

P01: Exercises about Proofs by Induction [SELECTED]

Solutions for the selected exercises: 1, 2, 3, 4

1.

Hypothesis: $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n+1) = (n+1)^2$

Base case: $n=0: 1 = (0+1)^2 = 1 \checkmark$

Induction step: $n+1$

$$1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n+1) + (2(n+1)+1) = ((n+1)+1)^2$$

By hypothesis:

$$(n+1)^2 + (2n+2+1) = (n+2)^2$$

$$n^2 + 2n + 1 + 2n + 3 = n^2 + 4n + 4$$

$$n^2 + 4n + 4 = n^2 + 4n + 4 \quad \text{qed}$$

2.

Definition:

1. Simple node is a tree.
2. T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k trees, structure with a new node N and T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k as the tree's children.
3. Nothing more is a tree.

Hypothesis: number of nodes is 1 unit higher than the number of edges.

$$\forall a (\text{Tree}(a) \rightarrow (N(a) = E(a) + 1))$$

Induction proof:

Case base: when T is a simple node, $N=1$ and $E = 0$, therefore it is verified that $N=E+1$.

Induction step:

Consider T a tree built by the induction step (2) see in the definition.

By hypothesis, $S(T_i)$ is verified, by other words, T_i has $N_i=E_i+1$.

The T nodes are the N and the nodes of all the T_i 's. Then, there are $1+N_1+N_2+\dots+N_k$ nodes in T .

The T edges are the k edges added in the inductive definition plus the edges of T_i 's. Therefore, T has $k+E_1+E_2+\dots+E_k$ edges.

Replacing N_i for E_i+1 we have that T has

$$1 + (E_1+1) + (E_2+1) + \dots + (E_k+1)$$

nodes. Since there are k terms “+1” in the expression, we have:

$$1 + k + E_1 + E_2 + \dots + E_k$$

which can be interpreted as 1 unit more than the number of edges in T .

Thus, the number of nodes in T is the number of edges plus 1.

3.

Definition:

1. Letter is pal
2. If α is pal, then the result of $l\alpha l$ is pal, with 1 letter.
3. Nothing more, except the results 1 and 2, are pal.

Hypothesis: all pal is a palindrome

$$\forall x (\text{Pal}(x) \rightarrow \text{Palindrome}(x))$$

Induction proof:

Case base: each letter of the alphabet is a pal, and also a palindrome: one letter can be read as the same from left to the right and the right to the left.

Induction step:

Consider a p a pal with length c. By hypothesis, p is a palindrome. To obtain a pal with length higher than c, it's necessary to use second rule: add the same letter to the beginning and the end of the p:

$$p_{c+2} = lp_l$$

If p is a palindrome, p_{c+2} is also a palindrome.

A palindrome starts and ends with same character. Thus, if w is a pal (and, by hypothesis, a palindrome) and from it we create (by the 2), XwX (where X represents a letter), we also obtain a palindrome (keeping in mind that a letter is also a character).

However, the opposite is not true:

- Palindromes with even number of characters are not pal; the definition would have to include ϵ (empty) as pal¹.
- Not all the characters are letters: the definition would have to open the application context to include characters, not only letters.

4.

ATM machine only has 20 and 50 bills.

Show that we can supply an amount multiple of 10, ≥ 40

Structure: the amount multiple of 10 and ≥ 40

1. 40€ is an amount
2. If q is an amount, $q+10$ is also.
3. Nothing more is an amount.

Hypothesis: all amount can be composed by 20€ and 50€ bills.

$$\forall q (\text{Amount}(q) \rightarrow \exists x \geq 0, y \geq 0 \ q = x*20 + y*50)$$

¹ Considering that the empty *string*, ϵ , is a palindrome. In case we don't consider ϵ a palindrome we will be able to add the following rule: two equal letters are a pal.

Proof by induction:

Base case: the amount is 40

$$40 = 2*20 + 0*50 \checkmark$$

Since there is not an amount less than 40:

- x and y cannot be 0 at the same time.
- if y is 0, x is ≥ 2

[Here we could use the 'inventor': make the property stringer, including those 2 conditions.]

Induction step:

Amount q verifies a property:

$$q = k_1*20 + k_2*50$$

Amount q+10 is:

$$q+10 = k_1*20 + k_2*50 + 10$$

Cases:

- $k_2 \neq 0$

If k_2 is at least less than 1 we can rewrite q+10:

$$\begin{aligned} q+10 &= k_1*20 + (k_2-1)*50 + 60 = \\ &= (k_1+3)*20 + (k_2-1)*50 \end{aligned}$$

Extra properties are kept: if k_1 was 0 and is no longer ($a \geq 3$); if k_2 became 0 k_1 is no longer 0.

- $k_2 = 0$

Then k_1 is at least 2

$$q+10 = (k_1-2)*20 + 1*50$$

In both cases, q+10 is also composed by bills of 20 and 50.

Since all these amounts use the second rule, the property is proved.
