

Imperial College London  
Department of Computing

# Learning to Automatically Detect and Track Cells in Microscopic Imaging

by

Pedro Damian Kostelec

September 2014

Supervised by Ben Glocker

Submitted in part fulfilment of the requirements for the  
MSc degree in Computer Science (Artificial Intelligence) of Imperial College London

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>DRAFT I</b>	<b>8</b>
1.1	Motivation	DRAFT I	8
1.2	Objectives	DRAFT I	9
1.3	Contributions	DRAFT I	9
1.4	Report structure	DRAFT I	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Related work</b>	<b>DRAFT I</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Cell detection	DRAFT I	11
2.1.1	Cell segmentation using the Watershed technique	DRAFT I	11
2.1.2	Cell segmentation using level sets	DRAFT I	12
2.1.3	Cell detection by model learning	DRAFT I	12
2.2	Cell tracking	DRAFT I	13
2.2.1	Tracking by model evolution	DRAFT I	13
2.2.2	Tracking by frame-by-frame data association	DRAFT I	14
2.2.3	Tracking with a dynamics filter	DRAFT I	14
2.2.4	Cell tracking by global data association	DRAFT I	15
2.3	Conclusion	DRAFT I	16
<b>3</b>	<b>Detection of cells</b>	<b>DRAFT I</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1	Method overview	DRAFT I	17
3.2	Detection of candidate regions	DRAFT I	18
3.3	Inference under the non-overlap constraint	DRAFT I	19
3.4	Learning the classifier	DRAFT I	20
3.5	Feature selection	DRAFT I	20
3.6	Performance improvements	DRAFT I	22
<b>4</b>	<b>Tracking of cells</b>	<b>DRAFT I</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	Method overview	DRAFT I	23
4.2	Joining cell detections into robust tracklets	DRAFT I	25
4.3	Global data association	DRAFT I	27
4.4	Implementation using linear programming	DRAFT I	28
4.5	Hypotheses likelihood definitions	DRAFT I	29
4.6	Computing the likelihoods	DRAFT I	30
4.7	Features for the linking classifier	OUTLINE	33
4.7.1	Gaussian broadening feature	DRAFT I	34
4.8	Implementation details	DRAFT I	34

<b>5</b>	<b>Data acquisition and annotation</b>	<b>DRAFT I</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1	Data acquisition and example datasets	DRAFT II	36
5.1.1	Datasets	DRAFT II	37
5.1.2	Image analysis challenges	DRAFT II	40
5.1.3	Manual data annotation	DRAFT I	41
5.2	The annotation tool	DRAFT I	42
<b>6</b>	<b>Experimental results</b>	<b>DRAFT I</b>	<b>45</b>
6.1	Cell detector	DRAFT I	45
6.1.1	Performance metrics	DRAFT I	46
6.1.2	Detection accuracy	DRAFT I	46
6.1.3	Computations time	DRAFT I	50
6.2	Cell tracker	DRAFT I	50
6.2.1	Performance metrics	DRAFT I	52
6.2.2	Tracking accuracy	DRAFT I	53
6.2.3	Computation time	DRAFT I	56
6.3	Limitations and areas of improvement	DRAFT I	57
6.4	Summary	DRAFT I	58
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and future work</b>	<b>DRAFT I</b>	<b>59</b>
7.1	Conclusion	DRAFT I	59
7.2	Future work	DRAFT I	60
	<b>Appendices</b>		<b>62</b>
	<b>A User Guide for the Annotation Tool</b>		<b>63</b>
	<b>B User Guide for the Interactive Annotation Viewer</b>		<b>64</b>
	<b>C Cell detection results</b>		<b>65</b>
	<b>D Cell tracking results</b>		<b>69</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>72</b>

# Todo list

Figure: Examples of MSER detections . . . . .	19
Figure: Tracking terminology . . . . .	23
■ Make sure to update this if no longer iterative . . . . .	24
Figure: Tracking process flow diagram . . . . .	24
Figure: Robust tracklets . . . . .	25
■ To finish this section I need to train a model with more data and decide whether to use NB or ANN. Then I need to describe the selected method. . . . .	26
■ Add a diagram to illustrate how the descriptors of annotated cells where found, because it's hard to understand from the description . . . . .	27
■ Add an example H, L and I for illustration . . . . .	29
■ Finalize the description of the ANN when I settle on a final shape once I train it using all the data . . . . .	31
■ Make sure to update this if i decide to build a classifier for each gap length . . . . .	32
■ Define how the best features have been selected . . . . .	34
■ Describe which features performed best... and figure out where I place the plot of feauture selection and link it here . . . . .	34
■ This is series30green . . . . .	37
■ This is series30red . . . . .	38
■ This is series13greencropped . . . . .	38
■ This is series14croppedclean . . . . .	39
■ This is seriesm170_13cropped . . . . .	40
■ Add a comment of how effective (or not) the traker is. . . . .	60
■ I need one concluding paragraph . . . . .	60
■ Rewrite: Make sure to update this section after running the experiments. Explain how good or bad the methods is, which are the strongest and which the weakest points. if bad, try to rationalize why. . . . .	60
■ Remove the Kalman stuff from here . . . . .	61

## 7 Conclusions and future work DRAFT I

In this final chapter of the report we present some concluding remarks and enumerate a list of possible upgrades to improve the cell detection and tracking module.

### 7.1 Conclusion DRAFT I

Improved microscopy imaging techniques allow us to gather large amounts of cell microscopy images. The manual analysis of these images would be an error prone and slow process, requiring days of manual work to review some hundreds of frames. The advances of computer vision algorithms for cell detection and tracking over the past decades magnified by the increased computational power of modern computers allows for an efficient analysis of these datasets in a fraction of the time compared to manual analysis.

The large amount of data that can be analysed with these new methods improve the quality of cell research. They allow for new insights into drug development and a better understanding of the living body. Specifically, this research was focused on enabling the efficient analysis of neutrophils which have a crucial role in the clearance of infections. Their careful analysis could help explain their prominent presence in certain organs, such as the lung. It could also help discover any additional activities that these leukocytes perform, and clarify whether they develop from a single or several neutrophil predecessors.

In this three month project we have identified a pipeline of algorithms that enables the automated analysis of neutrophil behaviour in sometimes noisy images of varying contrast. This required identifying a robust algorithm to detect cells in these images, and develop a tracking method that would perform well with imperfect cell segmentation and a certain amount of missed detections.

We have upgraded a cell detector developed by Arteta *et al.* [1]. The detector was able to learn how to discriminate between candidate cell regions as either cell or not-cell. We have successfully applied the method to our datasets and improved its speed to make it usable for detecting cells in hundreds of frames. The method was able to robustly detect cells (albeit with some false positive and false negatives) after being trained with a small number of dot-annotated images.

We have developed a tracking method inspired by Bise *et al.* [4] that performs a global decision to join robust tracklets into longer ones. We have modified the original method to heavily rely on the input data, thus eliminating the need for a large number of heuristics, which would likely have made the algorithm perform worse when presented with a new dataset. The new approach only

requires the algorithm to be retrained using a small number of annotated trajectories. Although the method is automatic, the user is presented with four parameters, which can be adjusted to improve the generated trajectories.

Add a comment of how effective (or not) the tracker is.

We have also developed efficient image annotation tools to annotate images with dots and links connecting them. These tools can be used for the annotation of any point-like objects and include features specifically designed to increase the clarity of noisy and low contrast images to facilitate the annotation.

I need one concluding paragraph

Rewrite: Make sure to update this section after running the experiments. Explain how good or bad the methods is, which are the strongest and which the weakest points. if bad, try to rationalize why.

## 7.2 Future work DRAFT I

The work developed in this thesis is promising in the manner in which it can deliver automatic cell detection and tracking; however, the method can be further improved, and alternative methods researched. Below we present a list of possible improvements that would likely make the algorithm more robust.

The process of obtaining cell images *in vivo* is challenging especially in moving organs such as the lung, where the motion of the tissue causes the images to jiggle or lose focus. The jiggling can be eliminated using a pre-processing step that would stabilize the images using information hidden in the background, such as blood vessels. This would result in smoother trajectories. Furthermore, this would simplify the computations of spatio-temporal features to train the cell tracker module, as it would be easier to predict the velocity of the cells.

Second, it would be worth experimenting with some preprocessing steps to improve the clarity of the images. This includes de-noising, improving the contrast, etc. This could improve the accuracy of the cell detection module.

This research was focused on tracking cells in order to enable analysis of cell behaviour. However, it would be beneficial if the system returned a profile for each tracked cell including their appearance and shape. The cell detection module did not focus on accurate segmentation of the cells. Upgrading the system to accurately segment the cells after they have been identified would not only provide an appearance profile of the cells, but also improve the tracking system.

From the datasets we analysed, the original images for dataset D (described in section 5.1.1) include a large portion that are completely unusable for the tracker because the cells were fully out of focus or invisible for a large number of frames. These frames have been manually removed. It could be beneficial to automate this process by automatically detecting images that are of too low a quality

to be usable and subsequently discard of them, whilst leaving a mark with the number of frames skipped. If this step could be performed quickly, the total computation time would be reduced as they wouldn't need to be analysed by the cell detector.

Remove the Kalman stuff from here

The accuracy of the tracking module is heavily dependent on the quality of features that are computed for the tracklets. It would already be a major improvement if a Kalman filter were used to predict the future positions of the trajectories, instead of assuming that the tracklet's direction and speed would be linear with respect to the last few frames of a trajectory. To further improve the prediction, an interactive multiple models filter has been proved to better predict future cells positions [17].

The developed tracking method has been tested on hundreds of frames of microscopy images. However, as the number of frames is increased, the method is likely to reach a bottleneck due to memory usage. In order to improve the space requirements of the tracker and permit the tracking of thousands of image frames, it would be beneficial to ensure that the processing of tracklets is performed in windows, a few hundred frames at a time. Thus the tracker would first generate tracklets within each window, and then link these tracklets between windows.

One of the main drawbacks of this method is its complexity for untrained users, as it requires changing a configuration file to load new datasets. A simple graphic user interface to load the image sequences and start the tracking process would greatly improve the approachability of the method to a larger non-technical audience.

Finally, whilst the above improvements relate to the software, it is expected that the imaging technique will also improve. This could alleviate the problem of jiggling cells and out of focus images, thus reducing the need to overcome these hardware limitations in the software.

# Appendices



# Bibliography

- [1] C. ARTETA, V. LEMPITSKY, J. A. NOBLE, AND A. ZISSERMAN, *Learning to detect cells using non-extremal regions*, in Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention - Volume Part I, MICCAI'12, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012, Springer-Verlag, pp. 348–356. 9, 10, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 45, 50, 59
- [2] C. ARTETA, V. S. LEMPITSKY, J. A. NOBLE, AND A. ZISSERMAN, *Learning to detect partially overlapping instances.*, in CVPR, IEEE, 2013, pp. 3230–3237. 12, 13, 19
- [3] R. BISE, T. KANADE, Z. YIN, AND S. IL HUH, *Automatic cell tracking applied to analysis of cell migration in wound healing assay*, in Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, EMBC, 2011 Annual International Conference of the IEEE, Aug 2011, pp. 6174–6179. 24
- [4] R. BISE, Z. YIN, AND T. KANADE, *Reliable cell tracking by global data association.*, in ISBI, IEEE, 2011, pp. 1004–1010. 16, 24, 27, 30, 52, 59
- [5] S. BLACKMAN AND R. POPOLI, *Design and Analysis of Modern Tracking Systems*, Artech House radar library, Artech House, 1999. 52
- [6] X. CHEN, X. ZHOU, AND S.-C. WONG, *Automated segmentation, classification, and tracking of cancer cell nuclei in time-lapse microscopy*, Biomedical Engineering, IEEE Transactions on, 53 (2006), pp. 762–766. 11, 14
- [7] Y. CHEN, K. BIDDELL, A. SUN, P. RELUE, AND J. JOHNSON, *An automatic cell counting method for optical images*, in [Engineering in Medicine and Biology, 1999. 21st Annual Conference and the 1999 Annual Fall Meeting of the Biomedical Engineering Society] BMES/EMBS Conference, 1999. Proceedings of the First Joint, vol. 2, Oct 1999, pp. 819 vol.2–. 11
- [8] P. K. ELZBIETA KOLACZKOWSKA, *Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation*, 2013. 8
- [9] S.-I. S. EOM, K. D. F. ELMER, B. RYOMA, AND K. TAKEO, *Tracking of hematopoietic stem cells in microscopy images for lineage determination*, J Latex Class Files, 6 (2007), p. 9. 52
- [10] D. HOUSE, M. WALKER, Z. WU, J. WONG, AND M. BETKE, *Tracking of cell populations to understand their spatio-temporal behavior in response to physical stimuli*, in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, 2009. CVPR Workshops 2009. IEEE Computer Society Conference on, June 2009, pp. 186–193. 14

- [11] C. HUANG, B. WU, AND R. NEVATIA, *Robust object tracking by hierarchical association of detection responses*, in Computer Vision - ECCV 2008, D. Forsyth, P. Torr, and A. Zisserman, eds., vol. 5303 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 788–801. 16, 27
- [12] S. HUH, *Toward an Automated System for the Analysis of Cell Behavior: Cellular Event Detection and Cell Tracking in Time-lapse Live Cell Microscopy*, PhD thesis, Robotics Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, March 2013. 14, 52
- [13] T. JOACHIMS, T. FINLEY, AND C.-N. J. YU, *Cutting-plane training of structural svms*, Mach. Learn., 77 (2009), pp. 27–59. 12
- [14] R. E. KALMAN, *A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems*, Transactions of the ASME–Journal of Basic Engineering, 82 (1960), pp. 35–45. 33
- [15] H. KUHN, *The hungarian method for the assignment problem*, Naval Research Logistics Quarterly, 2 (1955), pp. 83–97. 16
- [16] K. LI AND T. KANADE, *Cell population tracking and lineage construction using multiple-model dynamics filters and spatiotemporal optimization*, in Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Microscopic Image Analysis with Applications in Biology (MIAAB), September 2007. 15
- [17] K. LI, E. D. MILLER, M. CHEN, T. KANADE, L. E. WEISS, AND P. G. CAMPBELL, *Cell population tracking and lineage construction with spatiotemporal context*, Medical Image Analysis, 12 (2008), pp. 546 – 566. Special issue on the 10th international conference on medical imaging and computer assisted intervention - {MICCAI} 2007. 24, 61
- [18] M. LOONEY, E. THORNTON, D. SEN, W. LAMM, R. GLENNY, AND M. KRUMMEL, *Stabilized imaging of immune surveillance in the mouse lung.*, Nature Methods, 8 (2011-01-01 00:00:00.0), pp. 91–6. 36
- [19] A. MASSOUDI, D. SEMENOVICH, AND A. SOWMYA, *Cell tracking and mitosis detection using splitting flow networks in phase-contrast imaging*, in Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2012 Annual International Conference of the IEEE, Aug 2012, pp. 5310–5313. 15
- [20] J. MATAS, O. CHUM, M. URBAN, AND T. PAJDLA, *Robust wide baseline stereo from maximally stable extremal regions*, in Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference, BMVA Press, 2002, pp. 36.1–36.10. doi:10.5244/C.16.36. 12
- [21] J. MATAS, O. CHUM, M. URBAN, AND T. PAJDLA, *Robust wide-baseline stereo from maximally stable extremal regions*, Image and Vision Computing, 22 (2004), pp. 761 – 767. British Machine Vision Computing 2002. 18
- [22] D. MUKHERJEE, N. RAY, AND S. ACTON, *Level set analysis for leukocyte detection and tracking*, Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on, 13 (2004), pp. 562–572. 12, 13

- [23] J. PILLAY, I. DEN BRABER, N. VRISEKOP, L. M. KWAST, R. J. DE BOER, J. A. M. BORGHANS, K. TESSELAAR, AND L. KOENDERMAN, *In vivo labeling with  $2h2o$  reveals a human neutrophil lifespan of 5.4 days*, Blood, 116 (2010), pp. 625–627. 8
- [24] J. SERRA, *Image Analysis and Mathematical Morphology*, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, USA, 1983. 11
- [25] C. TANG, Y. WANG, AND Y. CUI, *Tracking of active cells based on kalman filter in time lapse of image sequences of neuron stem cells*. 12, 15
- [26] P. S. TOFTS, T. CHEVASSUT, M. CUTAJAR, N. G. DOWELL, AND A. M. PETERS, *Doubts concerning the recently reported human neutrophil lifespan of 5.4 days*, Blood, 117 (2011), pp. 6050–6052. 8
- [27] I. TSOCHANTARIDIS, T. HOFMANN, T. JOACHIMS, AND Y. ALTUN, *Support vector machine learning for interdependent and structured output spaces*, in Proceedings of the Twenty-first International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML '04, New York, NY, USA, 2004, ACM, pp. 104–. 20
- [28] A. VEDALDI AND B. FULKERSON, *VLFeat: An open and portable library of computer vision algorithms*. <http://www.vlfeat.org/>, 2008. 18
- [29] L. VINCENT, *Morphological grayscale reconstruction in image analysis: applications and efficient algorithms*, Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on, 2 (1993), pp. 176–201. 11
- [30] B. XU, M. LU, P. ZHU, Q. CHEN, AND X. WANG, *Multiple cell tracking using ant estimator*, in Control, Automation and Information Sciences (ICCAIS), 2012 International Conference on, Nov 2012, pp. 13–17. 14
- [31] D. XU AND L. MA., *Segmentation of image sequences of neuron stem cells based on level-set algorithm combined with local gray threshold.*, Master's thesis, Harbin Engineering University, 2010. 12
- [32] L. ZHANG, Y. LI, AND R. NEVATIA, *Global data association for multi-object tracking using network flows*, in Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2008. CVPR 2008. IEEE Conference on, June 2008, pp. 1–8. 15, 27