



B24 June 16 Lec 1 Notes

Remark:

$\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of A iff $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda I)$ is non-trivial (i.e. $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$), in which case $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda I)$ is the eigenspace.

Since $A - \lambda I$ is invertible iff $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda I) = \{0\}$, we see the eigenvalues of A are precisely the solutions to

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

Remark:

In general, if $A: V \rightarrow V$ is a L.T., the matrix representation $[A]$ of A depends on a choice of basis, but $\det(A)$ does not.

Indeed, if B and C are both matrices representing L.T. A , then there exists invertible Q with

$$B = QCQ^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \det(B) &= \det(QCQ^{-1}) \\ &= \det(Q) \det(C) \det(Q^{-1}) \\ &= \det(Q) \det(C) \det(Q)^{-1} \\ &= \det(C) \end{aligned}$$

Definition:

$\det(A - \lambda I)$ is called the **characteristic polynomial** of A (here λ is the variable)

Remark:

The roots of characteristic polynomial of A are exactly the eigenvalues of A .

Remark:

$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ will start making a substantial difference now.

e.g. Consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} = \lambda^2 + 1$$

Since $\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$ has no real solutions, $A: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ has no eigenvalues.

However if we consider $A: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, then we have two solutions $\lambda = \pm i$.

Definition:

If λ_0 is an eigenvalue of A , the **algebraic multiplicity** of λ_0 is the largest positive integer k s.t. $(\lambda - \lambda_0)^k$ divides $\det(A - \lambda I)$.

e.g.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3-\lambda \end{bmatrix} = (1-\lambda)^2(3-\lambda)$$

1 is an eigenvalue of A with almu 2, since

$$(1-\lambda)^2 \mid \det(A - \lambda I) \quad \text{but} \quad (1-\lambda)^3 \nmid \det(A - \lambda I)$$

3 is an e.v of A with almu 1.

Remark:

Counting multiplicity means that if e.v. λ_0 has multiplicity m , it is counted m times towards the total # of eigenvalues.

e.g. if $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\det(A - \lambda I) = (1-\lambda)^2(3-\lambda)$

then A has 2 distinct e.v's, but A has 3 e.v's (counting multiplicity) since the e.v. 1 has multiplicity 2.

Proposition:

Let $\dim V = n$ and $A: V \rightarrow V$ where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. Then A has n eigenvalues (counting multiplicity).

Proof:

$\det(A - \lambda I)$ is a complex polynomial of degree n , and therefore has n roots (counting multiplicity).

Definition:

The **trace** of a square matrix A is defined as the sum of its diagonal entries, and is denoted $\text{tr}(A)$.

Theorem:

Let A be $n \times n$ over \mathbb{C} , and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be its eigenvalues (counting multiplicities)

Then:

$$(i) \operatorname{tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$$

$$(ii) \det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_n$$

Proof: (ii)

$\det(A - \lambda I) = (v_1 - \lambda) \cdot \dots \cdot (v_n - \lambda)$ where $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{C}$ are roots of $\det(A - \lambda I)$, hence each v_i is an e.v. λ_i of A , and $(\lambda_i - \lambda)$ appears multiplicity of λ_i many times in $(v_1 - \lambda) \cdot \dots \cdot (v_n - \lambda)$. Plugging in $\lambda = 0$ to

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda_n - \lambda)$$

yields the result.

Theorem:

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix (over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) Then there exists a diagonal matrix D and an invertible matrix S s.t.

$$A = SDS^{-1}$$

iff there is a basis for \mathbb{F}^n consisting of eigenvectors of A .

Proof (\Rightarrow):

Assume there exists a diagonal matrix D and an invertible matrix S s.t.

$$A = SDS^{-1}$$

$$\text{Let } D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{then } AS \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = SDS^{-1}S \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = SD \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = S \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_1 S \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e. $S \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of A , and similarly Se_2, \dots, Se_n are e.v's of A . Since S is invertible,

Se_1, \dots, Se_n form a basis for \mathbb{F}^n . \square

Proof (\Leftarrow):

Assume there is a basis v_1, \dots, v_n for \mathbb{F}^n consisting of eigenvectors of A .

then $[A]_{v_1, \dots, v_n}^{v_1, \dots, v_n} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$, where λ_i is the e.v. corresponding to the eigenvector v_i .

and $[A]_{e_1, \dots, e_n}^{e_1, \dots, e_n} = A$

So with $S = [I]_{e_1, \dots, e_n}^{v_1, \dots, v_n}$, we have

$$A = S [A]_{v_1, \dots, v_n}^{v_1, \dots, v_n} S^{-1}$$

$$= [I]_{e_1, \dots, e_n}^{v_1, \dots, v_n} [A]_{v_1, \dots, v_n}^{v_1, \dots, v_n} [I]_{v_1, \dots, v_n}^{e_1, \dots, e_n}$$

$$= [A]_{e_1, \dots, e_n}^{e_1, \dots, e_n}$$

□