

The Rise of the Algorithm: Ethical and Legal Implications of Lethal Autonomous Weapons Systems

Authors: A. Mendoza, A. Navarro, B. Ojeda, D. Chaglia, G. Hernández, J. Hernández, R. Sánchez, S. Quevedo, Y. Josue, J. Moreno (Sub-líder), J. Paradiso (Líder).

Instituto Universitario Jesús Obrero (IUJO)

Keywords: Warfare, Accountability, Transparency, Innovation

Introduction Lethal Autonomous Weapons Systems (LAWS) are systems capable of operating without direct human intervention. These devices identify, select, and engage targets independently, creating significant ethical and legal challenges (Department of Defense, 2012). Currently, major global powers are developing artificial intelligence to enhance their military capabilities. However, international experts warn that without "meaningful human control," these weapons pose a severe risk to fundamental human rights (Human Rights Watch, 2020).

The Erosion of Human Judgment

Historically, warfare has relied implicitly on real-time human decision-making. Soldiers and commanders assessed situations, recognized threats, and made moral choices under pressure. With the advent of fully autonomous systems, this human element is diminishing. The removal of direct human agency diffuses accountability, creating a "responsibility gap" where it becomes unclear who is liable—the programmer, the commander, or the machine—if the system fails or commits a war crime (Sparrow, 2007)



Future Risks and Regulation Looking forward, if left unregulated, these weapons could lead to unpredictable consequences, ranging from accidental conflict escalation to the vulnerability of systems against cyberattacks. International bodies have begun proposing frameworks to limit their use, though consensus remains difficult to achieve due to competing geopolitical interests and the strategic desire for military superiority (UN GGE, 2019). Meanwhile, the scientific community continues to debate the morality of these technologies, questioning whether mathematical algorithms can truly adhere to the nuanced principles of International Humanitarian Law.

Conclusion In summary, the advent of lethal autonomous weapons marks a paradigm shift in military strategy, yet it simultaneously creates severe ethical complications. This reality demands that we critically reevaluate the relationship between innovation and our moral obligations. Consequently, it is imperative to sustain an international discourse that prioritizes humanitarian values above all else.

References

- Department of Defense (USA). (2012). *Directive 3000.09*.
- Future of Life Institute. (2015). *Autonomous Weapons: Open Letter*.
 - Human Rights Watch. (2020). *Stopping Killer Robots*.
- Sparrow, R. (2007). Killer Robots. *Journal of Applied Philosophy*.
- UN GGE. (2019). *Report on Lethal Autonomous Weapons Systems*.