

# Database Systems Evolution

UA.DETI.CBD

José Luis Oliveira / Carlos Costa

# Outline

---

- ❖ Why do we need storage system
- ❖ How they evolved along the time
- ❖ Milestone solutions
- ❖ Current landscape

# Thinking about Data Systems

- ❖ Many applications today are **data-intensive**, as opposed to **compute-intensive**.
- ❖ Raw CPU power is rarely a limiting factor for these applications
  - bigger problems are usually the **amount** of data, the **complexity** of data, and the **speed** at which it is changing.



# Data systems typically needs to

---


- ❖ Store data so that they, or another application, can find it again later (**databases**).
- ❖ Remember the result of an expensive operation, to speed up reads (**caches**).
- ❖ Allow users to search data by keyword or filter it in various ways (**search indexes**).
- ❖ Send a message to another process, to be handled asynchronously (**message queues**).
- ❖ Observe what is happening, and act on events as they occur (**stream processing**).
- ❖ Periodically crunch a large amount of accumulated data (**batch processing**).

# Thinking about Data Systems

---

- ❖ Increasingly, many applications have wide-ranging requirements
  - Many times, a single tool can no longer meet all of its data processing and storage needs.
- ❖ Instead, the work is broken down into tasks that can be performed efficiently on a single tool,
  - the different tools are stitched together using application code.
- ❖ For example, we may have an application with:
  - a caching layer (e.g. memcached or similar),
  - a full-text search server (e.g. Elasticsearch or Solr),
  - separated from the main database (e.g. MySQL).

# Thinking about Data Systems



# Data Systems – some challenges

---

- ❖ How do you ensure that the data remains correct and complete,
  - even when things go wrong internally?
- ❖ How do you provide consistently good performance to clients,
  - even when parts of your system are degraded?
- ❖ How do you scale to handle an increase in load?
- ❖ What does a good API for the service look like?

# Data Systems – some requirements

---

- ❖ **Reliability:** The system should continue performing the correct function at the desired performance,
  - even in the face of adversity (hardware or software faults, and even human error).
- ❖ **Scalability:** As the system grows (in data volume, traffic volume or complexity), there should be reasonable ways of dealing with that growth.
- ❖ **Maintainability:** Over time, many different people should all be able to work on it productively,
  - Engineering and operations, both maintaining current behavior and adapting the system to new use cases.



# Database Systems

- ❖ A "database" is normally referred as a **set of related data** and its **organization**.
- ❖ A "database management system" (**DBMS**) controls the access to this data.
  - Providing functions that allow writing, searching, updating, retrieving, and removing large quantities of information.



# Brief History of Database Systems


---

## ❖ Pre-relational era (1970's)

- Hierarchical (IMS), Network (Codasyl)
- Many database systems
  - Complex data structures and low-level query language
  - Incompatible, exposing many implementation details

## ❖ **Relational DBMSs (1980s)**

- Edgar F. Codd's relational model in 1970
- Powerful high-level query language
- A few major DB systems dominated the market



## ❖ Object-Oriented DBMSs (1990s)

- Motivated by “mismatch” between RDBMS and OO PL
- Persistent types in C++, Java or Small Talk
- Issues: Lack of high level QL, no standards, performance

# Brief History of Database Systems

---


- ❖ Object-relational DBMS (OR-DBMS) (1990s)
  - Relational DBMS vendors' answer to OO
  - User-defined types, functions (spatial, multimedia) Nested tables
  - SQL: 1999 (2003) standards. Plus performance.
- ❖ XML/DBMS (2000s)
  - Web and XML are merging
  - Native support of XML through ORDBMS extension or native XML DBMS
- ❖ Data analytics system (DSS) (2000s)
  - **Data warehousing and OLAP**

# Brief History of Database Systems

---

- ❖ Data stream management systems (2000s)
  - Continuous query against data streams
- ❖ The era of big data (mid 2000-now):
  - **Big data**: datasets that grow so large (terabytes to petabytes) that they become awkward to work with traditional DBMS
  - Parallel DBMSs continue to push the scale of data
  - **MapReduce** dominates on Web data analysis
  - **NoSQL** (not only SQL) is fast growing

# Database Evolution Timeline



# Database Systems Landscape


---





# Database Systems Landscape


## The evolving database landscape



© 2012 by The 451 Group. All rights reserved

# Data Platforms Landscape Map – February 2014

451 Research







# Database Systems Landscape

Rank			DBMS	Database Model	Score		
Oct 2021	Sep 2021	Oct 2020			Oct 2021	Sep 2021	Oct 2020
1.	1.	1.	Oracle +	Relational, Multi-model i	1270.35	-1.19	-98.42
2.	2.	2.	MySQL +	Relational, Multi-model i	1219.77	+7.24	-36.61
3.	3.	3.	Microsoft SQL Server +	Relational, Multi-model i	970.61	-0.24	-72.51
4.	4.	4.	PostgreSQL +	Relational, Multi-model i	586.97	+9.47	+44.57
5.	5.	5.	MongoDB +	Document, Multi-model i	493.55	-2.95	+45.53
6.	6.	↑ 8.	Redis +	Key-value, Multi-model i	171.35	-0.59	+18.07
7.	7.	↓ 6.	IBM Db2	Relational, Multi-model i	165.96	-0.60	+4.06
8.	8.	↓ 7.	Elasticsearch	Search engine, Multi-model i	158.25	-1.98	+4.41
9.	9.	9.	SQLite +	Relational	129.37	+0.72	+3.95
10.	10.	10.	Cassandra +	Wide column	119.28	+0.29	+0.18
11.	11.	11.	Microsoft Access	Relational	116.38	-0.56	-1.87
12.	12.	12.	MariaDB +	Relational, Multi-model i	102.59	+1.90	+10.82
13.	13.	13.	Splunk	Search engine	90.61	-0.99	+1.21
14.	14.	↑ 15.	Hive +	Relational	84.74	-0.83	+15.19
15.	15.	↑ 17.	Microsoft Azure SQL Database	Relational, Multi-model i	79.72	+1.46	+15.32
16.	16.	16.	Amazon DynamoDB +	Multi-model i	76.55	-0.38	+8.14
17.	17.	↓ 14.	Teradata +	Relational, Multi-model i	69.83	+0.15	-5.96
18.	↑ 21.	↑ 64.	Snowflake +	Relational	58.26	+6.19	+52.32
19.	↓ 18.	↑ 21.	Neo4j +	Graph	57.87	+0.24	+6.53
20.	↓ 19.	↓ 19.	SAP HANA +	Relational, Multi-model i	55.28	-0.96	+1.04
21.	↓ 20.	↑ 23.	FileMaker	Relational	52.84	+0.52	+5.46
22.	22.	↓ 20.	Solr	Search engine, Multi-model i	51.17	+1.36	-1.31

# Database Systems Landscape



# Database Systems Landscape



# Resources

---

- ❖ Martin Kleppmann, ***Designing Data-Intensive Applications***, O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2017.
- ❖ Pramod J Sadalage and Martin Fowler, ***NoSQL Distilled*** Addison-Wesley, 2012.
- ❖ Eric Redmond, Jim R. Wilson. ***Seven databases in seven weeks***, Pragmatic Bookshelf, 2012.
- ❖ Hector Garcia-Molina, Jeffrey D. Ullman, Jennifer Widom, ***Database systems: the complete book*** (2nd Ed.), Pearson Education, 2009.