

## UNIVERSIDAD DE PUERTO RICO RECINTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MAYAGUEZ FACULTAD DE INGENIERIA DEPARTAMENTO DE INGENIERIA



## ICOM4215 - Computer Architecture - Spring 2015

## PEER WORK EVALUATION FORM

My nar	ne: Project Title	
Name of Teammate Being Evaluated: Date		
Check One	1. Quality of Work	Write Score
5	Work developed could be used as delivered, providing all specified functionality and compatibility	COOLC
4	Work developed required minor improvements but other than that, worked as expected	1
3	Work developed contained many flaws that delayed the work of others, but after all were fixable	7
2	Other team members had to redo most his/her work and only minor parts could be used	7
1	Did not produce usable work for the project	7
	2. Originality & Creativity	
5	Contributed with many good ideas for the project and was always participative in brainstorming sessions	T
4	Contributed with a few good ideas for the project and was usually participative in brainstorming sessions	7
3	Gave one idea or two, some were workable and occasionally participated in brainstorming	7
2	Rarely participated in brainstorming sessions and his/her ideas were never appropriate for the project	7
1	Never participated in any brainstorming session or ever provided any idea for the project	1
	3. Responsibility	
5	All parts assigned were completed on time	Т
4	Most parts were completed on time and only in a few occasions had delays in completing his/her part	]
3	About half the time assigned chores were done on time, others were late for several days	7
2	Most assigned chores were not completed on time, with delays lasting one week or more	]
1	Never completed a task on time and only offered excuses for uncompleted work	
	4. Punctuality and Reliability	
5	Always attended on time to all group meetings and followed-up on all made commitments	
4	Followed-up on most commitments and occasionally could be late to a group meeting	
3	Completed tasks if reminded, was frequently late to meetings and occasionally missed a meeting or two	T
2	Did not complete most assigned tasks, rarely on time to meetings and frequently did not show up	I
1	Could not be counted on. Missed most meetings and rarely or never followed up on commitments	
	5. Teamwork and Support	
5	Encouraged and listened respectfully to other's opinions even when different from own, willing to do work	
4	Did his/her chores as assigned but was not willing to accept anything else. Indifferent to other's opinions	7
3	Although contributed, tended to sit back and let others take control or tended to "take over" interfering with others work	]
2	Extremely argumentative, unable to accept criticism and contributed with less parts of the project than expected	
1	Was rude and disrespectful to teammates. Unable to get along with others. Minimal or no contribution	
	6. Cost Sharing	
5	Always willing to cover his/her share of the project cost and provided alternatives to keep costs under control	
4	Although willing to cover his/her share of the cost was not considerate to other's burden and made us overspend	7
3	Had to be reminded of providing his/her share of the cost but at the end responded with his/her part	I
2	Had to be reminded of providing his/her part and at the end there were some expenses for which never responded	
1	Leaned back with the cost sharing and only contributed minimally or not responded at all	<u></u>
	7. Overall Evaluation	
5	I would definitely choose to work again with this person over anybody else	4
4	I would not mind working with this person again	4
3	I could work with this person again if he/she did different:	4
2	I 'd rather not work with this person unless I had no other choice	4
1	I would never work with this person again under any circumstance	