

## ▼ Práctica 3

Pedro Otero García & Alexandre Sousa Cajide

### ▼ Q1

In this case, sensitivity is the maximum difference that can exist between the average of two neighboring databases (databases that only differ in one row). In the different row, for a maximum difference in the average, the difference between the values in both databases may be the highest possible (one may be 0, and the other 125).  $\Rightarrow \Delta^{(average)} = \frac{125}{n}$

### ▼ Q2

Again we have to take into account the extreme case. Let's imagine a dataset  $D$  with an odd number of rows such as the rows with  $age = 0$  are exactly one more than the number of rows with  $age = 125$  and the only ages that exist in the dataset are those ones, i.e, the median of  $D$  is 0. However if we change one of the rows that have  $age = 0$  for  $age = 125$ , then the median will be 125  $\Rightarrow \Delta^{(median)} = 125$ .

### ▼ Q3

The  $l_1 - sensitivity$ , in this case, will be achieved when  $SB1$  and  $SB2$  of  $D$  are different of  $SB1'$  and  $SB2'$  of  $D'$  in the row that differs. So, if  $g(D) = [\sum SB1, \sum SB2]$  and  $g(D') = [\sum SB1', \sum SB2'] \Rightarrow \Delta^{(bitcount)} = 2$ .

E.g, in one database  $SB1 = SB2 = 0$  and in the other one  $SB1' = SB2' = 1$ .

In the second part of the exercise that tell us what happens if  $SB1$  is the complement of the bit in  $SB2$ , we will have the same answer. If  $SB1 = 0$  &  $SB2 = 1$  and  $SB1' = 1$  &  $SB2' = 0$ , then we have the same distance as in the general case.

### ▼ Q4

If one age changes, then one bin of the histogram decreases by one and other bin increases by one. Therefore, the maximum difference between  $g(D)$  and  $g(D')$  is 2, because all the values are equal except those two.  $\Rightarrow \Delta^{(histogram)} = 2$ .

### ▼ Q5

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(R|D)}{f(R|D')} &\stackrel{(*_1)}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{126} \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{2\Delta^{(g)}} \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon|r_i - g_i(D)|}{\Delta^{(g)}}\right)}{\frac{\epsilon}{2\Delta^{(g)}} \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon|r_i - g_i(D')|}{\Delta^{(g)}}\right)} = \prod_{i=1}^{126} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon|r_i - g_i(D)|}{\Delta^{(g)}}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon|r_i - g_i(D')|}{\Delta^{(g)}}\right)} \stackrel{(*_2)}{=} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{126} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\Delta^{(g)}} (|r_i - g_i(D')| - |r_i - g_i(D)|)\right) \leq \stackrel{(*_3)}{\prod_{i=1}^{126} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\Delta^{(g)}} (g_i(D') - g_i(D))\right)} = \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\Delta^{(g)}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{126} |g_i(D') - g_i(D)|\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\Delta^{(g)}} \cdot \|g_i(D') - g_i(D)\|_1\right) \leq \\ &\leq e^{\frac{\epsilon}{\Delta^{(g)}} \cdot \Delta^{(g)}} \leq e^\epsilon \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

(\*)<sub>1</sub>) As the elements of the random vectors are independent and identically distributed, the pdf is the product of the individual pdf's.

(\*)<sub>2</sub>)  $\frac{x^{-i}}{x^{-j}} = x^{j-i}$

(\*)<sub>3</sub>) The greatest result that we can achieve is with the combination  $r_i < g_i(D) < g_i(D')$

### ▼ Q6

$$\frac{f(R|D)}{f(R|D')} \stackrel{(*_1)}{=} \prod_{i=1}^{126} \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2|r_i - g_i(D)|^2}{2(\Delta^{(g)})^2}\right)}{\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2|r_i - g_i(D')|^2}{2(\Delta^{(g)})^2}\right)} = \prod_{i=1}^{126} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2|r_i - g_i(D)|^2}{2(\Delta^{(g)})^2}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2|r_i - g_i(D')|^2}{2(\Delta^{(g)})^2}\right)} \stackrel{(*_2)}{=}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{i=1}^{126} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2(\Delta^{(g)})^2}(|r_i - g_i(D')|^2 - |r_i - g_i(D)|^2)\right) \stackrel{(*_2)}{\leq} \prod_{i=1}^{126} \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2(\Delta^{(g)})^2}(g_i(D')^2 - g_i(D)^2)\right) = \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2(\Delta^{(g)})^2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{126} (g_i(D') - g_i(D)) \cdot (g_i(D') + g_i(D))\right) \stackrel{(*_3)}{\leq} \\
&\leq \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2(\Delta^{(g)})^2} \cdot \Delta^{(g)} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{126} (g_i(D') + g_i(D))\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2\Delta^{(g)}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{126} (g_i(D') + g_i(D))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The value of  $\epsilon$  used to be greater than 1. Therefore,  $\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2\Delta^{(g)}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{126} (g_i(D') + g_i(D))\right) > e^\epsilon \Rightarrow$  Gaussian mechanism is not secure.

(\*<sub>1</sub>) As the elements of the random vectors are independent and identically distributed, the pdf is the product of the individual pdf's.

$$(*_2) \frac{x^{-i}}{x^{-j}} = x^{j-i}$$

(\*<sub>3</sub>) The greatest result that we can achieve is with the combination  $r_i < g_i(D) < g_i(D')$

## Q7

```
!pip install faker
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')

import pandas as pd
from faker import Faker
import random

def createDatabase(n:int = 1000):
    data = {'Name': [], 'Age': [], 'SB1': [], 'SB2': []}
    fake = Faker()
    for _ in range(n):
        name = fake.name()
        age = random.randint(0, 125)
        sb1 = random.choice([0,1])
        sb2 = random.choice([0,1])

        data['Name'].append(name)
        data['Age'].append(age)
        data['SB1'].append(sb1)
        data['SB2'].append(sb2)

    return pd.DataFrame(data)

createDatabase(n=10)
```

	Name	Age	SB1	SB2
0	Chelsea Lamb PhD	120	1	0
1	Kimberly Sampson	105	0	1
2	Deborah Park	123	1	1
3	Gail Schultz	0	0	0
4	Bradley Manning	57	0	1
5	Lori Chambers	61	1	1
6	Tara Sharp	26	0	0
7	Jose Jones	23	0	0
8	Joshua Gay	89	1	1
9	Phillip Wallace	111	1	0

## Q8

```
import numpy as np

def Q1_curated(database, epsilon):

    #Compute average
    total_sum = sum(database['Age'])
    n = len(database)
    average = total_sum / n
```

```

#Add Laplace noise
noise = np.random.laplace(scale=(125/n) / epsilon)

return average, int(np.round(average + noise))

def Q2_curated(database, epsilon):

    #Compute median
    sorted_db = sorted(database['Age'])
    n = len(sorted_db)
    median = sorted_db[n // 2]

    noise = np.random.laplace(scale=(125) / epsilon)

    return median, int(np.round(median + noise))

# Function to compute the  $\epsilon$ -Differentially Private Bitcount
def Q3_curated(database, epsilon):

    bitcount = sum(int(SB1) for SB1 in database['SB1']) #Compute bitcount

    noise = np.random.laplace(scale=2/epsilon)

    return bitcount, int(np.round(bitcount + noise))

# Function to compute the  $\epsilon$ -Differentially Private Histogram
def Q4_curated(database, epsilon):
    age_histogram = [0] * 126
    for i in range(0, 126):
        age_histogram[i] = len(database[database['Age']==i])

    #Add Laplace noise to each factor in the histogram
    noisy_histogram = []
    for n_age in age_histogram:
        noise = np.random.laplace(scale=2/epsilon)
        noisy_histogram.append(int(np.round(n_age + noise)))

    return age_histogram, noisy_histogram

# Example usage:
database = createDatabase(n=100)
epsilon = 10

real_average, noisy_average = Q1_curated(database, epsilon)
real_median, noisy_median = Q2_curated(database, epsilon)
real_bitcount, noisy_bitcount = Q3_curated(database, epsilon)
real_histogram, noisy_histogram = Q4_curated(database, epsilon)

print('Average:', real_average)
print('Noisy Average (Q1):', noisy_average)
print()
print('Median:', real_median)
print('Noisy Median (Q2):', noisy_median)
print()
print('Bitcount:', real_bitcount)
print('Noisy Bitcount (Q3):', noisy_bitcount)
print()
print('Histogram:', real_histogram)
print('Noisy Histogram (Q4):', noisy_histogram)

Average: 59.77
Noisy Average (Q1): 60

Median: 63
Noisy Median (Q2): 64

Bitcount: 64
Noisy Bitcount (Q3): 64

Histogram: [1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 3, 1,
Noisy Histogram (Q4): [1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0

```

## Q9

```

import math
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

```



## ▼ Q10

```

import math
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

n = [101, 1001, 10001]
epsilon = 1

original_error_Q1 = []
original_real_Q1 = []
original_nrmsd_Q1 = []
error_Q1 = []; error_Q2 = []; error_Q3 = []; error_Q4 = []
real_Q1 = []; real_Q2 = []; real_Q3 = []; real_Q4 = []
nrmsd_Q1 = []; nrmsd_Q2 = []; nrmsd_Q3 = []; nrmsd_Q4 = []

for n_i in n:
    for m in range(1,101):
        database = createDatabase(n_i)
        original_real_q1, original_curated_q1 = Q1_curated(database, epsilon)
        real_q4, curated_q4 = Q4_curated(database, epsilon)

        real_q1 = 0
        curated_q1 = 0
        count = 0
        for i, j in zip(real_q4, curated_q4):
            real_q1 += count*i
            curated_q1 += count*j
            count += 1

        real_q1 /= len(real_q4)
        curated_q1 /= len(curated_q4)

        real_Q1.append(real_q1)
        original_real_Q1.append(original_real_q1)
        error_Q1.append(curated_q1 - real_q1)
        original_error_Q1.append(original_curated_q1 - original_real_q1)

        nrmsd_Q1.append(math.sqrt(sum(np.array(error_Q1)**2)/100)/(sum(np.array(real_Q1))/100))
        original_nrmsd_Q1.append(math.sqrt(sum(np.array(original_error_Q1)**2)/100)/(sum(np.array(original_real_Q1))/100))

print('\n\t\t | '+'\t 101\t\t|\t 1001\t\t|\t 10001\t\t|')
print('NRMSD_Q1\t | '+str(nrmsd_Q1[0])+'\t| '+str(nrmsd_Q1[1])+'\t| '+str(nrmsd_Q1[2])+'\t|')
print('ORIGINAL_NRMSD_Q1| '+str(original_nrmsd_Q1[0])+'\t| '+str(original_nrmsd_Q1[1])+'\t| '+str(original_nrmsd_Q1[2])+'\t|')



| n                 | 101                  | 1001                 | 10001                |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| NRMSD_Q1          | 0.36372706215074446  | 0.045651526881951024 | 0.00543967573176553  |
| ORIGINAL_NRMSD_Q1 | 0.026037853840080484 | 0.013193828511223351 | 0.008924074551896621 |


```

The error with the original mechanism looks to be smaller for smaller values of  $n$ . However, when  $n$  increase the original and estimated values are more similar, indeed for  $n = 10001$  the error in the stimated case is lower.

For smaller values of  $n$  its better to use the original mechanishm but for larger databases it can be used both because there are not significant differences.