



Quantum Computation for the Understanding of Mass

Simulating Quantum Field Theories

Pedro Rivero

Illinois Institute of Technology
Argonne National Laboratory

priveroramirez@hawk.iit.edu

Introduction

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy


Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Nature is described through the mathematical framework provided by **Quantum Field Theory**.

- The different implementations of Quantum Field Theory are referred to as quantum field theories themselves.
- Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) is the theory of the strong nuclear force.
- QCD holds many mysteries (e.g. **mass generation** phenomena).
- QCD is currently studied using brute-force numerics on the world's largest supercomputers.
- Many aspects of quantum field theories cannot be studied using classical computers.



Objectives

Introduction

Background in Quantum Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL model in $1 + 1$ dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and prospective work

Bibliography

An important path forward is to develop methods to simulate these models on quantum computers. This effort is only just beginning, however, performing calculations on a quantum computer is far from being a straightforward task; and it has only been achieved for relatively simple problems. My goal will be to develop some of the techniques necessary to use quantum computers for this endeavor. We will do so by analyzing the following:

- **Nambu–Jona-Lasino model** (NJL) in $1 + 1$ dimensions: an effective field theory, regarded as a low-energy approximation to QCD.
- It retains certain key features of QCD, such as the so called Goldstone modes and **dynamical chiral symmetry breaking**; which in turn is responsible for the creation of dressed mass.
- This model can be solved nonperturbatively through the standard leading order truncation; an important characteristic since verifying the solutions returned by any quantum computation is currently a major challenge.



Contents

Introduction

Background in Quantum Computation

- Quantum logic
- Quantum information
- Quantum computing models and algorithms
- Applications

Solving the NJL model in 1 + 1 dimensions

- Analytical solution
- Lattice formulation
- Fermion-qubit mapping
- Space parametrization and state preparation
- Ground state energy

Conclusions and prospective work

Bibliography

1 Introduction

2 Background in Quantum Computation

- Quantum logic
- Quantum information
- Quantum computing models and algorithms
- Applications

3 Solving the NJL model in 1 + 1 dimensions

- Analytical solution
- Lattice formulation
- Fermion-qubit mapping
- Space parametrization and state preparation
- Ground state energy

4 Conclusions and prospective work

5 Bibliography

Background in Quantum Computation

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work


Bibliography



Before the abacus was invented, the only way of counting was through a "thermometer-like" scale. This device introduced the concept of **digits**, which was later adopted into our own language in the form of **numerals**. This meant that, instead of counting up to N using N elements, we could count using only $\log_b(N)$ abacus elements —where b is the base of our number system (i.e. the amount of beads per row in the abacus).

Therefore, for $b \geq 2$, the abacus introduced an **exponential decrease in resource requirements**.

Quantum computers do something analogous. To represent the quantum state of a system made out of N subsystems —with b degrees of freedom each— we would usually need b^N classical elements (i.e. numbers). By using quantum systems for this representation, we would only need N quantum elements (i.e. each subsystem).



Quantum logic

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography

Formally speaking, the states of a quantum system will be represented as a vector in a Hilbert space; whereas the state of a classical system is simply an element of a set. This distinction, along with the probabilistic nature of quantum mechanics, makes the entire logical system describing quantum computers fundamentally different than **classical propositional logic** (i.e. Boolean algebra).

CLASSICAL LOGIC

Set Theory (Boolean algebra)

- **AND** $\Rightarrow A \cup B$
- **OR** $\Rightarrow A \cap B$
- **NOT** $\Rightarrow \bar{A}$
- **XOR** $\Rightarrow A \cap B - A \cup B$

QUANTUM LOGIC

Quantum Theory (Non-abelian)

- **Probabilistic measurement**
- **Measurement causes disturbance**
- **Superposition**
- **Entanglement**
- **Uncertainty principle**



Qubits and the Bloch sphere

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work


Bibliography



The basic unit of information in quantum mechanics is the **qubit**; a quantum system with only two distinguishable states: $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

The main difference between bit and qubit lies in the fact that, when they are not being measured, quantum systems do not need to be in one of their so called, mutually incompatible, basis states; instead, they can be in any (normalized) **linear superposition** of them.

A simple example of a qubit is a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle; whose space of states is spanned by the spin up and spin down quantum basis. Inspired by this particular system, the qubit can be pictorially represented through the **Bloch sphere**.



Quantum information

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



In the same way that the device known as an abacus had its theoretical counterpart in positional number systems, quantum computers have Quantum Information Science (QIS); which help us understand the full capabilities of these new machines. Two very important insights extracted from QIS, and with direct applicability to quantum apparatuses are:

■ Unitary quantum state transformations (i.e. reversible):

$$\langle \psi_0 | \phi_0 \rangle \equiv \langle \psi(t) | \phi(t) \rangle = \langle \psi_0 | U^\dagger U | \phi_0 \rangle \Rightarrow U^\dagger U \equiv \mathbb{1}$$

■ No-cloning theorem:

$$U_{\text{cloning}}(|\psi\rangle_A \otimes |e\rangle_B) = |\psi\rangle_A \otimes |\psi\rangle_B, \quad U_{\text{cloning}}(|\phi\rangle_A \otimes |e\rangle_B) = |\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\phi\rangle_B$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | \phi \rangle &= (\langle \psi | \phi \rangle)_A (\langle e | e \rangle)_B = (\langle \psi |_A \otimes \langle e |_B) (|\phi\rangle_A \otimes |e\rangle_B) \\ &\equiv (\langle \psi |_A \otimes \langle e |_B) U_{\text{cloning}}^\dagger U_{\text{cloning}} (|\phi\rangle_A \otimes |e\rangle_B) = (\langle \psi |_A \otimes \langle \psi |_B) (|\phi\rangle_A \otimes |\phi\rangle_B) \\ &= (\langle \psi | \phi \rangle)_A (\langle \psi | \phi \rangle)_B = (\langle \psi | \phi \rangle)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Implications for quantum information technologies

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions



Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



The fact that all transformations have to preserve information (i.e. entropy), imply that they are unitary. This is the only kind of transformations that a quantum computer will be able to reproduce ideally. On the other hand, the no-cloning theorem can be used to construct inherently secure communication and data storage systems. Furthermore, it means that **superluminal communication** is impossible:



Quantum computing models and algorithms

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



In order to work with quantum computers we need to be able to formulate how they operate in an abstract, mathematical way. There are a number of formulations that go by the name of **quantum computing models**; the main four of these being:

- Topological
- Adiabatic
- One-way
- Gate arrar or circuit based

They all differ in the basic elements in which any computation is divided, and therefore have a decisive role in the **hardware implementation** of these machines. Nonetheless, all of them have been proven theoretically equivalent to each other as well as to an universal quantum Turing machine.

- Josephson junctions
- Ion traps
- Nuclear magnetic resonators
- Optical cavities

Quantum circuit formalism

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation


Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Due to its simplicity and similarity with classical models of computation, the most widespread of all is, by far, the **quantum circuit** or quantum gate array model. This model is made up of two kind of components: *registers* and *gates*.



Pauli measurements and the singlet state

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation


Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



The final thing that we need to know about are measurements. **Pauli measurements** are made along the three primary axes of the Bloch sphere, allowing us to calculate the expectation value of *Pauli observables* (i.e. tensor product combinations of the Pauli hermitian operators):



$$|\text{singlet}\rangle \triangleq \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm I

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation


Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Deutsch's problem says that we are given a function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, and are told that the function is either constant or balanced (i.e. half of the inputs return 0 and the other half 1). The goal is to determine, with the smallest number of evaluations possible, whether the given function is one kind or the other.



Using classical resources the only way to solve this problem is to repeatedly evaluate the function for different inputs. In the worst case scenario, we will need to perform $2^n/2 + 1$ evaluations, since it is always possible to obtain $2^n/2$ times the same number even if the function is balanced. However, making use of quantum superposition, we can find a way to solve this problem with just one evaluation. The key as to why this is possible is quantum superposition. By evaluating the target function for a superposition state, we are evaluating it simultaneously for all possible inputs. This is sometimes referred to as **quantum parallelism**.

Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm II

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



The solution to this problem as expressed by the quantum circuit in the previous slide is known as the **Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm**:

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle^{\otimes(n+1)}$$

$$|\psi_1\rangle = |0\rangle^{\otimes n} |1\rangle$$

$$H^{\otimes n} |x\rangle = \sum_{z \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot z} \frac{|z\rangle}{\sqrt{2^n}}$$

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \frac{|x\rangle}{\sqrt{2^n}} \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right]$$

$$\frac{|0 \oplus f(x)\rangle - |1 \oplus f(x)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = (-1)^{f(x)} \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$|\psi_3\rangle = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \frac{(-1)^{f(x)}}{\sqrt{2^n}} \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right]$$

$$|\psi_4\rangle = \sum_{\substack{x \in \{0,1\}^n \\ z \in \{0,1\}^n}} \frac{(-1)^{x \cdot z + f(x)}}{2^n} \left[\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right]$$

Quantum Fourier transform I

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



The usual **Discrete Fourier Transform** (DFT) can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} |j\rangle &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp\left[2\pi i \frac{jk}{N}\right] |k\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{k_0=0}^1 \exp\left[2\pi ij \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} k_l 2^{l-n}\right)\right] |0b k_{n-1} \dots k_0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \sum_{k_{n-1}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{k_0=0}^1 \left\{ \bigotimes_{l=1}^n \exp\left[2\pi i j k_{n-l} 2^{-l}\right] |k_{n-l}\rangle \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \bigotimes_{l=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{k_{n-l}=0}^1 \exp\left[2\pi i j k_{n-l} 2^{-l}\right] |k_{n-l}\rangle \right\} = \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \bigotimes_{l=1}^n \left\{ |0\rangle + \exp\left[2\pi i j 2^{-l}\right] |1\rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Quantum Fourier transform II

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions


Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography

$$|j\rangle \rightarrow \frac{\{ |0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(\theta b.j_0)} |1\rangle \} \otimes \{ |0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(\theta b.j_1 j_0)} |1\rangle \} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{ |0\rangle + e^{2\pi i(\theta b.j_{n-1} j_{n-2} \dots j_0)} |1\rangle \}}{2^{n/2}}$$

$$R_k \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i/2^k} \end{bmatrix}$$



Quantum Fourier transform III

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



We can now compare the complexity of this algorithm with its best classical counterparts. This is done by counting the number of gates in the circuit, where we have to remember the final SWAP gates omitted in the representation.

FAST FOURIER TRANSFORM

$$N \log_2 N \equiv \Theta(n2^n)$$

QUANTUM FOURIER TRANSFORM

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k + \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \equiv \Theta(n^2)$$

Nonetheless, this technique cannot be used directly for computing the target transform; since we do not know how to recover the individual amplitudes from the quantum states. On top of that, there is no efficient general method for preparing the states to be transformed. This is a great example of an algorithm that presents huge savings compared to its classical analogs, but which cannot be generally used as much as we would like to due to our inability to extract the desired information. In some instances however, we can profit from this method to great deeds —mainly as part of bigger algorithms. An important application is **Shor's factoring algorithm**, which can be used for efficiently finding the prime decomposition of any given number.

Applications

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Generally speaking, quantum computing is thought to be able to outperform classical processors, but not for all tasks. This raises the important question of determining which problems are easy to solve using quantum logic while remaining hard for classical approaches. As a matter of fact, we do not fully understand the extent to which there are problems of this kind; and many of the ones we suspect to be good candidates have no formal proof to back them up. So far, some of the applications that have been found are:

- Cryptography
- Secure communications
- Quantum randomness
- Quantum sensing
- Optimization
- Algebra
- Machine learning
- Quantum games
- Quantum simulation

Quantum physics —especially Quantum Field Theory— is the ideal place to look for (relevant) problems which can be solved on a quantum computer while remaining intractable for classical machines; that also makes it the best choice for analyzing the computational power behind this new paradigm.

Solving the NJL model in $1 + 1$ dimensions

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

**Solving the NJL
model in $1 + 1$
dimensions**

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



The NJL **Lagrangian density** that we will make use of looks like:

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)(i\partial - m)\psi(x) + \mathcal{L}_I(x)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_I(x) = \frac{1}{2}G_\pi [\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x)]^2$$

From this expression for the Lagrangian density we can obtain the corresponding **Hamiltonian density** through the Legendre transform. In special, for $1 + 1$ dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &\triangleq \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_0\psi)}(\partial_0\psi) + (\partial_0\bar{\psi})\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_0\bar{\psi})} - \mathcal{L} \\ &= \bar{\psi}(x)\left(m - i\gamma^1\partial_1\right)\psi(x) - \frac{1}{2}G_\pi [\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x)]^2\end{aligned}$$

Dressed mass and the gap equation

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work


Bibliography



The **bare and dressed masses** appear on the bare quark propagator S_0 , and the NJL dressed quark propagator S respectively:

$$S_0^{-1} \triangleq p - m + i\varepsilon$$

$$S^{-1} \equiv S_{\text{NJL}}^{-1} \triangleq p - M + i\varepsilon$$



We can find a relationship between these two by solving the **gap equation**:

$$S^{-1} = S_0^{-1} - 2iG_\pi \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} N_{\text{color}} N_{\text{flavor}} \text{Tr}_D[S]$$

$$M \simeq m + 4iG_\pi N_{\text{color}} N_{\text{flavor}} \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{M}{p^2 - M^2}$$

Mass generation I

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography

To solve this last expression we first perform a **Wick rotation** $p_0 \rightarrow ip_2 \Rightarrow (p_0)^2 \rightarrow -(p_2)^2$, followed by a transformation to **polar coordinates**:

$$M \simeq m + N_{\text{color}} N_{\text{flavor}} \frac{G_\pi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{M}{p_E^2 + M^2} dp_E^2$$

Finally, to make the integral converge, we introduce **proper time regularization**:

$$\frac{1}{x^n} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty d\tau \tau^{n-1} \exp[-\tau x] \rightarrow \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{1/\Lambda_{UV}^2}^{1/\Lambda_{IR}^2} d\tau \tau^{n-1} \exp[-\tau x]$$

$$M \simeq m + MN_{\text{color}} N_{\text{flavor}} \frac{G_\pi}{\pi} \int_{1/\Lambda_{UV}^2}^{1/\Lambda_{IR}^2} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \exp[-\tau M^2]$$

Where Λ_{IR} and Λ_{UV} are the **infrared and ultraviolet cutoffs** respectively.



Mass generation II

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation


Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



N_{Dirac}	N_{color}	N_{flavor}	Λ_{IR}	Λ_{UV}
$1 + 1 \rightarrow 2$	1	1	0.240 GeV	0.645 GeV

Lattice formulation I

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing

models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



We can define the Hamiltonian of the system as the integral over space of the Hamiltonian density:

$$H = \int \mathcal{H}(x) dx = \int \left\{ \bar{\psi}(x) \left(m - i\gamma^1 \partial_1 \right) \psi(x) - \frac{1}{2} G_\pi [\bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x)]^2 \right\} dx$$

For a basis where:

$$\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{\psi} \triangleq \psi^\dagger \gamma^0, \quad \gamma^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can write the kinetic term as:

$$\bar{\psi} \left(-i\gamma^1 \partial_1 \right) \psi = \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \left[\psi_+^\dagger (\partial_1 \psi_-) - (\partial_1 \psi_+^\dagger) \psi_- \right] + \left[\psi_-^\dagger (\partial_1 \psi_+) - (\partial_1 \psi_-^\dagger) \psi_+ \right] \right\}$$

Lattice formulation II

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions



Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



The two groups in brackets are essentially equivalent to one another by virtue of exchanging positive and negative energy components. This is the motivation behind **staggered fermion lattices**, which use two computational lattice sites for each theoretical value of ψ .



Lattice formulation III

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Sites in the staggered computational lattice are labeled using a parameter $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that all evaluations of ψ are made at integer multiples of the distance a :

$$\phi(n) \triangleq \sqrt{a} \begin{cases} \psi_+(\frac{n}{2}a), & 2 \mid n \\ \psi_-(\frac{n-1}{2}a), & 2 \nmid n \end{cases}$$

These newly defined operators obey the **canonical commutation relations for fermions**:

$$[\phi^\dagger(p), \phi(q)]_+ = \delta_{pq}, \quad [\phi(p), \phi(q)]_+ = 0$$

Finally, thanks to the periodic boundary conditions, we can write:

$$H_N^{(K)} = \frac{i}{a} \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} [\phi^\dagger(n)\phi(n+1) - \phi^\dagger(n+1)\phi(n)]$$

Lattice formulation IV

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



From this Hamiltonian, we can now recover the **massless Dirac equation** in the continuum limit; which serves as proof of correctness:

$$\dot{\phi}(n) = i \left[H_N^{(K)}, \phi(n) \right]_- = \frac{\phi(n+1) - \phi(n-1)}{a}$$

In terms of the original fields, this is:

$$\dot{\psi}_+ = \frac{\Delta \psi_-}{\Delta x}, \quad \dot{\psi}_- = \frac{\Delta \psi_+}{\Delta x}$$

Lastly, taking the limit when $a \rightarrow 0$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = \hat{\alpha}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_1 \triangleq \gamma_0 \gamma_1 = \gamma^0 \gamma_1 = -\gamma^0 \gamma^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Lattice formulation V

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



And it is now straight forward to obtain all other components of the Hamiltonian from the expressions in the Hamiltonian density, which are written in terms of **Dirac bilinears**.

$$H_N = H_N^{(M)} + H_N^{(K)} + H_N^{(I)}$$

$$H_N^{(M)} = m \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} (-1)^n \phi^\dagger(n) \phi(n)$$

$$H_N^{(K)} = \frac{i}{a} \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} [\phi^\dagger(n) \phi(n+1) - \phi^\dagger(n+1) \phi(n)]$$

$$H_N^{(I)} = -\frac{1}{2a} G_\pi \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\phi^\dagger(2n) \phi(2n) - \phi^\dagger(2n+1) \phi(2n+1)]^2$$

Fermion-qubit mapping

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Generally speaking, quantum computers cannot measure any given operator directly. Therefore, in order to simulate this or any other Hamiltonian in a quantum processor, one needs to efficiently map its component operators onto ones suitable for evaluation in such machines. The most commonly used of these sets are Pauli operators along with the identity: this is what we will use and refer to as the **Pauli set**.

It turns out that, in one spatial dimension, spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles (i.e. qubits) behave much like fermions. This allows us to implement a mapping between one and the other. The **Jordan-Wigner transform** associates spin “down” and “up” with occupied and unoccupied fermion states (or vice versa). Particularly, we will choose:

$$|\uparrow\rangle \equiv |0\rangle, \quad |\downarrow\rangle \equiv |1\rangle$$

$$|\downarrow\rangle \equiv \phi^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |\uparrow\rangle \equiv \phi |1\rangle$$

$$\phi \rightarrow \sigma^+, \quad \phi^\dagger \rightarrow \sigma^-$$

Jordan-Wigner transform I

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in $1 + 1$
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



To prove that this is valid, we can define the following equivalences by drawing inspiration from raising and lowering operators in angular momentum theory:

$$\sigma^1 \equiv \phi^\dagger + \phi$$

$$\sigma^2 \equiv i(\phi^\dagger - \phi)$$

$$\sigma^3 \equiv 1 - 2\phi^\dagger\phi$$

Making use of the properties of fermion creation and annihilation operators, comprised within their canonical commutation relations, these can be shown to behave algebraically like **Pauli matrices**:

$$[\sigma^p, \sigma^q]_- = 2i\epsilon_{pqr}\sigma^r$$

$$[\sigma^p, \sigma^q]_+ = 2\delta_{pq}$$

Jordan-Wigner transform II

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



We can recover the usual spin raising and lowering hermitian conjugate operators:

$$\sigma^{\pm} \triangleq \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^1 \pm i\sigma^2) \quad (1)$$

All this allows us to use these spins as a basic model for fermions:

$$[\phi, \phi^\dagger]_+ = 1 \quad \rightarrow \quad [\sigma^+, \sigma^-]_+ = 1 \quad (2)$$

Unfortunately, this only works for single-fermion representations, and needs to be modified once we introduced more than one particle; since independent spins commute, while independent fermions anticommute.

$$[\sigma^+(p), \sigma^-(q)]_- = \delta_{pq}, \quad [\sigma^+(p), \sigma^-(q)]_+ \neq \delta_{pq}$$

$$[\phi(p), \phi^\dagger(q)]_- \neq \delta_{pq}, \quad [\phi(p), \phi^\dagger(q)]_+ = \delta_{pq}$$

Jordan-Wigner transform III

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in $1+1$
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



A way to fix this issue is by defining $N(l) = \phi^\dagger(l)\phi(l)$ as the hermitian number operator for state l , and attaching a so called unitary **string operator** $S(n)$ to the fermion operators:

$$\begin{aligned} S(n)\phi(n) &\rightarrow \sigma^+(n) \\ \phi^\dagger(n)S^\dagger(n) &\rightarrow \sigma^-(n) \\ S(n) &= \exp\left[-i\pi \sum_{l < n} [N(l) + s(l)]\right] \end{aligned}$$

The $s(l)$ terms in the string operator are scalars associated to gauge transformations and do not add much to the transform. With this new mapping, Pauli matrices get redefined to:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^1(n) &\equiv \phi^\dagger(n)S^\dagger(n) + S(n)\phi(n) \\ \sigma^2(n) &\equiv i\left[\phi^\dagger(n)S^\dagger(n) - S(n)\phi(n)\right] \\ \sigma^3(n) &\equiv 1 - 2\phi^\dagger(n)\phi(n) \end{aligned}$$

Jordan-Wigner transform IV

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



We now retrieve the correct statistics. Of course, we would like to express the string operator in terms of the Pauli set so that we can move it to the other side of the transformation. Fortunately, we can do so by expanding the number operators:

$$\exp\left[\pm i\pi \sum_{l < n} N(l)\right] = \prod_{l < n} \exp\left[\pm i\pi \phi^\dagger(l)\phi(l)\right] = \prod_{l < n} \left[1 - 2\phi^\dagger(l)\phi(l)\right] = \prod_{l < n} \sigma^3(l)$$
$$S(n) = \prod_{l < n} e^{-i\pi s(l)} \sigma^3(l)$$

Finally, our choice of gauge will be such that $s(l) = s \in (-1, 1] \forall l$ and the string operator is hermitian for all values of n . All in all, this can be achieved by making $s = 0$, which gives:

$$\phi(n) \rightarrow \left[\prod_{l < n} \sigma^3(l) \right] \sigma^+(n), \quad \phi^\dagger(n) \rightarrow \left[\prod_{l < n} \sigma^3(l) \right] \sigma^-(n)$$

Refactoring the NJL Hamiltonian I

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing

models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Now that we have a way of converting from fermion to spin operators, we can apply it to the NJL Hamiltonian:

$$H_N^{(M)} \rightarrow \frac{m}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} (-1)^{n+1} \sigma^3(n)$$

$$H_N^{(K)} \rightarrow \frac{i}{a} \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} [\sigma^-(n) \sigma^+(n+1) - \sigma^-(n+1) \sigma^+(n)]$$

$$H_N^{(I)} \rightarrow \frac{G_\pi}{4a} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\sigma^3(2n) \sigma^3(2n+1) - N]$$

We will only be interested in studying the **Chiral limit** (i.e. $m = 0$), which justifies dropping the entire mass term. From the interaction Hamiltonian we will get an adiabatic modification term of the form $\frac{G_\pi N}{4a}$; this will also be dropped for the moment.

Refactoring the NJL Hamiltonian II

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic

Quantum information

Quantum computing
models and algorithms

Applications

Solving the NJL
model in 1 + 1
dimensions

Analytical solution

Lattice formulation

Fermion-qubit mapping

Space parametrization
and state preparation

Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Hence, with periodic boundary conditions $\sigma^p(N) = \sigma^p(0)$ and expressed in quantum computing notation $(\mathbb{1}_n, X_n, Y_n, Z_n) \equiv \sigma^p(n)$ for $p = (0, 1, 2, 3)$, our refactored Hamiltonian $P_N \triangleq 2aH_N$ will adopt the form:

$$P_N = \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} [X_{n+1}Y_n - Y_{n+1}X_n] + \frac{G_\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} Z_{2n+1}Z_{2n} \quad (3)$$

One important feature of this operator is that its size (i.e. number of terms) **grows polynomially** with the size of the system N . It is also interesting to notice that this operator can be expressed in a recursive layout:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{N+1} = & P_N - Y_{2N-1}X_0 + X_{2N-1}Y_0 + Y_{2N+1}X_0 - X_{2N+1}Y_0 \\ & + X_{2N}Y_{2N-1} - Y_{2N}X_{2N-1} + X_{2N+1}Y_{2N} - Y_{2N+1}X_{2N} + \frac{G_\pi}{2}(Z_{2N+1}Z_{2N}) \end{aligned}$$

Bibliography

Introduction

Background in
Quantum
Computation

Quantum logic
Quantum information
Quantum computing
models and algorithms
Applications

Solving the NJL
model in $1 + 1$
dimensions

Analytical solution
Lattice formulation
Fermion-qubit mapping
Space parametrization
and state preparation
Ground state energy

Conclusions and
prospective work

Bibliography



Hayden, P. *Quantum Computational Universe*



Susskind, L. & Friedman A. *Quantum Mechanics: The Theoretical Minimum*



Nielsen M.A. & Chuang I.L. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*



Lykken J. *Quantum Technologies for Quantum Science*



Mermin N.D. *Quantum Computer Science: An Introduction*



Ladd T.D. (et al.) *Quantum Computing*

"The only thing demonstrated by an impossibility proof is a lack of imagination."
– John Stewart Bell –



