



Jan 29 Lec 2 Notes

The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The system of linear equations is consistent
- (ii) $\exists \vec{x}$ in \mathbb{R}^m s.t. $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$
- (iii) \vec{b} is a linear combination of columns of A .

Example:

1. $\vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{d} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$

Is \vec{d} a linear comb. of \vec{b} and \vec{c} ?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} | & | \\ \vec{b} & \vec{c} \\ | & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Is the system of linear equations with coefficient matrix A and aug. column \vec{b} consistent?

$$\begin{aligned} [A | \vec{b}] &= \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 4 & 2 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right] \\ &\sim \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{matrix} x_1 = 1 \\ x_2 = 2 \end{matrix} \Rightarrow \vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a solution}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} x_1 + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} x_2 = \vec{d}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (1) + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} (2) = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

2. $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$

Q. Is \vec{b} a linear comb. of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$?

Q. Is \vec{b} a linear comb. of columns of A ?

Q. Does $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ have a solution?

Q. Is the system of linear equations $[A|\vec{b}]$ consistent?

Same thing

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 & -2 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 6 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_3 = 1 \\ x_2 + 6x_3 = 5 \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} x_3 \text{ is a free variable} \\ x_1, x_2 \text{ is basic (dependent)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = 1 - 2s \\ x_2 = 5 - 6s \\ x_3 = s \end{cases} \quad s \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2s \\ 5 - 6s \\ s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + s \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -6 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Solution set: } \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + s \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -6 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid s \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Def:

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ is **surjective** provided that

$$\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X, f(x) = y$$

Theorem:

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ is **surjective** iff $\text{img } f = Y$

Example:

3. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$T_A: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

Domain $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ $\mathbb{R}^2 \leftarrow$ Codomain

$$A \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2v_1 \\ 3v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto A\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2v_1 \\ 3v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Is $T_A: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ injective?

No, $T_A(\vec{v}) = T_A(\vec{w})$, but $\vec{v} \neq \vec{w}$

$$\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} = A\vec{w}$$

Is T_A surjective?

$$\forall \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \exists \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ s.t. } T_A(\vec{v}) = \vec{w}$$

$\forall \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2, A\vec{v} = \vec{w}$ is consistent

↓

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 0 & 0 & w_1 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & w_2 \end{array} \right], \text{ consistent } \forall \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

Theorem 1.3.10:

Let $A_{n \times m}$ be a matrix, \vec{x}, \vec{y} in \mathbb{R}^m , $k \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(i) A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = A\vec{x} + A\vec{y} \quad , \quad \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$(ii) A(k\vec{x}) = k A\vec{x} \quad , \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m, \forall k \in \mathbb{R}$$

Proof: wts Theorem 1.3.10(ii)

Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and \vec{x} in \mathbb{R}^m .

$$\text{Suppose } A = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} \vec{w}_1 \text{---} \\ \vdots \\ \text{---} \vec{w}_n \text{---} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A(k\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} \vec{w}_1 \text{---} \\ \text{---} \vec{w}_2 \text{---} \\ \vdots \\ \text{---} \vec{w}_n \text{---} \end{bmatrix} (k\vec{x}) \quad \text{By def 1.3.7}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{w}_1 \cdot (k\vec{x}) \\ \vec{w}_2 \cdot (k\vec{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{w}_n \cdot (k\vec{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} k(\vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{x}) \\ k(\vec{w}_2 \cdot \vec{x}) \\ \vdots \\ k(\vec{w}_n \cdot \vec{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= k \begin{bmatrix} \vec{w}_1 \cdot \vec{x} \\ \vec{w}_2 \cdot \vec{x} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{w}_n \cdot \vec{x} \end{bmatrix} = k A\vec{x}$$

Given $A_{n \times m}$, an $n \times m$ matrix, define

$$T_A : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ \vec{x} \mapsto A\vec{x}$$

T_A is a function, or a map, or a transformation

$$T_A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) \quad \text{Theorem 1.3.10}$$

$$= A\vec{x} + A\vec{y}$$

$$= T_A(\vec{x}) + T_A(\vec{y})$$

$$T_A(k\vec{x}) = A(k\vec{x}) \quad \text{Theorem 1.3.10}$$

$$= k A\vec{x}$$

$$= k T_A(\vec{x})$$

$$M_{n \times m} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all } n \times m \text{ matrices with} \\ \text{real value} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ (a_{ij})_{n \times m} \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

The set of $n \times m$ matrices is a vector space