 e'_2 : T$. As by rule E-App2, $v_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} v_1 e'_2$, it is proved.
 - If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} v_1 e_2 : T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n2}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} v_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1} \rightarrow T_{n2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 : T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1}$. By rule E-App2, $e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} e'_2$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e'_2 : T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} v_1 e'_2 : T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n2}$. As by rule E-App1, $v_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} v_1 e'_2$, it is proved.
- Rule E-Evaluate. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T$, $\vdash_{\text{NIC}} c_1 : T_1$ and ... and $\vdash_{\text{NIC}} c_n : T_n$ and $\text{initialType}(c_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{initialType}(c_n) = T$. By rule E-Evaluate, $e \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} e'$, so by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : T$. Therefore, $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e' : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. As by rule E-Evaluate, $e : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n \rightarrow_{\text{NIC}} e' : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n$, it is proved.

□

Lemma 5 (Progress of \rightarrow_{NCC}). *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T$ then either e is a value or there exists an e' such that $e \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of the derivation tree of $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T$.

Base case:

- Rule T-Var. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} x : T$, then $x : T \in \Gamma$. As x is a value, it is proved.
- Rule T-Int. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} n : \text{Int}$ and n is a value, it is proved.
- Rule T-True. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{true} : \text{Bool}$ and true is a value, it is proved.
- Rule T-False. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{false} : \text{Bool}$ and false is a value, it is proved.

Induction step:

- Rule T-Abs. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e$ is a value, it is proved.
- Rule T-Abs'. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e : T_i \rightarrow T$ such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ and $\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e$ is a value, it is proved.

- Rule T-App. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 e_2 : T$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n \rightarrow T$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, e_1 is either a value or there is a e'_1 such that $e_1 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_1$ and e_2 is either a value or there is a e'_2 such that $e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_2$. If e_1 is not a value, then by rule E-App1, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 e_2$. If e_1 is a value and e_2 is not a value, then by rule E-App2, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e_1 e'_2$. If both e_1 and e_2 are values then e_1 must be an abstraction $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e)$, and by rule E-AppAbs $(\lambda x : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n . e) e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} [x \mapsto e_2]e$.
- Rule T-Gen. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T_1$ and ... and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T_n$. By the induction hypothesis, either e is a value or there exists an e' such that $e \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'$.
- Rule T-Inst. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T_i$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$, such that $T_i \in \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$. By the induction hypothesis, either e is a value or there exists an e' such that $e \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'$.
- Rule T-App'. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 e_2 : T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n2}$, then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1} \rightarrow T_{n2}$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 : T_{11} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1}$. By the induction hypothesis, e_1 is either a value or there is a e'_1 such that $e_1 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_1$ and e_2 is either a value or there is a e'_2 such that $e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_2$. If e_1 is not a value, then by rule E-App1, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_1 e_2$. If e_1 is a value and e_2 is not a value, then by rule E-App2, $e_1 e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e_1 e'_2$. If both e_1 and e_2 are values then e_1 must be an abstraction $(\lambda x : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1} \rightarrow T_{n2} . e)$, and by rule E-AppAbs $(\lambda x : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \cap \dots \cap T_{n1} \rightarrow T_{n2} . e) e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} [x \mapsto e_2]e$.
- Rule T-IntersectionCast. If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n : T_1 \cap \dots \cap T_n$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T$. By the induction hypothesis, e is either a value, or there is an e' such that $e \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'$. If e is a value, then by rule E-EvaluateCasts, $e : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e : cv_1 \cap \dots \cap cv_n$. If there is an e' such that $e \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'$, then by rule E-Evaluate, $e : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e' : c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n$.
- Rule T-Blame. As $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} \text{blame}_T l : T$ and $\text{blame}_T l$ is a value, it is proved.

□

Theorem 6 (Type Safety). *Depends on Lemmas 4 and 5. Both Type Preservation and Progress hold.*

Proof. By Lemma 4 we have Type Preservation. By Lemma 5 we have Progress. □

Theorem 7 (Blame Theorem). *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e : T$ and $e \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} \text{blame}_T l$ then l is not a safe cast of e .*

Theorem 8 (Gradual Guarantee). *If $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_1 : T_1$ and $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{NCC}} e_2 : T_2$ and $e_1 \sqsubseteq e_2$ then:*

1. *if $e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_2$ then $e_1 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_1$ and $e'_1 \sqsubseteq e'_2$.*
2. *if $e_1 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_1$ then either $e_2 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} e'_2$ and $e'_1 \sqsubseteq e'_2$ or $e'_1 \rightarrow_{\text{NCC}} \text{blame}_T l$.*

References

- [1] Mario Coppo, Mariangiola Dezani-Ciancaglini, et al. An extension of the basic functionality theory for the λ -calculus. *Notre Dame journal of formal logic*, 21(4):685–693, 1980.