Program Verification with Dafny (Part I)

Nuno Macedo

Dafny

- Programming language and tool for developing verified programs
- Developed by Microsoft Research
- Multi-paradigm language (imperative, functional, object-oriented)
- Two main components:
 - Specifications (verified statically)
 - Implementations (compiles into C#)

Dafny Specifications

- Specifications are declarative, either logic or functional programming
- Immutable instances (value types, in contrast to reference types)
- Constructs include
 - Preconditions (requires) and postconditions (ensures)
 - Loop variants (decreases) and invariants (invariant)
 - Assertions (assert)
 - Lemmas (lemma)
 - Functions (function) and predicates (predicate)
 - Ghost (ghost) variables and methods

Dafny Implementations

- Implementations are mostly imperative (but functional can be used)
- Composed of procedures (method)
- Strongly typed (often inferred)
- Some object-oriented features (classes, inheritance, ...)
- Supports reference types (arrays, classes, ...), mutable instances

Dafny Verification

- Methods are annotated with specifications
- Specifications continuously verified statically (compilation-time)
 - Automates part of the tableau proof method
 - Invalid specifications are errors: does not compile
- Uses solvers underneath, shows counter-examples to violations
- Not fully automatic (undecidable): may require additional annotations
- Compiles implementations into executable code
- Specifications are not part of the executable code

Dafny Examples

- Consider only value types
- No aliasing, assignment is copy
- No arrays, no class objects, no side-effects

- Methods are executable code
- No annotations, but already errors flagged
- Dafny verifies total correctness
 - correctness + termination
- Cannot prove termination without restrictions on input

```
method div(n:nat, d:nat) returns (q:nat, r:nat)
{
    r := n;
    q := 0;
    while (r >= d)
    {
        q := q + 1;
        r := r - d;
    }
}

method Main()
{
    var x,y := div(15,4);
}
```

Return values part of state, may return multiple. Variables declared with var, type (often) inferred.

- With the requires precondition already proves termination
- Loop variant automatically inferred
- Can be made explicit with decreases
- Information from types (nat, ≥0) also used

```
method div(n:nat, d:nat) returns (q:nat, r:nat)
requires d > 0
{
    decreases r - d Resolver
    No quick fixes available
    while (r >= d)
        q := q + 1;
        r := r - d;
}
method Main()
{
    var x,y := div(15,4);
}
```

Blue annotations are informative.

Code can be executed when there are no errors.

- Can define basic tests as assertions
- These are not checked in runtime as in other languages
- Verified during compilation
- Invalid: methods are black-box for proofs
- Only the pre and postconditions are known

```
method div(n:nat, d:nat) returns (q:nat, r:nat)
requires d > 0
{
    r := n;
    q := 0;
    while (r >= d)
    {
        q := q + 1;
        r := r - d;
    }
}
Ghost statement
meth
assertion might not hold Verifier
{
    View Problem No quick fixes available
assert x == 3 && y == 3;
}
```

Counter-examples can be shown (not always helpful).

- Postcondition added
- Assertion is now valid: consistent with postcondition
- But still not proved that code satisfied postcondition
- Loops require additional annotations

```
method div(n:nat, d:nat) returns (q:nat, r:nat)
requires d > 0
ensures q*d + r == n \& r < d
    r := n;
    q := 0;
    while (r >= d)
        q := q + 1;
        r := r - d;
method Main()
    var x, y := div(15,4);
    assert x == 3 \&\& y == 3;
```

- Loop invariant: must hold before, in all iterations, and after
- Must imply the postcondition
- Usually also states the range of iterator
- Creative step, cannot be automated by tools
- Variants often automatically detected, but not always

```
method div(n:nat, d:nat) returns (q:nat, r:nat)
requires d > 0
ensures q*d + r == n \&\& r < d
    r := n;
    q := 0;
    while (r >= d)
    invariant q*d + r == n
        r := r - d;
method Main()
    var x, y := div(15,4);
    assert x == 3 \&\& y == 3;
```

- Iterative, inefficient version (linear)
- How to specify the postcondition declaratively?
- Side-effect free functions

```
method powerIte(b:real, e:nat) returns (x:real)
{
    x := 1.0;
    var i := 0;
    while (i < e)
    {
        x := x * b;
        i := i + 1;
    }
}</pre>
```

Real constants require decimal part.

- Functions: more abstract and declarative definition of behaviour
- Can be recursive (but no iteration)
- Pure, side-effect free, more prone to automatic analysis
 - Don't need postconditions
- Performance doesn't matter: will not compile
- Needs variant to prove termination, but often automatically inferred

```
method powerIte(b:real, e:nat) returns (x:real)
{
    x := 1.0;
    var i := 0;
    while (i < e)
    {
        x := x * h:
        i auto-accumulator tail recursive Resolver
    }
    decreases b, e Resolver
    No quick fixes available

function pow(b:real, e:nat): real {
    if (e == 0) then 1.0 else b * pow(b,e-1)
}</pre>
```

 Proved that the imperative implementation conforms to the functional specification

```
method powerIte(b:real, e:nat) returns (x:real)
ensures x == pow(b,e)
{
    x := 1.0;
    var i := 0;
    while (i < e)
    invariant x == pow(b,i) && 0 <= i <= e
    {
        x := x * b;
        i := i + 1;
    }
}

function pow(b:real, e:nat): real {
    if (e == 0) then 1.0 else b * pow(b,e-1)
}</pre>
```

- What if we want a more efficient implementation?
- Recursive version, but could be iterative
- Logarithmic, based on $a^n \times a^m = a^{n+m}$
- Solvers don't know all theorems!
- Requires user input
- Not trivial to identify what lemma is needed (solving process is black box)

```
method powerRec(b:real, e:nat) returns (x:real)
ensures x == pow(b,e)
{
    if (e == 0) {
        return 1.0;
    }
    else if (e % 2 == 0) {
        var r := powerRec(b,e/2);
        return r * r;
    } else {
        var r := powerRec(b,(e-1)/2);
        return r * r * b;
    }
}
```

- Sometimes hints can be provided as assertions
- Force the solver to calculate intermediary results
- In more complex cases, we need to define auxiliary lemmas
- The lemmas themselves must be proved correct: not always trivial!
- Here, instructed to prove with induction

```
lemma {:induction e1} powDist(b:real, e1:nat, e2: nat)
ensures pow(b,e1+e2) == pow(b,e1)*pow(b,e2)
method powerRec(b:real, e:nat) returns (x:real)
ensures x == pow(b,e)
    if (e == 0) {
        return 1.0;
    else if (e % 2 == 0) {
        powDist(b,e/2,e/2);
        var r := powerRec(b,e/2);
        return r * r;
    } else {
       powDist(b, (e-1)/2, (e-1)/2);
       var r := powerRec(b,(e-1)/2);
        return r * r * b;
```

Complex Types

- Up until now, we've dealt with atomic values
- To handle more complex structures, we need reference types
- Pointers to memory sections, may be shared
- Values are no longer immutable, may have side effects

Arrays

- Typical concept in programming languages, used at implementation level
- Are typed, can be generic or concrete
- Declared with array<T>, creates object in memory, returns a pointer
- Instances created with new T[n], initialised as new T[n][a₁,..., a_n]
- Library of operations (see reference material)
- Calls are always tested to be within bounds and no null points
- By default, non-null arrays (use array? to allow nulls)

Collections

- Specifications don't handle arrays
- Need more abstract types, mathematical, higher-level
- Back to value types: immutable, comparison is content, assignment is copy
- Efficiency of data structures irrelevant, not executed
- Available collections (see reference material for operations):
 - Sequence
 - Set
 - Multiset
 - Map
 - String

Annotating Code over Arrays

- We cannot reason about arrays, must convert to a collections
- E.g., array to sequence through slices a[m..n]
- Can use forall/exists quantifications to iterate structures
- Variables must be bounded (e.g., indices of an array)
- Methods must declare what is modified, control what is changed in shared memory
- Often need to refer to the state of the array before execution (old)
- Can also be used in loop invariants

Annotating Code with Side-effects

- Methods must declare what part of shared memory is modified (frame conditions)
- A functional procedure must also declare what it reads
- Postconditions may refer to the memory state before execution (old(...))
- Loop invariants can also refer to the state in the previous iteration

- Implementation of bubble sort, auxiliary function finds minimum in segment
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Selection_sort
- Without any spec, already errors thrown: index out of range
- If array had type array?, additional error: may be null
- Also says the array cannot be updated by selectionSort (frame conditions)

```
// Sorts array 'a' using the selection sort algorithm.
method selectionSort(a: array<real>) {
    var i := 0;
    while i < a.Length - 1 {</pre>
        var j := findMin(a, i, a.Length);
        a[i], a[j] := a[j], a[i];
        i := i + 1;
// Finds the position of a miminum value in a non-empty subarray 'a'
// between positions 'from' (inclusive) and 'to' (exclusive)
method findMin(a: array<real>, from: nat, to: nat) returns(index: nat) {
    var i := from + 1;
    index := from;
    while i < to {
        if a[i] < a[index] {</pre>
            index := i;
        i := i + 1;
```

- Pre-condition: guarantee that accesses to array are valid
- How to encode postcondition of findMin?
- Value at found index less than all all the others
- Universal quantification forall, must be bounded in range
- No need to specify the content to the array: cannot be changed unless stated in modifies
- Assert in the test needed to guide the solver: not always trivial to identify

```
Finds the position of a miminum value in a non-empty subarray 'a'
// between positions 'from' (inclusive) and 'to' (exclusive)
method findMin(a: array<real>, from: nat, to: nat) returns(index: nat)
  requires from < to && to <= a.Length
  ensures from <= index < to</pre>
  ensures forall i :: from <= i < to ==> a[index] <= a[i]</pre>
   var i := from + 1;
   index := from;
   while i < to {
        if a[i] < a[index] {</pre>
            index := i;
        i := i + 1;
method testFindMin() {
  var a := new real[5] [9.0, 5.0, 6.0, 4.0, 8.0];
  assert a[..] == [9.0, 5.0, 6.0, 4.0, 8.0];
  var m := findMin(a, 0, 5);
  assert a[3] == a[m] == 4.0;
  assert m == 3;
```

Multiple ensures / requires are conjuncted.

- Same quantifications can be used in loop invariants
- Loop variant automatically detected
- Again, must lead into postcondition

```
// Finds the position of a miminum value in a non-empty subarray 'a'
// between positions 'from' (inclusive) and 'to' (exclusive)
method findMin(a: array<real>, from: nat, to: nat) returns(index: nat)
  requires from < to && to <= a.Length
  ensures from <= index < to
  ensures forall i :: from <= i < to ==> a[index] <= a[i]</pre>
    var i := from + 1;
    index := from;
    while i < to
    invariant from <= i <= to && from <= index < i
    invariant forall j :: from <= j < i ==> a[index] <= a[j]</pre>
        if a[i] < a[index] {</pre>
            index := i;
        i := i + 1;
```

- Sort must be allowed to modify the array
- Sorting guarantees:
 - Elements are ordered
 - Elements are the same (permutation)

```
// Sorts array 'a' using the selection sort algorithm.
method selectionSort(a: array<real>)
modifies a
    var i := 0;
    while i < a.Length - 1 {
        var j := findMin(a, i, a.Length);
        a[i], a[j] := a[j], a[i];
        i := i + 1;
method testSelectionSort() {
  var a := new real[5] [9.0, 4.0, 6.0, 3.0, 8.0];
  assert a[..] == [9.0, 4.0, 6.0, 3.0, 8.0];
  selectionSort(a);
  assert a[..] == [3.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 9.0];
```

- We can use auxiliary predicates (similar to functions, by return Booleans)
- Functions/methods must also declare what the read

```
predicate isSorted(a: array<real>, from: nat, to: nat)
  requires 0 <= from <= to <= a.Length
  reads a
{
    forall i, j :: from <= i < j < to ==> a[i] <= a[j]
}</pre>
```

- To define the permutation, we have to refer to the *initial* value of the array
- The multiset collection tests if the same values appear in the same quantity
- First convert to sequence with [..] slice
- They can be compared directly: value types, compares content, not pointer

```
// Sorts array 'a' using the selection sort algorithm.
method selectionSort(a: array<real>)
modifies a
ensures isSorted(a, 0, a.Length)
ensures multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
{
    var i := 0;
    while i < a.Length - 1 {
        var j := findMin(a, i, a.Length);
        a[i], a[j] := a[j], a[i];
        i := i + 1;
    }
}</pre>
```

- Invariants can use the same operators as postconditions
- Describe changes in array at each iteration

```
// Sorts array 'a' using the selection sort algorithm.
method selectionSort(a: array<real>)
modifies a
ensures isSorted(a, 0, a.Length)
ensures multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
{
    var i := 0;
    while i < a.Length - 1
    invariant 0 <= i <= a.Length
    invariant isSorted(a, 0, i)
    invariant forall l, r :: 0 <= l < i <= r < a.Length ==> a[l] <= a[r]
    invariant multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
    {
        var j := findMin(a, i, a.Length);
        a[i], a[j] := a[j], a[i];
        i := i + 1;
    }
}</pre>
```

Example: Bubble Sort

- Same precondition and postcondition (does the same!)
- However, now we have two nested loops
- The outer loop must guarantee into postcondition
- The inner loop must lead guarantee outer loop's invariant
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bubble_sort

```
method bubbleSort(a: array<real>)
 modifies a
 ensures isSorted(a,0,a.Length)
 ensures multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
   var n := a.Length;
   while n > 1 {
     var newn := 0;
     var i := 1;
     while i < n {
         if (a[i-1] > a[i]) {
              a[i-1], a[i] := a[i], a[i-1];
             newn := i;
         i := i+1;
     n := newn;
```

Example: Bubble Sort

- To verify complex algorithms, one fully understand its behaviour
- Outer loop: keeps pushing larger values up to an already ordered segment
- This right segment is ordered, and any value in the unordered left segment is smaller

```
method bubbleSort(a: array<real>)
  modifies a
  ensures isSorted(a,0,a.Length)
  ensures multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
    var n := a.Length;
    while n > 1
    invariant 0 <= n <= a.Length</pre>
    invariant isSorted(a, n, a.Length) && leg(a, 0, n, n, a.Length)
    invariant multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
      var newn := 0;
     var i := 1;
      while i < n
          if (a[i-1] > a[i]) {
              a[i-1], a[i] := a[i], a[i-1];
              newn := i;
          i := i+1;
      n := newn;
```

Example: Bubble Sort

- Inner loop: goes through the left segment and swaps
- Registers last swapped position
- Three segments in left segment: before last swap, between last swap and current position, beyond current position
- Important: doesn't break the restrictions between left and right segment required by outer loop

```
method bubbleSort(a: array<real>)
 modifies a
 ensures isSorted(a,0,a.Length)
 ensures multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
    var n := a.Length;
   while n > 1
    invariant 0 <= n <= a.Length</pre>
    invariant isSorted(a, n, a.Length) && leq(a, 0, n, n, a.Length)
    invariant multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
     var newn := 0;
     var i := 1;
     while i < n
     invariant 0 <= newn < i <= n
     invariant isSorted(a, n, a.Length) && leq(a, 0, n, n, a.Length)
     invariant isSorted(a, newn, i) && leq(a, 0, newn, newn, i)
     invariant multiset(a[..]) == multiset(old(a[..]))
         if (a[i-1] > a[i]) {
             a[i-1], a[i] := a[i], a[i-1];
             newn := i;
         i := i+1;
     n := newn;
```