

1 **Running title:** Forbidden interactions  
2 **Number of words:** ~0000  
3 **Number of tables:** 0  
4 **Number of figures:** 1  
5 **Number of references:** 00

6 **IN FOCUS**  
7 **Natural history matters: how biological**  
8 **constraints shape diversified interactions in**  
9 **pollination networks**

10 **Pedro Jordano<sup>1</sup>**

11 *1. Integrative Ecology Group, Estación Biológica de Doñana, Consejo Superior*  
12 *de Investigaciones Científicas (EBD-CSIC), Avenida Americo Vespucio s/n,*  
13 *E-41092 Sevilla, Spain*

14 \*Correspondence author. [jordano@ebd.csic.es](mailto:jordano@ebd.csic.es)

15 IN FOCUS: Sazatornil, F.D., Moré, M., Benitez-Vieyra, S., Cocucci, A.A.,  
16 Kitching, I.J., Schlumpberger, B.O., Oliveira, P.E., Sazima, M. & Amorim,  
17 F.W. (2016) Beyond neutral and forbidden links: morphological matches  
18 and the assembly of mutualistic hawkmoth-plant networks. *Journal of An-*  
19 *imal Ecology*, 00, 000000. doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12509

20  
21 **Species-specific traits and life-history characteristics constrain**  
22 **the ways organisms interact in nature. For example, gape-limited**  
23 **predators are constrained in the sizes of prey they can handle and**  
24 **efficiently consume. When we consider the ubiquity of such con-**  
25 **straints it is evident how hard it can be to be a generalist partner**  
26 **in ecological interactions: a free living animal or plant can't simply**  
27 **interact with every available partner it encounters. Some pairwise**  
28 **interactions among coexisting species simply do not occur; they**  
29 **are impossible to observe despite the fact that partners coexist in**  
30 **the same place. Sazatornil et al. explore the nature of such con-**  
31 **straints in the mutualisms among hawkmoths and the plants they**  
32 **pollinate. In this iconic interaction, used by Darwin and Wallace to**  
33 **vividly illustrate the power of natural selection in shaping evolu-**  
34 **tionary change, both pollinators and plants are sharply constrained**  
35 **in their interaction modes and outcomes.**

36  
37 **Keywords:** Lorem ipsum, dolor sit amet, consectetur, adipiscing, suspen-  
38 disse

39 "There is a Madagascar Orchis—the *Angræcum sesquipedale*—with an im-  
40 mensely long and deep nectary. How did such an extraordinary organ come  
41 to be developed? Mr. Darwin's [[p. 475]] explanation is this. The pollen of  
42 this flower can only be removed by the proboscis of some very large moths  
43 trying to get at the nectar at the bottom of the vessel. The moths with the  
44 longest proboscis would do this most effectually; they would be rewarded for  
45 their long noses by getting the most nectar; whilst on the other hand, the  
46 flowers with the deepest nectaries would be the best fertilized by the largest  
47 moths preferring them. Consequently, the deepest nectaried Orchids and the  
48 longest nosed moths would each confer on the other a great advantage in the  
49 'battle of life.' This would tend to their respective perpetuation and to the  
50 constant lengthening of nectar and noses."

## 51 Acknowledgments

52 My work was funded by a Severo-Ochoa Excellence Grant (SEV2012-0262)  
53 from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO),  
54 and RNM-5731 from the Junta de Andalucía. Andrea Cocucci generously  
55 provided material for Fig. 1 and insightful discussions on sphingids and  
56 long-tubed flowers.

## References

- [1] Borrell, B. (2005) Long tongues and loose niches: Evolution of euglossine bees and their nectar flowers. *Biotropica*, 37, 664-669.
- [2] Moré, M., Amorim, F.W., Benitez-Vieyra, S., Medina, A.M., Sazima, M. & Cocucci, A.A. (2012) Armament imbalances: match and mismatch in plant-pollinator traits of highly specialized long-spurred orchids. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e41878.
- [3] Cocucci, A.A., Moré, M. & Sérsic, A.N. (2009) Restricciones mecánicas en las interacciones planta-polinizador: estudio de casos en plantas polinizadas por esfíngidos. *Interacciones planta—animal y la conservación de la biodiversidad* (eds R. Medel, R. Zamora, M. Aizen & R. Dirzo), pp. 435-9. CYTED, Madrid.
- [4] Bascompte, J. & Jordano, P. (2014) *Mutualistic Networks*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

**Pedro Jordano**

*Integrative Ecology Group, Estación Biológica de Doñana,  
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (EBD-CSIC),  
Avenida Americo Vespucio s/n,  
E-41092 Sevilla, Spain*

## 75 Figures

**Fig. 1** Morphological mismatches set important biological constraints for size-limited foragers, including e.g., predators, pollinators, and frugivores. In plant-animal mutualisms, a morphological mismatch between partners sets size limits that filter out a range of phenotypes that otherwise could eventually interact. Other reasons for forbidden links include, e.g., phenological differences (4). Thus, a number of the potential interactions that could take place in a given mutualistic assemblage simply cannot occur because of biological reasons: these are forbidden interactions. Photo: Andrea Cocucci. An sphingid moth, *Agrius cingulata*, visiting a flower of *Bauhinia mollis* (Fabaceae), Las Yungas, Argentina.

